

Math 504, 10/21

We now look at sequences of modules linked by homomorphisms. Given a sequence of R -modules $\cdots \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P \rightarrow \cdots$, each one sent to the next by an R -module map, we say that it is *exact at N* if the image of the (R -module) map from M to N equals the kernel of the map from N to P . The sequence is *exact* if it is exact at all of its terms, except possibly those, if any, on the extreme ends. The most important kind of exact sequence is a *short exact sequence* $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$; note that such a sequence is exact if and only if the map f from N to M is injective, the map g from M to P is surjective, and the image of f equals the kernel of g (so that $P \cong M/N$, identifying N with its image under f in M). Such sequences are the basic object of study of *homological algebra*, which plays a key role in algebraic topology; one of the aims of this course is give an introduction to this subject. The short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ is said to be *split* if there is a submodule P' of its middle term M mapping isomorphically onto P via the map from M to P , so that M is the direct sum of the image of N in it and P' . We will be looking at important operations, called *functors*, which take R -modules to R -modules; our first such operation fixes an R -module M and sends an arbitrary R -module N to the set $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ of R -module maps from M to N ; note that $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is also an R -module in a natural way. Given a sequence $M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow \cdots$ of R -modules and our fixed R -module M , we get a sequence $\text{Hom}_R(M, M_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, M_2) \rightarrow \cdots$ by composition; accordingly, we call the functor sending each M_i to $\text{Hom}_R(M, M_i)$ (often denoted $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$) *covariant*, since the directions of the arrows are preserved. (If they were reversed instead, as they will be in some subsequent examples, then we call the functor *contravariant*). Our first question is whether the covariant functor $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$ sends one short exact sequence to another one. Given a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$, our functor yields the sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, C) \rightarrow 0$. Here the map f from $\text{Hom}_R(M, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, B)$ is indeed injective, since A embeds in B , and the map from $\text{Hom}_R(M, B)$ to $\text{Hom}_R(M, C)$ does indeed have as kernel the image of $\text{Hom}_R(M, A)$ in $\text{Hom}_R(M, B)$, but the map from $\text{Hom}_R(M, B)$ to $\text{Hom}_R(M, C)$ need *not* be surjective; for example, if $R = \mathbf{Z}$, $M = \mathbf{Z}_2$, and A, B, C are respectively \mathbf{Z} , \mathbf{Z} , and \mathbf{Z}_2 , with the map from A to B sending an integer x to $2x$, then the map from $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_2, \mathbf{Z})$ to $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_2, \mathbf{Z}_2)$ is not surjective, as there are no nonzero homomorphisms from \mathbf{Z}_2 to \mathbf{Z} , but there is a nonzero homomorphism from \mathbf{Z}_2 to \mathbf{Z}_2 . Thus the first four terms of our original exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ remain exact after applying $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$, but the full sequence does not. We summarize this situation by saying that the functor $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$ is *left exact*, but not *right exact*. On the other hand, there are some modules P over some rings R for which this functor is *exact*, preserving the full short exact sequence we started out with. This will happen if and only if any R -module map f from P to another module N will always *lift* in the sense that, given a module M and a surjection g from another R -module M onto N , there is a map f' from P to N with $f = gf'$. We call such R -modules *projective*

(over R); unfortunately this has nothing to do with projective geometry or projective space (or the projective special linear group PSL_n) that arise in other contexts. For example, if P is free over R , then the lift f' always exists: let p_1, p_2, \dots be a basis of P and choose preimages m_1, m_2, \dots of the images $f(p_i)$ in M , so that $g(m_i) = f(p_i)$, and then take f' to be the unique R -module map from P to M sending each p_i to m_i . More generally, if P is a direct summand of a free module F , so that $F \cong P \oplus Q$, then again the lift f' exists: extend f to a map from F to N by decreeing that it be 0 on Q , and then lift it as above. In fact, it turns out that *the projective R -modules are exactly the direct summands of free R -modules*; to see this, let P be projective over R and let F be a free module surjecting onto P ; we know that such an F exists. Then the identity map from P to itself must lift to F , so that there is a map $f : P \rightarrow F$ such that composing f with the surjection from F to P is the identity on P . This says exactly that F is the direct sum of the image $f(P)$ in it and the kernel of the surjection from F to P , so that P is (isomorphic to) a direct summand of a free module, as claimed. Note that although the free module R^n is given to us as the direct sum of n copies of R , there could be other ways to write R^n as a direct sum and accordingly projective R -modules that are not free. The simplest example occurs when $R = \mathbf{Z}_6$: here R decomposes as the direct sum of its submodules generated by 3, 2, which are isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_2, \mathbf{Z}_3$, respectively. Hence \mathbf{Z}_2 and \mathbf{Z}_3 are projective over \mathbf{Z}_6 (but clearly not free).