the Republic of Yemen

Commitment to Human Capital - Scorecard

This scorecard presents a snapshot of the country's commitment to the human capital agenda and presents information on how the World Bank Group is supporting the government in the social sectors.

In the Republic of Yemen the productivity as a future worker of a child born today is 37 percent as much as it could be. The HCI has three components: survival to age 5, health, and education. For more information on human capital outcomes and the HCI, please see the country twopager on www.worldbank.org/humancapitalproject

KEY OUTCOME AND OUTPUT INDICATORS TO MONITOR


- Women, Business and the Law Index. In the Republic of Yemen, data on the women, business and the law index do not exist. The average for the country's region is 49 and the average for its income group is 49.
- Net Enrolment Rate in Secondary School. In the Republic of Yemen, 40 percent of girls of secondary-school age are enrolled in secondary school. This is lower than both the average for its region (69) and the average for its income group (58).
- Total Fertility Rate. In the Republic of Yemen, the total fertility rate is 3.9. This is higher than both the average for its region (2.6) and the average for its income group (3.1).
- Adolescent Fertility Rate. In the Republic of Yemen, there are 60 births per 1,000 women ages 15-19. This is higher than both the average for its region (23) and the average for its income group (55).
- Contraceptive Prevalence. In the Republic of Yemen, 34 percent of women ages 15-49 uses some form of contraceptive method. This is lower than both the average for its region (51) and the average for its income group (49).
- Social Protection Coverage In the Republic of Yemen, 21 percent of the population is covered by social safety net programs. This is lower than both the average for its region (44) and the average for its income group (35).
- Open Defecation. In the Republic of Yemen, 20 percent of the population practices open defecation. This is higher than both the average for its region (3) and the average for its income group (13).

DOMESTIC RESOURCE USE AND MOBILIZATION

the Republic of Yemen is not part of a network of countries committed to the Human Capital agenda.


- Health Spending. The Republic of Yemen spends **0** percent of its government budget on health. This is lower than both the regional average (8.3) and the average for its income group (7.7).
- Education Spending. The Republic of Yemen spends 12.5 percent of its government budget on education. This is lower than both the regional average (13.9) and the average for its income group (15.7).
- Social Protection Spending. In the Republic of Yemen, data on social protection spending do not exist. The average for the country's region is 5.2 percent and for its income group is 9.3 percent.

Key Indicators on Human Capital



- Large circle=Republic of Yemen; small circles=other countries. Vertical lines separate terciles of the distribution.
- Pink/light blue=1st tercile; orange/gray=2nd tercile; green/blue=3rd tercile

Government Expenditure in the Social Secto



Domestic Resource Mobilization and GDP



- A selection of countries are displayed. - Countries with DRM greater than 25% of GDP are excluded.

- OTHER RELEVANT INDICATORS
- Efficiency of Spending. Given the lack of data, it is not possible to predict if the HCI in the Republic of Yemen is higher or lower than what would be predicted for its level of per capita spending.
- Building Human Capital. The Country Policy and Institutional Assesment rating for building human resources in the Republic of Yemen is 2 (1 is low and 6 is high). This is lower than both the regional average (2.8) and the average for its income group (3.6). This indicator assesses the national policies and public and private sector service delivery that affect access to and quality of health and education services.

- **Identification** In the Republic of Yemen, **50.4 percent** of the population does not have proof of identity. This is higher than both the regional average (20.4) and the average for its income group (20.3).
- Statistical Data on Human Capital In the Republic of Yemen, the latest available data on stunting rates is from 2013. Similarly, the last available data point on Harmonized Learning Outcomes is from 2011.

 Domestic Resource Mobilization. In the Republic of Yemen, data on domestic resource mobilization do not exist. The average for the country's region is 13.7 percent and for its income group is 15.6 percent.

HOW IS THE WORLD BANK SUPPORTING THE EFFORT?

The following table summarizes the World Bank's investments in Human Development for Ethiopia, including measures of volume, performance, and other relevant indicators.

World Bank Investments in HD

HD Portfolio
Percentage of total 87 0 39 48 Diff. with perc. for regional average +59 -6 +29 +36 Diff. with perc. for income group avg +69 -5 +32 +42 HD FY 20 Lending Program USD (million) 0 0 0 0 Percentage of total Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg HD Performance Average Development Outcome (DO) 4 . 4 5 Difference with DO for region +0.93 . +0.71 +1.44 Difference with DO for income group +1.04 . +0.52 +1.46 Perc. Satisfactory DO 100 . 100 100 Average Implementation Progress (IP) 4 . 4 4 Difference with IP for income group +0.76 . +0.93
Diff. with perc. for regional average +59 -6 +29 +36 Diff. with perc. for income group avg +69 -5 +32 +42 HD FY 20 Lending Program USD (million) 0 0 0 0 Percentage of total Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg HD Performance Average Development Outcome (DO) 4 . 4 5 Difference with DO for region +0.93 . +0.71 +1.44 Difference with DO for income group +1.04 . +0.52 +1.46 Perc. Satisfactory DO 100 . 100 100 Average Implementation Progress (IP) 4 . 4 4 Difference with IP for region +0.76 . +0.93 +0.75 Difference with IP for income group +0.77 . <td< td=""></td<>
Diff. with perc. for income group avg +69 -5 +32 +42 HD FY 20 Lending Program USD (million) 0 0 0 0 Percentage of total Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg HD Performance Average Development Outcome (DO) 4 . 4 5 Difference with DO for region +0.93 . +0.71 +1.44 Difference with DO for income group +1.04 . +0.52 +1.46 Perc. Satisfactory DO 100 . 100 100 100 Average Implementation Progress (IP) 4 . 4 4 4 Difference with IP for region +0.76 . +0.93 +0.75 Difference with IP for income group +0.77 . +0.73 +0.61 Perc. Satisfactory IP 100 100
HD FY 20 Lending Program
USD (million) 0 0 0 0 Percentage of total Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg HD Performance Average Development Outcome (DO) 4 . 4 5 Difference with DO for region +0.93 . +0.71 +1.44 Difference with DO for income group +1.04 . +0.52 +1.46 Perc. Satisfactory DO 100 . 100 100 100 Average Implementation Progress (IP) 4 . 4 4 4 Difference with IP for region +0.76 . +0.93 +0.75 Difference with IP for income group +0.77 . +0.73 +0.61 Perc. Satisfactory IP 100 100 . 100
Percentage of total
Diff. with perc. for regional average
Diff. with perc. for income group avg HD Performance Average Development Outcome (DO) 4 . 4 5 Difference with DO for region +0.93 . +0.71 +1.44 Difference with DO for income group +1.04 . +0.52 +1.46 Perc. Satisfactory DO 100 . 100 100 Average Implementation Progress (IP) 4 . 4 4 Difference with IP for region +0.76 . +0.93 +0.75 Difference with IP for income group +0.77 . +0.73 +0.61 Perc. Satisfactory IP 100 100 . 100
HD Performance Average Development Outcome (DO) 4 . 4 5 Difference with DO for region +0.93 . +0.71 +1.44 Difference with DO for income group +1.04 . +0.52 +1.46 Perc. Satisfactory DO 100 . 100 100 Average Implementation Progress (IP) 4 . 4 4 Difference with IP for region +0.76 . +0.93 +0.75 Difference with IP for income group +0.77 . +0.73 +0.61 Perc. Satisfactory IP 100 100 . 100
Average Development Outcome (DO) 4 . 4 5 Difference with DO for region +0.93 . +0.71 +1.44 Difference with DO for income group +1.04 . +0.52 +1.46 Perc. Satisfactory DO 100 . 100 100 Average Implementation Progress (IP) 4 . 4 4 Difference with IP for region +0.76 . +0.93 +0.75 Difference with IP for income group +0.77 . +0.73 +0.61 Perc. Satisfactory IP 100 100 . 100
Difference with DO for region +0.93 . +0.71 +1.44 Difference with DO for income group +1.04 . +0.52 +1.46 Perc. Satisfactory DO 100 . 100 100 Average Implementation Progress (IP) 4 . 4 4 Difference with IP for region +0.76 . +0.93 +0.75 Difference with IP for income group +0.77 . +0.73 +0.61 Perc. Satisfactory IP 100 100 . 100
Difference with DO for income group +1.04 . +0.52 +1.46 Perc. Satisfactory DO 100 . 100 100 Average Implementation Progress (IP) 4 . 4 4 Difference with IP for region +0.76 . +0.93 +0.75 Difference with IP for income group +0.77 . +0.73 +0.61 Perc. Satisfactory IP 100 100 . 100
Perc. Satisfactory DO 100 . 100 100 Average Implementation Progress (IP) 4 . 4 4 Difference with IP for region +0.76 . +0.93 +0.75 Difference with IP for income group +0.77 . +0.73 +0.61 Perc. Satisfactory IP 100 100 . 100
Average Implementation Progress (IP) 4 . 4 4 Difference with IP for region +0.76 . +0.93 +0.75 Difference with IP for income group +0.77 . +0.73 +0.61 Perc. Satisfactory IP 100 100 . 100
$\begin{array}{cccccc} \text{Difference with IP for region} & +0.76 & . & +0.93 & +0.75 \\ \text{Difference with IP for income group} & +0.77 & . & +0.73 & +0.61 \\ \text{Perc. Satisfactory IP} & 100 & 100 & . & 100 \\ \end{array}$
Difference with IP for income group +0.77 . +0.73 +0.61 Perc. Satisfactory IP 100 100 . 100
Perc. Satisfactory IP 100 100 . 100
Dishursement ratio (DR) 284 141 427
Disburschicht (auf (DR) 204 . 141 427
Difference with DR for region +219 . +73 +354
Difference with DR for income group +247 . +108 +384
Other indicators
Average project size (PS) (USD mill.) 762 0 683 840
Difference with PS for region +540 -149 +509 +650
Difference with PS for income group +648 -76 +588 +743
Perc. of portfolio that is co-TTL'd (CTT) 0 . 100 0
Diff. with CTT for region (perc. points) -22 . +78 -22
Diff. with CTT income group (perc. points) -22 . +79 -25

Note: a) Pink indicates that the value is within the first tercile of the distribution for all the countries. Orange indicates that the value is is within the second tercile. Green indicates that it is within the third tercile. b) FY20 lending program includes only projects rated A, B and unrated. c) DO and IP are on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 is Unsatisfactory and 5 is Highly Satisfactory. d) Data as of July 15, 2019.

This scorecard is intended to be a conversation starter on where a country is on various aspects of human capital development and the state of the World Bank's support in the social sectors. The choice of indicators is, to a large extent, driven by what is most relevant for the two Regions with the lowest HCI scores (Africa and South Asia). As such, not all the indicators included here are relevant for each country. The scorecard should be complemented with other more context specific indicators.

The sources of data for the different indicators include: the Human Capital Project, the World Development Indicators, and the World Bank's internal system to monitor investments.

For more information, please contact the Human Capital Project team: HCP_Team@worldbank.org