

# Crypto - HW 4

Hagai Ben Yehuda, ID num: 305237000

Jonathan Bauch, ID num: 204761233

## 1

Listing 1: Q1 Code

```
import random
from collections import Counter

m = 90256390764228001
Zm = Integers(m)

def Q1():
    a_values = [Zm(random.randint(2, m-1)) for _ in range(100)]
    gcds = [gcd(a, m) for a in a_values]
    max_i = [max_i(a) for a in a_values]
    i_counts = Counter(max_i)
    print "number of a's s.t. gcd(a,m)!=1:", sum(1 for g in gcds if g != 1)
    print "number of a's with max_i=[5,..,1,None]:"
    for i in [5,4,3,2,1,None]:
        print '#i=%s: %s' % (i, i_counts[i])

def max_i(a):
    vals = [a^((m-1)/(2^i)) for i in range(0, 5+1)]
    triplets = zip(vals[:5], vals[1:], range(1,5+1))
    for prev, current, i in reversed(triplets):
        if current != Zm(1) and current != Zm(-1) and prev == Zm(1):
            return i
    return None

if __name__ == '__main__':
    Q1()
```

Listing 2: Q1 Output

```
number of a's s.t. gcd(a,m)!=1: 0
number of a's with max_i=[5,..,1,None]:
#i=5: 35
#i=4: 54
#i=3: 0
#i=2: 0
#i=1: 0
#i=None: 11
```

**Explanation:** Any  $a$  with an  $i$  as defined in the question is a witness that  $m$  is not prime. Define  $b := a^{(m-1)/2^i}$ .  $b$  is square root of 1 (mod  $m$ ) because:

$$b^2 = (a^{(m-1)/2^i})^2 = a^{(m-1)/2^{i-1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

But  $b \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$ , therefore the polynomial  $(x-1)^2$  has more than 2 roots in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ , which implies that  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is not a field (otherwise it would be a contradiction to the fundamental theorem of algebra). Therefore  $m$  is not a power of a prime number, and specifically, it's not a prime number.

## 2

We construct a randomized algorithm  $A'$  that operates on input  $m = pq$  as follows:

1. Draw  $y \in Z_m^*$  uniformly (we do that by drawing from  $\{1, \dots, m-1\}$  and making sure  $\gcd(y, m)$  is 1, if it isn't we can factor  $m$  using  $y$ ). .
2. Execute  $A$  on input  $y^2 \pmod{m}$  and set  $x$  to be its result (note that since  $y^2 \pmod{m}$  is a quadratic residue we will get a number and not "go catch a Stellagama stellio").
3. If  $x = \pm y \pmod{m}$  and this step was executed less than  $c$  times ( $c$  being a constant positive integer that will affect the probability of success) go to step one. If this step was executed  $c$  times, return 0.
4. Calculate  $w = xy^{-1} \pmod{m}$ .
5. Set  $k = w + 1 \pmod{m}$
6. Set  $z = \frac{k}{2}$ .
7. set  $q = \gcd(z, m)$  and return  $(q, \frac{m}{q})$

We shall now prove that  $A'$  runs in  $O(t(n))$  and finds a factorization for  $m$  with probability  $1 - \frac{1}{2^c}$ . First note that  $m$  executes steps 1 through 3 at most  $c$  time (from the restriction in step 3) and each step takes  $O(t(n))$  steps. In addition for each execution  $A'$  passes step 3 with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ , that is because  $y^2$  has four roots in  $Z_m^*$ , and only two of them are  $\pm y$ , since  $y$  was chosen uniformly, the probability that the root that  $A$  returns for  $y^2$  is  $\pm y$  is  $\frac{2}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$ . Now we prove that if  $A'$  passes step 3 it returns a correct factorization.

From the CRT we can write  $x = wy$  with

$$w = a_1(q^{-1} \pmod{p})q + a_2(p^{-1} \pmod{q})p$$

and  $a_i \in \{\pm 1\}$  (this is because as stated in the lecture, if  $x$  is a root of  $y$  then it can be written as  $ly$  with  $l$  being a root of 1 in  $m$ ), since we chose  $x$  such that  $x \neq \pm y$ , we know that  $a_1 \neq a_2$ .

Assume without loss of generality that  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_2 = -1$ , thus we have ( $w$  is from step 4)

$$w = (q^{-1} \pmod{p})q - (p^{-1} \pmod{q})p$$

Note that from fermat's little theorem we have

$$(q^{-1} \pmod{p}) = q^{p-2} + cp$$

$$(p^{-1} \pmod{q}) = p^{q-2} + rq$$

Thus

$$w = q^{p-1} - p^{q-1} \pmod{pq}$$

Note that

$$q^{p-1} + p^{q-1} = 1 \pmod{p}$$

and

$$q^{p-1} + p^{q-1} = 1 \pmod{q}$$

Hence

$$q^{p-1} + p^{q-1} \pmod{pq} = 1$$

Thus

$$w + 1 = q^{p-1} - p^{q-1} + 1 = q^{p-1} - p^{q-1} + q^{p-1} + p^{q-1} = 2q^{p-1} \pmod{pq}$$

Therefore when we calculate  $z$  in step 6 we obtain  $q^{p-1}$  and obviously  $\gcd(q^{p-1}, pq) = q$ , and thus we indeed recover  $q$  and  $p$  in step 7 as required, since we got to step 4 in  $O(t(n))$  steps and 4 through 7 also take  $O(t(n))$  steps,  $A'$  is an algorithm as request. Randomization is required in our algorithm as we must get a root that differs from the root we know not only by sign. Since we have no knowledge of what root  $A$  will return, and since we can't find another root by ourselves, we must hope  $A$  returns a different root, by choosing  $y$  randomly many times, the probability we will indeed find a root that is different not only by sign approaches 1.

### 3

Listing 3: Q3 Code

```
def Q3():
    p = random_prime(2^46, proof=True, lbound=2^45)
    q = random_prime(2^48, proof=True, lbound=2^47)
    m = p * q
    print 'p:', p
    print 'q:', q
    print 'm:', m
    cs = [1, 212321, 35432, 0, -1]
    xs = [1, 32151, 7]
    max_iterations = 5 * int(m^0.25)
    for e in [2, 1]:
        print '*' * 20
        print 'Running for f=x^%d+c' % e
        for c in cs:
            for x0 in xs:
                f = lambda x: (x^e + c) % m
                print '-x0=%06s, c=%06s:' % (x0, c),
                factor, i = rho(f, x0, m, max_iterations)
                if factor == p:
                    text = 'p'
                elif factor == q:
                    text = 'q'
                else:
                    text = 'ran out of time'
                relative_iterations = float(i) / p^0.5
                print 'factor=%s(after %s iterations=%s*sqrt(p))' % \
                    (text, i, relative_iterations)
def rho(f, x0, m, max_iterations):
```

```

x = x0
y = x0
g = 1
for i in xrange(max_iterations):
    x = f(x)
    y = f(f(y))
    g = gcd(m, y - x)
    if g > 2 and g < m:
        break
else:
    return 1, max_iterations
return g, i + 1

if __name__ == '__main__':
    Q3()

```

Listing 4: Q3 Output

```

p: 57021442427041
q: 168499908198593
m: 9608107814307764528141353313
*****
Running for f=x^2+c
- x0=      1, c=      1: factor = q (after 3022422 iterations
  =0.400254296232311 * sqrt(p))
- x0= 32151, c=      1: factor = p (after 1779380 iterations
  =0.235640320785731 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      7, c=      1: factor = p (after 7117520 iterations
  =0.942561283142924 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      1, c=212321: factor = q (after 2124493 iterations
  =0.281343058833436 * sqrt(p))
- x0= 32151, c=212321: factor = p (after 3183580 iterations
  =0.421596180943383 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      7, c=212321: factor = p (after 6019221 iterations
  =0.797115381380148 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      1, c= 35432: factor = p (after 3216320 iterations
  =0.425931884448270 * sqrt(p))
- x0= 32151, c= 35432: factor = q (after 4655137 iterations
  =0.616472016085111 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      7, c= 35432: factor = p (after 4824480 iterations
  =0.638897826672404 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      1, c=      0: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
  iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0= 32151, c=      0: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
  iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      7, c=      0: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
  iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      1, c=     -1: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
  iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0= 32151, c=     -1: factor = p (after 9469164 iterations
  =1.25398556943019 * sqrt(p))

```

```

- x0=      7, c=     -1: factor = q (after 5980593 iterations
    =0.791999939871695 * sqrt(p))
*****
Running for f=x^1+c
- x0=      1, c=      1: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
    iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0= 32151, c=      1: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
    iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      7, c=      1: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
    iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      1, c=212321: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
    iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0= 32151, c=212321: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
    iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      7, c=212321: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
    iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      1, c= 35432: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
    iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0= 32151, c= 35432: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
    iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      7, c= 35432: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
    iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      1, c=      0: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
    iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0= 32151, c=      0: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
    iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      7, c=      0: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
    iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      1, c=     -1: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
    iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0= 32151, c=     -1: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
    iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))
- x0=      7, c=     -1: factor = ran out of time (after 49502765
    iterations=6.55556847013041 * sqrt(p))

```

### 3.a

Based on the results of this small scale experiment we can recommend not to choose  $c = 0$  or  $x_0 = 1, c = -1$  as the algorithm would fail to factor a big number as in our case (in reasonable time). Other than that, for all the other values we tried, the time it took to factor  $m$  was about  $[0.3, 3] \cdot \sqrt{p}$  iterations, which is the expected average case for this algorithm. Without further investigation we cannot recommend particular values which would give good results for any values of  $m$ .

### 3.b

With the linear function  $f(x) = x + c$  none of the program executions terminated (in the time frame of  $5m^{\frac{1}{4}}$  iterations). This is because the function  $f$  is indeed not random at all. Observe that  $f^k(x) = x + k \cdot c$ . The algorithm ends when:

$$\begin{aligned} & \gcd(m, y - x) \neq 1 \\ \iff & \gcd(m, f^{2k}(x_0) - f^k(x_0)) \neq 1 \\ \iff & \gcd(m, x_0 + 2kc - x_0 - kc) \neq 1 \\ \iff & \gcd(m, kc) \neq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it will halt only after  $\min\{p, q\}$  steps (unless  $c \mid m$ , which is unlikely).

## 4

Listing 5: Q4 Code

```
import string
TEXT = 'THESE_VIOLENT_DELIGHTS_HAVE_VIOLENT_ENDS'

def Q4():
    p = get_prime(min_digits=82)
    q = get_prime(min_digits=77)
    N = p * q
    phi = (p-1) * (q-1)
    while True:
        e = randint(2, phi - 1)
        if gcd(e, phi) == 1:
            break
    d = inverse_mod(e, phi)
    print 'N: ', N
    print 'p: ', p
    print 'q: ', q
    print 'e: ', e
    print 'd: ', d
    print 'p-1: ', factor(p-1)
    print 'q-1: ', factor(q-1)
    print 'Message.....: ', TEXT
    encoded = encode(TEXT)
    print 'Encoded_message: ', encoded
    encrypted = encrypt(encoded, N, e)
    print 'Encrypted.....: ', encrypted
    decrypted = decrypt(encrypted, N, d)
    print 'Decrypted.....: ', decrypted
    decoded = decode(decrypted)
    print 'Decoded.....: ', TEXT

def get_prime(min_digits):
```

```

while True:
    r = random_prime(10^(min_digits+1),
                     proof=True,
                     lbound=10^(min_digits))

    s = 2 * r + 1
    if is_prime(s):
        return s

def encode(s):
    encoded = 0
    for c in s:
        if c == '_':
            n = 0
        elif c in string.ascii_uppercase:
            n = ord(c) - ord('A') + 1
        else:
            raise ValueError('Unexpected_char')
        encoded += n
    encoded *= 100
    encoded //= 100
    return encoded

def decode(number):
    chars = []
    while number > 0:
        n = number % 100
        if n == 0:
            chars.append('_')
        elif 1 <= n <= 26:
            chars.append(chr(n - 1 + ord('A')))
        else:
            raise ValueError('Unexpected_number')
        number //= 100
    return ''.join(chars)

def encrypt(message, N, e):
    return int(pow(message, e, N))

def decrypt(cipher, N, d):
    return int(pow(cipher, d, N))

if __name__ == '__main__':
    Q4()

```

N: 51527542493862786303577465885471668548570396233720476495170277840423812  
80905630205152564389943779044693022801247757232332025764038181429002042804  
9240861879737809  
p: 30718380893785475171199444438743005772348145749926030804306936327721256  
660504967967  
1000  
000000000000  
q: 16774172659694814042495173722936995652294507327634958574531075569930797  
96218127  
1000  
00  
e: 19288678490240985222124141066365571492349497588991474234250948705183089  
89163627913086030523204100406716339794850751959363884306248817301813209447  
6071978848497353  
d: 26261901487479055314548622968128005203023631558531980563573243400081398  
79144886513911331155009863180672085632900508319546043091327155014753280859  
7681211927132209  
p-1: 2 \* 15359190446892737585599722219371502886174072874963015402153468163  
860628330252483983  
q-1: 2 \* 83870863298474070212475868614684978261472536638174792872655377849  
6539898109063

Message : THESE VIOLENT DELIGHTS HAVE VIOLENT ENDS  
Encoded message: 200805190500220915120514200004051209070820190008012205002  
20915120514200005140419  
Encrypted : 380493954112487306577375511681836465585683748684920349054  
58073367607316289348277654570067097992487693941756934915603229447662661282  
667525063929665910767304016545  
Decrypted : 200805190500220915120514200004051209070820190008012205002  
20915120514200005140419  
Decoded : THESE VIOLENT DELIGHTS HAVE VIOLENT ENDS

4.a

1. Get a random prime number  $r$  of the requested size,
2. Calculate  $s = 2r + 1$
3. Check if  $s$  is also a prime:  
If it is - return it.  
Otherwise go the step 1.

4.b

8



## 5

We construct a polytime algorithm  $A'$  that on input  $p, g, g^x$  does the following:

- Draw  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  uniformly.
- Execute  $A$  on  $p, g, g^{x+y}$  (note that  $g^{x+y} = g^x g^y$ ), set  $z$  to be the result.
- If  $g^z = g^{x+y}$  return  $z - y$ , else if this is the 700'th time return 0, else go to the first step.

First note that this algorithm is polynomial as it executes  $A$  at most 700 times, and  $A$  is polynomial. For each iteration the probability of landing within the subset of  $x$ 's for which  $A$  finds an inverse is  $\frac{1}{1000}$  as the sum of a uniform random variable and a constant is uniform. Hence with probability  $\frac{1}{1000}$  we obtain the correct  $z$  in the last step, note that

$$g^{z-y} = g^z g^{-y} = g^{x+y} g^{-y} = g^x$$

Thus  $z - y$  is a solution to the DL problem. The last step in  $A$  fails only if  $x + y$  is not inside the set for which  $A$  solves the DL problem, this probability is  $\frac{1}{1000}$  because  $x + y$  distributes uniformly over  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ .

Because  $A'$  makes 700 tries before returning with a false result, the probability that  $A'$  fails is the probability that  $A$  fails at each attempt which is  $(1 - \frac{1}{1000})^{700} < \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus  $A'$  is an algorithm as requested.

## 6

### 6.a

We construct a the decryption function:

$$Dec(c_1, c_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c_1^x = c_2 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

If  $b = 0$ , then  $c_2 = h^y = g^{xy} = c_1^x$ , thus if  $b = 0$   $Dec$  returns the correct result.

If  $b = 1$  then given  $z$  there is exactly one value of  $y$  for which  $g^y = g^{zx}$  since  $g$  is a multiplicative generator, if  $g^y = g^{zx}$  then  $y = zx \pmod{p-1}$ , the only case in which we decrypt a 1 to 0 is if  $y = xz$  which happens with probability at most  $\frac{1}{p-1}$ . Thus correct and efficient decryption is possible except for a negligible probability.

### 6.b

Assume that this encryption scheme is not  $\epsilon CPA$  secure, then there is a polynomial adversary  $A$  that wins the adversarial indistinguishability test with probability  $> \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$ . We construct a polynomial time adversary  $A'$  that shows DDH is not hard: Given input  $(g^x, g^y, g^z)$  our algorithm does the following:

- Supply  $A$  with  $(p, g, g^x)$ .
- Get the two messages from  $A$  assume WLOG  $A$  replays with  $m_0 = 0, m_1 = 1$  (if this is not the case we can construct an algorithm  $B$  that is based on  $A$  and wins with the same probability, since if the messages are in a different order  $B$  can change the order and if both messages have the same value  $A$  can only guess which bit was chosen as both will be encrypted to the same value and  $B$  can supply us with two messages and also guess and win with the same probability).
- Supply  $A$  with  $(g^y, g^z)$ , if  $A$  returns 1 return 0, else return 1.

We shall now show that  $A'$  distinguishes  $(g^x, g^y, g^z)$  from  $(g^x, g^y, g^{xy})$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pr_{x,y \leftarrow U_{\mathbb{Z}^*_p}, z=xy} (A'(g^x, g^y, g^z) = 1) - \Pr_{x,y,z \leftarrow U_{\mathbb{Z}^*_p}} (A'(g^x, g^y, g^z) = 1) \\
&= \Pr(A'(g^x, g^y, g^z) = 1 | x, y \leftarrow U_{\mathbb{Z}^*_p}, z = xy) - \Pr(A'(g^x, g^y, g^z) = 1 | x, y, z \leftarrow U_{\mathbb{Z}^*_p}) \\
&= \Pr(A \text{ wins} | b = 1) - \Pr(A \text{ loses} | b = 0) \\
&= 2[\Pr(A \text{ wins} \cap b = 1) - \Pr(A \text{ loses} \cap b = 0)] \\
&= 2[\Pr(A \text{ wins} \cap b = 1) - \Pr(b = 0) + \Pr(A \text{ wins} \cap b = 0)] \\
&= 2[\Pr(A \text{ wins}) - \Pr(b = 0)] \\
&\geq 2\left[\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon - \frac{1}{2}\right] = 2\epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in our calculation we refer to the probability that  $x, y, z$  are drawn uniformly or  $z = xy$  (this is  $b$  as defined in the adversarial indistinguishability test), each case has probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  as we are in a distinguisher setup and thus are supplied with a sample from each distribution with equal probability (otherwise the streams are distinguishable by always saying that the current input originated from the stream with higher probability to be sampled). Thus  $A'$  is a distinguisher as required.

## 7

Let  $i \leftarrow U_t$  be the random index  $A_1$  chooses.  $b \leftarrow U_{0,1}$ .  $c^i = E_{pk}(m_b^i)$ . Denote  $A(x)$  the answer of an adversary  $A$ , given a cipher  $x$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \\
& (\epsilon\text{-CPA secure}) \geq \Pr[A_1 \text{ wins}] \\
& (\text{by definition}) = \Pr[A_1(c^i) = b] \\
& (\text{total probability}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \in \{0,1\}} \Pr[A_1(c^i) = d | b = d] \\
& (\text{total probability}) = \frac{1}{2t} \sum_{d \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{k=1}^t \Pr[A_1(c^i) = d | b = d \wedge i = k] \\
& (\text{by definition}) = \frac{1}{2t} \sum_{d \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{k=1}^t \Pr[A_1(E_{pk}(m_d^k)) = d | b = d \wedge i = k] \\
& (\text{by definition}) = \frac{1}{2t} \sum_{d \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{k=1}^t \Pr[A_{mult}(E_{pk}(m_0^1, \dots, m_0^{k-1}, m_d^k, m_1^{k+1}, \dots, m_1^t)) = d] \\
& (\text{sum reorder}) = \frac{1}{2t} \left( \overbrace{\sum_{d \in \{0,1\}} \Pr[A_{mult}(E_{pk}(m_d^1, \dots, m_d^t)) = d]}^{=2 \cdot \Pr[A_{mult} \text{ wins}]} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \overbrace{\sum_{d \in \{0,1\}} \Pr[A_{mult}(E_{pk}(m_0^1, \dots, m_0^k, m_1^{k+1}, \dots, m_1^t)) = d]}^{=1} \right) \\
& (\text{simplification}) = \frac{1}{2t} \left( 2 \cdot \Pr[A_{mult} \text{ wins}] + t - 1 \right) \\
& (\text{simplification}) = \frac{1}{t} \Pr[A_{mult} \text{ wins}] + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2t}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$\Pr[A_{mult} \text{ wins}] \leq t\left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2t}\right) = \frac{1}{2} + t \cdot \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_t \quad \square$$

## 8

### 8.a

First, note that if  $p, q$  are  $n$  bit numbers, then  $m = pq$  has at most  $2n = O(n)$  bits. Computing  $a^{2^t}$  using iterated squaring involves  $t$  steps of (modular) squaring a number in the range  $[0, m - 1]$ :

$$a_0 = a, a_1 = a_0^2 = a^2, a_2 = a_1^2 = a^{2^2}, a_3 = a_2^2 = a^{2^3}, \dots, a_t = a_{t-1}^2 = a^{2^t}$$

Therefore the number of modular multiplications of  $O(n)$  bit numbers is exactly  $t$ .

### 8.b

Knowing the factorization of  $m$  allows us to compute  $\phi(m) = (p-1)(q-1)$ . Then, by Euler's theorem we know that  $a^{2^t} \equiv a^{2^t \bmod \phi(m)} \pmod{m}$ . Therefore to compute  $a^{2^t} \pmod{m}$  we need to calculate:

$$a_0 = a, a_1 = a_0^2 = a^2, \dots, a_k = a_{k-1}^2 = a^{2^k}$$

where  $k$  is the number of bits of  $2^t \bmod \phi(m)$ . afterwards we multiply the elements according to the binary representation of  $\phi(m)$ .  $\phi(m)$  can have no more than  $2n$  bits, therefore we need to perform at most  $k + k = 2k \leq 4n$  modular multiplications. Note that if  $2^t < \phi(m)$  then we resort to the first method and perform exactly  $t$  multiplication.

So to summarize, we perform no more than  $\min\{t, 4n\}$  multiplications.