

Robot Mapping

Introduction to Robot Mapping

Gian Diego Tipaldi, Wolfram Burgard

What is Robot Mapping?

- **Robot** – a device, that moves through the environment
- **Mapping** – modeling the environment

Related Terms

State
Estimation

Localization

Mapping

SLAM

Navigation


Motion
Planning

What is SLAM?

- Computing the robot's poses and the map of the environment at the same time
- **Localization:** estimating the robot's location
- **Mapping:** building a map
- **SLAM:** building a map and localizing the robot simultaneously


Localization Example

- Estimate the robot's poses given landmarks




Mapping Example

- Estimate the landmarks given the robot's poses



SLAM Example

- Estimate the robot's poses and the landmarks at the same time




The SLAM Problem

- SLAM is a **chicken-or-egg** problem:
 - a map is needed for localization and
 - a pose estimate is needed for mapping



SLAM is Relevant

- It is considered a fundamental problem for truly autonomous robots
- SLAM is the basis for most navigation systems




SLAM Applications

- SLAM is central to a range of indoor, outdoor, air and underwater applications for both manned and autonomous vehicles.

Examples:

- At home: vacuum cleaner, lawn mower
- Air: surveillance with unmanned air vehicles
- Underwater: reef monitoring
- Underground: exploration of mines
- Space: terrain mapping for localization

SLAM Applications





Courtesy: Evolution Robotics, H. Durrant-Whyte, NASA, S. Thrun

SLAM Showcase – Mint



Courtesy: Evolution Robotics (now iRobot)

Mapping Freiburg CS Campus



Definition of the SLAM Problem

Given

- The robot's controls

$$u_{1:T} = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_T\}$$

- Observations

$$z_{1:T} = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_T\}$$

Wanted

- Map of the environment

$$m$$

- Path of the robot

$$x_{0:T} = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T\}$$

Probabilistic Approaches


- Uncertainty in the robot's motions and observations
- Use the probability theory to explicitly represent the uncertainty

用概率可以防止噪音的影响。
(假设噪音有一个阈值)




x

“The robot is
exactly here”




$p(x)$




“The robot is
somewhere here”

In the Probabilistic World

Estimate the robot's path and the map



Graphical Model



$$p(x_{0:T}, m \mid z_{1:T}, u_{1:T})$$

Full SLAM vs. Online SLAM


- Full SLAM estimates the entire path

$$p(x_{0:T}, m \mid z_{1:T}, u_{1:T})$$

- Online SLAM seeks to recover only the most recent pose

$$p(x_t, m \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t})$$

Graphical Model of Online SLAM



$$p(x_{t+1}, m \mid z_{1:t+1}, u_{1:t+1})$$


Online SLAM

- Online SLAM means marginalizing out the previous poses

$$p(x_t, m \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t}) = \int \dots \int p(x_{0:t}, m \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t}) dx_{t-1} \dots dx_0$$

- Integrals are typically solved recursively, one at a time


Graphical Model of Online SLAM



$$p(x_{t+1}, m \mid z_{1:t+1}, u_{1:t+1}) = \int \dots \int p(x_{0:t+1}, m \mid z_{1:t+1}, u_{1:t+1}) dx_t \dots dx_0$$

Why is SLAM a Hard Problem?


- # 1. Robot path and map are both **unknown**



- ## 2. Map and pose estimates correlated



Why is SLAM a Hard Problem?

- The **mapping between observations and the map is unknown**
- Picking **wrong** data associations can have **catastrophic** consequences (divergence)




Taxonomy of the SLAM Problem

Volumetric vs. feature-based SLAM




Courtesy: D. Hähnel



Courtesy: E. Nebot


Taxonomy of the SLAM Problem

Topologic vs. geometric maps



Taxonomy of the SLAM Problem

Known vs. unknown correspondence





Taxonomy of the SLAM Problem

Static vs. dynamic environments



Taxonomy of the SLAM Problem

Small vs. large uncertainty



Taxonomy of the SLAM Problem

Active vs. passive SLAM




Image courtesy by Petter Duvander

Taxonomy of the SLAM Problem

Any-time and any-space SLAM



Taxonomy of the SLAM Problem

Single-robot vs. multi-robot SLAM



Approaches to SLAM

- Large variety of different SLAM approaches have been proposed
- Most robotics conferences dedicate multiple tracks to SLAM
- The majority of techniques uses probabilistic concepts
- History of SLAM dates back to the mid-eighties
- Related problems in geodesy and photogrammetry

SLAM History by Durrant-Whyte

- 1985/86: Smith et al. and Durrant-Whyte describe geometric uncertainty and relationships between features or landmarks
- 1986: Discussions at ICRA on how to solve the SLAM problem followed by the key paper by Smith, Self and Cheeseman
- 1990-95: Kalman-filter based approaches
- 1995: SLAM acronym coined at ISRR'95
- 1995-1999: Convergence proofs & first demonstrations of real systems
- 2000: Wide interest in SLAM started


Three Main Paradigms

Kalman
filter

Particle
filter

Graph-
based

Motion and Observation Model



Motion Model


- The motion model describes the relative motion of the robot

$$p(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, u_t)$$

distribution new pose given old pose control

Motion Model Examples

- Gaussian model



- Non-Gaussian model



More on Motion Models

- Course: Introduction to Mobile Robotics, Chapter 6
- Thrun et al. "Probabilistic Robotics", Chapter 5

Observation Model


- The observation or sensor model relates measurements with the robot's pose

$$p(z_t \mid x_t)$$


distribution observation given pose

Observation Model Examples

- Gaussian model



- Non-Gaussian model



More on Observation Models

- Course: Introduction to Mobile Robotics, Chapter 7
- Thrun et al. "Probabilistic Robotics", Chapter 6

Summary

- Mapping is the task of modeling the environment
- Localization means estimating the robot's pose
- SLAM = simultaneous localization and mapping
- Full SLAM vs. Online SLAM
- Rich taxonomy of the SLAM problem

Literature

SLAM overview

- Springer “Handbook on Robotics”, Chapter on Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (subsection 1 & 2)

On motion and observation models

- Thrun et al. “Probabilistic Robotics”, Chapters 5 & 6
- Course: Introduction to Mobile Robotics, Chapters 6 & 7

Slide Information

- These slides have been created by Cyrill Stachniss as part of the robot mapping course taught in 2012/13 and 2013/14.
- I tried to acknowledge all people that contributed image or video material. In case I missed something, please let me know. If you adapt this course material, please make sure you keep the acknowledgements.
- Feel free to use and change the slides. If you use them, I would appreciate an acknowledgement as well. To satisfy my own curiosity, I appreciate a short email notice in case you use the material in your course.
- My video recordings are available through YouTube:
http://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLgnQpQtFTOGQrZ4O5QzbIHgl3b1JHimN_&feature=g-list

Cyrill Stachniss, 2014
cyrill.stachniss@igg.uni-
bonn.de₄₇