### Proposal for Establishing Genesis: An Offshore Engineering Hub in Chennai

#### **Executive Summary**

This document outlines a comprehensive proposal for establishing Genesis, a Chennai-based offshore engineering hub. Genesis will cater to the energy and manufacturing domains, aligning with the VC's strategic vision under two potential scenarios:

- 1. **Scenario** A: The VC provides a platform and solutions.
- 2. **Scenario B**: The VC does not provide a platform and solutions, requiring Genesis to develop them from scratch.

This phased approach ensures scaling to a 60-member team, delivering high-quality platform solutions and domain-specific applications. The proposal includes team composition, skill sets, delivery expectations, and key questions with potential answers to clarify next steps.

# **Strategic Vision**

#### **Goals for Genesis**

- Establish a scalable engineering hub in Chennai within 18 months.
- Build or customize a platform and deliver tailored solutions for energy and manufacturing clients.
- Foster innovation in AI/ML, data engineering, and domain-specific applications to address client challenges effectively.

#### **Differentiators**

- Dual focus on platform robustness and client-specific customizations.
- Cost-effective operations leveraging skilled talent in Chennai.
- Phased hiring and milestone-driven execution to ensure optimal resource utilization.

### **Scenarios for Execution**

#### Scenario A: VC Provides a Platform and Solutions

- **Primary Focus**: Customizing and operationalizing the platform for client-specific needs.
- **Timeline**: Faster delivery due to the foundational platform.
- Team Responsibilities:
  - o Platform customization for energy and manufacturing use cases.
  - o Scaling platform capabilities to onboard multiple clients.

#### Scenario B: VC Does Not Provide a Platform and Solutions

- **Primary Focus**: Building a platform from scratch with incremental feature additions.
- **Timeline**: Requires more time for development but offers full control and IP ownership.
- Team Responsibilities:
  - o Developing the platform MVP.
  - o Expanding platform features and delivering client solutions.

#### **Team Structure and Skill Sets**

#### **Core Teams**

### 1. AI/ML Development Teams

- o **Primary Functionality**: Build and deploy machine learning models for predictive analytics and optimization.
- o **Key Skills**: TensorFlow, PyTorch, LangChain, Python, Java, LLM development, NLP expertise.

## 2. Data Engineering Teams

- o Primary Functionality: Design and maintain scalable data pipelines and lakes.
- o Key Skills: Apache Spark, Kafka, AWS, GCP, ETL processes.

### 3. Platform Infrastructure Teams

- Primary Functionality: Manage CI/CD pipelines, ensure scalability, and handle DevOps operations.
- o Key Skills: Kubernetes, Docker, Terraform, Prometheus, Grafana.

### 4. Domain-Specific Application Teams

- o **Primary Functionality**: Develop tailored solutions for energy and manufacturing domains.
- o **Key Skills**: IoT, MES systems, robotics. (TBD)

# 5. QA and Testing Teams

- o **Primary Functionality**: Ensure delivery quality through automated and manual testing.
- o **Key Skills**: Selenium, Playwright, Postman.

### 6. Business Analysts and Project Managers

- o **Primary Functionality**: Translate business needs into technical requirements and ensure project delivery.
- o Key Skills: Agile methodologies, Jira, domain expertise.

#### **Phased Execution Plan**

### Phase 1: Initial Setup (0–6 Months)

- Scenario A: Customize and integrate the provided platform for pilot clients.
- Scenario B: Build the platform MVP with foundational features.
- **Deliverable**: Functional platform operational for early use cases (Scenario A) or Platform MVP ready for internal testing (Scenario B).

#### Phase 2: Expansion (7–12 Months)

- Scenario A: Develop client-specific extensions and domain customizations.
- Scenario B: Add advanced features to the platform and deliver solutions for pilot clients.
- **Deliverable**: Fully operational solutions for 1–2 pilot clients.

### Phase 3: Full Operation (13–18 Months)

- Scenario A: Scale solutions to support 3–5 clients.
- Scenario B: Finalize platform features and scale client solutions.
- **Deliverable**: Robust platform and solutions for 3–5 clients.

# **Delivery Expectations**

### Scenario A

- 0–6 Months: Functional platform operational for pilot clients.
- 7–12 Months: Solutions for 1–2 pilot clients.
- 13–18 Months: Full platform deployment for 3–5 clients.

### Scenario B

- 0–6 Months: Platform MVP ready for testing.
- 7–12 Months: Full platform operational for pilot clients.
- 13–18 Months: Robust platform and solutions for 3–5 clients.

### **Platform-Related Questions**

- 1. What capabilities does the provided platform have (if any)?
  - o Does it include APIs, a microservices architecture, or prebuilt modules for energy and manufacturing use cases?
  - o Is the platform customizable, and what are the limitations of customization?

• What are the expected performance benchmarks for the platform in its current state?

### 2. What is the platform's current technology stack and its tools?

- o Does the stack include proprietary or open-source technologies?
- o Are there any preferred tools or frameworks we must adhere to?

## 3. What documentation and resources are available for the platform?

- Are there detailed design documents, technical specifications, and developer guides?
- o Is the platform already tested and validated for scalability and robustness?

### 4. What level of support will be provided for platform integration?

- o Is there a team available for technical support or knowledge transfer?
- o Will we receive regular updates and patches for the platform?

# **Budget and Financial Questions**

### 5. Is the \$2M budget fixed, or can it be reallocated?

- o Are there provisions for additional funding if unforeseen challenges arise?
- Can the budget be reprioritized across phases (e.g., more for development or hiring)?
- 6. Who will manage the budget? And will the allocation of funding happen in phases?
- 7. What are the VC's priorities in allocating the budget?
  - o Are there specific constraints for operational costs, infrastructure, or hiring?

## **Strategic Vision and Expectations**

### 7. What is the long-term vision for Genesis?

 Is the hub intended to expand into new domains beyond energy and manufacturing?

#### 8. What metrics will define success for this initiative?

 Are there specific KPIs, such as client acquisition, platform scalability, or cost efficiency?

### 9. What are the expected timelines for delivering results?

O How critical is adhering to the 18-month plan, and what are acceptable deviations?

# **Client and Market Insights**

### 10. Who are the target clients for Genesis?

### 11. Are there existing client commitments or pilots?

o Do we need to align our platform solutions with specific client requirements?

## **Team and Operational Support**

### 13. What is the VC's expectation regarding team composition?

 Should we focus more on platform development, domain expertise, or QA and testing?

### 14. Will the VC provide access to any pre-existing talent or partnerships?

o Are there preferred recruitment agencies or connections to leverage?

## 15. What operational support will the VC provide?

o Does this include legal, HR, or administrative help for setting up the hub?

## Risk Management

## 16. What risks are considered critical by the VC?

• Are there specific risks (technical, operational, or market-related) that need to be mitigated proactively?

### 17. How much autonomy does Genesis have in decision-making?

o Are there restrictions on technology choices, vendors, or operational strategies?

# **Intellectual Property (IP)**

### 18. What is the IP strategy for Genesis?

- Who owns the IP if the platform is built from scratch?
- o Are there specific IP considerations when customizing the provided platform?

### **Collaboration and Governance**

## 19. What is the governance structure for Genesis?

- o Will there be regular reporting to the VC, and at what frequency?
- Are there board-level expectations or oversight mechanisms?

### 20. What level of collaboration is expected with the VC's other initiatives?

o Should Genesis integrate with or complement any of the VC's existing ventures?