

## Exercise 2.1

Information on 74 automobiles was collected (in 1978) to study the relationship between gas mileage (mpg) and various features of the cars. We would like to investigate the relationship between mileage and whether the car is made in the U.S. (foreign: 0=made in U.S.; 1=made outside U.S.).

A simple linear regression model is fit, with foreign as the explanatory variable:

$$E[MPG] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 FOREIGN$$

. regress mpg foreign
Source   SS df MS Number of obs = 74
-----+----- F(1, 72) = 13.18
Model   378.153515 1 378.153515 Prob > F = 0.0005
Residual   2065.30594 72 28.6848048 R-squared = 0.1548
-----+----- Adj R-squared = 0.1430
Total   2443.45946 73 33.4720474 Root MSE = 5.3558
-----
mpg   Coef. Std. Err. t P> t  [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
foreign   4.945804 1.362162 3.63 0.001 2.230384 7.661225
_cons   19.82692 .7427186 26.70 0.000 18.34634 21.30751
-----

- (a) Identify the value of  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and interpret this value.

- (b) Identify the value of  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and interpret this value.
- (c) Is there a significant difference in mileage between foreign and domestic (not foreign) cars? Cite specific evidence from the Stata output in your answer.
- (d) Suppose I am concerned about the normality assumption. I create a histogram of the mileage values and check to see if it is skewed. Is this an appropriate way to check the normality assumption? If not, describe a plot that could be used to check this assumption.

## Exercise 2.2

A study compared the growth rate of 16 male and 16 female chicks. Growth, as measured by increase in weight in grams, was measured at day 7. Then a linear regression model was performed, using sex to predict weight gain. In the data set, the variable `male` takes the values 1 for male chicks and 0 for female chicks. Stata output is below.

```
. regress wtgain male

      Source |       SS           df          MS      Number of obs =       32
-----+----- F(1, 30) = 0.09
    Model | 3.78125262          1  3.78125262  Prob > F   = 0.7717
Residual | 1323.27866         30  44.1092886 R-squared = 0.0028
-----+----- Adj R-squared = -0.0304
  Total | 1327.05991         31  42.8083842 Root MSE   = 6.6415

-----+
      wtgain |     Coef.    Std. Err.      t    P>|t| [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+
    male | .6875002  2.348119    0.29    0.772
  _cons | 27.15625  1.660371   16.36    0.000    23.76532    30.54718
-----+
```

- (a) Write the population regression line being estimated here (i.e., use  $\beta$ s not  $\hat{\beta}$ s).

- (b) In terms of the  $\beta$ s, what is the expected mean weight of female chicks?
- (c) In terms of the  $\beta$ s, what is the expected mean weight of male chicks?
- (d) What are the null and alternative hypotheses to test whether there is a significant difference in weight gain between male and female chicks?
- (e) For the test of the hypotheses you stated in (d), report the test statistic value, the distribution of the test statistic under the null hypothesis, and the p-value.
- (f) Write a one-sentence conclusion (in the context of the problem).

### Exercise 2.3

A small study collected systolic blood pressure (SBP) from 32 men, along with several predictors of SBP. One predictor was smoking status, recorded as 1=smoker, 0=non-smoker. A two-sample t-test was performed to compare the mean SBP between smokers and non-smokers. Stata output from the t-test is below.

```
. ttest sbp, by(smk)

Two-sample t test with equal variances

-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
      Group |      Obs       Mean    Std. Err.    Std. Dev.   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
          0 |      15     140.8    3.331237   12.90183   133.6552    147.9448
          1 |      17    147.8235   3.689448   15.21198   140.0022    155.6448
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
combined |      32    144.5313   2.545151   14.39755   139.3404    149.7221
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
      diff |           -7.023529    5.023498                  -17.28288    3.235823
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
      diff = mean(0) - mean(1)                      t = -1.3981
Ho: diff = 0                                     degrees of freedom = 30
      Ha: diff < 0          Ha: diff != 0          Ha: diff > 0
Pr(T < t) = 0.0862      Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.1723      Pr(T > t) = 0.9138
```

- (a) Is there evidence of a significant difference in mean SBP for the two groups?

(b) A linear regression was also performed using the smoking status variable to predict SBP. The output is below – but part of the output is missing (missing values labeled with letters A-E). Fill in the missing values, using the t-test output if necessary.

```
. regress sbp smk
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	32
Model	393.098162	1	393.098162	F(1, 30)	=	1.95
Residual	6032.87059	30	201.095686	Prob > F	=	__(D)__(E)
Total	6425.96875	31	207.289315	R-squared	=	0.0299
				Adj R-squared	=	0.0299
				Root MSE	=	14.181

  

sbp	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
smk	__(A)__(B)__(C)	5.023498	-3.235823	17.28288	
_cons	140.8	3.661472	38.45	0.000	133.3223 148.2777