# Burundi

## Commitment to Human Capital - Scorecard

This scorecard presents a snapshot of the country's commitment to the human capital agenda and the World Bank Group's support for the social sectors.

#### INDICATORS IN THE AFRICA HUMAN CAPITAL PLAN

- Human Capital Index. In Burundi the productivity as a future worker of a child born today is 38 percent as much as it could be. The HCI has three components: survival to age 5, health, and education. For more information on human capital outcomes and the HCI, please see the country two-pager on www.worldbank.org/humancapitalproject
- Adolescent Fertility Rate. In Burundi, there are 27 births per 1,000 women ages 15-19. This is lower than the Africa Human Capital Target for 2023 (83).
- Social Protection Coverage. In Burundi, data on the percentage of the population that is covered by social safety nets do not exist. The Africa Human Capital target for 2023 is 30 percent.
- Open Defecation. In Burundi, 3 percent of the population practices open defecation. This is lower than the Africa Human Capital Target for 2023 (15).

#### INDICATORS ON WOMEN'S EMPOWERMENT


- Total Fertility Rate. In Burundi, the total fertility rate is 5.6 births per woman. This is higher than both the average for its region (4.5) and the average for its income group (4.7).
- Contraceptive Prevalence. In Burundi, 29 percent of women ages 15-49 uses some form of contraceptive method. This is lower than the average for its region (31) but higher than the average for its income group (28).
- · Women, Business and the Law Index. This index measures gender equality in the law (how the economic decisions women make are affected by the law), with a larger value showing higher gender equality. In Burundi, the value is 73 out of 100. This is higher than both the average for its region (70) and the average for its income group (68).
- Net Enrolment Rate in Secondary School. In Burundi, 32 percent of girls of secondary-school age are enrolled in secondary school. This is lower than the average for its region (35) but higher than the average for its income group (29).

## DOMESTIC RESOURCE USE AND MOBILIZATION


- Health Spending. Burundi spends 8.5 percent of its government budget on health. This is higher than both the regional average (7.6) and the average for its income group (6.8).
- Education Spending. Burundi spends 20.9 percent of its government budget on education. This is higher than both the regional average (15.3) and the average for its income group (15.5).
- Social Protection Spending. Burundi spends 10.2 percent of its government budget on social protection. This is higher than the average for its region (9.9) but lower than the average for its income group (10.8).

## 1. Human Capital Context

### Indicators in the Africa Human Capital Plan




#### Indicators on Women's Empowerment



- Large circle=Burundi ; small circles=other countries.
- Vertical lines refer to regional targets.
- Pink/light blue=1st tercile; orange/gray=2nd tercile; green/blue=3rd tercile


# 2. Government Expenditure on the Social Sectors






• Efficiency of Spending. The HCI in Burundi is higher than what would be predicted for its level of per capita government spending on the social sectors.





- A selection out of 101 countries in the regression are displayed.
- Domestic Resource Mobilization. The tax revenue in Burundi is 13.5 percent of GDP. This is lower than both the regional average (16.1) and the average for its income group (14.3).

#### 4. Domestic Resource Mobilization



- A selection of countries are displayed. Countries with DRM greater than 25% of GDP are excluded

## **OTHER RELEVANT INDICATORS**

- Human Capital Project. Burundi is part of a network of countries committed to the Human Capital agenda.
- Building Human Capital. The Country Policy and Institutional Assesment rating for building human resources in Burundi is 4 (1 is low and 6 is high). This is higher than both the regional average (3.5) and the average for its income group (3.5). This indicator assesses the national policies and public and private sector service delivery that affect access to and quality of health and education services.
- Identification. In Burundi, 27.2 percent of the population does not have proof of identity. This is lower than both the regional average (33.8) and the average for its income group (34.6).
- Statistical Data on Human Capital. In Burundi, the latest available data point on stunting rate is from 2016. Similarly, the latest available data point on Harmonized Learning Outcomes is from 2014.

#### HOW IS THE WORLD BANK SUPPORTING THE EFFORT?

The following table summarizes the World Bank's investments in Human Development for Burundi, including measures of volume, performance, and other relevant indicators.

HD Portfolio   USD (million)   130   40   50   40   879   1098   879   1098   879   1098   879   1098   879   1098   879   1098   879   1098   879   1098   879   1098   879   1098   879   1098   879   1098   879   1098   879   1098   879   1098   879   1098	World Bank Investments in HD				
USD (million)   130   40   50   40     % of total   2,857   879   1,099   879     Diff. from regional average %   +376   +178   +209   -12     Diff. from income group avg %   -188   -4   -119   -65     HD FY 20 Lending Program   USD (million)   30   0   30   0     W of total   100   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +62   -11   +88   -15     Diff. from income group avg %   +63   -3   +85   -19     HD Performance   4   4   4   5     Average Development Outcome   4   4   4   5     Diff. from regional average %   -0.19   -0.30   -0.54   +0.34     Diff. from income group avg %   -0.20   -0.33   -0.56   +0.41     % Satisfactory DO   100   100   100   100     Average Implementation Progress   5   4   5   5	Indicator	HD	Edu	HNP	SPJ
% of total   2,857   879   1,099   879     Diff. from regional average %   +376   +178   +209   -12     Diff. from income group avg %   -188   -4   -119   -65     HD FY 20 Lending Program   USD (million)   30   0   30   0     W of total   100   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +62   -11   +88   -15     Diff. from income group avg %   +63   -3   +85   -19     HD Performance   4   4   4   5     Average Development Outcome   4   4   4   5     Diff. from regional average %   -0.19   -0.30   -0.54   +0.34     Diff. from income group avg %   -0.20   -0.33   -0.56   +0.41     % Satisfactory DO   100   100   100   100     Average Implementation Progress   5   4   5   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0.34   -0.21   +0.65   +0.47 </td <td>HD Portfolio</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	HD Portfolio				
Diff. from regional average %   +376   +178   +209   -12     Diff. from income group avg %   -188   -4   -119   -65     HD FY 20 Lending Program   USD (million)   30   0   30   0     W of total   100   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +62   -11   +88   -15     Diff. from income group avg %   +63   -3   +85   -19     HD Performance   4   4   4   5     Average Development Outcome   4   4   4   5     Diff. from regional average %   -0.19   -0.30   -0.54   +0.34     Diff. from income group avg %   -0.20   -0.33   -0.56   +0.41     % Satisfactory DO   100   100   100   100     Average Implementation Progress   5   4   5   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0.34   -0.21   +0.65   +0.47     % Satisfactory IP   100   100   100	,	130	40	50	40
Diff. from income group avg %   -188   -4   -119   -65     HD FY 20 Lending Program   USD (million)   30   0   30   0   0	% of total	2,857	879	1,099	879
HD FY 20 Lending Program	Diff. from regional average %	+376	+178	+209	-12
USD (million)   30   0   30   0     % of total   100   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +62   -11   +88   -15     Diff. from income group avg %   +63   -3   +85   -19     HD Performance     Average Development Outcome   4   4   4   5     Diff. from regional average %   -0.19   -0.30   -0.54   +0.34     Diff. from income group avg %   -0.20   -0.33   -0.56   +0.41     % Satisfactory DO   100   100   100   100     Average Implementation Progress   5   4   5   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0.34   -0.21   +0.65   +0.47     % Satisfactory IP   100   100   100   100     Disbursement ratio   6   1   13   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0   -3   +7   -3     Diff. from income group avg %   -0   -3   +5	Diff. from income group avg %	-188	-4	-119	-65
% of total   100   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +62   -11   +88   -15     Diff. from income group avg %   +63   -3   +85   -19     HD Performance     Average Development Outcome   4   4   4   5     Diff. from regional average %   -0.19   -0.30   -0.54   +0.34     Diff. from income group avg %   -0.20   -0.33   -0.56   +0.41     % Satisfactory DO   100   100   100   100     Average Implementation Progress   5   4   5   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0.34   -0.21   +0.65   +0.47     % Satisfactory IP   100   100   100   100     Disbursement ratio   6   1   13   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0   -3   +7   -3     Diff. from income group avg %   -0   -3   +5   -3     Other indicators     Average project size (U	HD FY 20 Lending Program				
Diff. from regional average %   +62   -11   +88   -15     Diff. from income group avg %   +63   -3   +85   -19     HD Performance     Average Development Outcome   4   4   4   5     Diff. from regional average %   -0.19   -0.30   -0.54   +0.34     Diff. from income group avg %   -0.20   -0.33   -0.56   +0.41     % Satisfactory DO   100   100   100   100     Average Implementation Progress   5   4   5   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0.34   -0.21   +0.65   +0.47     % Satisfactory IP   100   100   100   100     Disbursement ratio   6   1   13   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0   -3   +7   -3     Diff. from income group avg %   -0   -3   +5   -3     Other indicators     Average project size (USD mill.)   43   40   50   40	USD (million)	30	0	30	0
Diff. from income group avg %   +63   -3   +85   -19     HD Performance	% of total	100	0	100	0
Note	Diff. from regional average %	+62	-11	+88	-15
Average Development Outcome   4   4   4   5     Diff. from regional average %   -0.19   -0.30   -0.54   +0.34     Diff. from income group avg %   -0.20   -0.33   -0.56   +0.41     % Satisfactory DO   100   100   100   100     Average Implementation Progress   5   4   5   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0.34   -0.21   +0.65   +0.47     Diff. from income group avg %   +0.36   -0.22   +0.62   +0.61     % Satisfactory IP   100   100   100   100     Disbursement ratio   6   1   13   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0   -3   +7   -3     Diff. from income group avg %   -0   -3   +5   -3     Other indicators   Average project size (USD mill.)   43   40   50   40     Diff. from regional average %   -47   -30   -20   -63     Diff. from income group avg %   -46   -26 <td>Diff. from income group avg %</td> <td>+63</td> <td>-3</td> <td>+85</td> <td>-19</td>	Diff. from income group avg %	+63	-3	+85	-19
Diff. from regional average %   -0.19   -0.30   -0.54   +0.34     Diff. from income group avg %   -0.20   -0.33   -0.56   +0.41     % Satisfactory DO   100   100   100   100     Average Implementation Progress   5   4   5   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0.34   -0.21   +0.65   +0.47     Diff. from income group avg %   +0.36   -0.22   +0.62   +0.61     % Satisfactory IP   100   100   100   100     Disbursement ratio   6   1   13   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0   -3   +7   -3     Diff. from income group avg %   -0   -3   +5   -3     Other indicators     Average project size (USD mill.)   43   40   50   40     Diff. from regional average %   -47   -30   -20   -63     Diff. from income group avg %   -46   -26   -24   -57     % of portfolio that is co-TTLid	HD Performance				
Diff. from income group avg %   -0.20   -0.33   -0.56   +0.41     % Satisfactory DO   100   100   100   100     Average Implementation Progress   5   4   5   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0.34   -0.21   +0.65   +0.47     Diff. from income group avg %   +0.36   -0.22   +0.62   +0.61     % Satisfactory IP   100   100   100   100     Disbursement ratio   6   1   13   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0   -3   +7   -3     Diff. from income group avg %   -0   -3   +5   -3     Other indicators     Average project size (USD mill.)   43   40   50   40     Diff. from regional average %   -47   -30   -20   -63     Diff. from income group avg %   -46   -26   -24   -57     % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd   38   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +11	Average Development Outcome	4	4	4	5
% Satisfactory DO   100   100   100   100     Average Implementation Progress   5   4   5   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0.34   -0.21   +0.65   +0.47     Diff. from income group avg %   +0.36   -0.22   +0.62   +0.61     % Satisfactory IP   100   100   100   100     Disbursement ratio   6   1   13   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0   -3   +7   -3     Diff. from income group avg %   -0   -3   +5   -3     Other indicators     Average project size (USD mill.)   43   40   50   40     Diff. from regional average %   -47   -30   -20   -63     Diff. from income group avg %   -46   -26   -24   -57     % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd   38   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +11   -19   +72   -32	Diff. from regional average %	-0.19	-0.30	-0.54	+0.34
Average Implementation Progress   5   4   5   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0.34   -0.21   +0.65   +0.47     Diff. from income group avg %   +0.36   -0.22   +0.62   +0.61     % Satisfactory IP   100   100   100   100     Disbursement ratio   6   1   13   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0   -3   +7   -3     Diff. from income group avg %   -0   -3   +5   -3     Other indicators     Average project size (USD mill.)   43   40   50   40     Diff. from regional average %   -47   -30   -20   -63     Diff. from income group avg %   -46   -26   -24   -57     % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd   38   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +11   -19   +72   -32	Diff. from income group avg %	-0.20	-0.33	-0.56	+0.41
Diff. from regional average % +0.34 -0.21 +0.65 +0.47   Diff. from income group avg % +0.36 -0.22 +0.62 +0.61   % Satisfactory IP 100 100 100 100   Disbursement ratio 6 1 13 5   Diff. from regional average % +0 -3 +7 -3   Diff. from income group avg % -0 -3 +5 -3   Other indicators   Average project size (USD mill.) 43 40 50 40   Diff. from regional average % -47 -30 -20 -63   Diff. from income group avg % -46 -26 -24 -57   % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 38 0 100 0   Diff. from regional average % +11 -19 +72 -32	% Satisfactory DO	100	100	100	100
Diff. from income group avg %   +0.36   -0.22   +0.62   +0.61     % Satisfactory IP   100   100   100   100     Disbursement ratio   6   1   13   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0   -3   +7   -3     Diff. from income group avg %   -0   -3   +5   -3     Other indicators     Average project size (USD mill.)   43   40   50   40     Diff. from regional average %   -47   -30   -20   -63     Diff. from income group avg %   -46   -26   -24   -57     % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd   38   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +11   -19   +72   -32	Average Implementation Progress	5	4	5	5
% Satisfactory IP   100   100   100   100     Disbursement ratio   6   1   13   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0   -3   +7   -3     Diff. from income group avg %   -0   -3   +5   -3     Other indicators     Average project size (USD mill.)   43   40   50   40     Diff. from regional average %   -47   -30   -20   -63     Diff. from income group avg %   -46   -26   -24   -57     % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd   38   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +11   -19   +72   -32	Diff. from regional average %	+0.34	-0.21	+0.65	+0.47
Disbursement ratio   6   1   13   5     Diff. from regional average %   +0   -3   +7   -3     Diff. from income group avg %   -0   -3   +5   -3     Other indicators     Average project size (USD mill.)   43   40   50   40     Diff. from regional average %   -47   -30   -20   -63     Diff. from income group avg %   -46   -26   -24   -57     % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd   38   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +11   -19   +72   -32	Diff. from income group avg %	+0.36	-0.22	+0.62	+0.61
Diff. from regional average % +0 -3 +7 -3   Diff. from income group avg % -0 -3 +5 -3   Other indicators   Average project size (USD mill.) 43 40 50 40   Diff. from regional average % -47 -30 -20 -63   Diff. from income group avg % -46 -26 -24 -57   % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 38 0 100 0   Diff. from regional average % +11 -19 +72 -32	% Satisfactory IP	100	100	100	100
Diff. from income group avg %   -0   -3   +5   -3     Other indicators     Average project size (USD mill.)   43   40   50   40     Diff. from regional average %   -47   -30   -20   -63     Diff. from income group avg %   -46   -26   -24   -57     % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd   38   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +11   -19   +72   -32	Disbursement ratio	6	1	13	5
Other indicators     Average project size (USD mill.)   43   40   50   40     Diff. from regional average %   -47   -30   -20   -63     Diff. from income group avg %   -46   -26   -24   -57     % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd   38   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +11   -19   +72   -32	Diff. from regional average %	+0	-3	+7	-3
Average project size (USD mill.)   43   40   50   40     Diff. from regional average %   -47   -30   -20   -63     Diff. from income group avg %   -46   -26   -24   -57     % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd   38   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +11   -19   +72   -32	Diff. from income group avg %	-0	-3	+5	-3
Diff. from regional average % -47 -30 -20 -63   Diff. from income group avg % -46 -26 -24 -57   % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 38 0 100 0   Diff. from regional average % +11 -19 +72 -32	Other indicators				
Diff. from income group avg %   -46   -26   -24   -57     % of portfolio that is co-TTLd   38   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +11   -19   +72   -32	Average project size (USD mill.)	43	40	50	40
% of portfolio that is co-TTL'd   38   0   100   0     Diff. from regional average %   +11   -19   +72   -32	Diff. from regional average %	-47	-30	-20	-63
Diff. from regional average % +11 -19 +72 -32	Diff. from income group avg %	-46	-26	-24	-57
0 0	% of portfolio that is co-TTL'd	38	0	100	0
Diff from income group avg $\%$ +9 -26 +67 -35	Diff. from regional average %	+11	-19	+72	-32
Emi. from freeding group and 70 20 101 35	Diff. from income group avg %	+9	-26	+67	-35

Note: a) Pink indicates that the value is within the first tercile of the distribution for all the countries. Orange indicates that the value is within the second tercile. Green indicates that it is within the third tercile. b) FY20 lending program includes only projects rated A and B. c) DO and IP are on a scale of 1 to 6 where 1 is Highly Unsatisfactory and 6 is Highly Satisfactory. d) Data as of September 5, 2019.

- · Human Capital Policy Operations. Currently, the pipeline for Burundi does not include any Development Policy Operation with a Human Capital-related component or prior action.
- Women's Empowerment Project. Currently, Burundi has at least one active project focused on women empowerment or on sexual and reproductive health.

This scorecard is intended to be a conversation starter on where a country is on various aspects of human capital development and the state of the World Bank's support in the social sectors. The list of indicators presented here is not exhaustive and should be complemented with more context specific variables. Most of the indicators are related to the Africa Human Capital Plan.

The sources of data for the different indicators include: the Human Capital Project, the World Development Indicators, and the World Bank's internal system to monitor investments.

For more information, please contact the Africa Human Capital Project team: AFR\_HCP\_Team@worldbankgroup.org

