The Federated States of Micronesia

Commitment to Human Capital - Scorecard

This scorecard presents a snapshot of the country's commitment to the human capital agenda and presents information on how the World Bank Group is supporting the government in the social sectors.

In Micronesia there are no enough data to calculate the productivity as a future worker of a child born today. The HCI has three components: survival to age 5, health, and education. For more information on human capital outcomes and the HCI, please see the country two-pager on www.worldbank.org/humancapitalproject


KEY OUTCOME AND OUTPUT INDICATORS TO MONITOR

- Women, Business and the Law Index. This index measures gender equality in the law (how the economic decisions women make are affected by the law), with a larger value showing higher gender equality. In Micronesia, the value is 61 out of 100. This is lower than both the average for its region (72) and the average for its income group (69).
- Net Enrolment Rate in Secondary School. In Micronesia, data on secondary net enrolment rates for girls do not exist. In its region 75 percent of girls of secondary-school age are enrolled in secondary school. The corresponding value for its income group is 75 percent.
- **Total Fertility Rate.** In Micronesia, the total fertility rate is **3.1** births per woman. This is higher than the average for its region (2.4) but lower than the average for its income group (3.1).
- Adolescent Fertility Rate. In Micronesia, there are 14 births per 1,000 women ages 15-19. This is lower than both the average for its region (26) and the average for its income group (55).
- Contraceptive Prevalence. In Micronesia, **55 percent** of women ages 15-49 uses some form of contraceptive method. This is higher than both the average for its region (52) and the average for its income group (49).
- Social Protection Coverage. In Micronesia, data on the percentage of the population that is covered by social safety nets do not exist. The average for the country's region is 33 percent and for its income group is 33 percent.
- **Open Defecation.** In Micronesia, **10 percent** of the population practices open defecation. This is higher than the average for its region (8) but lower than the average for its income group (13).


DOMESTIC RESOURCE USE AND MOBILIZATION

- Health Spending. Micronesia spends 5.7 percent of its government budget on health. This is lower than both the regional average (10) and the average for its income group (7.7).
- Education Spending. Micronesia spends 22.3 percent of its government budget on education. This is higher than both the regional average (14.8) and the average for its income group (15.7).
- Social Protection Spending. In Micronesia, data on social protection spending do not exist. The average for the country's region is 7.9 percent and for its income group is 9.3 percent.

1. Key Indicators on Human Capital





- Large circle=Federated States of Micronesia; small circles=other countries.
- Vertical lines separate terciles of the distribution.
- Pink/light blue='1st tercile; orange/gray=2nd tercile; green/blue=3rd tercile



• Efficiency of Spending. Given the lack of data, it is not possible to predict if the HCI in Micronesia is higher or lower than what would be predicted for its level of per capita government spending.

Statistical Data on Human Capital. In Micronesia, data on stunting rate and Harmonized Learning Outcomes are unavailable after year 2000.





- A selection of 146 countries in the regression are displayed.

• **Domestic Resource Mobilization.** The tax revenue in Micronesia is **11.3** percent of GDP. This is lower than both the regional average (16.9) and the average for its income group (15.6).



- A selection of countries are displayed. - Countries with DRM greater than 25% of GDP are excluded.

OTHER RELEVANT INDICATORS

 Human Capital Project. Micronesia is not part of a network of countries committed to the Human Capital agenda.

Log GDP Per Capita at PPP

- Building Human Capital. The Country Policy and Institutional Assessment rating for building human resources in Micronesia is 3 (1 is low and 6 is high). This is lower than both the regional average (3.3) and the average for its income group (3.6). This indicator assesses the national policies and public and private sector service delivery that affect access to and quality of health and education services.
- **Identification.** In Micronesia, **31.8 percent** of the population does not have proof of identity. This is higher than both the regional average (13.4) and the average for its income group (20.3).

HOW IS THE WORLD BANK SUPPORTING THE EFFORT?

The following table summarizes the World Bank's investments in Human Development for Micronesia, including measures of volume, performance, and other relevant indicators.

HD Portfolio	World Bank Investments in HD				
USD (million) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Indicator	HD	Edu	HNP	SPJ
Nof total	HD Portfolio				
Diff. from regional average %	USD (million)	0	0	0	0
Diff. from income group avg % -17	7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	0	0	0
HD FY 20 Lending Program	Diff. from regional average %	-8	-3	-2	-3
USD (million) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Diff. from income group avg %	-17	-5	-6	-6
% of total 0 0 0 Diff. from regional average % -16 -6 -8 -2 Diff. from income group avg % -24 -17 -4 -4 HD Performance Average Development Outcome .	HD FY 20 Lending Program				
Diff. from regional average % -16 -6 -8 -2 Diff. from income group avg % -24 -17 -4 -4 HD Performance Average Development Outcome Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory DO Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg %	USD (million)	0	0	0	0
Diff. from income group avg % -24 -17 -4 -4 HD Performance Average Development Outcome Diff. from regional average %	% of total	0	0	0	0
HD Performance Average Development Outcome Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory DO Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %	Diff. from regional average %	-16	-6	-8	-2
Average Development Outcome Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory DO Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %	Diff. from income group avg %	-24	-17	-4	-4
Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory DO Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Of portfolio that is co-TTLd Diff. from regional average %	HD Performance				
Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory DO Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Of portfolio that is co-TTLd Diff. from regional average %	Average Development Outcome				
% Satisfactory DO Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTLd Diff. from regional average %	Diff. from regional average %				
Average Implementation Progress Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %	Diff. from income group avg %				
Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %	% Satisfactory DO				
Diff. from income group avg % % Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %	Average Implementation Progress				
% Satisfactory IP Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %	Diff. from regional average %				
Disbursement ratio Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %	Diff. from income group avg %				
Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % Other indicators Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTL'd Diff. from regional average %	% Satisfactory IP			•	
Diff. from income group avg %	Disbursement ratio				
Diff. from income group avg %	Diff. from regional average %				
Average project size (USD mill.) Diff. from regional average % Diff. from income group avg % % of portfolio that is co-TTLd Diff. from regional average %					
Diff. from regional average %	Other indicators				
Diff. from income group avg %	Average project size (USD mill.)				
% of portfolio that is co-TTLd	Diff. from regional average %			•	
Diff. from regional average %	Diff. from income group avg %				
č č	% of portfolio that is co-TTL'd				
Diff. from income group avg %	Diff. from regional average %			•	
0 1 0	Diff. from income group avg %			•	

Note: a) Pink indicates that the value is within the first tercile of the distribution for all the countries. Orange indicates that the value is within

the second tercile. **Green** indicates that it is within the third tercile. b) FY20 lending program includes only projects rated A and B. c) DO and IP are on a scale of 1 to 6 where 1 is Highly Unsatisfactory and 6 is Highly Satisfactory. d) Data as of September 5, 2019.

This scorecard is intended to be a conversation starter on where a country is on various aspects of human capital development and the state of the World Bank's support in the social sectors. The choice of indicators is, to a large extent, driven by what is most relevant for the two regions with the lowest HCI scores (Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia). As such, not all the indicators included here are relevant for each country. The scorecard should be complemented with other more context specific indicators.

The sources of data for the different indicators include: the Human Capital Project, the World Development Indicators, and the World Bank's internal system to monitor investments.

For more information, please contact the Human Capital Project team: $\label{eq:hcp_Team} \textbf{HCP_Team@worldbank.org}$