The Marshall Islands

Commitment to Human Capital - Scorecard

This scorecard presents a snapshot of the country's commitment to the human capital agenda and presents information on how the World Bank Group is supporting the government in the social sectors.

In the Marshall Islands there are no enough data to calculate the productivity as a future worker of a child born today. The HCI has three components: survival to age 5, health, and education. For more information on human capital outcomes and the HCI, please see the country two-pager on www.worldbank.org/humancapitalproject


KEY OUTCOME AND OUTPUT INDICATORS TO MONITOR

- Women, Business and the Law Index. This index measures gender equality in the law (how the economic decisions women make are affected by the law), with a larger value showing higher gender equality. In the Marshall Islands, the value is **59** out of 100. This is lower than both the average for its region (72) and the average for its income group (76).
- Net Enrolment Rate in Secondary School. In the Marshall Islands, 67 percent of girls of secondary-school age are enrolled in secondary school. This is lower than both the average for its region (75) and the average for its income group (77).
- **Total Fertility Rate.** In the Marshall Islands, data on the total fertility rate do not exist. The average for the country's region is 2 births per woman and for its income group is 2 births per woman.
- Adolescent Fertility Rate. In the Marshall Islands, data on the adolescent fertility rate do not exist. The average for the country's region is 26 births per 1,000 women ages 15-19 and for its income group is 26.
- Contraceptive Prevalence. In the Marshall Islands, **45 percent** of women ages 15-49 uses some form of contraceptive method. This is lower than both the average for its region (52) and the average for its income group (56).
- Social Protection Coverage. In the Marshall Islands, data on the percentage of the population that is covered by social safety nets do not exist. The average for the country's region is 33 percent and for its income group is 33 percent.
- Open Defecation. In the Marshall Islands, 11 percent of the population practices open defecation. This is higher than both the average for its region (8) and the average for its income group (3).


DOMESTIC RESOURCE USE AND MOBILIZATION

- **Health Spending.** The Marshall Islands spends **20.7 percent** of its government budget on health. This is higher than both the regional average (10) and the average for its income group (11.4).
- Education Spending. The Marshall Islands spends 22.5 percent of its government budget on education. This is higher than both the regional average (14.8) and the average for its income group (14.5).
- Social Protection Spending. The Marshall Islands spends 1.9 percent of its government budget on social protection. This is lower than both the regional average (7.9) and the average for its income group (10.4).


1. Key Indicators on Human Capital



- Percentage that does not Practice Open Defecation
- 60 70 80 90 100
- Large circle=Marshall Islands ; small circles=other countries.
- Vertical lines separate terciles of the distribution.
- Pink/light blue='1st tercile; orange/gray=2nd tercile; green/blue=3rd tercile



• Efficiency of Spending. Given the lack of data, it is not possible to predict if the HCI in the Marshall Islands is higher or lower than what would be predicted for its level of per capita government spending.



- A selection out of 101 countries in the regression are displayed.
- **Domestic Resource Mobilization.** The tax revenue in the Marshall Islands is **18.2** percent of GDP. This is higher than both the regional average (16.9) and the average for its income group (17.5).



- A selection of countries are displayed.
- Countries with DRM greater than 25% of GDP are excluded

OTHER RELEVANT INDICATORS

- **Human Capital Project.** the Marshall Islands is not part of a network of countries committed to the Human Capital agenda.
- Building Human Capital. The Country Policy and Institutional Assesment rating for building human resources in the Marshall Islands is 3 (1 is low and 6 is high). This is lower than both the regional average (3.3) and the average for its income group (3.8). This indicator assesses the national policies and public and private sector service delivery that affect access to and quality of health and education services.
- **Identification.** In the Marshall Islands, **2.1 percent** of the population does not have proof of identity. This is lower than both the regional average (13.4) and the average for its income group (8.9).

 Statistical Data on Human Capital. In the Marshall Islands, data on stunting rate and Harmonized Learning Outcomes are unavailable after year 2000.

HOW IS THE WORLD BANK SUPPORTING THE EFFORT?

The following table summarizes the World Bank's investments in Human Development for the Marshall Islands, including measures of volume, performance, and other relevant indicators.

Indicator	HD	Edu	HNP	SPJ
HD Portfolio				
USD (million)	13	0	13	0
% of total	1,088	0	1,088	0
Diff. from regional average %	+280	-278	+838	-280
Diff. from income group avg %	-430	-515	+528	-443
HD FY 20 Lending Program				
USD (million)				
% of total				
Diff. from regional average %				
Diff. from income group avg %				
HD Performance				
Average Development Outcome	5		5	
Diff. from regional average %	+0.45		+0.61	•
Diff. from income group avg %	+0.67		+0.70	
% Satisfactory DO	100		100	
Average Implementation Progress	5		5	
Diff. from regional average %	+0.57		+0.78	
Diff. from income group avg %	+0.79		+0.67	
% Satisfactory IP	100		100	
Disbursement ratio	0		0	
Diff. from regional average %	-3		-3	
Diff. from income group avg %	-6		-4	
Other indicators				
Average project size (USD mill.)	13	0	13	0
Diff. from regional average %	-117	-51	-61	-115
Diff. from income group avg %	-125	-71	-66	-92
% of portfolio that is co-TTL'd	100		100	
Diff. from regional average %	+64		+70	•
Diff. from income group avg %	+69		+87	

Note: a) Pink indicates that the value is within the first tercile of the distribution for all the countries. Orange indicates that the value is within the second tercile. Green indicates that it is within the third tercile. b)

FY20 lending program includes only projects rated A and B. c) DO and IP are on a scale of 1 to 6 where 1 is Highly Unsatisfactory and 6 is Highly Satisfactory. d) Data as of September 5, 2019.

This scorecard is intended to be a conversation starter on where a country is on various aspects of human capital development and the state of the World Bank's support in the social sectors. The choice of indicators is, to a large extent, driven by what is most relevant for the two regions with the lowest HCI scores (Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia). As such, not all the indicators included here are relevant for each country. The scorecard should be complemented with other more context specific indicators.

The sources of data for the different indicators include: the Human Capital Project, the World Development Indicators, and the World Bank's internal system to monitor investments.

For more information, please contact the Human Capital Project team: $\label{eq:hcp_Team} \textbf{HCP_Team@worldbank.org}$