## Math 504, 9/28

I will begin with group theory, starting with group actions on sets. Given a group G and a set S, an action of G on S is a rule that assigns to each pair (g,s) in GxS an element t of S, usually denoted gs, such that 1s=s for all s in S, where i is the identity element of G and h(qs) = (hq)s for q, h in G, s in S. (More precisely, this defines a **left** action of G on S; had we written sg for the action of the element g on the element s, the natural axiom would have been (sg)h = s(gh); this defines a **right** action). It is not difficult to verify that a left action of G on S is equivalent to a homomorphism  $\pi$  from G to the permutation group Perm(S) of all bijections from S to S, where we decree that  $\pi(g)(s) = gs$ , where gs is the action of g on s. If G acts on S and s is an element of S, then the set of g in G such that gs = s is an important subgroup of G, called the **stabilizer** of s and denoted  $G^s$ . Likewise, we have an important subset  $G_s$  of S, defined to be the set of all gs as g runs through G and called the orbit of s. If  $H = G^s$ , then it is easy to check that any two elements of the same left coset qH of H in G map s to the same element, and in fact two elements of Gmap s to the same element if and only if they lie in the same left coset of H in G. If G is finite, it follows by Lagrange's Theorem (which I assume you have seen) that the order |G| of G equals the product of the orders  $|G^s||Gs|$  of the orders of the orbit and stabilizer of any s in S: this important formula, called the Orbit Formula, will be used constantly in this course (and beyond). If the set S has only one G-orbit, then we call the G-action on it transitive; in this case we can of course replace the orbit Gs in the Orbit Formula by the entire set S. In general, no two orbits of G in S can overlap, so another useful formula, if S is finite, is that its order equals the sum of the orders of the orbits in it.

An important but all too rarely seen example occurs when G is a finite subgroup of  $SO_3$ ; that is, a finite group of 3 x 3 real matrices M of determinant 1 such that the transpose  $M^t$  of M equals its inverse  $M^{-1}$ , or equivalently such that M preserves dot products in  $R^3$ :  $Mv \cdot Mw = v \cdot w$  for all vectors v, w in  $R^3$ . Any such matrix M is such that  $det(M-I) = det(M^t - I^t) = det(M^{-1} - I) =$  $\det(M^{-1} - I)(\det M) = \det(I - M) = -\det(M - I) = 0$ , whence M has an eigenvalue 1 and must fix some nonzero vector v in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . But then M preserves the plane in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  perpendicular to v, whence it acts by a rotation in this plane. It follows that M is either the identity or fixes exactly two unit vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ (each the negative of the other), acting by a rotation about the line through these vectors, which also goes through the origin. Thus any g in G with  $g \neq 1$ has exactly two poles. If N is the order of G, there are 2(N-1) poles in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ of nonidentity elements of G, counting each as often as it appears as the pole of a nonidentity element. On the other hand, if p is a pole of some element of g and h is any other element of G, then hp is a pole of  $hgh^{-1}$ , so G acts on the set P of poles of its nonidentity elements. Each pole  $p_i$  in P will have a stabilizer  $G^p$  consisting of finitely many rotations in G; if there are  $r_i$  such rotations, then the number  $n_i$  of elements in the orbit of p satisfies  $r_i n_i = N$ . Counting the number of poles again, this time for each pole counting the number of nonidentity elements of G fixing it and observing that this number is the same for any pole in the orbit of p, we get  $\sum n_i(r_i - 1)$ , where there is one index i for every orbit of poles in G. Hence

$$2(N-1) = \sum_{i} n_i(r_i - 1)$$

where the number of terms in the sum equals the number of orbits. Dividing both sides by N, we get

$$2(1 - 1/N) = \sum_{i} (1 - (1/r_i))$$

using again that  $n_i r_i = N$ , by the Orbit Formula. But now the left side is less than 2, while every term in the right side is at least 1/2, so there are at most 3 orbits. More precisely, the left side is at least 1 and each term on the right side is less than 1, so there cannot be just one orbit. If there are two orbits, then we must have  $r_1 = r_2 = N$  (since  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are less than N). In this case there are exactly two poles, each lying in an orbit by itself. The group G must be a cyclic group, consisting of rotations by multiples of  $2\pi/N$  about a fixed axis. If there are three orbits, then  $(1/r_1) + (1/r_2) + (1/r_3) > 1$ . We will work out the consequences of this elementary but very famous inequality and (sketch) a classification of the groups G arising in this way next time. A reference for this material is Artin's book Algebra, on reserve (and in the stacks) of the Math Library.