

CS6848 - Principles of Programming Languages

Principles of Programming Languages

V. Krishna Nandivada

IIT Madras



Polymorphism - motivation

- $\text{AppTwiceInt} = \lambda f : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int} . \lambda x : \text{Int} . f (f x)$
 $\text{AppTwiceRcd} = \lambda f : (l : \text{Int}) \rightarrow (l : \text{Int}) . \lambda x : (l : \text{Int}) . f (f x)$
 $\text{AppTwiceOther} =$
 $\lambda f : (\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}) \rightarrow (\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}) . \lambda x : (\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}) . f (f x)$
- Breaks the idea of abstraction: Each significant piece of functionality in a program should be implemented in just one place in the source code.

Recap

- Extensions to simply typed lambda calculus.
- Pairs, Tuples and records



Polymorphism - variations

- Type systems allow single piece of code to be used with multiple types are collectively known as polymorphic systems.
- Variations:
 - Parametric polymorphism: Single piece of code to be typed generically (also known as, let polymorphism, first-class polymorphism, or ML-style polymorphic).
 - Restricts polymorphism to top-level `let` bindings.
 - Disallows functions from taking polymorphic values as arguments.
 - Uses variables in places of actual types and may instantiate with actual types if needed.
 - Example: ML, Java Generics

```
(let ((apply lambda f. lambda a (f a)))
  (let ((a (apply succ 3)))
    (let ((b (apply zero? 3))) ...
```
 - Ad-hoc polymorphism - allows a polymorphic value to exhibit different behaviors when viewed using different types.
 - Example: function Overloading, Java `instanceof` operator.
 - subtype polymorphism: A single term may get many types using

polymorphism.



- System F discovered by Jean-Yves Girard (1972)
- Polymorphic lambda-calculus by John Reynolds (1974)
- Also called second-order lambda-calculus - allows quantification over types, along with terms.



Type abstraction and application

$$(\lambda X.e)[t_1] \rightarrow [X \rightarrow t_1]e$$

Examples

$$id = \lambda X.\lambda x : X.x$$

Type of id : $\forall X.X \rightarrow X$

$$applyTwice = \lambda X.\lambda f : X \rightarrow X.\lambda a : X.f (f a)$$

Type of $applyTwice$: $\forall X.(X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X \rightarrow X$



- Definition of System F - an extension of simply typed lambda calculus.

Lambda calculus recall

- Lambda abstraction is used to abstract terms out of terms.
- Application is used to supply values for the abstract types.

System F

- A mechanism for abstracting types out of terms and fill them later.
- A new form of abstraction:
 - $\lambda X.e$ – parameter is a type.
 - Application – $e[t]$
 - called type abstractions and type applications (or instantiation).



Extension

- Expressions:

$$e ::= \dots | \lambda X.e | e[t]$$

- Values

$$v ::= \dots | \lambda X.e$$

- Types

$$t ::= \dots | \forall X.t$$

- typing context:

$$A ::= \phi | A, x : t | A, X$$



Evaluation

- type application 1 —
$$\frac{e_1 \rightarrow e'_1}{e_1[t_1] \rightarrow e'_1[t_1]}$$

- type application 2 — $(\lambda X.e_1)[t_1] \rightarrow [X \rightarrow t_1]e_1$



Typing rules

- type abstraction —
$$\frac{A, X \vdash e_1 : t_1}{A \vdash \lambda X.e_1 : \forall X.t_1}$$

- type application —
$$\frac{A \vdash e_1 : \forall X.t_1}{A \vdash e_1[t_2] : [X \rightarrow t_2]t_1}$$



Examples

- $id = \lambda X.\lambda x : X.x$

$$id : \forall X.X \rightarrow X$$

$$\text{type application: } id [Int] : Int \rightarrow Int$$

$$\text{value application: } id[Int] 0 = 0 : Int$$

- $applyTwice = \lambda X.\lambda f : X \rightarrow X.\lambda a : X.f (f a)$

$$ApplyTwiceInts = applyTwice [Int]$$

$$applyTwice[Int](\lambda x : Int.succ(succx)) 3 = 7$$



Polymorphic lists

List of uniform members

- $nil : \forall X.List\ X$
- $cons : \forall X.X \rightarrow List\ X \rightarrow List\ X$
- $isnil : \forall X.List\ X \rightarrow bool$
- $head : \forall X.List\ X \rightarrow X$
- $tail : \forall X.List\ X \rightarrow List\ X$



Example

- Recall: Simply typed lambda calculus - we cannot type $\lambda x.x\ x$.
- How about in System F?
- $\text{selfApp} : (\forall X.X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (\forall X.X \rightarrow X)$



Building on booleans

- $\text{and} = \lambda b.\lambda c.b\ c\ \text{fls}$
- $\text{or} = ?\ \lambda b.\lambda c.b\ \text{tru}\ c$
- $\text{not} = ?$



Church literals

Booleans

- $\text{tru} = \lambda t.\lambda f.t$
- $\text{fls} = \lambda t.\lambda f.f$
- Idea: A predicate will return `tru` or `fls`.
- We can write `if pred s1 else s2` as $(\text{pred}\ s1\ s2)$



Building pairs

- $\text{pair} = \lambda f.\lambda s.\lambda b.b\ f\ s$
- To build a pair: `pair v w`
- $\text{fst} = \lambda p.p\ \text{tru}$
- $\text{snd} = \lambda p.p\ \text{fls}$



Church numerals

- $c_0 = \lambda s. \lambda z. z$
- $c_1 = \lambda s. \lambda z. s z$
- $c_2 = \lambda s. \lambda z. s s z$
- $c_3 = \lambda s. \lambda z. s s s z$

Intuition

- Each number n is represented by a combinator c_n .
- c_n takes an argument s (for successor) and z (for zero) and apply s , n times, to z .
- c_0 and `fls` are exactly the same!
- This representation is similar to the unary representation we studied before.
- $scc = \lambda n. \lambda s. \lambda z. s (n s z)$



Examples - derive the types

- $a = \lambda x. \lambda y. x$
- $b = \lambda f. (f 3)$
- $c = \lambda x. (+ (head x) 3)$
- $d = \lambda f. ((f 3), (f \lambda y. y))$
- $appTwice = \lambda f. \lambda x. f f x$



(Recall) Type inference algorithm (Hindley-Milner)

Input: G : set of type equations (derived from a given program).

Output: Unification σ

- 1 failure = false; $\sigma = \{\}$.
- 2 while $G \neq \emptyset$ and \neg failure do
 - 1 Choose and remove an equation e from G . Say $e\sigma$ is $(s = t)$.
 - 2 If s and t are variables, or s and t are both `Int` then continue.
 - 3 If $s = s_1 \rightarrow s_2$ and $t = t_1 \rightarrow t_2$, then $G = G \cup \{s_1 = t_1, s_2 = t_2\}$.
 - 4 If $(s = \text{Int} \text{ and } t \text{ is an arrow type})$ or vice versa then failure = true.
 - 5 If s is a variable that does not occur in t , then $\sigma = \sigma \circ [s := t]$.
 - 6 If t is a variable that does not occur in s , then $\sigma = \sigma \circ [t := s]$.
 - 7 If $s \neq t$ and either s is a variable that occurs in t or vice versa then failure = true.
- 3 end-while.
- 4 if (failure = true) then output "Does not type check". Else o/p σ .



"Occurs" check

- Ensures that we get finite types.
- If we allow recursive types - the occurs check can be omitted.
 - Say in $(s = t)$, $s = A$ and $t = A \rightarrow B$. Resulting type?
- What if we are interested in System F - what happens to the type inference? (undecidable in general)

Self study.

