

Exercise 6.1

A survey of a random sample of students at the University of New Hampshire was conducted. We are interested in whether how far away from campus a student lives (miles; number of miles away, 0 miles means student lives on campus) is associated with grade point average (GPA), which is measured on a 4-point scale.

A series of models were performed and Stata output is provided at the end of the problem. Use this to answer the questions below (note: output spans 2 pages).

(a) What is the unadjusted effect of miles from school on GPA? Is there evidence of a significant effect? (“unadjusted” = not adjusted for any other predictors)

Estimated mean GPA increases by 0.0124 points for each 1 mile further away from school. This is a significant association ($p\text{-value} = 0.025$).

(b) Is there evidence that age confounds the relationship between GPA and miles away from school?

A: predictor of interest (miles) is not a cause of potential confounder (miles) – definitely true

B: potential confounder (age) is associated with outcome (GPA) – yes, $p\text{-value} < 0.0005$ from model of GPA predicted by age

C: potential confounder (age) is associated with predictor of interest (miles) – yes, $p\text{-value} < 0.0005$ from model of miles predicted by age

D: coefficient for predictor of interest (miles) changes when potential confounder (age) is added – goes from 0.0124 to 0.00513 (much larger than a 10% change!)

Thus yes, age is a confounder of the relationship between GPA and miles from school.

```
. regress gpa age
```

Source		SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	218
-----+-----					F(1, 216)	=	17.64
Model		3.45507544	1	3.45507544	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Residual		42.2966637	216	.195817887	R-squared	=	0.0755
-----+-----					Adj R-squared	=	0.0712
Total		45.7517391	217	.210837507	Root MSE	=	.44251

gpa		Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
-----+-----							
age		.0415214	.0098848	4.20	0.000	.0220383	.0610045
_cons		1.952825	.2058752	9.49	0.000	1.547044	2.358607

```
. regress gpa miles
```

Source		SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	206
-----+-----					F(1, 204)	=	5.08
Model		1.04763577	1	1.04763577	Prob > F	=	0.0253
Residual		42.1070429	204	.206407073	R-squared	=	0.0243
-----+-----					Adj R-squared	=	0.0195
Total		43.1546787	205	.210510628	Root MSE	=	.45432

gpa		Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
-----+-----							
miles		.0123695	.0054905	2.25	0.025	.0015442	.0231949
_cons		2.775878	.0338218	82.07	0.000	2.709193	2.842563

```
. regress miles age
```

Source		SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	226
-----+-----					F(1, 224)	=	31.98
Model		866.680685	1	866.680685	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Residual		6071.31932	224	27.1041041	R-squared	=	0.1249
-----+-----					Adj R-squared	=	0.1210
Total		6938	225	30.8355556	Root MSE	=	5.2062

miles		Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
-----+-----							
age		.6477434	.1145489	5.65	0.000	.4220121	.8734748
_cons		-11.23861	2.366632	-4.75	0.000	-15.90232	-6.574904

```
. regress gpa age miles
```

Source		SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	206
-----+-----					F(2, 203)	=	9.66
Model		3.75001816	2	1.87500908	Prob > F	=	0.0001
Residual		39.4046605	203	.194111628	R-squared	=	0.0869
-----+-----					Adj R-squared	=	0.0779
Total		43.1546787	205	.210510628	Root MSE	=	.44058

gpa		Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
-----+-----							
age		.0395682	.0106047	3.73	0.000	.0186587	.0604777
miles		.0051321	.0056668	0.91	0.366	-.0060412	.0163053
_cons		1.974672	.2172224	9.09	0.000	1.54637	2.402973

Exercise 6.2

We are interested in quantifying the relationship between percent body fat `pctfat` and the following predictors: triceps skin-fold thickness (`tricep`), thigh circumference (`thigh`), mid-arm circumference (`midarm`). A regression model was run using these three variables to predict percent body fat. Use the Stata output provided at the end of the problem to answer the questions below.

(a) Report the p-value from the overall F-test for this model, and write a one-sentence interpretation. (Assume $\alpha = 0.05$.)

p-value < 0.00005 (Stata shows as 0.0000)

At least one of tricep circumference, thigh circumference, and mid-arm circumference is significantly associated with percent body fat.

(b) What are the results of the individual t-tests for the regression coefficients (ignoring the intercept)? Does this seem “right” given the result of the overall F-test?

All three predictors are NOT significantly associated, p-values are > 0.05 for all of them. This seems to be in conflict with the overall F-test result.

(c) Variance inflation factors for the model are also in the output. Do they indicate a problem? If so, what is the problem?

VIFs are all REALLY big (> 100!!!). This indicates a problem with collinearity – the predictors are highly correlated with each other.

(d) What would your next step be to address the problem you identified in (c)?

Remove one of the predictors, probably tricep since it has the largest VIF – though might also consider which of the 3 is of most and least scientific interest.

```
. regress pctfat tricep thigh midarm
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	20
-----+-----				F(3, 16)	=	21.52
Model	396.984607	3	132.328202	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Residual	98.4049068	16	6.15030667	R-squared	=	0.8014
-----+-----				Adj R-squared	=	0.7641
Total	495.389513	19	26.0731323	Root MSE	=	2.48

-----+-----						
pctfat	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
-----+-----						
tricep	4.334085	3.015511	1.44	0.170	-2.058512	10.72668
thigh	-2.856842	2.582015	-1.11	0.285	-8.330468	2.616785
midarm	-2.186056	1.595499	-1.37	0.190	-5.568362	1.19625
_cons	117.0844	99.78238	1.17	0.258	-94.44474	328.6136
-----+-----						

```
. vif
```

Variable	VIF	1/VIF
-----+-----		
tricep	708.84	0.001411
thigh	564.34	0.001772
midarm	104.61	0.009560
-----+-----		
Mean VIF	459.26	

Exercise 6.3

A survey of a random sample of students at the University of New Hampshire was conducted. We are interested in predictors of grade point average (GPA), which is measured on a 4-point scale. A regression model was fit using the following predictors: age (age), year in school (year; 1=freshman, 2=sophomore, 3=junior, 4=senior), sex (gender; 1=male, 0=female), and how far away from school the student lives (miles). Note that students who live on campus would have a “0” for the miles variable.

The age variable was centered before including it in the model – the sample mean, 20, was subtracted from all values (resulting variable: age_20). Use the Stata output provided at the end of the problem to answer the questions below (note: output spans 2 pages).

(a) Interpret the estimated coefficient for age_20.

Estimated mean GPA increases by 0.0345 points for each 1 year increase in age, adjusting for year in school, sex, and how far away from school the student lives.

Note that the interpretation of a centered covariate is the same as a non-centered covariate when it's not involved in an interaction.

(b) Carefully interpret the intercept estimate. Is this meaningful?

The estimated mean GPA for students who are 20 years old, freshman, females, and live on campus (0 miles away) is 2.95. Yes, this is meaningful (because we centered age at 20!).

(c) Is there any problem with multicollinearity for this model?

No – all VIFs are below 5 (even the ones for the dummy variables for year in school)

(d) We would like to perform backwards selection starting from this model, with 0.05 as the removal criterion. What should be the first predictor removed?

miles – with a p-value of 0.466. The p-value from the partial F-test for year in school is only 0.2917 so miles should be removed.

```
. generate age_20 = age - 20
. generate year2 = (year==2) if !missing(year)
. generate year3 = (year==3) if !missing(year)
. generate year4 = (year==4) if !missing(year)

. regress gpa age_20 year2 year3 year4 gender miles
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	206
				F(6, 199)	=	4.58
Model	5.23601098	6	.872668496	Prob > F	=	0.0002
Residual	37.9186677	199	.190546069	R-squared	=	0.1213
				Adj R-squared	=	0.0948
Total	43.1546787	205	.210510628	Root MSE	=	.43652

gpa	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
age_20	.0345186	.0111388	3.10	0.002	.0125534 .0564838
year2	-.2075202	.126369	-1.64	0.102	-.4567144 .041674
year3	-.1030474	.123832	-0.83	0.406	-.3472388 .141144
year4	-.091817	.1276345	-0.72	0.473	-.3435067 .1598727
gender	-.121338	.0617188	-1.97	0.051	-.2430448 .0003687
miles	.0041283	.0056541	0.73	0.466	-.0070212 .0152779
_cons	2.950831	.1173551	25.14	0.000	2.719412 3.18225

```
. vif
```

Variable	VIF	1/VIF
year3	3.79	0.263649
year2	3.56	0.280596
year4	3.56	0.280699
age_20	1.27	0.785503
miles	1.15	0.870509
gender	1.02	0.975817
Mean VIF	2.39	

```
. test year2 year3 year4
```

```
( 1) year2 = 0
( 2) year3 = 0
( 3) year4 = 0
```

```
F( 3, 199) = 1.25
Prob > F = 0.2917
```