

Math 504, 10/24

Last time we explored the functor $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$ sending any R -module N to the set of R -linear maps from M to N . We saw that this functor preserves short exact sequences (and in fact exact sequences generally) if and only if M is a projective R -module; in turn this holds if and only if M is a direct summand of a free R -module. We now look at the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, M)$ obtained by fixing M as the range rather than the domain. The first thing to note is that an R -module map $f : N \rightarrow P$ now gives rise to map $\text{Hom}_R(P, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, M)$ in the *other direction*, from P to N , by composition with f ; accordingly we say that the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, M)$ is *contravariant*. Given a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ we find that the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(B, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, M)$ is exact (for example, any nonzero map from C to M pulls back to a nonzero one from B to M , since the map from B to C is surjective), but again, as with $\text{Hom}_R((M, -))$, there can be trouble on the right-hand end: not every map from A to M extends to a map from B to M . If every map from A to M does extend to B , for any choice of R -modules A, B with A injecting into B , then we call M *injective*.

Unfortunately it is considerably more difficult to decide which R -modules are injective than it was for projective modules. Consider first a special case. Suppose that the module M is such that every R -module map from an *ideal* I of R into M extends to a map from R into M . Let A be a submodule of B and suppose we have a map f from A into M that we want to extend to B . Pick $x \in B, x \notin A$. The set of all $r \in R$ with $rx \in A$ is an ideal I of R and we have a map from I to M sending $i \in I$ to $f(ix)$. Extending this map to R , we now have an extension of f to all of Rx , which by linearity extends f to the submodule $A + Rx$ properly containing A . Iterating this process many times (this requires the axiom of choice, to be discussed later), we extend f to all of B , as desired. So we are reduced to asking when maps f from ideals I of R to M extend to R . This question is easiest to answer whence R is a PID, for then every ideal I is principal. If $I = (i)$, then extending f to R amounts to deciding what $f(1)$ should be; the requirement on $f(1)$ is exactly that $if(1) = f(i)$. Thus in this case the key property of M that we need is that *for every nonzero $i \in R$ and $m \in M$, there is $m_i \in M$ with $im_i = m$* (note that this property arose in connection with the problem in the last homework set to show that the direct product of countably many copies of \mathbf{Z} is not free over \mathbf{Z} . Note also that every $m \in M$ must satisfy this requirement, since given any $m \in M$ and nonzero $i \in R$, we get a map from the principal ideal (i) of R to M sending any multiple ri to rm .) We say that M is *divisible* if it has this property. Thus over a PID, a module is injective if and only if it is divisible. We are forced to specialize to PIDs to get an easy criterion for injectivity; for projectivity, by contrast, our results apply over any commutative ring. In homework for this week you will show that any \mathbf{Z} -module M injects into an injective one. We will later generalize this result to modules over any ring R , after we introduce the analogue of projectivity and injectivity for tensor products and establish a relation between tensor products and homomorphisms. The corresponding

result for projective modules is that every R -module (for any R) is a quotient of a projective one; this is easy to see since we already know that any R -module is a quotient of a free one and free modules are projective.

Divisible modules over integral domains are interesting in their own right, furnishing a stark contrast to finitely generated modules over PIDs. It is obvious for example that \mathbf{Q} is a divisible \mathbf{Z} -module, but so is the quotient \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} (this quotient is a \mathbf{Z} -module, not a ring; in particular, an injective \mathbf{Z} -module is not necessarily a \mathbf{Q} -module.) More generally, any quotient of a divisible R -module is again divisible (but a quotient of an injective module need not be injective in general). For a more exotic example fix a prime number p and look at $\mathbf{Z}[1/p]/\mathbf{Z}$; here $\mathbf{Z}[1/p]$ is the subring of \mathbf{Q} generated by \mathbf{Z} and $1/p$. This last quotient is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$, where $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ consists of all $a/b \in \mathbf{Q}$ with p not dividing the integer b . We will see more of $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$, which is a ring as well as a \mathbf{Z} -module, in the spring.