CS7643: Deep Learning Fall 2020 HW4 Solutions

Ruize Yang October 22, 2020

```
The is input, ye is output.

The water ye = he, so the input hidden state would be indicator of #1's at last step, and output hidden state would be the #1 indicator of #1's at any output step, some as ye.

Relationship of Me, he, he, ht = ye:

he, Me ht /ye.

1 0 So he = ht XOR Me. If we are AND:

1 0 1 he = (he, OR Me) - (he, AND Me).

0 1 1 (ht = min(he, +Me, 1) - max(he, +Me-1, 0)

ht Me min(he, +Me, 1) (OR) max(he, +Me-1, 0) (AND). ht = OR AND = ye.

1 0 1 0 1 0 1

0 1 0 1

0 1 0 1

0 1 0 0 0
```

```
Cre is the parity of string, record but At is computed with ht-1,
So mate ht = Ct.
   he = O+ · tanh (C+), tanh (C+) = C+, so matter O+ = 1.
   Ot = o (Wo [he-1 xe] + bo), let Wo=[0,0], bo=1,0
      => 0+=0(bo)=1.
Ct = N+ XOR Ct = ( X+ 1 Cen) V ( Tel Cen). , Ct = hel
 Matte GOO A. Ct-1 + it. Ct = (xe / Ct-1) V (xe/ Ct-1):
    There is a @ Cty at both side, so fe = Nt.
     fe = O(W+ They x=JT+b+), let W+=[0,-1], b+=1.
      fe = 0 (1- N+) = x+
    Matte one of it and Ct x, the other Con:
      it = O(WiThon (Xe) T+bi), let Wi = [0,1], bi = 0.
        it = 0 (x+) = x+
     Ct = tanh (Wc Then x=]T+bc), let Wc=[-1,0], bc=1.
          Ce = tonh ( Co 1 - ht-1) = ht-1 = Ct-1
⇒ W+ = [0, -1], b+= 1, Wi = [0, 1], b; =0, Wc = [-1,0]. bc=1
   No = [010]. bo=1.
```

```
3. At time to Be = (\langle y', s' \rangle \cdots \langle y^b, s^b \rangle), bester = \langle y', s' \rangle.

For any \langle y', s' \rangle \in \text{Be}, s' \in s'. s' = \sum_{j=1}^{t} |g_j p(y'_j | x, y'_{ej}).

At next step, s'_{i=1} = \sum_{j=1}^{t+1} |g_j p(y'_j | x, y'_{ej})

= s' + |g_j p(y'_{i+1} | x, y'_{et+1}).

As p is a probability, p \in [c_{0,1}], |g_j p \in c_{0,1}.

So s'_{eq_j} \in s' \leq s', any father Arther extension of y'_i in Be will not give a score higher than s'_i s'_i, \langle y'_i, s'_i \rangle is the highest-probability one.
```

```
4. Assume W has a linearly independent eigenvectors.

The eigendecomposition of W is W = QAQ^{-1}, W^{T} = (QAQ^{-1})^{T}d.

(Q^{-1})^{T} = (QT)^{-1}, A^{T} = A, \Rightarrow W^{T} = (QT)^{-1}AQ^{T}.

In the W = W^{T}h_{t-1}, e = (W^{T})^{t}h_{0} = (Q^{T})^{-1}AQ^{T}h_{0}.

= (Q^{T})^{-1}A^{t}Q^{T}h_{0}.

A is a diagonal matrix of eigenvalues P_{1}, ... in of W.

If P(W) < 1, then when t \Rightarrow \infty, P(W)^{t} \Rightarrow 0.

Then every entry on the diagonal of H^{t} \Rightarrow 0.

Then P(W) > 1, then when P(W) = 0, the gradient vanish.

If P(W) > 1, then when P(W) = 0, the gradient vanish.

Out least one entry on the diagonal of P(W) = 0.

Out least one entry on the diagonal of P(W) = 0.

Hundow, so every entry of P(W) = 0.

Number, so every entry of P(W) = 0.
```

Problem 5a

Problem 5b

(b)
$$Agg(H_{i_{\tau}}) = [0, b \ 0, 2 \ 0, 2] \begin{bmatrix} f([-1, 1]) \\ f([0, -1]) \\ f([1, 0]) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= [0, b, 0, 2, 0, 2] \begin{bmatrix} -2 \ 2 \\ 0 \ -2 \end{bmatrix} = [-0.8 \ 0.8].$$

$$h_{i_{\tau}}^{t+1} = W(h_{i_{\tau}}^{t})^{T} + wax f Agg(H_{i_{\tau}}), 0]$$

$$= [1, 1] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + wax f [-0.8, 0.8], 0]$$

$$= [-0.0, 0.8].$$

Problem 5c



Problem 5d

LATING "TOD. II TOAT TO SUNTENING OF IN TO A PORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
(d) 10 Use attention as the aggregation, which is a
weighted sum of all elements in the.
$H_{h_{i}}^{t} = q(h_{i}^{t}, Agg(f(i)(h_{i}^{t})))$
= > sofeman (Qthit, kthit). Vthit.
fij (hit) = Vthit, then summed over their weights softmax (athitethit).

Problem 5e

(C) Transformer is a special case of GNN, then for a graph with n words representing a sentence of n words, the edges between words are ~ n². In very long term dependency, n is large, computation on n² dependencies is difficult.

The author develop a multimodal explanation system, for visual question answering task and activity recognition task. The system answers the question in answering model, then gives textual explanation and points out the corresponding area in the image in multimodal explanation model, and is the first model that does both. The model is trained on image with human annotation of descriptions and explanations. Their model outperforms several baselines, and using explanations and both textual and visual evidence increase model performance.

One question is that in training the model on different parts of dataset, the features of those data could influence the model performance, as the metrics is similarity between ground truth and output sentences. For example, a model trained on explanation learns more about the structure of explanation than a model trained on description does, so it is not guaranteed that the better performance is from learning to explain.

The authors can also use accuracy on the tasks to compare the models, instead of using similarity of ground truth and output, so that only the explanation power of models are compared. The the parameters of answering model are frozen on VQA task, they can also be trained jointly.