Niger

Commitment to Human Capital - Scorecard

This scorecard presents a snapshot of the country's commitment to the human capital agenda and the World Bank Group's support for the social sectors.

INDICATORS IN THE AFRICA HUMAN CAPITAL PLAN

- Human Capital Index. In Niger the productivity as a future
 worker of a child born today is 32 percent as much as it
 could be. The HCI has three components: survival to age 5,
 health, and education. For more information on human capital outcomes and the HCI, please see the country two-pager on
 www.worldbank.org/humancapitalproject
- Adolescent Fertility Rate. In Niger, there are 192 births per 1,000 women ages 15-19. This is higher than the Africa Human Capital Target for 2023 (83).
- Social Protection Coverage. In Niger, 21 percent of the poorest quintile is covered by social safety nets. This is lower than the Africa Human Capital Target for 2023 (30).
- Open Defecation. In Niger, 71 percent of the population practices open defecation. This is higher than the Africa Human Capital Target for 2023 (15).

INDICATORS ON WOMEN'S EMPOWERMENT


- **Total Fertility Rate.** In Niger, the total fertility rate is **7.2** births per woman. This is higher than both the average for its region (4.5) and the average for its income group (4.7).
- Contraceptive Prevalence. In Niger, 19 percent of women ages 15-49 uses some form of contraceptive method. This is lower than both the average for its region (31) and the average for its income group (28).
- Women, Business and the Law Index. This index measures gender equality in the law (how the economic decisions women make are affected by the law), with a larger value showing higher gender equality. In Niger, the value is 53 out of 100. This is lower than both the average for its region (70) and the average for its income group (68).
- Net Enrolment Rate in Secondary School. In Niger, 17 percent of girls of secondary-school age are enrolled in secondary school. This is lower than both the average for its region (35) and the average for its income group (29).

DOMESTIC RESOURCE USE AND MOBILIZATION

- **Health Spending.** Niger spends **5.7 percent** of its government budget on health. This is lower than both the regional average (7.6) and the average for its income group (6.8).
- Education Spending. Niger spends 18.3 percent of its government budget on education. This is higher than both the regional average (15.3) and the average for its income group (15.5).
- Social Protection Spending. Niger spends 4 percent of its government budget on social protection. This is lower than both the regional average (9.9) and the average for its income group (10.8).

1. Human Capital Context

Indicators in the Africa Human Capital Plan




Indicators on Women's Empowerment




- Large circle=Niger; small circles=other countries.
- Vertical lines refer to regional targets.
- Pink/light blue=1st tercile; orange/gray=2nd tercile; green/blue=3rd tercile



 Efficiency of Spending. The HCI in Niger is lower than what would be predicted for its level of per capita government spending on the social sectors.



Domestic Resource Mobilization. In Niger, data on domestic resource mobilization do not exist. The average for the country's region is 16.1 percent and for its income group is 14.3 percent.



A selection of countries are displayed.
Countries with DRM greater than 25% of GDP are excluded.

OTHER RELEVANT INDICATORS

- Human Capital Project. Niger is part of a network of countries committed to the Human Capital agenda.
- Building Human Capital. The Country Policy and Institutional Assesment rating for building human resources in Niger is 3.5 (1 is low and 6 is high). This indicator assesses the national policies and public and private sector service delivery that affect access to and quality of health and education services.
- **Identification.** In Niger, **29.7 percent** of the population does not have proof of identity. This is lower than both the regional average (33.8) and the average for its income group (34.6).
- Statistical Data on Human Capital. In Niger, the latest available data point on stunting rate is from 2016. Similarly, the latest available data point on Harmonized Learning Outcomes is from 2014.

HOW IS THE WORLD BANK SUPPORTING THE EFFORT?

The following table summarizes the World Bank's investments in Human Development for Niger, including measures of volume, performance, and other relevant indicators.

Indicator	World Bank Investments in HD				
USD (million) 384 80 103 201 % of total 25 5 7 13 Diff. from regional average % of the from income group avg % -6 -4 -6 +3 HD FY 20 Lending Program USD (million) 0 0 0 0 Wof total 0 0 0 0 0 0 Diff. from regional average % of total -38 -11 -12 -15 -15 -19 -15 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -10 -10 -10 <th>Indicator</th> <th>HD</th> <th>Edu</th> <th>HNP</th> <th>SPJ</th>	Indicator	HD	Edu	HNP	SPJ
% of total 25 5 7 13 Diff. from regional average % -0 -2 -2 +4 Diff. from income group avg % -6 -4 -6 +3 HD FY 20 Lending Program USD (million) 0 0 0 0 Wof total 0 0 0 0 0 0 Diff. from regional average % -38 -11 -12 -15 -19 HD Performance -37 -3 -15 -19 -19 +10 +10 -10 </th <th>HD Portfolio</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	HD Portfolio				
Diff. from regional average % -0 -2 -2 +4 Diff. from income group avg % -6 -4 -6 +3 HD FY 20 Lending Program USD (million) 0 0 0 0 Wo f total 0 0 0 0 0 0 Diff. from regional average % -38 -11 -12 -15 -19 HD Performance -37 -3 -15 -19 -19 HD Performance -4 5 5 -19 -15 -19 HD Performance -8 4 5 -5 -19 -19 -19 -15 -19 -19 -19 -15 -19 -19 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -19 -15 -15 -15	USD (million)	384	80	103	201
Diff. from income group avg % -6 -4 -6 +3 HD FY 20 Lending Program	% of total	25	5	7	13
HD FY 20 Lending Program	Diff. from regional average %	-0	-2	-2	+4
USD (million) 0 0 0 0 % of total 0 0 0 0 Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % -38 -11 -12 -15 Diff. from income group avg % -37 -3 -15 -19 HD Performance ***	Diff. from income group avg %	-6	-4	-6	+3
% of total 0 0 0 Diff. from regional average % -38 -11 -12 -15 Diff. from income group avg % -37 -3 -15 -19 HD Performance Average Development Outcome 5 4 5 5 Diff. from regional average % +0.28 -0.30 +0.46 +0.34 Diff. from income group avg % +0.26 -0.33 +0.44 +0.41 % Satisfactory DO 100 100 100 100 Average Implementation Progress 5 5 5 5 Diff. from regional average % +0.67 +0.79 +0.65 +0.47 Diff. from income group avg % +0.69 +0.78 +0.62 +0.61 % Satisfactory IP 100 100 100 100 Disbursement ratio 5 1 1 7 Diff. from regional average % -2 -4 -6 -0 Diff. from income group avg % -2 -4 -	HD FY 20 Lending Program				
Diff. from regional average % -38 -11 -12 -15 Diff. from income group avg % -37 -3 -15 -19 HD Performance Average Development Outcome 5 4 5 5 Diff. from regional average % +0.28 -0.30 +0.46 +0.34 Diff. from income group avg % +0.26 -0.33 +0.44 +0.41 % Satisfactory DO 100 100 100 100 Average Implementation Progress 5 5 5 5 Diff. from regional average % +0.67 +0.79 +0.65 +0.47 Diff. from income group avg % +0.69 +0.78 +0.62 +0.61 % Satisfactory IP 100 100 100 100 Disbursement ratio 5 1 1 7 Diff. from regional average % -2 -4 -6 -0 Diff. from income group avg % -2 -4 -6 -0 Other indicators	USD (million)	0	0	0	0
Diff. from income group avg % -37 -3 -15 -19 HD Performance Average Development Outcome 5 4 5 5 Diff. from regional average % +0.28 -0.30 +0.46 +0.34 Diff. from income group avg % +0.26 -0.33 +0.44 +0.41 % Satisfactory DO 100 100 100 100 Average Implementation Progress 5 5 5 5 Diff. from regional average % +0.67 +0.79 +0.65 +0.47 Diff. from income group avg % +0.69 +0.78 +0.62 +0.61 % Satisfactory IP 100 100 100 100 Disbursement ratio 5 1 1 7 Diff. from regional average % -2 -4 -6 -0 Diff. from income group avg % -2 -4 -7 -0 Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) 77 80 103 67	% of total	0	0	0	0
Note	Diff. from regional average %	-38	-11	-12	-15
Average Development Outcome 5 4 5 5 Diff. from regional average % +0.28 -0.30 +0.46 +0.34 Diff. from income group avg % +0.26 -0.33 +0.44 +0.41 % Satisfactory DO 100 100 100 100 Average Implementation Progress 5 5 5 5 Diff. from regional average % +0.67 +0.79 +0.65 +0.47 Diff. from income group avg % +0.69 +0.78 +0.62 +0.61 % Satisfactory IP 100 100 100 100 Disbursement ratio 5 1 1 7 Diff. from regional average % -2 -4 -6 -0 Diff. from income group avg % -2 -4 -6 -0 Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) 77 80 103 67 Diff. from regional average % -14 +10 +33 -36 Diff. from income group avg % -12 +14 <td>Diff. from income group avg %</td> <td>-37</td> <td>-3</td> <td>-15</td> <td>-19</td>	Diff. from income group avg %	-37	-3	-15	-19
Diff. from regional average % +0.28 -0.30 +0.46 +0.34 Diff. from income group avg % +0.26 -0.33 +0.44 +0.41 % Satisfactory DO 100 100 100 100 Average Implementation Progress 5 5 5 5 Diff. from regional average % +0.67 +0.79 +0.65 +0.47 Diff. from income group avg % +0.69 +0.78 +0.62 +0.61 % Satisfactory IP 100 100 100 100 Disbursement ratio 5 1 1 7 Diff. from regional average % -2 -4 -6 -0 Diff. from income group avg % -2 -4 -6 -0 Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) 77 80 103 67 Diff. from regional average % -14 +10 +33 -36 Diff. from income group avg % -12 +14 +29 -30 % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 48 0 100 40 Diff. from regional average % </td <td>HD Performance</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	HD Performance				
Diff. from income group avg % +0.26 -0.33 +0.44 +0.41 % Satisfactory DO 100 100 100 100 100 Average Implementation Progress 5 5 5 5 Diff. from regional average % +0.67 +0.79 +0.65 +0.47 Diff. from income group avg % +0.69 +0.78 +0.62 +0.61 % Satisfactory IP 100 100 100 100 Disbursement ratio 5 1 1 7 Diff. from regional average % -2 -4 -6 -0 Diff. from income group avg % -2 -4 -6 -0 Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) 77 80 103 67 Diff. from regional average % -14 +10 +33 -36 Diff. from income group avg % -12 +14 +29 -30 % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 48 0 100 40 Diff. from regional average % -2 -19 +72 +8	Average Development Outcome	5	4	5	5
% Satisfactory DO 100 100 100 100 Average Implementation Progress 5 5 5 Diff. from regional average % +0.67 +0.79 +0.65 +0.47 Diff. from income group avg % +0.69 +0.78 +0.62 +0.61 % Satisfactory IP 100 100 100 100 Disbursement ratio 5 1 1 7 Diff. from regional average % -2 -4 -6 -0 Diff. from income group avg % -2 -4 -7 -0 Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) 77 80 103 67 Diff. from regional average % -14 +10 +33 -36 Diff. from income group avg % -12 +14 +29 -30 % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 48 0 100 40 Diff. from regional average % +20 -19 +72 +8	Diff. from regional average %	+0.28	-0.30	+0.46	+0.34
Average Implementation Progress 5 5 5 Diff. from regional average % +0.67 +0.79 +0.65 +0.47 Diff. from income group avg % +0.69 +0.78 +0.62 +0.61 % Satisfactory IP 100 100 100 100 Disbursement ratio 5 1 1 7 Diff. from regional average % -2 -4 -6 -0 Diff. from income group avg % -2 -4 -7 -0 Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) 77 80 103 67 Diff. from regional average % -14 +10 +33 -36 Diff. from income group avg % -12 +14 +29 -30 % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 48 0 100 40 Diff. from regional average % +20 -19 +72 +8	Diff. from income group avg %	+0.26	-0.33	+0.44	+0.41
Diff. from regional average % +0.67 +0.79 +0.65 +0.47 Diff. from income group avg % +0.69 +0.78 +0.62 +0.61 % Satisfactory IP 100 100 100 100 Disbursement ratio 5 1 1 7 Diff. from regional average % -2 -4 -6 -0 Diff. from income group avg % -2 -4 -7 -0 Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) 77 80 103 67 Diff. from regional average % -14 +10 +33 -36 Diff. from income group avg % -12 +14 +29 -30 % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 48 0 100 40 Diff. from regional average % +20 -19 +72 +8	% Satisfactory DO	100	100	100	100
Diff. from income group avg % +0.69 +0.78 +0.62 +0.61 % Satisfactory IP 100 100 100 100 Disbursement ratio 5 1 1 7 Diff. from regional average % -2 -4 -6 -0 Diff. from income group avg % -2 -4 -7 -0 Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) 77 80 103 67 Diff. from regional average % -14 +10 +33 -36 Diff. from income group avg % -12 +14 +29 -30 % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 48 0 100 40 Diff. from regional average % +20 -19 +72 +8	Average Implementation Progress	5	5	5	5
% Satisfactory IP 100 100 100 100 Disbursement ratio 5 1 1 7 Diff. from regional average % -2 -4 -6 -0 Diff. from income group avg % -2 -4 -7 -0 Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) 77 80 103 67 Diff. from regional average % -14 +10 +33 -36 Diff. from income group avg % -12 +14 +29 -30 % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 48 0 100 40 Diff. from regional average % +20 -19 +72 +8	Diff. from regional average %	+0.67	+0.79	+0.65	+0.47
Disbursement ratio 5 1 1 7 Diff. from regional average % -2 -4 -6 -0 Diff. from income group avg % -2 -4 -7 -0 Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) 77 80 103 67 Diff. from regional average % -14 +10 +33 -36 Diff. from income group avg % -12 +14 +29 -30 % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 48 0 100 40 Diff. from regional average % +20 -19 +72 +8	Diff. from income group avg %	+0.69	+0.78	+0.62	+0.61
Diff. from regional average % -2 -4 -6 -0 Diff. from income group avg % -2 -4 -7 -0 Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) 77 80 103 67 Diff. from regional average % -14 +10 +33 -36 Diff. from income group avg % -12 +14 +29 -30 % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 48 0 100 40 Diff. from regional average % +20 -19 +72 +8	% Satisfactory IP	100	100	100	100
Diff. from income group avg % -2 -4 -7 -0 Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) 77 80 103 67 Diff. from regional average % -14 +10 +33 -36 Diff. from income group avg % -12 +14 +29 -30 % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 48 0 100 40 Diff. from regional average % +20 -19 +72 +8	Disbursement ratio	5	1	1	7
Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) 77 80 103 67 Diff. from regional average % -14 +10 +33 -36 Diff. from income group avg % -12 +14 +29 -30 % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 48 0 100 40 Diff. from regional average % +20 -19 +72 +8	Diff. from regional average %	-2	-4	-6	-0
Average project size (USD mill.) 77 80 103 67 Diff. from regional average % -14 +10 +33 -36 Diff. from income group avg % -12 +14 +29 -30 % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 48 0 100 40 Diff. from regional average % +20 -19 +72 +8	Diff. from income group avg %	-2	-4	-7	-0
Diff. from regional average % -14 +10 +33 -36 Diff. from income group avg % -12 +14 +29 -30 % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 48 0 100 40 Diff. from regional average % +20 -19 +72 +8	Other indicators				
Diff. from income group avg % -12 +14 +29 -30 % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 48 0 100 40 Diff. from regional average % +20 -19 +72 +8	Average project size (USD mill.)	77	80	103	67
% of portfolio that is co-TTL'd 48 0 100 40 Diff. from regional average % +20 -19 +72 +8	Diff. from regional average %	-14	+10	+33	-36
Diff. from regional average % +20 -19 +72 +8	Diff. from income group avg %	-12	+14	+29	-30
	% of portfolio that is co-TTL'd	48	0	100	40
Diff. from income group avg % +18 -26 +67 +5	Diff. from regional average %	+20	-19	+72	+8
	Diff. from income group avg %	+18	-26	+67	+5

Note: a) Pink indicates that the value is within the first tercile of the distribution for all the countries. Orange indicates that the value is within the second tercile. Green indicates that it is within the third tercile. b) FY20 lending program includes only projects rated A and B. c) DO and IP are on a scale of 1 to 6 where 1 is Highly Unsatisfactory and 6 is Highly Satisfactory. d) Data as of September 5, 2019.

- Human Capital Policy Operations. Currently, the pipeline for Niger includes at least one Development Policy Operation with a Human Capital-related component or prior action.
- Women's Empowerment Project. Currently, Niger has at least one active project focused on women empowerment or on sexual and reproductive health.

This scorecard is intended to be a conversation starter on where a country is on various aspects of human capital development and the state of the World Bank's support in the social sectors. The list of indicators presented here is not exhaustive and should be complemented with more context specific variables. Most of the indicators are related to the Africa Human Capital Plan.

The sources of data for the different indicators include: the Human Capital Project, the World Development Indicators, and the World Bank's internal system to monitor investments.

For more information, please contact the Africa Human Capital Project team: $AFR_HCP_Team@worldbankgroup.org$

