

Chapter 4

- 4.1. SOP form: $f = \bar{x}_1 x_2 + \bar{x}_2 x_3$
 POS form: $f = (\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2)(x_2 + x_3)$
- 4.2. SOP form: $f = x_1 \bar{x}_2 + x_1 x_3 + \bar{x}_2 x_3$
 POS form: $f = (x_1 + x_3)(x_1 + \bar{x}_2)(\bar{x}_2 + x_3)$
- 4.3. SOP form: $f = \bar{x}_1 x_2 x_3 \bar{x}_4 + x_1 x_2 \bar{x}_3 x_4 + \bar{x}_2 x_3 x_4$
 POS form: $f = (\bar{x}_1 + x_4)(x_2 + x_3)(\bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_3 + \bar{x}_4)(x_2 + x_4)(x_1 + x_3)$
- 4.4. SOP form: $f = \bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_3 + \bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_4 + x_2 x_3 x_4$
 POS form: $f = (\bar{x}_2 + x_3)(x_2 + \bar{x}_3 + \bar{x}_4)(\bar{x}_2 + x_4)$
- 4.5. SOP form: $f = \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_5 + \bar{x}_3 x_4 + x_2 x_4 \bar{x}_5 + \bar{x}_1 x_3 \bar{x}_4 x_5 + x_1 x_2 \bar{x}_4 x_5$
 POS form: $f = (\bar{x}_3 + x_4 + x_5)(\bar{x}_3 + \bar{x}_4 + \bar{x}_5)(x_2 + \bar{x}_3 + \bar{x}_4)(x_1 + x_3 + x_4 + \bar{x}_5)(\bar{x}_1 + x_2 + x_4 + \bar{x}_5)$
- 4.6. SOP form: $f = \bar{x}_2 x_3 + \bar{x}_1 x_5 + \bar{x}_1 x_3 + \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_4 + \bar{x}_2 x_5$
 POS form: $f = (\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_3)(\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_4)(x_3 + \bar{x}_4 + x_5)$
- 4.7. SOP form: $f = x_3 \bar{x}_4 \bar{x}_5 + \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_4 x_5 + x_1 x_4 x_5 + x_1 x_2 x_4 + x_3 x_4 x_5 + \bar{x}_2 x_3 x_4 + x_2 \bar{x}_3 x_4 \bar{x}_5$
 POS form: $f = (x_3 + x_4 + x_5)(\bar{x}_3 + x_4 + \bar{x}_5)(x_1 + \bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_3 + \bar{x}_4 + x_5)$
- 4.8. $f = \sum m(0, 7)$
 $f = \sum m(1, 6)$
 $f = \sum m(2, 5)$
 $f = \sum m(0, 1, 6)$
 $f = \sum m(0, 2, 5)$
 etc.
- 4.9. $f = x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_2 x_4 + x_1 x_3 x_4 + x_2 x_3 x_4$
- 4.10. SOP form: $f = x_1 x_2 \bar{x}_3 + x_1 \bar{x}_2 x_4 + x_1 x_3 \bar{x}_4 + \bar{x}_1 x_2 x_3 + \bar{x}_1 x_3 x_4 + x_2 \bar{x}_3 x_4$
 POS form: $f = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)(x_1 + x_2 + x_4)(x_1 + x_3 + x_4)(x_2 + x_3 + x_4)(\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_3 + \bar{x}_4)$
 The POS form has lower cost.
- 4.11. The statement is false. As a counter example consider $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum m(0, 5, 7)$.
 Then, the minimum-cost SOP form $f = x_1 x_3 + \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_3$ is unique.
 But, there are two minimum-cost POS forms:
 $f = (x_1 + \bar{x}_3)(\bar{x}_1 + x_3)(x_1 + \bar{x}_2)$ and
 $f = (x_1 + \bar{x}_3)(\bar{x}_1 + x_3)(\bar{x}_2 + x_3)$

4.12. If each circuit is implemented separately:

$$f = \bar{x}_1\bar{x}_4 + \bar{x}_1x_2x_3 + x_1\bar{x}_2x_4 \quad \text{Cost} = 15$$

$$g = \bar{x}_1\bar{x}_3\bar{x}_4 + \bar{x}_2x_3\bar{x}_4 + x_1\bar{x}_3x_4 + x_1x_2x_4 \quad \text{Cost} = 21$$

In a combined circuit:

$$f = \bar{x}_2x_3\bar{x}_4 + \bar{x}_1\bar{x}_3\bar{x}_4 + x_1\bar{x}_2\bar{x}_3x_4 + \bar{x}_1x_2x_3$$

$$g = \bar{x}_2x_3\bar{x}_4 + \bar{x}_1\bar{x}_3\bar{x}_4 + x_1\bar{x}_2\bar{x}_3x_4 + x_1x_2x_4$$

The first 3 product terms are shared, hence the total cost is 31.

4.13. If each circuit is implemented separately:

$$f = \bar{x}_1x_2x_4 + x_2x_4x_5 + x_3\bar{x}_4\bar{x}_5 + \bar{x}_1\bar{x}_2\bar{x}_4x_5 \quad \text{Cost} = 22$$

$$g = \bar{x}_3\bar{x}_5 + \bar{x}_4\bar{x}_5 + \bar{x}_1\bar{x}_2\bar{x}_4 + \bar{x}_1x_2x_4 + x_2x_4x_5 \quad \text{Cost} = 24$$

In a combined circuit:

$$f = \bar{x}_1x_2x_4 + x_2x_4x_5 + x_3\bar{x}_4\bar{x}_5 + \bar{x}_1\bar{x}_2\bar{x}_4x_5$$

$$g = \bar{x}_1x_2x_4 + x_2x_4x_5 + x_3\bar{x}_4\bar{x}_5 + \bar{x}_1\bar{x}_2\bar{x}_4x_5 + \bar{x}_3\bar{x}_5$$

The first 4 product terms are shared, hence the total cost is 31. Note that in this implementation $f \subseteq g$, thus g can be realized as $g = f + \bar{x}_3\bar{x}_5$, in which case the total cost is lowered to 28.

4.14. $f = (x_3 \uparrow g) \uparrow ((g \uparrow g) \uparrow x_4)$ where $g = (x_1 \uparrow (x_2 \uparrow x_2)) \uparrow ((x_1 \uparrow x_1) \uparrow x_2)$

4.15. $\bar{f} = (((x_3 \downarrow x_3) \downarrow g) \downarrow ((g \downarrow g) \downarrow (x_4 \downarrow x_4)))$, where
 $g = ((x_1 \downarrow x_1) \downarrow x_2) \downarrow (x_1 \downarrow (x_2 \downarrow x_2))$. Then, $f = \bar{f} \downarrow \bar{f}$.

4.16. $f = (g \uparrow k) \uparrow ((g \uparrow g) \uparrow (k \uparrow k))$, where $g = (x_1 \uparrow x_1) \uparrow (x_2 \uparrow x_2) \uparrow (x_5 \uparrow x_5)$
and $k = (x_3 \uparrow (x_4 \uparrow x_4)) \uparrow ((x_3 \uparrow x_3) \uparrow x_4)$

4.17. $\bar{f} = (g \downarrow k) \downarrow ((g \downarrow g) \downarrow (k \downarrow k))$, where $g = x_1 \downarrow x_2 \downarrow x_5$
and $k = ((x_3 \downarrow x_3) \downarrow x_4) \downarrow (x_3 \downarrow (x_4 \downarrow x_4))$. Then, $f = \bar{f} \downarrow \bar{f}$.

4.18. $f = \bar{x}_1(x_2 + x_3)(x_4 + x_5) + x_1(\bar{x}_2 + x_3)(\bar{x}_4 + x_5)$

4.19. $f = x_1\bar{x}_3\bar{x}_4 + x_2\bar{x}_3\bar{x}_4 + x_1x_3x_4 + x_2x_3x_4 = (x_1 + x_2)\bar{x}_3\bar{x}_4 + (x_1 + x_2)x_3x_4$
This requires 2 OR and 2 AND gates.

4.20. $f = x_1 \cdot g + \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{g}$, where $g = \bar{x}_3x_4 + x_3\bar{x}_4$

4.21. $f = g \cdot h + \bar{g} \cdot \bar{h}$, where $g = x_1x_2$ and $h = x_3 + x_4$

4.22. Let $D(0, 20)$ be 0 and $D(15, 26)$ be 1. Then decomposition yields:

$$g = x_5(\bar{x}_1 + x_2)$$

$$f = (\bar{x}_3\bar{x}_4 + x_3x_4)g + \bar{x}_3x_4\bar{g} = x_3x_4g + \bar{x}_3\bar{x}_4g + \bar{x}_3x_4\bar{g}$$

$$\text{Cost} = 9 + 18 = 27$$

The optimal SOP form is:

$$f = \bar{x}_3 x_4 \bar{x}_5 + \bar{x}_1 x_3 x_4 x_5 + x_1 \bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_3 x_4 + \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_4 x_5 + x_2 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_4 x_5 + x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5$$

$$\text{Cost} = 7 + 29 = 36$$

4.23. Note that $X \# Y = X \cdot \bar{Y}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (A \cdot B) \# C &= A \cdot B \cdot \bar{C} \\ (A \# C) \cdot (B \# C) &= A \cdot \bar{C} \cdot B \cdot \bar{C} \\ &= A \cdot B \cdot \bar{C} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (A + B) \# C &= (A + B) \cdot \bar{C} \\ &= A \cdot \bar{C} + B \cdot \bar{C} \\ (A \# C) + (B \# C) &= A \cdot \bar{C} + B \cdot \bar{C} \end{aligned}$$

4.24. The initial cover is $C^0 = \{0000, 0011, 0100, 0101, 0111, 1000, 1001, 1111\}$.

Using the *-product get the prime implicants

$$P = \{00x0, 0x00, x000, 010x, 01x1, 100x, x111\}.$$

The minimum cover is $C_{\text{minimum}} = \{00x0, 010x, 100x, x111\}$, which corresponds to $f = \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_4 + \bar{x}_1 x_2 \bar{x}_3 + x_1 \bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_3 + x_2 x_3 x_4$.

4.25. The initial cover is $C^0 = \{0x0x0, 110xx, x1101, 1001x, 11110, 01x10, 0x011\}$.

Using the *-product get the prime implicants

$$P = \{0x0x0, xx01x, x1x10, 110xx, x10x0, 11x01, x1101\}.$$

The minimum cover is $C_{\text{minimum}} = \{0x0x0, xx01x, x1x10, 110xx, x1101\}$, which corresponds to $f = \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_3 \bar{x}_5 + \bar{x}_3 x_4 + x_2 x_4 \bar{x}_5 + x_1 x_2 \bar{x}_3 + x_2 x_3 \bar{x}_4 x_5$.

4.26. The initial cover is $C^0 = \{00x0, 100x, x010, 1111, 00x1, 011x\}$.

Using the *-product get the prime implicants $P = \{00xx, 0x1x, x00x, x0x0, x111\}$.

The minimum-cost cover is $C_{\text{minimum}} = \{x00x, x0x0, x111\}$, which corresponds to $f = \bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_3 + \bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_4 + x_2 x_3 x_4$.

4.27. Expansion of $\bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_3$ gives \bar{x}_1 .

Expansion of $\bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2 x_3$ gives \bar{x}_1 .

Expansion of $\bar{x}_1 x_2 \bar{x}_3$ gives \bar{x}_1 .

Expansion of $x_1 x_2 x_3$ gives $x_2 x_3$.

The set of prime implicants comprises \bar{x}_1 and $x_2 x_3$.

4.28. Expansion of $\bar{x}_1 x_2 \bar{x}_3 x_4$ gives $x_2 \bar{x}_3 x_4$ and $\bar{x}_1 x_2 x_4$.

Expansion of $x_1 x_2 \bar{x}_3 x_4$ gives $x_2 \bar{x}_3 x_4$.

Expansion of $x_1 x_2 x_3 \bar{x}_4$ gives $x_3 \bar{x}_4$.

Expansion of $\bar{x}_1 x_2 x_3$ gives $\bar{x}_1 x_3$.

Expansion of $\bar{x}_2 x_3$ gives $\bar{x}_2 x_3$.

The set of prime implicants comprises $x_2 \bar{x}_3 x_4$, $\bar{x}_1 x_2 x_4$, $x_3 \bar{x}_4$, $\bar{x}_1 x_3$, and $\bar{x}_2 x_3$.

- 4.29. Representing both functions in the form of Karnaugh map, it is easy to show that $f = g$. The minimum cost SOP expression is

$$f = g = \bar{x}_2\bar{x}_3\bar{x}_5 + \bar{x}_2x_3\bar{x}_4 + x_1x_3x_4 + x_1x_2x_4x_5.$$

- 4.30. The cost of the circuit in Figure P4.2 is 11 gates and 30 inputs, for a total of 41. The functions implemented by the circuit can also be realized as

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \bar{x}_1\bar{x}_2\bar{x}_4 + x_2\bar{x}_3\bar{x}_4 + \bar{x}_1x_3x_4 + x_1x_4 \\ g &= \bar{x}_1\bar{x}_2\bar{x}_4 + x_2\bar{x}_3\bar{x}_4 + \bar{x}_1x_3x_4 + \bar{x}_2x_4 + x_3\bar{x}_4 \end{aligned}$$

The first three product terms in f and g are the same; therefore, they can be shared. Then, the cost of implementing f and g is 8 gates and 24 inputs, for a total of 32.

- 4.31. The cost of the circuit in Figure P4.3 is 11 gates and 26 inputs, for a total of 37. The functions implemented by the circuit can also be realized as

$$\begin{aligned} f &= (\bar{x}_2 \uparrow x_4) \uparrow (\bar{x}_1 \uparrow x_2 \uparrow x_3) \uparrow (x_1 \uparrow \bar{x}_2 \uparrow x_3) \uparrow (\bar{x}_2 \uparrow \bar{x}_3) \\ g &= (\bar{x}_2 \uparrow x_4) \uparrow (\bar{x}_1 \uparrow x_2 \uparrow x_3) \uparrow (x_1 \uparrow \bar{x}_2 \uparrow x_3) \uparrow (\bar{x}_1 \uparrow \bar{x}_1) \end{aligned}$$

The first three NAND terms in f and g are the same; therefore, they can be shared. Then, the cost of implementing f and g is 7 gates and 20 inputs, for a total of 27.