# Poland

## Commitment to Human Capital - Scorecard

This scorecard presents a snapshot of the country's commitment to the human capital agenda and presents information on how the World Bank Group is supporting the government in the social sectors.

In Poland the productivity as a future worker of a child born today is **75 percent** as much as it could be. The HCI has three components: survival to age 5, health, and education. For more information on human capital outcomes and the HCI, please see the country two-pager on www.worldbank.org/humancapitalproject

#### KEY OUTCOME AND OUTPUT INDICATORS TO MONITOR


- Women, Business and the Law Index. This index measures gender inequality in the law and identifies barriers to women's economic participation, and a larger value shows higher gender equity. In Poland, the value is 94 out of 100. This is higher than both the average for its region (90) and the average for its income group (83).
- Net Enrolment Rate in Secondary School. In Poland, 93 percent of girls of secondary-school age are enroled in secondary school. This is higher than both the average for its region (91) and the average for its income group (91).
- Total Fertility Rate. In Poland, the total fertility rate is 1.4. This is lower than both the average for its region (1.8) and the average for its income group (1.7).
- Adolescent Fertility Rate. In Poland, there are 13 births per 1,000 women ages 15-19. This is lower than both the average for its region (16) and the average for its income group (14).
- Contraceptive Prevalence. In Poland, 51 percent of women ages 15-49 uses some form of contraceptive method. This is lower than both the average for its region (64) and the average for its income group (65).
- Social Protection Coverage In Poland, 64 percent of the population is covered by social safety net programs. This is lower than both the average for its region (67) and the average for its income group (76).
- Open Defecation. In Poland, 0 percent of the population practices open defecation. This is lower than both the average for its region (0) and the average for its income group (0).

### DOMESTIC RESOURCE USE AND MOBILIZATION

Poland is part of a network of countries committed to the Human Capital agenda.


- Health Spending. Poland spends 11 percent of its government budget on health. This is lower than both the regional average (12.5) and the average for its income group (14.4).
- Education Spending. Poland spends 11.6 percent of its government budget on education. This is lower than both the regional average (12.2) and the average for its income group (12.7).
- · Social Protection Spending. Poland spends 10.9 percent of its government budget on social protection. This is lower than the average for its region (12.9) but higher than the average for its income group (10.5).

# Key Indicators on Human Capital




- Percentage that does not practice Open Defecation
- 000 00 60 70 80 90 100
- Large circle=Poland; small circles=other countries.
- Vertical lines separate terciles of the distribution.
- Pink/light blue=1st tercile; orange/gray=2nd tercile; green/blue=3rd tercile

### Government Expenditure in the Social Sectors



 Efficiency of Spending. The HCI in Poland is higher than what would be predicted for its level of per capita spending on the social sectors.



• **Domestic Resource Mobilization.** The tax revenue in Poland is **16.8** percent of GDP. This is lower than both the regional average

(19.6) and the average for its income group (19.3).



A selection of countries are displayed.Countries with DRM greater than 25% of GDP are excluded

### **OTHER RELEVANT INDICATORS**

- Building Human Capital. In Poland, data on the Country Policy
  and Institutional Assessment rating for building human resources
  do not exist. The average for the country's region is 3.8 percent and
  for its income group is . percent. This indicator assesses the national policies and public and private sector service delivery that
  affect access to and quality of health and education services.
- **Identification** In Poland, **1.8 percent** of the population does not have proof of identity. This is lower than both the regional average (8) and the average for its income group (12.4).
- Statistical Data on Human Capital In Poland, data on stunting rate are unavailable after year 2000. The last available data point on Harmonized Learning Outcomes is from 2015.

#### HOW IS THE WORLD BANK SUPPORTING THE EFFORT?

The following table summarizes the World Bank's investments in Human Development for Ethiopia, including measures of volume, performance, and other relevant indicators.

HD Portfolio  USD (million)  Percentage of total  Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg  HD FY 20 Lending Program  USD (million)  Percentage of total Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg  HD Performance  Average Development Outcome (DO)	0 0 -12 -25 0	0 0 -4 -25	0 0 -6 +0	0 0 -3 +0 0
USD (million) Percentage of total Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg HD FY 20 Lending Program USD (million) Percentage of total Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg HD Performance Average Development Outcome (DO)	0 ·12 ·25	0 -4 -25	0 -6 +0	0 -3 +0
Percentage of total  Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg  HD FY 20 Lending Program  USD (million)  Percentage of total Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg  HD Performance  Average Development Outcome (DO)	0 ·12 ·25	0 -4 -25	0 -6 +0	0 -3 +0
Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg HD FY 20 Lending Program USD (million) Percentage of total Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg HD Performance Average Development Outcome (DO)	·12 ·25	-4 -25	-6 +0	-3 +0
Diff. with perc. for income group avg  HD FY 20 Lending Program  USD (million)  Percentage of total  Diff. with perc. for regional average  Diff. with perc. for income group avg  HD Performance  Average Development Outcome (DO)	25	-25	+0	+0
HD FY 20 Lending Program  USD (million) Percentage of total Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg HD Performance Average Development Outcome (DO)				
USD (million) Percentage of total Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg HD Performance Average Development Outcome (DO)	0	0	0	0
Percentage of total Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg HD Performance Average Development Outcome (DO)				
Diff. with perc. for regional average Diff. with perc. for income group avg HD Performance Average Development Outcome (DO)	· · ·		•	
Diff. with perc. for income group avg  HD Performance  Average Development Outcome (DO)		•	·	
HD Performance Average Development Outcome (DO)		•	•	
Average Development Outcome (DO)		•	•	•
	•	•	•	•
Difference with DO for region	•	•	•	
Difference with DO for income group		•		
Perc. Satisfactory DO		•		
Average Implementation Progress (IP)		•		
Difference with IP for region				
Difference with IP for income group		•		
Perc. Satisfactory IP		•		
Disbursement ratio (DR)				
Difference with DR for region				
Difference with DR for income group				
Other indicators				
Average project size (PS) (USD mill.)	0	0	0	0
- ·	-64	-35	-61	-24
ĕ	23	-23	+0	+0
Perc. of portfolio that is co-TTL'd (CTT)				
Diff. with CTT for region (perc. points)				
Diff. with CTT income group (perc. points)				

Note: a) Pink indicates that the value is within the first tercile of the distribution for all the countries. Orange indicates that the value is is within the second tercile. Green indicates that it is within the third tercile. b) FY20 lending program includes only projects rated A, B and unrated. c) DO and IP are on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 is Unsatisfactory and 5 is Highly Satisfactory. d) Data as of July 15, 2019.

This scorecard is intended to be a conversation starter on where a country is on various aspects of human capital development and the state of the World Bank's support in the social sectors. The choice of indicators is, to a large extent, driven by what is most relevant for the two Regions with the lowest HCI scores (Africa and South Asia). As such, not all the indicators included here are relevant for each country. The scorecard should be complemented with other more context specific indicators.

The sources of data for the different indicators include: the Human Capital Project, the World Development Indicators, and the World Bank's internal system to monitor investments.

For more information, please contact the Human Capital Project team: HCP\_Team@worldbank.org