# India

## Commitment to Human Capital - Scorecard

This scorecard presents a snapshot of the country's commitment to the human capital agenda and presents information on how the World Bank Group is supporting the government in the social sectors.

In India the productivity as a future worker of a child born today is **44 percent** as much as it could be. The HCI has three components: survival to age 5, health, and education. For more information on human capital outcomes and the HCI, please see the country two-pager on <a href="https://www.worldbank.org/humancapitalproject">www.worldbank.org/humancapitalproject</a>


#### KEY OUTCOME AND OUTPUT INDICATORS TO MONITOR

- Women, Business and the Law Index. This index measures gender equality in the law (how the economic decisions women make are affected by the law), with a larger value showing higher gender equality. In India, the value is 71 out of 100. This is higher than both the average for its region (58) and the average for its income group (69).
- Net Enrolment Rate in Secondary School. In India, 62 percent of girls of secondary-school age are enrolled in secondary school. This is higher than both the average for its region (59) and the average for its income group (58).
- Total Fertility Rate. In India, the total fertility rate is 2.3 births per woman. This is lower than both the average for its region (2.6) and the average for its income group (3.1).
- Adolescent Fertility Rate. In India, there are 23 births per 1,000 women ages 15-19. This is lower than both the average for its region (39) and the average for its income group (55).
- Contraceptive Prevalence. In India, **54** percent of women ages 15-49 uses some form of contraceptive method. This is higher than both the average for its region (46) and the average for its income group (49).
- Social Protection Coverage. In India, 30 percent of the poorest quintile is covered by social safety nets. This is higher than the average for its region (21) but lower than the average for its income group (35).
- Open Defecation. In India, 40 percent of the population practices open defecation. This is higher than both the average for its region (12) and the average for its income group (13).


## DOMESTIC RESOURCE USE AND MOBILIZATION

- **Health Spending.** India spends **3.1 percent** of its government budget on health. This is lower than both the regional average (6.8) and the average for its income group (7.7).
- Education Spending. India spends 14.1 percent of its government budget on education. This is lower than both the regional average (16.1) and the average for its income group (15.7).
- Social Protection Spending. India spends 14.7 percent of its government budget on social protection. This is higher than both the regional average (9.6) and the average for its income group (9.3).


## 1. Key Indicators on Human Capital








- Large circle=India ; small circles=other countries.
- Large circle-mala , small circles-other countries - Vertical lines separate terciles of the distribution.
- Pink/light blue='1st tercile; orange/gray=2nd tercile; green/blue=3rd tercile



 Efficiency of Spending. The HCI in India is lower than what would be predicted for its level of per capita government spending on the social sectors.



- A selection out of 101 countries in the regression are displayed.

• **Domestic Resource Mobilization.** The tax revenue in India is **8.2** percent of GDP. This is lower than both the regional average (13.2) and the average for its income group (15.6).



- A selection of countries are displayed. - Countries with DRM greater than 25% of GDP are excluded.

### **OTHER RELEVANT INDICATORS**

- Human Capital Project. India is not part of a network of countries committed to the Human Capital agenda.
- Building Human Capital. The Country Policy and Institutional Assesment rating for building human resources in India is 4 (1 is low and 6 is high). This is lower than the average for its region (4.1) but higher than the average for its income group (3.6). This indicator assesses the national policies and public and private sector service delivery that affect access to and quality of health and education services.
- **Identification.** In India, **12 percent** of the population does not have proof of identity. This is lower than both the regional average (22.4) and the average for its income group (20.3).

 Statistical Data on Human Capital. In India, the latest available data point on stunting rate is from 2015. Similarly, the latest available data point on Harmonized Learning Outcomes is from 2009.

#### HOW IS THE WORLD BANK SUPPORTING THE EFFORT?

The following table summarizes the World Bank's investments in Human Development for India, including measures of volume, performance, and other relevant indicators.

Indicator     HD     Edu     HNP     SPJ       HD Portfolio     3,302     1,279     1,876     147       % of total     14     5     8     1       Diff. from regional average %     -4     -5     +4     -2       Diff. from income group avg %     -3     +0     +2     -5       HD FY 20 Lending Program     500     500     0     0       USD (million)     500     500     0     0       % of total     18     18     0     0       Diff. from regional average %     -10     +15     +0     -6       Diff. from income group avg %     -6     +1     -4     -4       HD Performance     4     4     5     4       Average Development Outcome     4     4     5     4       Diff. from regional average %     -0.34     -0.51     -0.13     -0.63       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.40     -0.58     +0.12     -1.05       % Satisfactory DO     65     50	World Bank Investments in HD				
USD (million)     3,302     1,279     1,876     147       % of total     14     5     8     1       Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg %     -4     -5     +4     -2       Diff. from income group avg %     -3     +0     +2     -5       HD FY 20 Lending Program     USD (million)     500     500     0     0       % of total     18     18     0     0     0       biff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg %     -6     +1     -4     -4       HD Performance     4     4     5     4       Average Development Outcome Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Point Diff. from income group avg % Point Diff. from regional average % Point Diff. from	Indicator	HD	Edu	HNP	SPJ
% of total     14     5     8     1       Diff. from regional average %     -4     -5     +4     -2       Diff. from income group avg %     -3     +0     +2     -5       HD FY 20 Lending Program     USD (million)     500     500     0     0       Wof total     18     18     0     0       Diff. from regional average %     +10     +15     +0     -6       Diff. from income group avg %     -6     +1     -4     -4       HD Performance     4     4     5     4       Average Development Outcome     4     4     5     4       Diff. from regional average %     -0.34     -0.51     -0.13     -0.63       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.40     -0.58     +0.12     -1.05       % Satisfactory DO     65     50     86     50       Average Implementation Progress     4     4     4     3       Diff. from regional average %     -0.07     -0.11     -0.09     -0.73 <t< th=""><th>HD Portfolio</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	HD Portfolio				
Diff. from regional average %     -4     -5     +4     -2       Diff. from income group avg %     -3     +0     +2     -5       HD FY 20 Lending Program     USD (million)     500     500     0     0       W of total     18     18     0     0       Diff. from regional average %     +10     +15     +0     -6       Diff. from income group avg %     -6     +1     -4     -4       HD Performance     4     4     5     4       Average Development Outcome     4     4     5     4       Diff. from regional average %     -0.34     -0.51     -0.13     -0.63       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.40     -0.58     +0.12     -1.05       S satisfactory DO     65     50     86     50       Average Implementation Progress     4     4     4     3       Diff. from regional average %     -0.07     -0.11     -0.09     -0.73       Diff. from income group avg %     -0     -1     -2     -1 </td <td>USD (million)</td> <td>3,302</td> <td>1,279</td> <td>1,876</td> <td>147</td>	USD (million)	3,302	1,279	1,876	147
Diff. from income group avg %     -3     +0     +2     -5       HD FY 20 Lending Program     USD (million)     500     500     0     0       % of total     18     18     0     0       Diff. from regional average %     +10     +15     +0     -6       Diff. from income group avg %     -6     +1     -4     -4       HD Performance     4     4     5     4       Average Development Outcome     4     4     5     4       Diff. from regional average %     -0.34     -0.51     -0.13     -0.63       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.40     -0.58     +0.12     -1.05       % Satisfactory DO     65     50     86     50       Average Implementation Progress     4     4     4     3       Diff. from regional average %     -0.07     -0.11     -0.09     -0.73       Diff. from income group avg %     -0     -0     +0.10     -1.41       % Satisfactory IP     65     62     86     0	% of total	14	5	8	1
HD FY 20 Lending Program	Diff. from regional average %	-4	-5	+4	-2
USD (million)     500     500     0     0       % of total     18     18     0     0       Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg %     -6     +1     -4     -4       HD Performance     4     4     5     4       Average Development Outcome Diff. from regional average %     -0.34     -0.51     -0.13     -0.63       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.40     -0.58     +0.12     -1.05       % Satisfactory DO     65     50     86     50       Average Implementation Progress     4     4     4     3       Diff. from regional average %     -0.07     -0.11     -0.09     -0.73       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.33     -0.24     +0.10     -1.41       % Satisfactory IP     65     62     86     0       Disbursement ratio     2     3     1     1       Diff. from regional average %     -0     +1     -2     -1       Diff. from income group avg %     -2     -1     -3     -4	Diff. from income group avg %	-3	+0	+2	-5
% of total     18     18     0     0       Diff. from regional average %     +10     +15     +0     -6       Diff. from income group avg %     -6     +1     -4     -4       HD Performance     -8     +1     -4     -4       Average Development Outcome     4     4     5     4       Diff. from regional average %     -0.34     -0.51     -0.13     -0.63       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.40     -0.58     +0.12     -1.05       % Satisfactory DO     65     50     86     50       Average Implementation Progress     4     4     4     3       Diff. from regional average %     -0.07     -0.11     -0.09     -0.73       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.24     +0.10     -1.41       % Satisfactory IP     65     62     86     0       Disbursement ratio     2     3     1     1       Diff. from regional average %     -0     +1     -2     -1       Diff. from income group avg %	HD FY 20 Lending Program				
Diff. from regional average %     +10     +15     +0     -6       Diff. from income group avg %     -6     +1     -4     -4       HD Performance       Average Development Outcome     4     4     5     4       Diff. from regional average %     -0.34     -0.51     -0.13     -0.63       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.40     -0.58     +0.12     -1.05       % Satisfactory DO     65     50     86     50       Average Implementation Progress     4     4     4     3       Diff. from regional average %     -0.07     -0.11     -0.09     -0.73       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.33     -0.24     +0.10     -1.41       % Satisfactory IP     65     62     86     0       Disbursement ratio     2     3     1     1       Diff. from regional average %     -0     +1     -2     -1       Other indicators     Average project size (USD mill.)     183     160     234     74       Diff. from regional	USD (million)	500	500	0	0
Diff. from income group avg %     -6     +1     -4     -4       HD Performance       Average Development Outcome     4     4     5     4       Diff. from regional average %     -0.34     -0.51     -0.13     -0.63       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.40     -0.58     +0.12     -1.05       % Satisfactory DO     65     50     86     50       Average Implementation Progress     4     4     4     3       Diff. from regional average %     -0.07     -0.11     -0.09     -0.73       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.33     -0.24     +0.10     -1.41       % Satisfactory IP     65     62     86     0       Disbursement ratio     2     3     1     1       Diff. from regional average %     -0     +1     -2     -1       Diff. from income group avg %     -2     -1     -3     -4       Other indicators     -2     -1     -3     -4       Average project size (USD mill.)     183     160<	% of total	18	18	0	0
Note	Diff. from regional average %	+10	+15	+0	-6
Average Development Outcome     4     4     5     4       Diff. from regional average %     -0.34     -0.51     -0.13     -0.63       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.40     -0.58     +0.12     -1.05       % Satisfactory DO     65     50     86     50       Average Implementation Progress     4     4     4     3       Diff. from regional average %     -0.07     -0.11     -0.09     -0.73       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.33     -0.24     +0.10     -1.41       % Satisfactory IP     65     62     86     0       Disbursement ratio     2     3     1     1       Diff. from regional average %     -0     +1     -2     -1       Diff. from income group avg %     -2     -1     -3     -4       Other indicators     -2     -1     3     -4       Diff. from regional average %     +28     +12     +45     -54       Diff. from income group avg %     +44     +69     +121     -55  <	Diff. from income group avg %	-6	+1	-4	-4
Diff. from regional average %   -0.34   -0.51   -0.13   -0.63     Diff. from income group avg %   -0.40   -0.58   +0.12   -1.05     % Satisfactory DO   65   50   86   50     Average Implementation Progress   4   4   4   3     Diff. from regional average %   -0.07   -0.11   -0.09   -0.73     Diff. from income group avg %   -0.33   -0.24   +0.10   -1.41     % Satisfactory IP   65   62   86   0     Disbursement ratio   2   3   1   1     Diff. from regional average %   -0   +1   -2   -1     Diff. from income group avg %   -2   -1   -3   -4     Other indicators   Average project size (USD mill.)   183   160   234   74     Diff. from regional average %   +28   +12   +45   -54     Diff. from income group avg %   +44   +69   +121   -55     % of portfolio that is co-TTLd   17   39   3   0     Diff. from regional average %   -23	HD Performance				
Diff. from income group avg %     -0.40     -0.58     +0.12     -1.05       % Satisfactory DO     65     50     86     50       Average Implementation Progress     4     4     4     3       Diff. from regional average %     -0.07     -0.11     -0.09     -0.73       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.33     -0.24     +0.10     -1.41       % Satisfactory IP     65     62     86     0       Disbursement ratio     2     3     1     1       Diff. from regional average %     -0     +1     -2     -1       Diff. from income group avg %     -2     -1     -3     -4       Other indicators     -2     -1     3     -4       Diff. from regional average %     +28     +12     +45     -54       Diff. from income group avg %     +44     +69     +121     -55       % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd     17     39     3     0       Diff. from regional average %     -23     +2     -38     -40	Average Development Outcome	4	4	5	4
No Satisfactory DO     65     50     86     50       Average Implementation Progress     4     4     4     3       Diff. from regional average %     -0.07     -0.11     -0.09     -0.73       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.33     -0.24     +0.10     -1.41       % Satisfactory IP     65     62     86     0       Disbursement ratio     2     3     1     1       Diff. from regional average %     -0     +1     -2     -1       Diff. from income group avg %     -2     -1     -3     -4       Other indicators     -2     18     16     234     74       Diff. from regional average %     +28     +12     +45     -54       Diff. from income group avg %     +44     +69     +121     -55       % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd     17     39     3     0       Diff. from regional average %     -23     +2     -38     -40	Diff. from regional average %	-0.34	-0.51	-0.13	-0.63
Average Implementation Progress     4     4     4     3       Diff. from regional average %     -0.07     -0.11     -0.09     -0.73       Diff. from income group avg %     -0.33     -0.24     +0.10     -1.41       % Satisfactory IP     65     62     86     0       Disbursement ratio     2     3     1     1       Diff. from regional average %     -0     +1     -2     -1       Diff. from income group avg %     -2     -1     -3     -4       Other indicators     4     +12     +45     -54       Diff. from regional average %     +28     +12     +45     -54       Diff. from income group avg %     +44     +69     +121     -55       % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd     17     39     3     0       Diff. from regional average %     -23     +2     -38     -40	Diff. from income group avg %	-0.40	-0.58	+0.12	-1.05
Diff. from regional average %   -0.07   -0.11   -0.09   -0.73     Diff. from income group avg %   -0.33   -0.24   +0.10   -1.41     % Satisfactory IP   65   62   86   0     Disbursement ratio   2   3   1   1     Diff. from regional average %   -0   +1   -2   -1     Diff. from income group avg %   -2   -1   -3   -4     Other indicators     Average project size (USD mill.)   183   160   234   74     Diff. from regional average %   +28   +12   +45   -54     Diff. from income group avg %   +44   +69   +121   -55     % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd   17   39   3   0     Diff. from regional average %   -23   +2   -38   -40	% Satisfactory DO	65	50	86	50
Diff. from income group avg %   -0.33   -0.24   +0.10   -1.41     % Satisfactory IP   65   62   86   0     Disbursement ratio   2   3   1   1     Diff. from regional average %   -0   +1   -2   -1     Diff. from income group avg %   -2   -1   -3   -4     Other indicators     Average project size (USD mill.)   183   160   234   74     Diff. from regional average %   +28   +12   +45   -54     Diff. from income group avg %   +44   +69   +121   -55     % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd   17   39   3   0     Diff. from regional average %   -23   +2   -38   -40	Average Implementation Progress	4	4	4	3
% Satisfactory IP     65     62     86     0       Disbursement ratio     2     3     1     1       Diff. from regional average %     -0     +1     -2     -1       Diff. from income group avg %     -2     -1     -3     -4       Other indicators       Average project size (USD mill.)     183     160     234     74       Diff. from regional average %     +28     +12     +45     -54       Diff. from income group avg %     +44     +69     +121     -55       % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd     17     39     3     0       Diff. from regional average %     -23     +2     -38     -40	Diff. from regional average %	-0.07	-0.11	-0.09	-0.73
Disbursement ratio     2     3     1     1       Diff. from regional average %     -0     +1     -2     -1       Diff. from income group avg %     -2     -1     -3     -4       Other indicators       Average project size (USD mill.)     183     160     234     74       Diff. from regional average %     +28     +12     +45     -54       Diff. from income group avg %     +44     +69     +121     -55       % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd     17     39     3     0       Diff. from regional average %     -23     +2     -38     -40	Diff. from income group avg %	-0.33	-0.24	+0.10	-1.41
Diff. from regional average %   -0   +1   -2   -1     Diff. from income group avg %   -2   -1   -3   -4     Other indicators     Average project size (USD mill.)   183   160   234   74     Diff. from regional average %   +28   +12   +45   -54     Diff. from income group avg %   +44   +69   +121   -55     % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd   17   39   3   0     Diff. from regional average %   -23   +2   -38   -40	% Satisfactory IP	65	62	86	0
Diff. from income group avg %     -2     -1     -3     -4       Other indicators       Average project size (USD mill.)     183     160     234     74       Diff. from regional average %     +28     +12     +45     -54       Diff. from income group avg %     +44     +69     +121     -55       % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd     17     39     3     0       Diff. from regional average %     -23     +2     -38     -40	Disbursement ratio	2	3	1	1
Other indicators       Average project size (USD mill.)     183     160     234     74       Diff. from regional average %     +28     +12     +45     -54       Diff. from income group avg %     +44     +69     +121     -55       % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd     17     39     3     0       Diff. from regional average %     -23     +2     -38     -40	Diff. from regional average %	-0	+1	-2	-1
Average project size (USD mill.)     183     160     234     74       Diff. from regional average %     +28     +12     +45     -54       Diff. from income group avg %     +44     +69     +121     -55       % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd     17     39     3     0       Diff. from regional average %     -23     +2     -38     -40	Diff. from income group avg %	-2	-1	-3	-4
Diff. from regional average %   +28   +12   +45   -54     Diff. from income group avg %   +44   +69   +121   -55     % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd   17   39   3   0     Diff. from regional average %   -23   +2   -38   -40	Other indicators				
Diff. from income group avg %     +44     +69     +121     -55       % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd     17     39     3     0       Diff. from regional average %     -23     +2     -38     -40	Average project size (USD mill.)	183	160	234	74
% of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 17 39 3 0 Diff. from regional average % -23 +2 -38 -40	Diff. from regional average %	+28	+12	+45	-54
Diff. from regional average % -23 +2 -38 -40	Diff. from income group avg %	+44	+69	+121	-55
0 0	% of portfolio that is co-TTL'd	17	39	3	0
Diff. from income group avg % -8 +22 -18 -26	Diff. from regional average %	-23	+2	-38	-40
	Diff. from income group avg %	-8	+22	-18	-26

Note: a) Pink indicates that the value is within the first tercile of the distribution for all the countries. Orange indicates that the value is within the second tercile. Green indicates that it is within the third tercile. b) FY20 lending program includes only projects rated A and B. c) DO and IP are on a scale of 1 to 6 where 1 is Highly Unsatisfactory and 6 is Highly Satisfactory. d) Data as of September 5, 2019.

This scorecard is intended to be a conversation starter on where a country is on various aspects of human capital development and the state of the World Bank's support in the social sectors. The choice of indicators is, to a large extent, driven by what is most relevant for the two regions with the lowest HCI scores (Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia). As such, not all the indicators included here are relevant for each country. The scorecard should be complemented with other more context specific indicators.

The sources of data for the different indicators include: the Human Capital Project, the World Development Indicators, and the World Bank's internal system to monitor investments.

For more information, please contact the Human Capital Project team: HCP\_Team@worldbank.org