## Math 505, 1/8

We continue with the setup from last time: L is a finite Galois extension of a field K with Galois group G. We head towards the Galois correspondence, which establishes a bijection between subfields of L containing K and subgroups of G. Let L' be such a subfield. Then L, as the splitting field of some polynomial pover K with no multiple roots, continues to be the splitting field of the same pover L', so that L is Galois over L'. We have seen that any  $\alpha \in L'$  with  $\alpha \notin K$ is a root of some nonlinear polynomial q over K', all of whose roots lie in L and are conjugate to  $\alpha$  under a K-automorphism of L. It follows that we can recover K' as the set of all  $x \in L$  fixed by  $Aut_{\ell}(K', L)$ , and this group is a subgroup H of  $G = \operatorname{Aut}_K(L)$ . Hence the subfields L' of L containing K all take the form  $L^{H} = \{x \in L : hx = x, h \in H\}$ ; in particular, there are only finitely many of them. The same result holds even if L is only a finite separable extension of K, for then L lies in a finite Galois extension M of K (the splitting field of a suitable product of polynomials over K, one for each element of a K-basis of L). Now we want to see that there are exactly as many subfields L' as subgroups of G if L is Galois; we will prove this in steps, deriving other results interesting in their own right along the way. We first show that L is a simple extension of K, generated by a single element  $\alpha$ . To see this, note first that it is immediate if K and L are finite, for then the multiplicative group  $L^*$  is cyclic (as you will prove in homework this week) and a generator also generates L as an extension of K. If K is infinite and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in L$ , then the subfield  $L' = K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  of L generated by K and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  has only finitely many fields between it and K, so there are distinct  $c, d \in K$  with  $K(\alpha_1 + c\alpha_2) = K(\alpha_1 + d(\alpha_2))$ ; this forces  $(d-c)\alpha_2, \alpha_2, \text{ and } \alpha_1 \text{ to lie in } K(\alpha_1+c\alpha_2), \text{ so that the single element } \alpha_1+c\alpha_2$ generates  $L' = K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ . Iterating this result with a finite basis  $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n$ of L as a K-vector space, we see that a suitable linear combination of the  $\ell_i$ generates L as an extension of K, as claimed. Now let E be any field and Hany finite group of automorphisms (not assumed to be F'-automorphisms for any particular subfield F of E for the moment). If  $\alpha \in E$  and if  $\alpha = \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ are the distinct conjugates of  $\alpha$  by the elements of H, then  $\alpha$  is a root of the polynomial  $(x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_m)$ , whose roots are distinct and whose coefficients are fixed by H. It follows that E is a separable algebraic extension of the fixed field  $E^H$  and any subfield of E containing  $E^H$  and generated by finitely many elements and  $E^H$  is in fact generated by only one element and  $E^H$ , and that element satisfies a polynomial of degree at most |H|. But then the degree of Eover  $E^H$  must be finite and at most |H| (lest some subfield of E have too large a degree over  $E^H$ ), whence the degree of E over  $E^H$  must be exactly |H|, since any finite extension of a field F admits at most as many F-automorphisms as its degree over F. We have shown that given any field E and a finite group H of automorphisms of it, E is always Galois over the fixed field  $E^H$ , it has degree |H| over this fixed field, and H is in fact the Galois group of E over  $E^H$ . Returning to our original setting of a finite Galois extension L of a field K with Galois group G, we now know that the map sending a subgroup H of G to the subfield  $L^H$  sets up a 1-1 inclusion-reversing correspondence between subfields

of L containing K and subgroups of G. This is the Galois correspondence. Note that L is always Galois over any intermediate field  $L^H$ , with Galois group H, a subgroup of G.

In the Galois correspondence conjugate subgroups  $H, xHx^{-1}$  of G correspond to conjugate subfields L', xL'. Hence a subfield L' is preserved by the group G (i.e. its elements are permuted but not necessarily fixed by G) if and only if its corresponding subgroup H is normal in G; in this case the Galois group of L' over K is the quotient group G/H.. Historically the notion of a normal extension of a field preceded that of a normal subgroup of a group; the first person to define the notion of normal subgroup (before the axioms of a group had even been written down) was Galois himself.

As a simple example of the Galois correspondence, look at the subfield  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3})$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  generated by  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3}$ . This extension is Galois; the elements of the Galois group  $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$  each preserve or interchange  $\sqrt{2},-\sqrt{2}$  and the same for  $\sqrt{3},-\sqrt{3}$ . This group is well known to have three (not two) subgroups of order 2; correspondingly, there are exactly three fields strictly between  $\mathbf{Q}$  and K, namely the "obvious" ones  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}), \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ , and  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{6})$ , It would be tricky (and quite awkward) to show this directly without using Galois theory.