MIT Online Subject Evaluation | Guide to Reports

6.S898 Special Subject in Computer Science

Survey Window: Spring 2019 End of Term | View Current Catalog Entry | Print Report

Eligible to Total # of Respondents: Response rate: Overall rating of subject:

Respond: 8 2 3 3 6.5 out of 7

INSTRUCTORS

Quality of Teaching	1=Strongly Di Applicable (7		1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)	
NAME	Stimulated interest	Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	Helped me learn	Overall rating
Abelson, Harold, Lecturer (LEC)	6.3 (3)	6.3 (3)	5.3 (3)	6.0 (3)

SUBJECT

SUBJECT	Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)			
	AVG 1234567	RESPO	NSESMEDIAN	STDEV
Subject expectations were clearly defined	6.0	2	6.0	1.41
Subject's learning objectives were met	7.0	2	7.0	0.0
Assignments contributed to my learning	6.5	2	6.5	0.71
Grading thus far has been fair	7.0	1	7.0	0.0

Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)

AVG 1234567 RESPONSESMEDIAN STDEV

The pace of the class (content and assignments) 3.5 2 3.5 0.71

was:

	AVG	RESPONS	ESMEDIAN	STDEV
Average hours you spent per week on this subject in the classroom	2.5	2	2.5	0.71
Average hours you spent per week on this subject outside of the classroom	8.0	2	8.0	2.83

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent (7 is best)AVG1 2 3 4 5 6 7RESPONSESMEDIANSTDEVOverall rating of the subject6.526.50.71

QUESTIONS USED FOR THE HKN UNDERGROUND GUIDE

Lab hours/week	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Prep hours/week	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

(top of page)