

An Approach to Predictive Policing with Dallas City by Team RAAS

0. Load Required packages

```
library(tibble)
library(dplyr)
library(magrittr)
library(readr)
library(zipcode)
library(lubridate)
library(stringr)
library(ggplot2)
library(forcats)
library(plotly)
library(scales)
library(MESS)
library(caret)
data("zipcode")
```

1. Data Reading

Objective : Read CSV from data source - Following chunk reads the source csv and loads only required attributes into a dataframe object for the use *Following chunk performs Data Reading*

```
#Uncomment below import line only when running the script for first time, to avoid multiple time download of 400+Mb sized dataset
dallas<-read_csv('Police_Incidents.csv')
dallas%<>%select(`Service Number ID`, `Type Location`, `Division`, `Sector`, `Council District`, `Call Received Date Time`, `Victim Gender`, `Victim Age at Offense`, `Offense Status`, `NIBRS Crime Category`, `Zip Code`)
#as_tibble(dallas)
#summary(dallas)
```

2. Data Pre-processing

Objective : Generate dataframes dallas_incidents, dallas_crime_type and dallas_crime_rate. Dataframe dallas_incidents must be suitable for Exploratory data analysis. - Filter the required attributes and ignore the non-NA values - Transforms the attribute 'call received date time' string to R datetime object and sort them in ascending order

- Compute a new attribute 'week of the day'(name of the weekday, incident occurred viz Mon, Tue and so on), 'rounded time'(Hours being rounded off to closest value and only hour value is extracted from the rounded date) and week number from 'call received date time' - Transform values of 'rounded time' to four ordinal values and compute 'time slot of occurrence' attribute. - Clean the dataset to include data only from the city "Dallas" - To remove short head and to keep dataset symmetric, filter rows corresponding to value

from "12/31/2016 23:59:59" to "01/06/2019 00:00:00" (This date range consists of equal number of Mon,Tues,Wed etc of 105 counts) - Unselect the attributes that are not further required. *Following chunk performs Transformation/Cleaning*

```
time_slot_vec=seq(0,24,6)
labels_vec=c("0-6","7-12","13-18","19-23")

dallas$`Zip Code`=clean.zipcodes(dallas$`Zip Code`)

#Dataframe dallas_incidents suitable for visualizing data
dallas_incidents<-dallas%>%
  filter(!is.na(`Offense Status`) & !is.na(`Division`) & !is.na(`Call Received Date Time`) & !is.na(`Zip Code`) & !is.na(`Victim Age at Offense`) & !is.na(`NIBRS Crime Category`) & !is.na(`Type Location`) & !is.na(Sector) & !is.na(`Council District`))%>%
  inner_join(zipcode, by = c("Zip Code" = "zip"))%>%
  filter(`city`=="Dallas")%>%
  mutate(`Division`=str_to_upper(str_replace(`Division`,` ","")))%>%
  mutate(`Call Received Date Time`= as_datetime(mdy_hms(`Call Received Date Time`)))%>%
  filter(`Call Received Date Time`>as_datetime(mdy_hms("12/31/2016 23:59:59")) & `Call Received Date Time`<as_datetime(mdy_hms("01/06/2019 00:00:00")))%>%
  mutate(`week of the day`=lubridate::wday(`Call Received Date Time`,label = TRUE, abbr = FALSE),`week number of the day`=lubridate::wday(`Call Received Date Time`),`rounded time`=hour(round_date(`Call Received Date Time`,"hour")))%>%
  mutate(`time slot of occurrence`=cut(`rounded time`,breaks = time_slot_vec,labels = labels_vec,include.lowest = TRUE))%>%
  arrange(`Call Received Date Time`)%>%
  select(`city`,`state`,`latitude`,`longitude`)
```

3. Exploratory Data Analysis

Objective : To evaluate the pattern/trend in the dataset that could 1. Answer some basic questions 2. Help in selecting attributes for predictive analysis


3.1 What crimes are frequent?

Following can be interpreted from the graph

- Larceny/Theft offences are the highest frequent in occurrence, followed by Assault offences and Property related crimes.
- Numerous crimes are very negligible in occurrence. Crimes such as Human trafficking, bribery, drunkenness among some others are very rare in appearance.
- Some categories such as 'Miscellaneous' and 'Other crimes' are ambiguous. However, they have a decent frequency of occurrence.

Crime Categories Frequency

PORNOGRAPHY/ OBSCENE MATERIAL-					
LIQUOR LAW VIOLATIONS-					
HUMAN TRAFFICKING-					
BRIBERY-					
TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS-					
WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS-					
DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS-					
DRUNKENNESS-					
ARSON-					
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF WEAPON-					




3.2 How victim gender and the time of crime are related and volume of crime for each time slot.

Following can be interpreted from the graph

- Interesting observation is Male are more prone to be victim during late night (00:00 to 06:00).
- Females victims are higher during the afternoon slot (13:00 to 18:00)

Please note : above observations could also be misleading as the relative population size of the city is not being considered.




3.3 How crimes relate to the time of day

Following can be interpreted from the graph

- The top 3 crimes mentioned earlier i.e, Larceny/Theft offences , Assault offences and Property related crimes seems to have equal number of occurrences during late night slight (00:00 to 6:00). This means lesser possibilities of occurrence of Larceny/Theft offences during this time slot in comparison to Assault offences and Property related crimes.
- Another interesting observation is - Burglary /Breaking and entering is relatively very low during evening times (19:00 to 6:00) in comparison to day time (7:00 to 18:00) - This observation also related directly to the above observation


NBIRS Crime category and corresponding Time Slot of Occurrence



3.4 How crimes are related to days of the week and time slot of occurrence

Following can be interpreted from the graph

- Late night (00:00 to 6:00) on weekends has higher occurrence of crime in comparison to that of weekdays - possible reason could be higher number of people staying outdoors on weekends leading to higher chances of crimes.
- Monday and Friday seems to have highest number of crimes in the week.
- Day time (7:00 to 18:00) on weekends seems to have lesser frequency of crimes in comparison to that of weekdays - possible reason could be fewer number of people being outdoors leading to lesser chances of crimes.



4. Feature Selection for Predictive Analysis

- Two types of problems were designed for the given dataset : 1. Regression, 2. Classification
- Objectives :
 - 1. Evaluate attributes and their correlation
 - 2. Generate datasets `dallas_crime_rate`(regression) and `dallas_crime_type`(classification) suitable to solve the designed problems

4.1 Feature Selection for Regression problem

4.1.1 Following chunk performs the generation of dataframe `dallas_crime_rate`

4.1.1.1 Following steps taken to generate `dallas_crime_rate` dataframe

- All steps to generate `dallas_incidents` dataframe
- group by 'Division', 'rounded time' and 'week number of the day' and summarize the frequency of records to new attribute 'freq'

4.1.1.2 Following steps were completed done during preliminary features selection phase :

- Reduction of Location Type attribute to 4 categorical values from 73

```

type_location_bins<-tribble(
  ~Sub,~LocationType,~LocNum,
  "Highway, Street, Alley ETC","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",
1,
  "Airport - Love Field","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Medical Facility","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Financial Institution","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Bank/Savings And Loan","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Construction Site","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Religious Institution","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Government Facility","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Church/Synagogue/Temple/Mosque","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/School
s)",1,
  "Shopping Mall","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Parking Lot (Park)","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Airport - All Others","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Jail/Prison/Penitentiary/Corrections Fac","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Stre
ets/Schools)",1,
  "School - Elementary/Secondary","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/School
s)",1,
  "ATM Separate from Bank","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Daycare Facility","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Military Installation","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Outdoor Area Public/Private","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/School
s)",1,
  "Amusement Park","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "PHARM","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Park","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Arena/Stadium/Fairgrounds/Coliseum","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Sc
hools)",1,
  "School/Daycare","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "City Park/Rec/Tennis/Golf/Trail","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schoo
ls)",1,
  "School - College/University","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/School
s)",1,
  "Government/Public Building","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",
1,
  "Community/ Recreation Center","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/School
s)",1,
  "Dock/Wharf/Freight/Modal Terminal","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Sch
ools)",1,
  "Shelter - Mission/Homeless","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",
1,
  "School/College","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Camp/Campground","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Lake/Waterway/Beach","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",1,
  "Apartment Complex/Building","Private/Individual Locations (Residences and others)",4,
  "Convenience Store","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",2,
  "Gas or Service Station","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",2,
  "Bar/NightClub/DanceHall ETC.","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",2,
  "Parking Lot (Apartment)","Private/Individual Locations (Residences and others)",4,
  "Entertainment/Sports Venue","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",2,
  "Parking (Business)","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",2,
  "Storage Facility","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",2,
  "Single Family Residence - Vacant","Private/Individual Locations (Residences and other

```

```

s)",4,
  "Department/Discount Store","Public Locations (Hospitals/Parks/ATMs/Streets/Schools)",
1,
  "Condominium/Townhome Residence","Private/Individual Locations (Residences and other
s)",4,
  "Shopping Mall","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",2,
  "Grocery/Supermarket","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",2,
  "Specialty Store (In a Specific Item)","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Store
s)",2,
  "Personal Services","Private/Individual Locations (Residences and others)",4,
  "Tribal Lands","Private/Individual Locations (Residences and others)",4,
  "Restaurant/Food Service/TABC Location","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Store
s)",2,
  "Apartment Residence","Private/Individual Locations (Residences and others)",4,
  "Single Family Residence - Occupied","Private/Individual Locations (Residences and othe
rs)",4,
  "Retail Store","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",2,
  "Business Office","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",2,
  "Motor Vehicle","Private/Individual Locations (Residences and others)",4,
  "Commercial Property Occupied/Vacant","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",
2,
  "Industrial/Manufacturing","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",2,
  "Hotel/Motel/ETC","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",2,
  "Auto Dealership New/Used","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",2,
  "Liquor Store","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",2,
  "Rental Storage Facility","Commercial Establishments (Restaurants/Stores)",2,
  "Other","Others",3,
  "Cyberspace","Others",3
)

dallas_crime_rate<-dallas_incidents%>%
  select(`rounded time`,`week number of the day`,`Division`,`Type Location`)%>%
  inner_join(type_location_bins, by = c("Type Location" = "Sub"))%>%
  mutate(`rounded time`=factor(`rounded time`),`week number of the day`=factor(`week numb
er of the day`),`Division`=factor(`Division`),LocationType = factor(LocationType))%>%
  group_by(`Division`,`rounded time`,`week number of the day`)%>%
  summarise(freq=n())

```

4.1.2 Following chunk performs evaluation of variable importance using boxplot visualizations and anova method

4.1.2.1 Following attributes were considered(in various combinations) for the evaluation

- frequency ~ (ZipCode, LocationType, rounded time, NBIRS Category, time slot of occurrence, week number of day and Division)

4.1.2.2 Following interpretations can be drawn from the tests

- There were too many outliers for ZipCode and NBIRS Category in boxplot - hence can be rejected
- Another reason for ignoring NBIRS category completely is - it is not a meaningful variable in describing the response variable
- There were not too many differences in mean level of 'time slot of occurrence' in comparison to that of 'rounded time' from boxplot - hence time slot of occurrence can be rejected

- Anova method shows combinations of 'Division', 'rounded time' and 'week number of the day' gave much favourable p-value (less than 0.05) than that of 'LocationType', 'rounded time' and 'week number of day'. Thus we select the combination that has relatively lower p-value.

Please note : following chunk consists only those variables that were finally selected.

```
d_mod=lm(dallas_crime_rate$`freq` ~ dallas_crime_rate$`Division`+dallas_crime_rate$`week  
number of the day`+dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`, data = dallas_crime_rate)  
summary(d_mod)
```



```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = dallas_crime_rate$freq ~ dallas_crime_rate$Division +
##      dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day` + dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`,
##      data = dallas_crime_rate)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min        1Q    Median        3Q        Max
## -52.832 -10.635  -1.707   9.205  89.583
##
## Coefficients:
##                                     Estimate Std. Error t value
## (Intercept)                        56.45748    2.83540  19.912
## dallas_crime_rate$DivisionNORTHCENTRAL -23.97619    1.76818 -13.560
## dallas_crime_rate$DivisionNORTHEAST    20.47619    1.76818  11.580
## dallas_crime_rate$DivisionNORTHWEST   -3.98810    1.76818  -2.255
## dallas_crime_rate$DivisionSOUTHCENTRAL  9.10714    1.76818   5.151
## dallas_crime_rate$DivisionSOUTHEAST   23.02381    1.76818  13.021
## dallas_crime_rate$DivisionSOUTHWEST   11.17857    1.76818   6.322
## dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day`2  7.04762    1.76818   3.986
## dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day`3 -0.19048    1.76818  -0.108
## dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day`4  0.35714    1.76818   0.202
## dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day`5 -0.08333    1.76818  -0.047
## dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day`6  4.01786    1.76818   2.272
## dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day`7 -1.74405    1.76818  -0.986
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`1        -8.53061    3.27403  -2.606
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`2       -13.04082    3.27403  -3.983
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`3       -22.10204    3.27403  -6.751
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`4       -30.83673    3.27403  -9.419
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`5       -31.67347    3.27403  -9.674
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`6       -20.18367    3.27403  -6.165
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`7         1.06122    3.27403   0.324
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`8        33.89796    3.27403  10.354
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`9        49.32653    3.27403  15.066
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`10       52.53061    3.27403  16.045
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`11       50.38776    3.27403  15.390
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`12       48.75510    3.27403  14.891
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`13       51.06122    3.27403  15.596
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`14       49.42857    3.27403  15.097
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`15       51.42857    3.27403  15.708
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`16       60.67347    3.27403  18.532
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`17       60.34694    3.27403  18.432
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`18       55.04082    3.27403  16.811
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`19       43.75510    3.27403  13.364
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`20       32.51020    3.27403   9.930
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`21       24.65306    3.27403   7.530
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`22       12.10204    3.27403   3.696
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`23        4.89796    3.27403   1.496
##                                     Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)                        < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$DivisionNORTHCENTRAL < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$DivisionNORTHEAST    < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$DivisionNORTHWEST    0.024292 *
## dallas_crime_rate$DivisionSOUTHCENTRAL 3.06e-07 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$DivisionSOUTHEAST    < 2e-16 ***
```

```
## dallas_crime_rate$DivisionSOUTHWEST      3.70e-10 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day`2 7.15e-05 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day`3 0.914233
## dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day`4 0.839966
## dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day`5 0.962418
## dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day`6 0.023253 *
## dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day`7 0.324170
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`1         0.009293 **
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`2         7.23e-05 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`3         2.34e-11 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`4         < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`5         < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`6         9.78e-10 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`7         0.745896
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`8         < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`9         < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`10        < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`11        < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`12        < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`13        < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`14        < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`15        < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`16        < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`17        < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`18        < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`19        < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`20        < 2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`21        1.03e-13 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`22        0.000229 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`23        0.134930
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 16.21 on 1140 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.8252, Adjusted R-squared:  0.8199
## F-statistic: 153.8 on 35 and 1140 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```


```
anova(d_mod)
```

```
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: dallas_crime_rate$freq
##
##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
## dallas_crime_rate$Division      6  262873    43812 166.826
## dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day` 6    9473     1579   6.012
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`      23 1141310    49622 188.949
## Residuals                    1140  299390      263
##
##              Pr(>F)
## dallas_crime_rate$Division      < 2.2e-16 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day` 3.333e-06 ***
## dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`      < 2.2e-16 ***
## Residuals
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```


```
#confint(d_mod)
print(as_tibble(dallas_crime_rate))
```

```
## # A tibble: 1,176 x 4
##   Division `rounded time` `week number of the day` freq
##   <fct>    <fct>          <fct>          <int>
## 1 CENTRAL  0                1                68
## 2 CENTRAL  0                2                51
## 3 CENTRAL  0                3                30
## 4 CENTRAL  0                4                44
## 5 CENTRAL  0                5                42
## 6 CENTRAL  0                6                66
## 7 CENTRAL  0                7                76
## 8 CENTRAL  1                1                88
## 9 CENTRAL  1                2                42
## 10 CENTRAL 1                3                36
## # ... with 1,166 more rows
```


```
ggplot(dallas_crime_rate, aes(x=dallas_crime_rate$`rounded time`, y=dallas_crime_rate$`freq`)) + geom_boxplot()
```



```
ggplot(dallas_crime_rate, aes(x=dallas_crime_rate$`Division`, y=dallas_crime_rate$`freq`)) + geom_boxplot()
```



```
ggplot(dallas_crime_rate, aes(x=dallas_crime_rate$`week number of the day`, y=dallas_crime_rate$`freq`)) + geom_boxplot()
```



4.2.1 Following chunk performs the generation of dataframe dallas_crime_type

4.2.1.1 Following steps taken to generate dallas_crime_type dataframe

- All steps to generate dallas_incidents dataframe
- group by 'Division', 'rounded time' and 'week number of the day' and summarize the frequency of records to new attribute 'freq'

4.2.1.2 Following steps were completed done during preliminary features selection phase :

- Reduction of Location Type attribute to 4 categorical values from 73
- Usage of 'NIBRS Crime Category'(28 categorical values) instead of 'Category Type'(903 categorical values) attribute
- Further reduction of 'NIBRS Crime category' to consist 8 categorical values in new attribute 'Category'
- Usage of 'Division'(13 categorical values) instead of 'Zip Code' (122 categorical values)
- Cleaning the 'Division' attribute - bringing values to consistent format, thus reducing to 8 categorical values

```

category_bins = tribble(
  ~Sub,~Category,~CatNum,
  "BRIBERY", "ALL OTHER OFFENSES",1,
  "HUMAN TRAFFICKING", "ALL OTHER OFFENSES",1,
  "PORNOGRAPHY/ OBSCENE MATERIAL", "ALL OTHER OFFENSES",1,
  "FAMILY OFFENSES, NONVIOLENT", "ALL OTHER OFFENSES",1,
  "DRUG/ NARCOTIC VIOLATIONS", "ALL OTHER OFFENSES",1,
  "ARSON", "DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY",2,
  "DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY", "DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERT
Y",2,
  "TRAFFIC VIOLATION - NON HAZARDOUS", "TRAFFIC VIOLATION",3,
  "DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE", "TRAFFIC VIOLATION",3,
  "TRAFFIC VIOLATION - HAZARDOUS", "TRAFFIC VIOLATION",3,
  "ROBBERY", "BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING",4,
  "MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT", "LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES",5,
  "KIDNAPPING/ ABDUCTION", "ASSAULT OFFENSES",6,
  "ANIMAL OFFENSES", "ASSAULT OFFENSES",6,
  "HOMICIDE OFFENSES", "ASSAULT OFFENSES",6,
  "WEAPON LAW VIOLATIONS", "ASSAULT OFFENSES",6,
  "KIDNAPPING/ ABDUCTION", "ASSAULT OFFENSES",6,
  "HOMICIDE OFFENSES", "ASSAULT OFFENSES",6,
  "EMBEZZELMENT", "FRAUD OFFENSES",7,
  "COUNTERFEITING / FORGERY", "FRAUD OFFENSES",7,
  "DRUNKENNESS", "DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE",8,
  "DISORDERLY CONDUCT", "DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE",8,
  "LIQUOR LAW VIOLATIONS", "DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE",8,
  "TRESPASS OF REAL PROPERTY", "DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE",8
)

dallas_crime_type<-dallas_incidents%>%
  inner_join(category_bins, by = c("NIBRS Crime Category" = "Sub"))%>%
  select(`Division`, `week of the day`, `time slot of occurrence`, `Category`, `NIBRS Crime Ca
tegory`, `rounded time`)%>%
  filter( !is.na(`Division`) & !is.na(`week of the day`) & !is.na(`time slot of occurrence
`) & !is.na(`Category`) & !is.na(`NIBRS Crime Category`) & !is.na(`rounded time`))%>%
  mutate(`Category`=factor(Category), `Division`=factor(`Division`), `week of the day`=fact
or(`week of the day`), `time slot of occurrence`=factor(`time slot of occurrence`), `NIBRS Cr
ime Category`=factor(`NIBRS Crime Category`), `rounded time`=factor(`rounded time`))

```

4.2.2 Following chunk performs evaluation of variable importance using chi-square test

- Null hypothesis : There is no association between 2 variables

4.2.2.1 Following attributes were considered(in various combinations) for the evaluation

- Crime Category ~ (rounded time, time slot of occurrence, week of the day and Division) ##### 4.2.2.2 Following interpretations can be drawn from the tests
- both combinations of (rounded time, week of the day and Division) and (time slot of occurrence, week of the day and Division) passes chi-square test. However, we pick the combination that contains time slot of occurrence as it has only factor levels in comparison to that of 24 in rounded time for building model with better accuracy.
- Another reason to reject rounded time is the duration of training the model is higher.

```
#Following code does not include `NIBRS Crime Category` as it had lower significance than
`Category`
tbl_dallas_zrwt<-dallas_crime_type%>%
  categorize(`Category`,`Division`,`week of the day`,`time slot of occurrence`)

if(chisq.test(table(tbl_dallas_zrwt),simulate.p.value = TRUE)[[3]]<0.05){
  print("p-value is significant - Null Hypothesis rejected")
}else{
  print("Null hypothesis sustained - no significant association observed")
}
```

```
## [1] "p-value is significant - Null Hypothesis rejected"
```

5. Model Training

- Both classification and regression models are trained using the explanatory variables that had highest importance(from our inferential statistics tools) in predicting the future outcome
- Divide the data into training(75%) and testing(25%)
- Parameters auto-tune length set to 10
- Resampling method chosen : Cross validation of chunk size 10

5.1 Regression Model

- Using the explanatory variables week of the day , rounded time and division for predicting the crime frequency
- generating dummy variables of the dataframe
- Using the log transformation for the response variable crime frequency for normalization
- Using linear regression model and gradient boosting algorithms.
- preprocess the target attribute to scale and center
- Using RMSE metrics for cross validation evaluation

```

dmy <- dummyVars(freq ~., data = dallas_crime_rate,fullRank = T)
reg_train_transformed <- data.frame(predict(dmy, newdata = dallas_crime_rate))
reg_train_transformed$`freq` <- (dallas_crime_rate$freq)
reg_intrain <- createDataPartition(y = (dallas_crime_rate$freq), p= 0.75, list = FALSE)
reg_training <- reg_train_transformed[reg_intrain,]
reg_testing <- reg_train_transformed[-reg_intrain,]
set.seed(100)

trctrl <- trainControl(method = "repeatedcv", number = 10, repeats = 3,verboseIter = FALSE)

lm_reg_model <- train(`freq` ~., data = reg_training, method="lm", metric="RMSE",
                      preprocess=c("BoxCox"), tuneLength = 10,
                      trControl=trctrl)

gbm_reg_model <- train(`freq` ~., data = reg_training, method="gbm", metric="RMSE",
                      preprocess=c("BoxCox"), tuneLength = 10,
                      trControl=trctrl)

save(lm_reg_model, file = "lm_regression.rda")
save(gbm_reg_model, file = "gbm_regression.rda")

```

5.2 Classification Model

- Using the explanatory variables week of the day, division and time slot of occurrence for predicting Category (crime type)
- generating dummy variables of the dataframe
- Using the algorithms SVM, Random Forest and Naive Bayes.
- preprocess the target attribute to scale and center


```
dmy <- dummyVars(Category ~., data = dallas_crime_type,fullRank = T)
cls_train_transformed <- data.frame(predict(dmy, newdata = dallas_crime_type))

cls_train_transformed$`Category`<-dallas_crime_type$Category

cls_intrain <- createDataPartition(y = dallas_crime_type$`Category`, p= 0.75, list = FALSE)
cls_training <- cls_train_transformed[cls_intrain,]
cls_testing <- cls_train_transformed[-cls_intrain,]

trctrl <- trainControl(method = "repeatedcv",number = 10, repeats = 3,verboseIter = FALSE)
set.seed(111)
svm_Linear_cls_model <- train(`Category` ~., data = cls_training, method = "svmLinear",
                             trControl=trctrl,
                             preProcess = c("center", "scale"),
                             tuneLength = 10)
random_Forest_cls_model<-train(`Category` ~., data = cls_training, method = "rf",
                               trControl=trctrl,
                               preProcess = c("center", "scale"),
                               tuneLength = 10)
naive_bayes_cls_model<-train(`Category` ~., data = cls_training, method = "nb",
                             trControl=trctrl,
                             preProcess = c("center", "scale"),
                             tuneLength = 10)

save(svm_Linear_cls_model, file = "svm_classification.rda")
save(random_Forest_cls_model, file = "rf_classification.rda")
save(naive_bayes_cls_model, file = "nb_classification.rda")
```

6 Model Prediction and Evaluation

6.1 Regression Model


- Evaluation using Predicted v/s Actual Dataset Plot and RMSE Mean

```
load("lm_regression.rda")
load("gbm_regression.rda")
lm_test_pred <- predict(lm_reg_model, newdata = reg_testing)
gbm_test_pred <- predict(gbm_reg_model, newdata = reg_testing)
print("Linear Regression Model Performance :")
```

```
## [1] "Linear Regression Model Performance :"
```


```
plot(as_data_frame(lm_test_pred)$value,as_data_frame(reg_testing$freq)$value,
     xlab="predicted",ylab="actual",main="Linear Regression Performance")
abline(a=0,b=1)
```

Linear Regression Performance



```
plot(as_data_frame(gbm_test_pred)$value,as_data_frame(reg_testing$freq)$value,  
     xlab="predicted",ylab="actual",main="Gradient Boosting Performance")  
abline(a=0,b=1)
```

Gradient Boosting Performance




```
summary(lm_reg_model)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = .outcome ~ ., data = dat)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -53.722 -10.611  -1.433   9.593  86.105
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)      56.0049     3.2517  17.223 < 2e-16 ***
## Division.NORTHCENTRAL -25.3019     2.0761 -12.187 < 2e-16 ***
## Division.NORTHEAST    18.1744     2.0596   8.824 < 2e-16 ***
## Division.NORTHWEST    -5.4022     2.0660  -2.615 0.009086 **
## Division.SOUTHCENTRAL   7.3394     2.0686   3.548 0.000409 ***
## Division.SOUTHEAST    22.3459     2.0865  10.710 < 2e-16 ***
## Division.SOUTHWEST     9.9122     2.0952   4.731 2.62e-06 ***
## X.rounded.time.1      -4.8568     3.7468  -1.296 0.195233
## X.rounded.time.2      -9.1099     3.7705  -2.416 0.015898 *
## X.rounded.time.3     -16.8624     3.7200  -4.533 6.65e-06 ***
## X.rounded.time.4     -28.6966     3.7464  -7.660 5.08e-14 ***
## X.rounded.time.5     -27.5628     3.8559  -7.148 1.90e-12 ***
## X.rounded.time.6     -18.5056     3.6726  -5.039 5.73e-07 ***
## X.rounded.time.7       1.8508     3.9240   0.472 0.637284
## X.rounded.time.8      37.5425     3.7728   9.951 < 2e-16 ***
## X.rounded.time.9      51.4085     3.6959  13.910 < 2e-16 ***
## X.rounded.time.10     54.1797     3.9204  13.820 < 2e-16 ***
## X.rounded.time.11     51.9781     3.7207  13.970 < 2e-16 ***
## X.rounded.time.12     51.3927     3.8259  13.433 < 2e-16 ***
## X.rounded.time.13     53.2457     3.6940  14.414 < 2e-16 ***
## X.rounded.time.14     54.0984     3.8255  14.142 < 2e-16 ***
## X.rounded.time.15     52.1767     3.7456  13.930 < 2e-16 ***
## X.rounded.time.16     62.2650     3.7693  16.519 < 2e-16 ***
## X.rounded.time.17     61.5635     3.7991  16.205 < 2e-16 ***
## X.rounded.time.18     57.7788     3.7481  15.415 < 2e-16 ***
## X.rounded.time.19     49.2871     3.7433  13.167 < 2e-16 ***
## X.rounded.time.20     34.0881     3.7437   9.106 < 2e-16 ***
## X.rounded.time.21     26.9832     3.9887   6.765 2.49e-11 ***
## X.rounded.time.22     15.4442     3.8576   4.004 6.79e-05 ***
## X.rounded.time.23       7.3325     3.7185   1.972 0.048945 *
## X.week.number.of.the.day.2  5.9876     2.0823   2.875 0.004135 **
## X.week.number.of.the.day.3 -1.3310     2.0765  -0.641 0.521715
## X.week.number.of.the.day.4 -0.7205     2.0991  -0.343 0.731493
## X.week.number.of.the.day.5 -0.6051     2.0624  -0.293 0.769271
## X.week.number.of.the.day.6  3.8868     1.9960   1.947 0.051830 .
## X.week.number.of.the.day.7 -2.5095     2.0230  -1.240 0.215141
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 16.41 on 848 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.8219, Adjusted R-squared:  0.8145
## F-statistic: 111.8 on 35 and 848 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

```
print("Gradient Boosting Model Performance :")
```

```
## [1] "Gradient Boosting Model Performance :"
```

```
summary(gbm_reg_model)
```



```
##                                var    rel.inf
## Division.NORTHCENTRAL        Division.NORTHCENTRAL 7.6637309
## X.rounded.time.3              X.rounded.time.3 7.2169691
## X.rounded.time.4              X.rounded.time.4 7.1953301
## X.rounded.time.5              X.rounded.time.5 6.7469073
## X.rounded.time.2              X.rounded.time.2 6.4515493
## X.rounded.time.6              X.rounded.time.6 5.7102930
## X.rounded.time.1              X.rounded.time.1 4.8362821
## Division.SOUTHEAST            Division.SOUTHEAST 4.3225345
## X.rounded.time.16             X.rounded.time.16 3.7352982
## Division.NORTHEAST            Division.NORTHEAST 3.1246010
## X.rounded.time.7              X.rounded.time.7 2.6725728
## X.rounded.time.17             X.rounded.time.17 2.5827314
## X.rounded.time.23             X.rounded.time.23 2.5655032
## X.rounded.time.9              X.rounded.time.9 2.4396999
## X.rounded.time.18             X.rounded.time.18 2.4148125
## X.rounded.time.8              X.rounded.time.8 2.1432160
## X.rounded.time.11             X.rounded.time.11 2.0888134
## X.rounded.time.14             X.rounded.time.14 2.0084041
## X.rounded.time.10             X.rounded.time.10 1.9559125
## X.rounded.time.13             X.rounded.time.13 1.9553105
## Division.NORTHWEST            Division.NORTHWEST 1.9537862
## X.rounded.time.15             X.rounded.time.15 1.7640347
## X.rounded.time.19             X.rounded.time.19 1.7388295
## X.rounded.time.12             X.rounded.time.12 1.7328828
## X.week.number.of.the.day.6 X.week.number.of.the.day.6 1.5534948
## Division.SOUTHWEST            Division.SOUTHWEST 1.4939961
## X.week.number.of.the.day.2 X.week.number.of.the.day.2 1.4264774
## X.rounded.time.22             X.rounded.time.22 1.4217013
## X.week.number.of.the.day.5 X.week.number.of.the.day.5 1.4184205
## X.week.number.of.the.day.7 X.week.number.of.the.day.7 1.3804237
## X.rounded.time.20             X.rounded.time.20 1.0801552
## X.week.number.of.the.day.3 X.week.number.of.the.day.3 1.0566135
## X.week.number.of.the.day.4 X.week.number.of.the.day.4 0.8916752
## Division.SOUTHCENTRAL         Division.SOUTHCENTRAL 0.8121421
## X.rounded.time.21             X.rounded.time.21 0.4448949
```

```
print("Comparison on performance : ")
```

```
## [1] "Comparison on performance : "
```

```
res <- resamples(list(lm = lm_reg_model, gbm = gbm_reg_model))
summary(res)
```

```
##
## Call:
## summary.resamples(object = res)
##
## Models: lm, gbm
## Number of resamples: 30
##
## MAE
##           Min. 1st Qu.  Median    Mean 3rd Qu.    Max. NA's
## lm  10.776005 11.82546 13.01903 12.78893 13.53671 14.35156     0
## gbm   9.279837 10.62671 11.26002 11.34755 12.11333 13.49005     0
##
## RMSE
##           Min. 1st Qu.  Median    Mean 3rd Qu.    Max. NA's
## lm  13.44655 15.07014 16.94017 16.63539 17.68285 19.80374     0
## gbm 12.21519 13.49773 14.56377 14.86044 15.96163 18.76878     0
##
## Rsquared
##           Min. 1st Qu.  Median    Mean 3rd Qu.    Max. NA's
## lm   0.7179442 0.7856800 0.8047945 0.8101983 0.8448531 0.8740577     0
## gbm  0.7601843 0.8266333 0.8590266 0.8489203 0.8701762 0.8905145     0
```

6.2 Classification Model

- Evaluation using Confusion Matrix

```
load("svm_classification.rda")
load("rf_classification.rda")
load("nb_classification.rda")
test_pred_svm <- predict(svm_Linear_cls_model, newdata = cls_testing)
test_pred_rf <- predict(random_Forest_cls_model, newdata = cls_testing)
test_pred_nb <- predict(naive_bayes_cls_model, newdata = cls_testing)
print("SVM Model Performance :")
```

```
## [1] "SVM Model Performance :"
```

```
confusionMatrix(test_pred_svm, factor(cls_testing$`Category`))
```

Confusion Matrix and Statistics

##

##	Prediction	Reference	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
##	ALL OTHER OFFENSES		234
##	ASSAULT OFFENSES		0
##	BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING		0
##	DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY		0
##	DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE		0
##	FRAUD OFFENSES		0
##	LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES		0
##	TRAFFIC VIOLATION		0

##	Prediction	Reference	ASSAULT OFFENSES
##	ALL OTHER OFFENSES		0
##	ASSAULT OFFENSES		150
##	BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING		0
##	DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY		0
##	DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE		0
##	FRAUD OFFENSES		0
##	LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES		0
##	TRAFFIC VIOLATION		0

##	Prediction	Reference	BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING
##	ALL OTHER OFFENSES		0
##	ASSAULT OFFENSES		0
##	BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING		2001
##	DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY		0
##	DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE		0
##	FRAUD OFFENSES		0
##	LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES		0
##	TRAFFIC VIOLATION		0

##	Prediction	Reference	DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERT
----	------------	-----------	---

Y

##	ALL OTHER OFFENSES		
0			
##	ASSAULT OFFENSES		
0			
##	BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING		
0			
##	DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY		421
5			
##	DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE		
0			
##	FRAUD OFFENSES		
0			
##	LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES		
0			
##	TRAFFIC VIOLATION		
0			

##	Prediction	Reference	DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE
##	ALL OTHER OFFENSES		0
##	ASSAULT OFFENSES		2

```

## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING 0
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY 0
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE 135
## FRAUD OFFENSES 0
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES 0
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION 0
##
## Reference
## Prediction FRAUD OFFENSES
## ALL OTHER OFFENSES 0
## ASSAULT OFFENSES 0
## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING 0
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY 0
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE 0
## FRAUD OFFENSES 153
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES 0
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION 0
##
## Reference
## Prediction LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES
## ALL OTHER OFFENSES 0
## ASSAULT OFFENSES 0
## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING 0
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY 0
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE 0
## FRAUD OFFENSES 0
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES 1133
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION 0
##
## Reference
## Prediction TRAFFIC VIOLATION
## ALL OTHER OFFENSES 0
## ASSAULT OFFENSES 0
## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING 0
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY 0
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE 0
## FRAUD OFFENSES 0
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES 0
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION 1046
##
## Overall Statistics
##
## Accuracy : 0.9998
## 95% CI : (0.9992, 1)
## No Information Rate : 0.4648
## P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
## Kappa : 0.9997
## McNemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
## Class: ALL OTHER OFFENSES Class: ASSAULT OFFENSES
## Sensitivity 1.0000 1.00000
## Specificity 1.0000 0.99978
## Pos Pred Value 1.0000 0.98684
## Neg Pred Value 1.0000 1.00000
## Prevalence 0.0258 0.01654
## Detection Rate 0.0258 0.01654

```



```

## Detection Prevalence          0.0258          0.01676
## Balanced Accuracy             1.0000          0.99989
##                               Class: BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING
## Sensitivity                   1.0000
## Specificity                   1.0000
## Pos Pred Value                1.0000
## Neg Pred Value                1.0000
## Prevalence                    0.2206
## Detection Rate                0.2206
## Detection Prevalence          0.2206
## Balanced Accuracy             1.0000
##                               Class: DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY
## Sensitivity                   1.0000
## Specificity                   1.0000
## Pos Pred Value                1.0000
## Neg Pred Value                1.0000
## Prevalence                    0.4648
## Detection Rate                0.4648
## Detection Prevalence          0.4648
## Balanced Accuracy             1.0000
##                               Class: DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE
## Sensitivity                   0.98540
## Specificity                   1.00000
## Pos Pred Value                1.00000
## Neg Pred Value                0.99978
## Prevalence                    0.01511
## Detection Rate                0.01489
## Detection Prevalence          0.01489
## Balanced Accuracy             0.99270
##                               Class: FRAUD OFFENSES Class: LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES
## Sensitivity                   1.00000          1.0000
## Specificity                   1.00000          1.0000
## Pos Pred Value                1.00000          1.0000
## Neg Pred Value                1.00000          1.0000
## Prevalence                    0.01687          0.1249
## Detection Rate                0.01687          0.1249
## Detection Prevalence          0.01687          0.1249
## Balanced Accuracy             1.00000          1.0000
##                               Class: TRAFFIC VIOLATION
## Sensitivity                   1.0000
## Specificity                   1.0000
## Pos Pred Value                1.0000
## Neg Pred Value                1.0000
## Prevalence                    0.1153
## Detection Rate                0.1153
## Detection Prevalence          0.1153
## Balanced Accuracy             1.0000

```

```
print("Random Forest Model Performance :")
```

```
## [1] "Random Forest Model Performance :"
```

```
confusionMatrix(test_pred_rf, factor(cls_testing$`Category`))
```

Confusion Matrix and Statistics

##

##	Prediction	Reference	ALL OTHER OFFENSES
##	ALL OTHER OFFENSES		234
##	ASSAULT OFFENSES		0
##	BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING		0
##	DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY		0
##	DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE		0
##	FRAUD OFFENSES		0
##	LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES		0
##	TRAFFIC VIOLATION		0

##	Prediction	Reference	ASSAULT OFFENSES
##	ALL OTHER OFFENSES		0
##	ASSAULT OFFENSES		150
##	BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING		0
##	DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY		0
##	DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE		0
##	FRAUD OFFENSES		0
##	LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES		0
##	TRAFFIC VIOLATION		0

##	Prediction	Reference	BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING
##	ALL OTHER OFFENSES		0
##	ASSAULT OFFENSES		0
##	BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING		2001
##	DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY		0
##	DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE		0
##	FRAUD OFFENSES		0
##	LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES		0
##	TRAFFIC VIOLATION		0

##	Prediction	Reference	DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERT
----	------------	-----------	---

Y

##	ALL OTHER OFFENSES		
0			
##	ASSAULT OFFENSES		
0			
##	BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING		
0			
##	DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY		421
5			
##	DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE		
0			
##	FRAUD OFFENSES		
0			
##	LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES		
0			
##	TRAFFIC VIOLATION		
0			

##	Prediction	Reference	DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE
##	ALL OTHER OFFENSES		0
##	ASSAULT OFFENSES		2

```

## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING 0
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY 0
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE 135
## FRAUD OFFENSES 0
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES 0
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION 0
##
## Reference
## Prediction FRAUD OFFENSES
## ALL OTHER OFFENSES 0
## ASSAULT OFFENSES 0
## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING 0
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY 0
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE 0
## FRAUD OFFENSES 153
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES 0
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION 0
##
## Reference
## Prediction LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES
## ALL OTHER OFFENSES 0
## ASSAULT OFFENSES 0
## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING 0
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY 0
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE 0
## FRAUD OFFENSES 0
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES 1133
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION 0
##
## Reference
## Prediction TRAFFIC VIOLATION
## ALL OTHER OFFENSES 0
## ASSAULT OFFENSES 0
## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING 0
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY 0
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE 0
## FRAUD OFFENSES 0
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES 0
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION 1046
##
## Overall Statistics
##
## Accuracy : 0.9998
## 95% CI : (0.9992, 1)
## No Information Rate : 0.4648
## P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
## Kappa : 0.9997
## McNemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
## Class: ALL OTHER OFFENSES Class: ASSAULT OFFENSES
## Sensitivity 1.0000 1.00000
## Specificity 1.0000 0.99978
## Pos Pred Value 1.0000 0.98684
## Neg Pred Value 1.0000 1.00000
## Prevalence 0.0258 0.01654
## Detection Rate 0.0258 0.01654

```

```

## Detection Prevalence          0.0258          0.01676
## Balanced Accuracy             1.0000          0.99989
##                               Class: BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING
## Sensitivity                   1.0000
## Specificity                   1.0000
## Pos Pred Value                1.0000
## Neg Pred Value                1.0000
## Prevalence                    0.2206
## Detection Rate                0.2206
## Detection Prevalence          0.2206
## Balanced Accuracy             1.0000
##                               Class: DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY
## Sensitivity                   1.0000
## Specificity                   1.0000
## Pos Pred Value                1.0000
## Neg Pred Value                1.0000
## Prevalence                    0.4648
## Detection Rate                0.4648
## Detection Prevalence          0.4648
## Balanced Accuracy             1.0000
##                               Class: DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE
## Sensitivity                   0.98540
## Specificity                   1.00000
## Pos Pred Value                1.00000
## Neg Pred Value                0.99978
## Prevalence                    0.01511
## Detection Rate                0.01489
## Detection Prevalence          0.01489
## Balanced Accuracy             0.99270
##                               Class: FRAUD OFFENSES Class: LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES
## Sensitivity                   1.00000          1.0000
## Specificity                   1.00000          1.0000
## Pos Pred Value                1.00000          1.0000
## Neg Pred Value                1.00000          1.0000
## Prevalence                    0.01687          0.1249
## Detection Rate                0.01687          0.1249
## Detection Prevalence          0.01687          0.1249
## Balanced Accuracy             1.00000          1.0000
##                               Class: TRAFFIC VIOLATION
## Sensitivity                   1.0000
## Specificity                   1.0000
## Pos Pred Value                1.0000
## Neg Pred Value                1.0000
## Prevalence                    0.1153
## Detection Rate                0.1153
## Detection Prevalence          0.1153
## Balanced Accuracy             1.0000

```

```
print("Naive Bayes Performance :")
```

```
## [1] "Naive Bayes Performance :"
```

```
confusionMatrix(test_pred_nb, factor(cls_testing$`Category`))
```

Confusion Matrix and Statistics

##

##	Reference	
## Prediction	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	
## ALL OTHER OFFENSES		0
## ASSAULT OFFENSES		0
## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING		0
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY		234
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE		0
## FRAUD OFFENSES		0
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES		0
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION		0

##	Reference	
## Prediction	ASSAULT OFFENSES	
## ALL OTHER OFFENSES		0
## ASSAULT OFFENSES		0
## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING		0
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY		150
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE		0
## FRAUD OFFENSES		0
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES		0
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION		0

##	Reference	
## Prediction	BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING	
## ALL OTHER OFFENSES		0
## ASSAULT OFFENSES		0
## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING		2001
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY		0
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE		0
## FRAUD OFFENSES		0
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES		0
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION		0

##	Reference	
## Prediction	DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERT	

Y

## ALL OTHER OFFENSES		
0		
## ASSAULT OFFENSES		
0		
## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING		
0		
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY		421
5		
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE		
0		
## FRAUD OFFENSES		
0		
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES		
0		
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION		
0		

##	Reference	
## Prediction	DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE	
## ALL OTHER OFFENSES		0
## ASSAULT OFFENSES		0

```

## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING 0
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY 137
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE 0
## FRAUD OFFENSES 0
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES 0
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION 0
##
## Reference
## Prediction FRAUD OFFENSES
## ALL OTHER OFFENSES 0
## ASSAULT OFFENSES 0
## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING 0
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY 153
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE 0
## FRAUD OFFENSES 0
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES 0
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION 0
##
## Reference
## Prediction LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES
## ALL OTHER OFFENSES 0
## ASSAULT OFFENSES 0
## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING 0
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY 1133
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE 0
## FRAUD OFFENSES 0
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES 0
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION 0
##
## Reference
## Prediction TRAFFIC VIOLATION
## ALL OTHER OFFENSES 0
## ASSAULT OFFENSES 0
## BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING 0
## DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY 1046
## DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE 0
## FRAUD OFFENSES 0
## LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES 0
## TRAFFIC VIOLATION 0
##
## Overall Statistics
##
## Accuracy : 0.6854
## 95% CI : (0.6757, 0.695)
## No Information Rate : 0.4648
## P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
## Kappa : 0.466
## McNemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
## Class: ALL OTHER OFFENSES Class: ASSAULT OFFENSES
## Sensitivity 0.0000 0.00000
## Specificity 1.0000 1.00000
## Pos Pred Value NaN NaN
## Neg Pred Value 0.9742 0.98346
## Prevalence 0.0258 0.01654
## Detection Rate 0.0000 0.00000

```

## Detection Prevalence	0.0000	0.00000
## Balanced Accuracy	0.5000	0.50000
##	Class: BURGLARY/ BREAKING & ENTERING	
## Sensitivity	1.0000	
## Specificity	1.0000	
## Pos Pred Value	1.0000	
## Neg Pred Value	1.0000	
## Prevalence	0.2206	
## Detection Rate	0.2206	
## Detection Prevalence	0.2206	
## Balanced Accuracy	1.0000	
##	Class: DESTRUCTION/ DAMAGE/ VANDALISM OF PROPERTY	
## Sensitivity		1.0000
## Specificity		0.4122
## Pos Pred Value		0.5963
## Neg Pred Value		1.0000
## Prevalence		0.4648
## Detection Rate		0.4648
## Detection Prevalence		0.7794
## Balanced Accuracy		0.7061
##	Class: DRUNKENNESS/TRESPASSING/NUISANCE	
## Sensitivity	0.00000	
## Specificity	1.00000	
## Pos Pred Value	NaN	
## Neg Pred Value	0.98489	
## Prevalence	0.01511	
## Detection Rate	0.00000	
## Detection Prevalence	0.00000	
## Balanced Accuracy	0.50000	
##	Class: FRAUD OFFENSES Class: LARCENY/ THEFT OFFENSES	
## Sensitivity	0.00000	0.0000
## Specificity	1.00000	1.0000
## Pos Pred Value	NaN	NaN
## Neg Pred Value	0.98313	0.8751
## Prevalence	0.01687	0.1249
## Detection Rate	0.00000	0.0000
## Detection Prevalence	0.00000	0.0000
## Balanced Accuracy	0.50000	0.5000
##	Class: TRAFFIC VIOLATION	
## Sensitivity	0.0000	
## Specificity	1.0000	
## Pos Pred Value	NaN	
## Neg Pred Value	0.8847	
## Prevalence	0.1153	
## Detection Rate	0.0000	
## Detection Prevalence	0.0000	
## Balanced Accuracy	0.5000	

7 Interpretations and Scope for Future Improvement

7.1 Interpretations

- Regression Model Evaluation – RMSE Mean : 14(gbm) > 17(lm) – Rsquared : 0.85(lm) > 0.82(gbm)
- Classification Model Evaluation – Accuracy : 100%(SVM) > 99.98% (RF) > 68%(Naive Bayes)

7.2 Scope for Future Improvement

- Predicted values in regression fit well with the actual values as per the plotted graphs of actual vs predicted.
- Accuracy is high for SVM,RF - High overfitting possible (or over-simplified model), Accuracy is moderate for Naive Bayes method
- Better feature engineering and complex selection of explanatory attributes must be addressed