Sudan

Commitment to Human Capital - Scorecard

This scorecard presents a snapshot of the country's commitment to the human capital agenda and the World Bank Group's support for the social sectors.

INDICATORS IN THE AFRICA HUMAN CAPITAL PLAN

- Human Capital Index. In Sudan the productivity as a future worker of a child born today is 38 percent as much as it could be. The HCI has three components: survival to age 5, health, and education. For more information on human capital outcomes and the HCI, please see the country two-pager on www.worldbank.org/humancapitalproject
- Adolescent Fertility Rate. In Sudan, there are 64 births per 1,000 women ages 15-19. This is lower than the Africa Human Capital Target for 2023 (83).
- Social Protection Coverage. In Sudan, 7 percent of the poorest quintile is covered by social safety nets. This is lower than the Africa Human Capital Target for 2023 (30).
- Open Defecation. In Sudan, 27 percent of the population practices open defecation. This is higher than the Africa Human Capital Target for 2023 (15).

INDICATORS ON WOMEN'S EMPOWERMENT


- Total Fertility Rate. In Sudan, the total fertility rate is 4.5 births per woman. This is lower than the average for its region (4.5) but higher than the average for its income group (3.1).
- Contraceptive Prevalence. In Sudan, 12 percent of women ages 15-49 uses some form of contraceptive method. This is lower than both the average for its region (31) and the average for its income group (49).
- Women, Business and the Law Index. This index measures gender equality in the law (how the economic decisions women make are affected by the law), with a larger value showing higher gender equality. In Sudan, the value is 29 out of 100. This is lower than both the average for its region (70) and the average for its income group (69).
- Net Enrolment Rate in Secondary School. In Sudan, 31 percent of girls of secondary-school age are enrolled in secondary school. This is lower than both the average for its region (35) and the average for its income group (58).

DOMESTIC RESOURCE USE AND MOBILIZATION

- **Health Spending.** Sudan spends **10.7 percent** of its government budget on health. This is higher than both the regional average (7.6) and the average for its income group (7.7).
- Education Spending. Sudan spends 10.8 percent of its government budget on education. This is lower than both the regional average (15.3) and the average for its income group (15.7).
- Social Protection Spending. Sudan spends 19.3 percent of its government budget on social protection. This is higher than both the regional average (9.9) and the average for its income group (9.3).

1. Human Capital Context

Indicators in the Africa Human Capital Plan



Indicators on Women's Empowerment




- Large circle=Sudan ; small circles=other countries.
- Vertical lines refer to regional targets.
- Pink/light blue=1st tercile; orange/gray=2nd tercile; green/blue=3rd tercile


2. Government Expenditure on the Social Sectors 10.7 10.7 10.8 19.3 19.3 19.3 10.7 7.6 7.7 10.8 Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income



 Efficiency of Spending. The HCI in Sudan is lower than what would be predicted for its level of per capita government spending on the social sectors.



- A selection out of 101 countries in the regression are displayed.
- Domestic Resource Mobilization. In Sudan, data on domestic resource mobilization do not exist. The average for the country's region is 16.1 percent and for its income group is 15.6 percent.



- A selection of countries are displayed.
- Countries with DRM greater than 25% of GDP are excluded.

OTHER RELEVANT INDICATORS

- Human Capital Project. Sudan is not part of a network of countries committed to the Human Capital agenda.
- Building Human Capital. The Country Policy and Institutional Assesment rating for building human resources in Sudan is 3 (1 is low and 6 is high). This is lower than both the regional average (3.5) and the average for its income group (3.6). This indicator assesses the national policies and public and private sector service delivery that affect access to and quality of health and education services.
- **Identification.** In Sudan, **38.1 percent** of the population does not have proof of identity. This is higher than both the regional average (33.8) and the average for its income group (20.3).
- Statistical Data on Human Capital. In Sudan, the latest available data point on stunting rate is from 2014. Similarly, the latest available data point on Harmonized Learning Outcomes is from 2015.

HOW IS THE WORLD BANK SUPPORTING THE EFFORT?

The following table summarizes the World Bank's investments in Human Development for Sudan, including measures of volume, performance, and other relevant indicators.

#ID Portfolio USD (million)	World Bank Investments in HD Indicator	HD	Edu	HNP	CD.
USD (million)	III III III III III III III III III II	HD	Eau	HNP	SP
% of total 0 0 0 Diff. from regional average % -25 -7 -9 -5 Diff. from income group avg % -17 -5 -6 -6 HD FY 20 Lending Program					
Diff. from regional average % -25 -7 -9 -5 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6					
Diff. from income group avg % -17 -5 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6	7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-			0
HD FY 20 Lending Program USD (million) % of total Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % HD Performance Average Development Outcome Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory DO Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %			•	-	-9
USD (million) % of total Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % HD Performance Average Development Outcome Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Satisfactory DO Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Sof portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %		-17	-5	-6	-6
% of total Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % HD Performance Average Development Outcome Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory DO Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Soliff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %					
Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % HD Performance Average Development Outcome Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory DO Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %			•		
Diff. from income group avg % HD Performance Average Development Outcome Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory DO Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg %	% of total				
HD Performance Average Development Outcome Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Satisfactory DO Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % The provided in	Diff. from regional average %				
Average Development Outcome Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory DO Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from eregional average % Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Diff. from income group avg % Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % W of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %	Diff. from income group avg %				
Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Satisfactory DO Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from group avg % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Sof portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %	HD Performance				
Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory DO	Average Development Outcome				
% Satisfactory DO Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Mo of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average % Second Secon	Diff. from regional average %				
Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %	Diff. from income group avg %				
Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Sof portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average % Solution of the project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from regional average % D	% Satisfactory DO				
Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Mof portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average % Solution of the project size (USD mill.)	Average Implementation Progress				
Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Mof portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average % Solution of the project size (USD mill.)	Diff. from regional average %				
Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %					
Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %	% Satisfactory IP				
Diff. from income group avg %	Disbursement ratio				
Diff. from income group avg %	Diff. from regional average %				
Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.)					
Diff. from regional average %					
Diff. from regional average %	Average project size (USD mill.)				
Diff. from income group avg %					
% of portfolio that is co-TTL'd	e e				
Diff. from regional average %	0 1 0				
ě ě	•				
	Diff. from income group avg %	-			

Note: a) Pink indicates that the value is within the first tercile of the distribution for all the countries. Orange indicates that the value is within

the second tercile. **Green** indicates that it is within the third tercile. b) FY20 lending program includes only projects rated A and B. c) DO and IP are on a scale of 1 to 6 where 1 is Highly Unsatisfactory and 6 is Highly Satisfactory. d) Data as of September 5, 2019.

- **Human Capital Policy Operations.** Currently, the pipeline for Sudan does not include any Development Policy Operation with a Human Capital-related component or prior action.
- Women's Empowerment Project. Currently, the pipeline for Sudan does not have an active project focused on women empowerment or on sexual and reproductive health.

This scorecard is intended to be a conversation starter on where a country is on various aspects of human capital development and the state of the World Bank's support in the social sectors. The list of indicators presented here is not exhaustive and should be complemented with more context specific variables. Most of the indicators are related to the Africa Human Capital Plan.

The sources of data for the different indicators include: the Human Capital Project, the World Development Indicators, and the World Bank's internal system to monitor investments.

For more information, please contact the Africa Human Capital Project team: AFR_HCP_Team@worldbankgroup.org

