



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA

DIPARTIMENTO DI FISICA E ASTRONOMIA
CORSO DI LAUREA MAGISTRALE IN FISICA

Holographic effective field theories

Laureando:
Riccardo ANTONELLI

Relatore:
Prof. Luca MARTUCCI

Anno accademico 2015/2016

Abstract

The identification of the low-energy effective field theory associated with a given microscopic strongly interacting theory constitutes a fundamental problem in theoretical physics, which is particularly hard when the theory is not sufficiently constrained by symmetries. Recently, a new approach has been proposed, which addresses this problem for a large class of four-dimensional minimally supersymmetric strongly coupled superconformal field theories, admitting a dual weakly coupled holographic description in string theory. This approach provides a precise prescription for the holographic derivation of the associated effective field theories. The aim of the thesis is to further explore this approach by focusing on a specific model, whose effective field theory has not been investigated so far. *(modificare abstract alla fine del lavoro.)*

Contents

1	IIB superstrings and branes	1
1.1	Superstring theory	1
1.2	Type II superstrings and D-brane content	2
1.3	Action functional for IIB SUGRA	5
1.4	D-brane action	5
1.4.1	D-brane stacks	7
2	D3-brane stacks on Calabi-Yau cones	8
2.1	Brane stack in \mathbb{C}^3 and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills	8
2.2	Orbifolds	9
2.3	The conifold and the Klebanov-Witten model	9
2.4	The $Y^{(2,0)}$ orbifold theory	9
3	Holography	10
3.1	Maldacena duality	11
3.2	Features of AdS/CFT	12
3.3	Large N limit	12
3.4	AdS/CFT over a cone	13
3.5	The Klebanov-Witten model	16
4	Holographic effective field theories	17
4.1	Bulk moduli	17
4.2	Boundary moduli	19
4.3	Effective action	19
4.4	The Klebanov Witten HEFT	19
5	The $Y^{(2,0)}$ HEFT	20
5.1	Kähler moduli	20
A	Appendix	24
A.1	AdS space	24
A.2	Conformal boundary and symmetries	25

Chapter 1

IIB superstrings and branes

1.1 Superstring theory

String theory either does not admit a nonperturbative Lagrangian formulation, or this formulation is unknown. An action functional can only be written upon choosing a perturbative vacuum; since we anticipate a string theory must include gravity, a choice of vacuum will also require a choice of background metric - in the simplest case Minkowski spacetime. With this choice the action for a string in the simplest case of bosonic string theory is the Polyakov action:

$$S_B = -\frac{T}{2} \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{-g} g^{ab} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X_\mu \quad (1.1)$$

where the D fields X^μ describe the embedding of the string's worldsheet in the D -dimensional target spacetime, and the integral is performed over the worldsheet coordinates $\sigma^a = (\tau, \sigma)$. The X^μ are of course scalars from the point of view of the worldsheet. The auxiliary field g_{ab} is a metric on the worldsheet. The action displays worldsheet diffeomorphism and Weyl invariance, and thus perturbative string theory is naturally a two-dimensional conformal field theory. These symmetries must be quotiented out somehow on quantization. The most straightforward way is to eliminate them by fixing a particular gauge and then quantizing (canonical quantization). The three symmetry generators can kill the three degrees of freedom in the metric to fix it to the 2D Minkowski: $g_{ab} = \eta_{ab}$. We get

$$S_B = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2\sigma \partial_a X^\mu \partial^a X_\mu \quad (1.2)$$

where indices are raised with η^{ab} .

There are at least two different approaches to introducing supersymmetry into a string theory. The path followed by the RNS (Ramond-Neveu-Schwarz) formalism is to impose SUSY at the worldsheet level; explicitly, fermions ψ^μ to act as superpartners to the bosons X^μ . The action is extended to

$$S = S_B + S_F = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2\sigma \partial_a X^\mu \partial^a X_\mu + \bar{\psi}^\mu \rho^a \partial_a \psi_\mu \quad (1.3)$$

The spinors' equation of motion, the Dirac equation, is actually the Weyl condition in two dimension. This brings the real degrees of freedom in the spinor for each μ from 4 to 2. Recalling that in $(2 \bmod 8)$ dimensions there exist Weyl-Majorana spinors satisfying both the Weyl and Majorana conditions, imposing the latter on ψ halves again the on-shell polarizations to 1. Thus we have a match between bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom. It can be proven the theory above is indeed worldsheet supersymmetric.

To quantize canonically, we introduce canonical commutation/anticommutation relations:

$$[X^\mu(\sigma), X^\nu(\sigma')] = \eta^{\mu\nu} \delta^2(\sigma - \sigma') \quad \{\psi^\mu(\sigma), \psi^\nu(\sigma')\} = \eta^{\mu\nu} \delta^2(\sigma - \sigma') \quad (1.4)$$

Note the X^0 and ψ^0 would create negative norm states, but these modes are eliminated by resorting to superconformal invariance. Classically this symmetry imposes the stress-energy tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ and the supercurrent J_α^a vanish; imposing that in the quantum theory they annihilate physical states yields the restriction that removes the longitudinal ghosts from the spectrum. These take the name of super-Virasoro constraints.

Then the procedure for building the string spectrum is to expand the classical solutions in terms of Fourier modes, identify creators and destructors, and then select the states of the Fock basis that satisfy the super-Virasoro constraints.

Boundary conditions for ψ^μ for an open string can actually be satisfied in two different by imposing periodicity or antiperiodicity, giving rise to the NS (Neveu-Schwarz) and R (Ramond) sectors, built over two grounds $|0\rangle_{NS}$ and $|0\rangle_R$. Closed strings have four: $|0\rangle_{NS-NS}$, $|0\rangle_{R-R}$, $|0\rangle_{R-NS}$, $|0\rangle_{NS-R}$.
Review molto rapida dei creatori e distruttori nei quattro settori, formula di massa e spettro massless. Proiezione GSO

1.2 Type II superstrings and D-brane content

Proiezione GSO per le tipo II; chiralità dei fermioni; potenziali RR e p-form electrodynamics; D-brane corrispondenti. Magari T & S duality?

At scales much lower than the Planck scale (equivalently: when the curvature radii are \gg than the string size), all massive modes of a string theory decouple and a good description is given by an effective field theory comprising only the massless excitation. Since the string length goes to zero in this limit strings in massless states are essentially pointlike and the quantum theory will correspond to a local quantum field theory.

The effective field theories of the five superstring theories are the five supergravity (SUGRA) theories in 10 dimensions. The name of each SUGRA coincides with that of the superstring theory it's the effective theory of (e.g., IIB SUGRA is the effective theory of IIB superstrings). Supergravities are supersymmetric theories containing general relativity. Just like Einstein gravity, they are nonrenormalizable, reflecting their origin as effective theories. As field theories, they are considerably simpler than general strings to find background solutions to; therefore we will make extensive use of the supergravity approximation in the context of holography.

10D SUGRAs are perhaps easier to introduce starting instead from the unique 11D SUGRA. The field content of 11D SUGRA is as follows (number of physical polarizations in parentheses):

- graviton g_{MN} (44)
- 3-form A_3 (84)
- Majorana gravitino ψ_M (128)

As required by supersymmetry, the number of on-shell boson and fermion states are equal. These states form an irreducible supermultiplet, a gravity multiplet.

Upon dimensional reduction on a circle, in 10D these fields decompose into those of type IIA SUGRA:

- graviton $g_{\mu\nu}$ (35), Kalb-Ramond 2-form B_2 (28), dilaton ϕ (1)
- 1-form A_1 (8), 3-form A_3 (56)
- two Weyl-Majorana gravitinos of opposite chirality ψ_μ (56 each), two Weyl-Majorana dilatinos of opposite chirality λ (8 each)

Obviously, again we find that the total bosonic states are $35+28+1+8+56 = 128$ and the fermions $2 \cdot (56 + 8) = 128$. This is a theory with $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ SUSY, meaning there's two Weyl-Majorana (we recall again the existence of Weyl-Majorana fermions in $D = 10$) SUSY generators of opposite chirality.

We will mainly be interested, however, in type IIB SUGRA, which is not obtainable from dimensional reduction, but rather is the T-dual of type IIA. The field content is as follows:

- graviton $g_{\mu\nu}$ (35), Kalb-Ramond 2-form B_2 (28), dilaton ϕ (1)
- 0-form A_0 (1), 2-form A_2 (28), 4-form A_4 with self-dual field strength (35)
- two Weyl-Majorana gravitinos of equal chirality ψ_μ (56 each), two Weyl-Majorana dilatinos of equal chirality λ (8 each)

IIB SUGRA has $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ supersymmetry.

This net of relationships between SUGRAs in 10 and 11 dimension is actually the effective limit of dualities between string/M-theories of which these SUGRAs are effective field theories. The relevant part of the scheme is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{"M-theory"} & \xrightarrow{\text{dim. red. on } \mathbb{S}^1} & \text{IIA strings} & \xrightarrow{\text{T-duality}} & \text{IIB strings} \\
 \downarrow \text{eff. th.} & & \downarrow \text{eff.th.} & & \downarrow \text{eff.th.} \\
 11\text{D SUGRA} & \xrightarrow{\text{dim. red. on } \mathbb{S}^1} & \text{IIA SUGRA} & \xrightarrow{\text{T-duality}} & \text{IIB SUGRA}
 \end{array}$$

In both IIA and IIB, the RR sector admits the following gauge transformations:

$$B_2 \rightarrow B_2 + d\Lambda_1 \quad A_p \rightarrow A_p + d\Lambda_{p-1} - H_3 \wedge \Lambda_{p-3} \quad (1.5)$$

for any set of arbitrary k-forms Λ_p , leaving invariant the field strengths:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_3 &:= dB_2 \\
 F_{p+1} &:= dA_p + H_3 \wedge A_{p-2}
 \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Where A_p with $p < 0$ is set to 0. Now, the RR potential A_p obviously couples to $D(p-1)$ -branes by an interaction term which is the integral of A_p over the worldvolume; this is an electric coupling of the $D(p-1)$ -brane to F_{p+1} . The coupling however could also be magnetic, electric-magnetic duality being implemented in general through Hodge duality. We define F_p for additional values of p through

$$F_{9-p} = \tilde{\star} F_{p+1} \quad (1.7)$$

note that for the IIB F_5 this is actually a constraint. The new field strengths can then be locally trivialized as of 1.6 and so we end up with a complete set

of potentials A_0, \dots, A_8 for IIB and A_1, \dots, A_9 for IIA. The duality between potentials would be given by $A_p \leftrightarrow A_{8-p}$, and if $D(p-1)$ -branes couple electrically to A_p , then $D(7-p)$ -branes couple magnetically to it, that is to say electrically to A_{8-p} .

Therefore, the magnetic dual to a Dp -brane is a $D(6-p)$ -brane.

1.3 Action functional for IIB SUGRA

There is a considerable obstacle to a covariant (i.e. explicitly supersymmetric) formulation of type IIB supergravity in the self-duality constraint for the field strength 5-form \tilde{F}_5 . We will take the common path of formulating the Lagrangian theory ignoring the constraint (and thus in excess of bosonic polarizations with respect to an explicit supersymmetric theory) and then imposing self-duality by hand after deriving the equations of motion. Therefore the action will not be supersymmetric itself, while the Euler-Lagrange equations augmented with the constraint will be.

Actually, for the purpose of building classical solutions, where spinor fields vanish anyway, the fermionic sector of the action will not be important. The bosonic sector is as such:

$$S_B = S_{NS} + S_R + S_{CS}$$

where S_{NS} is the action relevant to the fields originally from the superstring NS-NS sector:

$$S_{NS} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2}|H_3|^2 \right)$$

Then S_R is for R-R fields, essentially just kinetic terms for the A forms:

$$S_R = -\frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} \left(|F_1|^2 + |\tilde{F}_3|^2 + |\tilde{F}_5|^2 \right)$$

And finally we supplement with a Chern-Simons type term:

$$S_{CS} = -\frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \int A_4 \wedge H_3 \wedge F_3$$

note the untilded F_3 . This is evidently a purely topological term.

1.4 D-brane action

D-branes appear as nonperturbative objects in string theories. They are themselves dynamical and the dynamics are modeled in the string perturbative regime by an action functional[1]. To formulate the action, we introduce

coordinates σ^a on the $(p+1)$ -dimensional worldvolume W and functions $X^\mu(\sigma^a)$ describing the embedding of W in spacetime.

Open strings can exist with their endpoints on D-branes. With a single D-brane, the massless open string modes with endpoints on the brane include a $U(1)$ gauge field A , with field strength F .

The bosonic part of the Dp -brane action is:

$$S_{Dp} = -\mu_{Dp} \int_W d^{p+1} \sigma e^{-\phi} \sqrt{-\det(X^*(g - B_2) - 2\pi\alpha' F)} \quad (1.8)$$

$$+ \mu_{Dp} \int_W \left[X^* \left(\sum_k C_k \right) \wedge e^{2\pi\alpha' F - B_2} \wedge (1 + \mathcal{O}(R^2)) \right]_{p+1} \quad (1.9)$$

Where

$$\mu_{Dp} = \alpha'^{-\frac{p+1}{2}} (2\pi)^{-p} \quad (1.10)$$

The first line 1.8 is the Dirac-Born-Infeld action and generalizes the Nambu-Goto action; the notation $X^*(T)$ denotes the pull-back of a spacetime tensor to the worldsheet. For example, if $B = F = 0$, $X^*(g)$ is the induced metric $h_{ab} = \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu g_{\mu\nu}$. Setting only $B = 0$, $\phi = \text{const}$ and expanding S_{DBI} in powers of α' :

$$S_{DBI} = -\frac{\mu_{Dp}}{g_s} \int_W d^{p+1} \sigma \sqrt{-h} + \frac{\alpha'^{-(p-3)/2}}{4g_s(2\pi)^{p-2}} \int_W d^{p+1} \sigma \sqrt{-g} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \dots \quad (1.11)$$

the first term is the direct generalization of the Nambu-Goto action, allowing us to identify the Dp -brane tension $T_{Dp} = \frac{\mu_{Dp}}{g_s}$. The second is a Yang-Mills action for the $U(1)$ gauge field, restricted to the worldvolume.

The second line 1.9 is a Chern-Simons type term coupling the brane to the RR potentials. The sum over k only spans odd or even respectively for IIA or IIB, and the $[\]_{p+1}$ notation means the $p+1$ -form component must be selected so as to define a meaningful integral. We note that in vanishing B_2 and curvature, and expanding in F , the physical interpretation becomes less obscure:

$$S_{CS} = \mu_P \int_W C_{p+1} + \mu_P (2\pi\alpha') \int_W C_{p-1} \wedge F + \mathcal{O}(F^2) \quad (1.12)$$

so that there is a direct, standard coupling of the C_{p+1} potential to the Dp -brane at the zeroth order in F . Higher order terms mean a coupling with the lower RR potentials and are due to nontrivial F configurations which induce lower-dimensional D-brane charges localized inside the Dp -brane.

1.4.1 D-brane stacks

We touch briefly upon the easy generalization of the above action to the case of N coincident D p -branes, a “stack”. The salient point is the extension of the gauge group from $U(1)$ to $U(N)$. The gauge bosons in the adjoint representation with indices $i\bar{j}$ come from massless modes of open strings stretching between brane i and brane \bar{j} . Essentially, the F^2 term (and higher) in 1.11 must be supplemented with gauge traces.

stack D-brane, YM non abeliana

Chapter 2

D3-brane stacks on Calabi-Yau cones

Perhaps the most essential ingredient for the conception of the idea of holography was the fact that coincident D3-branes (a "stack") naturally feature a 4D gauge theory on their world-volume, where the 4D fields emerge from the modes of open strings stretching between them. In the simplest and most famous example, a stack of N D3-branes is placed in otherwise Minkowski $\mathbb{R}^{1,9}$; the corresponding field theory is the maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills in four dimensions (SYM4).

Setting the stack on a different background geometry instead gives rise to a large family of different field theories; a particularly interesting subset is given by spacetimes of the form:

$$M = \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times X_6 \tag{2.1}$$

where the $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is parallel to the branes (and must be identified with the field theory spacetime) and X_6 is a 6-dimensional Calabi-Yau cone over a compact 5-fold base Y_5 . In this language, the SYM4 example above corresponds to $X_6 = \mathbb{R}^6 = \mathbb{C}^3$, which is (trivially) a cone over \mathbb{S}^5 . This is the only case where X_6 turns out to be smooth; in general it will feature a conical singularity in the origin. Other choices for the base will typically yield theories with reduced (even minimal) supersymmetry, which are considerably more challenging to study.

2.1 Brane stack in \mathbb{C}^3 and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills

If $X_6 = \mathbb{C}^3$, the branes are invariant under half of the $16 \times 2 = 32$ IIB supercharges. The only possibility for a 4D theory to have 16 supercharges is to

be an $\mathcal{N} = 4$, superconformal field theory¹. Moreover, the theory features gluons as the massless spin-1 modes for string stretching between brane i and brane j (i and j being called Chan-Paton indices), *già detto* so that the gauge group is $U(N)$, and the Chan-Paton indices lie in the fundamental. The information that the theory is a $U(N)$ gauge theory and is maximally supersymmetric is enough to uniquely fix it.

In $\mathcal{N} = 1$ language (which we employ even though the model has $\mathcal{N} = 4$) the theory describes the dynamics of $U(N)$ gauge vector supermultiplets A_μ and three complex chiral superfields $(X^a)_{ij}$, $a = 1, 2, 3$ in the adjoint of the gauge group (we will frequently omit gauge indices). These are nothing else than the parametrization of the D3-branes' position in \mathbb{C}^3 and therefore transform in the fundamental of $SU(3)$. The superpotential is the only one allowed by gauge and $SU(3)$ invariance:

$$W(X) = \epsilon_{abc} \text{Tr}(X^a X^b X^c) \quad (2.2)$$

2.2 Orbifolds

2.3 The conifold and the Klebanov-Witten model

In [2] the case of X_6 being the conifold was studied. The conifold is a specific Calabi-Yau 3-cone defined for example as the following variety in \mathbb{C}^4 :

$$z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 + z_4^2 = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

This is notably not an orbifold of \mathbb{C}^3 . The base can be found by quotienting by dilations $z_i \rightarrow \lambda z_i$ (with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$) and turns out to be the homogeneous space $SO(4)/U(1) = SU(2) \times SU(2)/U(1)$, where the $U(1)$ is a diagonal subgroup generated by, say, $T_L^3 + T_R^3$. We will therefore have $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ as part of the isometry group of both Y_5 and X_6 . An equivalent description of the topology of the conifold is as a $U(1)$ bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$; in these terms the metric on the base that makes the cone Calabi-Yau is

$$ds_5^2 = \frac{1}{9}(d\psi + \cos\theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos\theta_2 d\phi_2)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(d\Omega_1^2 + d\Omega_2^2) \quad (2.4)$$

where $\Omega_i^2 = d\theta_i^2 + \sin^2\theta_i d\phi_i^2$ is the metric on the $\mathbb{C}P_i^1$, and ψ is the fibral coordinate with period 4π .

2.4 The $Y^{(2,0)}$ orbifold theory

¹Indeed, the number of supercharges is 2 for the components of a 4D Majorana spinor, times \mathcal{N} , times a factor of 2 since the superconformal algebra has twice the supercharges of the usual SUSY algebra.

Chapter 3

Holography

In the previous chapter we explained how the dynamics of brane stacks, in particular D3-branes in type IIB, are described by gauge field theory on their worldvolumes. It's however important to note that parallel to this “open string” picture of the brane stack system there is also a dual description in terms of the curved spacetimes generated by their mass. Insisting these two viewpoints are equivalent, one is able to deduce an exact correspondence between the gauge theory and string theory on the near-horizon geometry.

This kind of duality is exotic as it connects a local field theory in four dimensions with an essentially five-dimensional string (and so, inherently gravitational) theory through a perfect mapping. It is reasonable in fact to identify the spacetime of the field theory with the conformal boundary of the higher-dimensional gravitational background it's dual to (the bulk), for reasons we will clarify - so that in more colloquial language the dynamics in the bulk are “encoded” in the screen at infinity, hence the adjective “holographic” for this sort of correspondences.

Explicit holographic correspondences are not only interesting by themselves as ; they're also extremely practical tools for studying the theories involved on both sides of them. It's certainly very attractive for the purpose of quantum gravity or the definition of string theory - non-local theories without action functionals - if these situations happen to be equivalent to a local quantum field theory.

However in this work our interest will be focused on the opposite direction, investigating the dynamics of the field theory by exploiting the dual gravitational system. The power of holographic dualities lies in the fact that they map the strongly-coupled regime for the field theory to the regime where the bulk dynamics can be approximated by supergravity. The traditionally untreatable strong coupling region for some gauge QFTs in four dimensions

can then be probed by studying the relatively tamer dynamics of a smooth dual spacetime.

3.1 Maldacena duality

We now consider the *IIB* supergravity solution modeling the spacetime created by a system of D3-branes in a background $\mathbb{R}^{1,9}$. This is given by

$$ds^2 = H^{-1/2} dx_\mu dx^\mu + H^{1/2} (dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_5^2) \quad (3.1)$$

$$e^\Phi = \text{const} =: g_s \quad (3.2)$$

$$F_5 = dH^{-1} \wedge dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \quad (3.3)$$

$$H(r) = 1 + \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^4 \quad (3.4)$$

where x^μ , $\mu = 0, \dots, 3$ are coordinates parallel to the brane stack and $d\Omega_5$ is the standard metric on \mathbb{S}^5 .

The curvature radius R is given by

$$R^4 = 4\pi g_s N \alpha'^2 \quad (3.5)$$

where N is the number of D3-branes in the stack.

note on the throat.

This system (IIB string theory on the metric 3.1) must then be equivalent to the stack of D3-branes in the background Minkowski, taking into account both open and closed string interactions. The action is schematically:

$$S = \frac{1}{g_s} \int d^4x F^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha'^4} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{g} R e^{-2\phi} + O(\alpha') + \dots \quad (3.6)$$

(where, we recall, $g_{YM}^2 \sim g_s$). The first two terms are respectively the actions for SYM and free IIB SUGRA in the Minkowski background; the following terms, with higher powers of α' , establish the coupling between these two systems. It's clear that in the limit $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$ the free SUGRA part decouples from the SYM.

We repeat this decoupling limit for the black 3-brane metric. If $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$, so does R , and effectively the metric seems to converge to flat spacetime. We have however to take into consideration the throat described before.

decoupling limit

3.2 Features of AdS/CFT

relazione fra on-shell nel bulk e off-shell nel boundary; operator-state e le funzioni di partizione; massa e scaling dimension; rinormalizzazione e dimensione extra

3.3 Large N limit

We will now clarify what is meant by large N limit for a Yang-Mills theory.

The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{Tr} (F^2) + \dots$$

with $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + ig_{YM}[A_\mu, A_\nu]$ and \dots can include fields in the fundamental, adjoint, bifundamental, etc. These will all of course be representable as object with a certain number of colour indices (and symmetries between them).

We can modify the standard Feynman prescription for pictorially representing amplitudes to get a "double line" or "ribbon" representation in which each colour index is carried by a line. For example, the gluon self energy diagram becomes as such:

INSERIRE DIAGRAMMA

colour indices $i, \bar{i}, j, \bar{j} = 1, \dots, N$ are fixed, while k must be summed over. Also, the amplitude has two three-gluon vertices, each carrying a factor of g_{YM}^2 , for an overall factor of $g_{YM}^2 N$.

It's easy to convince oneself that as long as we restrict to planar diagrams, that is diagrams that can be drawn on the plane (or more precisely the sphere), adding one strip will always introduce exactly one additional loop and two additional vertices, again carrying a factor of $g_{YM}^2 N$. The combination $\lambda := g_{YM}^2 N$ is the 't Hooft coupling, and is better suited to represent the strength of the gauge interaction than g_{YM} if we are to modify the number of colours.

So the 't Hooft large N limit is defined as:

$$N \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{but keeping } \lambda \text{ fixed} \quad (3.7)$$

A useful rescaling of the fields shifts all the g_{YM} dependence of the Lagrangian to a factor in front:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} (\text{Tr } F^2 + \dots) \quad (3.8)$$

so that now all types of vertices bring $g_{YM}^2 = \lambda/N$ and propagators bring $1/g_{YM}^2 = N/\lambda$.

We extend to nonplanar graphs by noting these can always be drawn on some Riemann surface of genus g , and, since they induce triangular tilings of said surface, the famous formula for the Euler characteristic holds:

$$F - V + E = \chi = 2 - 2g$$

F , V , E being the number of faces, vertices, edges respectively. Now each face (loop) carries a factor of N , each vertex a factor of λ/N , and each edge N/λ , so that the total contribution is

$$\lambda^{E-V} N^{F-V+E} = \lambda^{E-V} N^{2-2g}$$

so that at fixed λ , an expansion in N (or better $1/N$) is a genus expansion reminiscent of the loop expansion in perturbative string theory. This for example means that the free energy admits a power expansion in $1/N$:

$$F = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} f_g(\lambda) N^{2-2g} \quad (3.9)$$

One could be perplexed by the N^2 divergence of the genus zero contribution. This is not problematic however; it's an artifact of the rescaling 3.8 which makes the Lagrangian itself diverge as $g_{YM}^{-2} \text{Tr } F^2 \sim N/\lambda \cdot N$, since the trace of a matrix in the adjoint scales as N .

3.4 AdS/CFT over a cone

As seen above, the original motivation for the AdS/CFT conjecture is the identification of a system of N coincident D3-branes in a \mathbb{M}^{10} Minkowski background and the corresponding 3-brane supergravity solution. In an appropriate low-energy limit a system of closed IIB strings on flat spacetime decouples in both pictures, suggesting it should be conjectured that the remaining parts are equivalent. These are respectively $\mathcal{N} = 4$, $SU(N)$ SYM on \mathbb{M}^4 and IIB strings on $AdS_5 \times S_5$.

We repeat this reasoning, but in the more interesting case where the background for the D3-branes is generalized as $\mathbb{M}^4 \times X_6$, where X_6 is a cone over a base 5-manifold Y_5 . We anticipate the bulk dual in this case is IIB strings over $AdS_5 \times Y_5$. By X_6 being a cone over Y_5 it is meant that the metric on it is

$$ds_6^2 = dr^2 + r^2 ds_5^2 \quad (3.10)$$

where of course ds_5^2 is the metric on Y_5 . If $Y_5 = \mathbb{S}^5$ with the unit round metric then the cone is $X_6 = \mathbb{R}^6$ and one returns to the flat case.

For this to be a string background, X_6 should be Ricci-flat. This is equivalent to Y_5 being Einstein of positive curvature. ds_6^2 is conformally equivalent to the canonical metric on a cylinder over Y_5 , as evidenced by the reparametrization $\phi = \ln r$:

$$ds_6^2 = e^{2\phi} (d\phi^2 + ds_5^2) \quad (3.11)$$

Recalling the transformation law of the Ricci tensor in n dimensions under conformal rescalings:

$$R'_{ij} = R_{ij} - (n-2) (\nabla_i \partial_j \phi - \partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi) + \left(\nabla^2 \phi - (n-2) \nabla_k \phi \nabla^k \phi \right) g_{ij} \quad (3.12)$$

And noting that for the cylinder the restriction of R_{ij} to Y_5 indices gives Y_5 's own Ricci tensor $R_{ij}^{(5)}$, we obtain

$$R_{ij}^{(5)} = 4g_{ij}^{(5)} \quad (3.13)$$

proving Y_5 is Einstein.

We are also interested in X_6 being Calabi-Yau, that is being Kähler with holonomy $\subset SU(3)$. We define Y_5 to be Sasaki-Einstein iff the corresponding cone is Calabi-Yau. The complex structure on the cone induces a vector field on the base, the Reeb vector:

$$\xi := J(r\partial_r) \quad (3.14)$$

where J is the complex structure on the cone and ξ is to be thought of as restricted to, say, $r = 1 \cong Y_5$; this is a Killing vector on the base, inducing a 1-dimensional foliation. The dual form, $\theta = g_{ij} \xi^i dx^j$, is a contact form for the base, contact meaning the 2-form on the cone

$$\omega = t^2 d\theta + t dt \wedge \theta \quad (3.15)$$

is symplectic. This is of course the symplectic form associated to the hermitian structure.

After placing 3-branes in this $X_6 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ background, parallel to the Minkowski, the resulting geometry from their backreaction is:

$$ds^2 = H^{-1/2}(r, y) dx \cdot dx + H^{1/2}(r, y) ds_6^2 \quad (3.16)$$

già fatto! ref ref ref Where $x^{0,\dots,3}$ are coordinates parallel to the brane stack, $dx \cdot dx = -(dx^0)^2 + (dx^i)^2$, r is the radial coordinate and the remaining $y^{1,\dots,5}$ parametrize the cone's base Y_5 . This is a simple generalization of the well-known black 3-brane solution by substitution of \mathbb{S}^5 with Y_5 .

Ricci-flatness implies the function H is harmonic: $\nabla H(r) = 0$. The linearity of this equation arises from the fact that D-branes are BPS states, corresponding in the gravitational picture to extremal p-branes; these notably do not interact mutually.

If the branes are coincident, the corresponding harmonic potential is

$$H(r) = 1 + \frac{R^4}{r^4} \quad R^4 = 4\pi g_s N \alpha'^2 \quad (3.17)$$

The near-horizon limit ($r \rightarrow 0$) in that case can be read immediately:

$$ds^2 = \frac{dx \cdot dx + dz^2}{z^2} + ds_5^2 \quad (3.18)$$

where $z := 1/r$; this is evidently the product metric on $AdS_5 \times Y_5$, where of AdS_5 we're only considering the Poincaré patch.

We note that the introduction of a conical singularity results in reduced supersymmetry. Unbroken SUSY generators are identified from the Killing spinor equation:

$$\left(\partial_\mu + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\mu\alpha\beta} \Gamma^{\alpha\beta} \right) \eta = 0 \quad (3.19)$$

Explicitly for the cone metric 3.10:

$$\left(\partial_i + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{ijk} \Gamma^{jk} + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_i^r \right) \eta = 0 \quad (3.20)$$

this is, as expected, coincident with the (Y_5 sector of) Killing spinor equation for the backreacted $AdS_5 \times Y_5$ geometry, including also the effect of F_5 . This is to show there is a match between the unbroken SUSYs in the bulk theory and in the boundary.

If the cone is of holonomy $SU(n)$, this will result in a reduction of supersymmetries by a factor of 2^{1-n} with respect to \mathbb{M}^{10} Minkowski. In particular, if X_6 is Calabi-Yau, then the $32 = 16 \times 2$ fermionic generators of IIB SUGRA are reduced to $32 \times 2^{-2} = 8$, which means the SCFT in 4D has $\mathcal{N} = 1$ (in contrast to the usual SUSY algebra, the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ 4D superconformal group has both 4 supertranslations and 4 additional fermionic superconformal generators). If, instead, we were to consider the more restrictive case of

manifolds of $SU(2)$ holonomy, there would be 16 unbroken supersymmetries signaling an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ dual SCFT.

3.5 The Klebanov-Witten model

A well-known specific example of SCFT holographically dual to D-branes in a Calabi-Yau cone has been introduced in [2]. In this case the base of the cone is the manifold $T^{1,1} = (SU(2) \times SU(2))/U(1)$, where $U(1) \subset SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ is generated by $\sigma_L^3 + \sigma_R^3$.

We give a characterization of the cone X_6 over $T^{1,1}$ as a submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^4 \ni (A^1, A^2, B^1, B^2)$ given by

$$|A^1|^2 + |A^2|^2 - |B^3|^2 - |B^4|^2 = 0 \quad (3.21)$$

quotiented by $U(1)$ acting on A^i with charge 1 and B^i with charge -1 . This makes the $SU(2) \times SU(2) \approx SO(4)$ symmetry manifest with the two copies of $SU(2)$ acting respectively only on A^i and B^i .

The holographic dual theory to a stack of N D3-brane moving in the background given by \mathbb{R}^4 times the cone over $T^{1,1}$ is found to be an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal quiver gauge theory with gauge group $SU(N) \times SU(N)$, with chiral superfields A^i, B^i ($i = 1, 2$) transforming respectively in the bi-fundamentals $(\mathbf{N}, \bar{\mathbf{N}}), (\bar{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{N})$. The $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ isometry in the bulk is here implemented as a "flavour" global symmetry acting separately on A^i and B^i . Moreover, the diagonal $U(1)$ reappears as a baryonic symmetry where again A^i has charge 1 and B^i charge -1 .

relazione fra i campi della CFT e la posizione delle D-brane, moduli space mesonico e totale, un po' di più sul KW

Chapter 4

Holographic effective field theories

definizione di HEFT come in [3]

4.1 Bulk moduli

identificazione dei moduli del bulk: numeri di Betti e condizioni globali sui potenziali, moduli della struttura Kähler

We now identify the moduli of the bulk string theory. This will include deformations of the background metric and of the RR potentials, parametrized by particular types of k -forms. Therefore, a great deal of information about them can be obtained just from examining the topology of the cone X_6 .

First of all, we take as an assumption that the third Betti number of the cone vanishes:

$$b_3(X) = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

It can be proven from Myers' theorem that Y_5 being Sasaki-Einstein means the following Betti numbers vanish:

$$b_1(Y) = b_4(Y) = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

It's also possible to prove that

$$b_1(X) = b_5(X) = b_6(X) = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

The vanishing of the odd Betti numbers for X_6 means Dp -branes with $p = 1, 3, 5$ cannot be wrapped around nontrivial p -cycles.

We recall the long sequence involving relative homology groups:

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{i-1}(Y) \rightarrow H^i(X, Y) \rightarrow H^i(X) \rightarrow H^i(Y) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(X, Y) \rightarrow \dots \quad (4.4)$$

where $H^i(X, Y; \mathbb{R})$ is the relative homology group - closed k -forms on X vanishing on Y modulo exact forms with the same property - and when the $;\mathbb{R}$ is omitted we implicitly mean the base field is \mathbb{R} . We cut the sequence short by setting $i = 2$ and noting $H^1(Y) = 0$ as of 4.2 and $H^3(X, Y) \subset H^3(X) = 0$ as of 4.1; the short exact sequence is

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(X, Y) \rightarrow H^2(X) \rightarrow H^2(Y) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.5)$$

Implying $H^2(X) = H^2(Y) \oplus H^2(X, Y)$. Applying Poincaré duality on the two components and counting dimensions gives

$$b_2(X) = b_3(Y) + b_4(X) \quad (4.6)$$

This result will be useful in parametrizing deformations of the axio-dilaton τ and the 2-forms C_2 and B_2 . In particular, combining these fields into a single complex 2-form $C_2 - \tau B_2$, for any given value of τ the deformations of this form are decomposable in $b_2(X)$ complex parameters, to which we then append one additional parameter for deformations of τ . Making use of the above splitting, these are divided in $b_3(Y) + 1$ 'boundary' complex parameters counting non-dynamical deformations, and $b_4(X)$ 'bulk' dynamical complex moduli.

C_4 moduli

Having dealt with RR moduli, we now consider the moduli of the Kähler structure of the background. Since $b_3(X) = 0$ by hypothesis, the complex structure is rigid. There are instead moduli for the Kähler form J ; in particular we know from *citazione teoremi esistenza* that every cohomology class $[J]$ of $H^2(X)$ contains a single representative Ricci-flat Kähler form J , so that $H^2(X)$ is the moduli space for the Kähler structure. We can expand the cohomology class as

$$[J] = v^a [\omega_a] \quad (4.7)$$

with $[\omega_a]$ being a basis for the integral cohomology $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, as the latter modulo torsion is a lattice sitting in $H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$. This means

$$\delta[J] = \delta v^a [\omega_a] \quad (4.8)$$

meaning there exist representatives in the classes such that the equation without square brackets holds. Since small variations of the Kähler form must be $(1, 1)$ harmonic forms *reference*, we then know there exist $(1, 1)$

harmonic representatives ω_a for the aforementioned basis of classes. Returning to 4.7 we can rewrite it as

$$J - v^a \omega_a \in [0] \quad (4.9)$$

But for the LHS to belong to the zero class just means to be exact. Therefore

$$J = J_0 + v^a \omega_a \quad (4.10)$$

with J_0 being exact and $(1, 1)$. Note the linearity of this parametrization is an illusion of notation: the condition $\Delta\omega_a = 0$ depends on the metric and so on both J_0 and v^a .

4.2 Boundary moduli

descrizione della CFT holografica tipica (quiver), di nuovo classificazione dei moduli

4.3 Effective action

azione efficace calcolata in [3]; forse anche la derivazione?

4.4 The Klebanov Witten HEFT

Calcolo esplicito della HEFT per il KW

Chapter 5

The $Y^{(2,0)}$ HEFT

5.1 Kähler moduli

The general Calabi-Yau deformation of the $Y^{2,0}$ cone is already well-known (*refs*) in real coordinates as:

$$ds^2 = \kappa^{-1}(r)dr^2 + \frac{1}{9}\kappa(r)r^2(d\psi + \cos\theta_L d\phi_L + \cos\theta_R d\phi_R)^2 + \frac{1}{6}r^2 d\Omega_L^2 + \frac{1}{6}(r^2 + a^2)d\Omega_R^2 \quad (5.1)$$

$$\kappa(r) = \frac{1 + \frac{9a^2}{r^2} - \frac{b^6}{r^6}}{1 + \frac{6a^2}{r^2}} \quad (5.2)$$

with a, b the two unique real moduli. The topology is that of an \mathbb{R}^2 bundle over $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$.

For the purpose of building the effective theory, however, this metric must be rewritten in a complex chart. To that end, we try to find the general CY metric on a $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ bundle; on the spheres of the base we take the round metric, given by the Kähler forms j^L and j^R . It's easy to verify explicitly that, given any set of complex coordinates on the base (y_L, y_R) ,

$$j^L \wedge j^R = e^{-\Lambda k} dy^L \wedge d\bar{y}^L \wedge dy^R \wedge d\bar{y}^R \quad (5.3)$$

with $k = k^L + k^R$ the total base potential, and for some Λ depending on the overall size of the spheres (for unit radius, $\Lambda = 1$).

We also introduce the function t of the fibral coordinate ζ as

$$t = |\zeta|^2 e^{\Lambda k} \quad (5.4)$$

We then start from the following ansatz for the Kähler potential:

$$k_X = f(t) + \alpha k^L + \tilde{\alpha} k^R \quad (5.5)$$

where $\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}$, controlling the volume at $t = 0$ of the base 2-spheres, parametrize the Ricci-flat Kähler resolutions of the cone. We are now set to prove that there is always an $f(t; \alpha, \tilde{\alpha})$ that makes the metric Ricci-flat.

The corresponding Kähler form is straightforward:

$$J = A^L j^L + A^R j^R + ie^{\Lambda k}(f' + tf'')(d\zeta + \Lambda\zeta\partial k) \wedge (\text{c.c.}) \quad (5.6)$$

with $A^L = \alpha + \Lambda t f'(t)$ and $A^R = \tilde{\alpha} + \Lambda t f'(t)$. This is more simply $J = J_M + M e^3 \wedge \bar{e}^3$, where J_M is the purely basal part, $e^3 = d\zeta + \Lambda\zeta\partial k$ and M is a scalar factor. The volume form is then clearly

$$J \wedge J \wedge J = 3A^L A^R M j^1 \wedge j^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge \bar{e}^3 \quad (5.7)$$

as all other terms in the cube vanish. Since the volume form is $\sqrt{\det g} d\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$, with $\Omega = d\zeta \wedge dy^L \wedge dy^R$, and the Ricci tensor for a Kähler space is proportional to $\partial\bar{\partial} \ln \det g$, then the condition for Ricci-flatness is equivalent to the prefactor of $\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$ in $J \wedge J \wedge J$ being constant, that is to say

$$(\alpha + \Lambda t f')(\tilde{\alpha} + \Lambda t f') \frac{d}{dt}(\Lambda t f') = c \quad (5.8)$$

or, having defined $y = \Lambda t f'$,

$$(\alpha + y)(\tilde{\alpha} + y)y' = c \quad (5.9)$$

Since $f(t)$ must be regular as $t = 0$, and $f' = \frac{y}{\Lambda t}$, it must be that y goes to zero at least as fast as t as $t \rightarrow 0$; this condition eliminates the freedom from the constant of integration for equation 5.8. The constant c on the other hand can be readily reabsorbed into a t rescaling. Therefore there should be a unique y (and so a unique f up to unsequential constant shifts) that gives a Ricci-flat metric. Let us see this explicitly: we integrate 5.8 to obtain

$$\frac{y^3}{3} + \frac{\alpha + \tilde{\alpha}}{2} y^2 + \alpha \tilde{\alpha} y = ct + d \quad (5.10)$$

And then the regularity condition $y(0) = 0$ is satisfied with $d = 0$, and this cubic equation for y is immediately seen to have one single real solution for any positive values of α^i, c .

Before exhibiting the explicit form of $y(t; \alpha, \tilde{\alpha})$, let us express the Kähler form in terms of y and show it's actually equal to the real-coordinate metric 5.1. We have

$$J = (\alpha + y)j^1 + (\tilde{\alpha} + y)j^2 + \frac{ie^{\Lambda k}}{\Lambda} y' e^3 \wedge \bar{e}^{\bar{3}} \quad (5.11)$$

$$= (\alpha + y)j^1 + (\tilde{\alpha} + y)j^2 + \frac{ie^{\Lambda k} c}{\Lambda(\alpha + y)(\tilde{\alpha} + y)} e^3 \wedge \bar{e}^{\bar{3}} \quad (5.12)$$

Now, we parametrize the fiber as $\zeta = e^{-\Lambda k/2} t^{1/2} e^{i\psi}$, and the 2-spheres with θ_i, ϕ_i which fixes $\Lambda = 1$. Then the metric corresponding to J is

$$ds^2 = A^L d\Omega_L^2 + A^R d\Omega_R^2 + \frac{y'}{t} \left(\frac{dt^2}{4} + t^2 (d\psi + \sigma)^2 \right) \quad (5.13)$$

Where $\sigma = -i\frac{\Lambda}{2}(\partial k - \bar{\partial} k)$. But the $t - \psi$ part is simply

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{4y't} dy^2 + (y't)(d\psi + \sigma)^2 \quad (5.14)$$

Using both 5.8 and its integrated form 5.10 we rewrite

$$y't = \frac{1}{A^L A^R} \left(\frac{y^3}{3} + \frac{\alpha + \tilde{\alpha}}{2} y^2 + \alpha \tilde{\alpha} y \right) \quad (5.15)$$

$$= 3cr^2 \frac{1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha}{r^2} + \frac{\alpha^2(\alpha - 3\tilde{\alpha})}{2r^6}}{1 + \frac{\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha}{r^2}} \quad (5.16)$$

$$= 3cr^2 \kappa(r) \quad (5.17)$$

provided we make the identifications

$$a^2 = \frac{1}{6}(\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha) \quad b^6 = \frac{\alpha^2(3\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha)}{2} \quad (5.18)$$

The final coordinate change to the r coordinate is then given by $r^2 = A^L = y + \alpha$ - note this renders the inherent symmetry between the left and right 2-cycles non-manifest. The resulting metric, after taking $c = 1/3$, is precisely 5.1. Thus, as the latter is the most general Calabi-Yau deformation of the $Y^{2,0}$ cone, we have to conclude that the two-parameter family of metrics 5.11 in complex coordinates coincides with it.

Now we're left with solving for the explicit form of y . Switching temporarily to $z = y + (\alpha + \tilde{\alpha})/2$ equation 5.10 is brought into depressed form:

$$z^3 - \frac{3}{4}(\alpha - \tilde{\alpha})^2 = ct + D \quad (5.19)$$

Where

$$D = \frac{1}{12}(-\alpha^3 + 3\alpha^2\tilde{\alpha} + 3\alpha\tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\alpha}^3) = \frac{b^6 - 36a^6}{3} \quad (5.20)$$

So that the explicit solution for y is

$$z = |\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}| C_{1/3} \left(12 \frac{ct + D}{|\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}|^3} \right) \quad (5.21)$$

$$y = z - \frac{\alpha + \tilde{\alpha}}{2} \quad (5.22)$$

where we defined the function $C_{1/3} = \text{ch}(1/3 \text{ ch}^{-1}(x))$; that 5.22 solves 5.19 can be readily verified by means of the trigonometric identity $\text{ch}(3x) = 4 \text{ch}^3(x) - 3 \text{ch}(x)$.

Fixing $c = 1/3$ for future convenience and introducing the notation $\delta = \alpha - \tilde{\alpha}$, $\sigma = \alpha + \tilde{\alpha}$, the Kähler form is explicitly given by

$$J(\sigma, \delta) = \left(z + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) j^1 + \left(z - \frac{\delta}{2} \right) j^2 + i e^k z' e^3 \wedge \bar{e}^{\bar{3}} \quad (5.23)$$

$$z(t; \sigma, \delta) = \delta C_{1/3} \left(\delta^{-3} \left(4t + \frac{\sigma(3\delta^2 - \sigma^2)}{2} \right) \right) \quad (5.24)$$

Appendix A

Appendix

A.1 AdS space

Anti-de Sitter n -space is best understood as the Lorentzian analogue of hyperbolic n -space. It can be built by considering the following locus in the mixed-signature space $\mathbb{R}^{2,n-1}$:

$$x^\mu x_\mu = -(t^1)^2 - (t^2)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x^i)^2 = -R^2 \quad (\text{A.1})$$

which is reminiscent of the embedding of hyperbolic n -space in $\mathbb{R}^{1,n}$:

$$x^\mu x_\mu = -t^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (x^i)^2 = -R^2 \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Equation A.1 is explicitly preserved by $SO(2, n-1)$, and this group acts transitively on it, so that the locus inherits a Lorentzian metric from the ambient Minkowski space with that same symmetry group. This means the locus is a maximally symmetric space, having the same number of symmetries as $\mathbb{R}^{1,n-1}$ since $\dim SO(2, n-1) = \dim (\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes SO(1, n))$. (To press on with the analogy, in the Riemannian case \mathbb{H}^n has the same number of Killing vectors as \mathbb{R}^n since $\dim SO(1, n) = \dim (\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes SO(n))$).

The locus has constant negative scalar curvature (using S for the Ricci scalar to avoid confusion with the R radius introduced above):

$$S = -\frac{n(n-1)}{R^2} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

However, the locus built above is not suitable to be used as a spacetime for a reasonable physical theory, as it contains closed timelike curves (CTCs), signaling a pathological causal structure. An example of CTC is the unit circle in the $t^1 t^2$ plane. It's possible however to consider the covering space

of the locus, which will be what we will refer to as anti-de Sitter n -space, AdS_n . The covering space is again a maximally symmetric space, but it's now simply-connected and CTC-free.

AdS, similarly to dS, admits multiple useful coordinate charts. The Poincaré chart is the analogue of the Poincaré half plane model, and the metric is:

$$ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{z^2} (dz^2 + dx^\mu dx_\mu) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where $z > 0$, $x^\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{1,n-2}$, and $dx^\mu dx_\mu$ is the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^{1,n-2}$. The Poincaré chart, unlike the Riemannian case, is not global and only maps a particular wedge of the full AdS. A global chart would be given by the following coordinates, accordingly called global coordinates or cylindrical coordinates:

$$ds^2 = R^2 (-\cosh^2 \chi d\tau^2 + d\chi^2 + \sinh^2 \chi d\Omega^2) \quad (\text{A.5})$$

With $d\Omega^2$ the line element on \mathbb{S}^{n-2} . Note that constant τ slices are copies of \mathbb{H}^{n-1} . Remapping the radial coordinate as $d\chi = d\rho / \cos \rho$ to a finite range ($0 \leq \rho \leq \pi/2$) this can also be rewritten as

$$ds^2 = R^2 \frac{1}{\cos^2 \rho} (-dt^2 + d\rho^2 + \sin^2 \rho d\Omega^2) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

A.2 Conformal boundary and symmetries

The last set of coordinates A.6 are a starting point for building the Penrose diagram of AdS. For fixed Ω_i the t, ρ part of the metric is sent to the flat metric by multiplication with the conformal factor $\cos^2 \rho$. AdS is thus represented as an infinite solid cylinder.

We can read the induced topology and metric on the boundary, with the caveat that the conformal factor was arbitrary (provided it was such the metric did not diverge), and thus the boundary's metric will be defined up to a conformal rescaling - we can only identify a natural conformal class for the boundary. This will prove to have physical relevance as possible holographic duals will be conformal.

The topology of the boundary is therefore $\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{R}$ and a representative of the conformal class is given by setting $\rho = \pi/2$:

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - d\Omega^2 \quad (\text{A.7})$$

which is a Lorentzian metric. The conformal boundary of AdS is itself a spacetime; this is a nontrivial fact which has to be compared with the

other constant-curvature manifolds of the same signature: the boundary of Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{1,n-1}$ has a vanishing (null) metric, being composed of null past and future, while the positive curvature case, de Sitter, has two spacelike boundaries in the infinite past and future. The relevance of this for the realization of holography should be evident. Only the negative curvature case seems to be able to naturally incorporate a Lorentzian structure on the boundary.

It will be much more useful for the application to holography to consider the boundary in the form it comes out from the Poincaré patch. This is located at $z = 0$ and is only a part of the full boundary. Taking the metric A.4 and factor a conformal z^2 we just obtain

$$ds^2 = x^\mu x_\mu \tag{A.8}$$

that is, the boundary is (locally) Minkowski $(n - 2)$ -space. This will be our preferential choice of representative metric.

We now turn to the description of the interplay between the bulk's and the boundary's symmetries. Essentially, isometries of AdS will induce conformal transformations on its boundary. As we've seen through its construction, the isometry group of AdS is $SO(2, n - 1)$, this also coincides with the conformal group on $\mathbb{R}^{1,n-2}$.

+altre banalità di geometria

Bibliography

- [1] L.E. Ibáñez and A.M. Uranga. *String Theory and Particle Physics: An Introduction to String Phenomenology*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [2] Igor R. Klebanov and Edward Witten. Superconformal field theory on three-branes at a Calabi-Yau singularity. *Nucl. Phys.*, B536:199–218, 1998.
- [3] Luca Martucci and Alberto Zaffaroni. *Holographic Effective Field Theories*. 2016.