

## Math 505, 2/3

We now know that every proper ideal  $I$  of  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  has a nonempty variety  $V(I)$  of common zeros, but the map from  $I$  to  $V(I)$  is not 1-1, even if  $n = 1$ . The best we can do in that case is to send the ideal  $I$  generated by the product of *distinct* linear polynomials  $x - a_1, \dots, x - a_m$  to the finite subset  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$  of  $K$ ; this is a bijection between certain ideals of  $R$  and all (affine algebraic) varieties. To define the higher-dimensional analogue of begin generated by a product of distinct linear polynomials, we need a general ring-theoretic notion. Given any ideal  $I$  in a commutative ring  $A$ , its *radical*  $\sqrt{I}$  consists by definition of all  $x \in A$  such that  $x^n \in I$  for some  $n$ ; commutativity of  $A$  and the binomial theorem guarantee that  $\sqrt{I}$  is indeed an ideal and  $\sqrt{\sqrt{I}} = \sqrt{I}$ . We call the ideal  $I$  *radical* if it equals its own radical. Now we can finally specify precisely which ideals  $I$  of  $R$  we will focus on, namely the radical ones. Then the strong form of the Nullstellensatz proved last time states that *if  $f \in R$  is such that  $f(v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V(I)$ , then  $f \in \sqrt{I}$ ; thus the map  $I \rightarrow V(I)$  implements an inclusion-reversing bijection between proper radical ideals of  $R$  and varieties in  $K^n$* . To prove this, we need a fact about radicals of ideals in general commutative rings: *the radical of an ideal  $I$  in a ring  $A$  is the intersection of all prime ideals containing  $I$* . Indeed, any prime ideal  $P$  containing  $I$  contains any element of its radical, by definition of prime ideal; conversely, if no power of  $x$  lies in  $I$ , let  $J$  be an ideal of  $A$  maximal with respect to exclusion of all powers of  $x$ . Then  $J$  is prime, for any ideals  $J_1, J_2$  properly larger than  $J$  contain powers of  $x$ , say  $x^{n_1}, x^{n_2}$ , but then  $J_1 J_2$  contains  $x^{n_1+n_2}$  and so does not lie in  $J$ . Returning now to the polynomial ring  $R$ , suppose that no power of  $f \in R$  lies in the ideal  $I$  and let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  containing  $I$  but not  $f$ . Then  $P$  generates a proper prime ideal  $PR_f$  in  $R_f$ , the localization of  $R$  at all powers of  $f$ ; enlarging this to a maximal ideal  $M$  of  $R_f$ , we know that the quotient of  $R_f$  by  $M$  must be isomorphic to  $K$ , whence we get a point  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  in  $K^n$  at which all polynomials in  $I$  vanish but  $f$  does not, as desired. (Note also that the variety  $V(I)$  of any ideal coincides with the variety  $V(\sqrt{I})$  of its radical, so we don't lose any varieties by restricting to radical ideals.)

Now that we finally know that our map  $I \rightarrow V(I)$  is a bijection if the ideal  $I$  is suitably restricted, we can record some simple properties of this map. Clearly the variety  $V$  of a sum  $\sum I_i$  ideals (even an infinite sum) is the intersection of the varieties  $V(I_i)$  of the  $I_i$ , while the variety  $V(I_1 \cdots I_m)$  is the union of the  $V(I_i)$ . Likewise the variety  $V(I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_m)$  of the intersection of the  $I_i$  is the union of the  $V(I_i)$ ; in fact the intersection of the  $I_i$  is exactly the radical of their product, if the  $I_i$  are radical. This says exactly that the varieties  $V(I)$  of all ideals in  $R$  (including  $R$  itself) obey the axioms for the *closed* sets in a topology on  $K^n$ , which we call the *Zariski topology*; the open sets in this topology are of course the complements of the closed ones. If  $K = \mathbb{C}$ , then every Zariski-open subset is also open in the Euclidean topology; but the converse is quite false; in a nutshell, the difference is that nonempty Zariski-open subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  have to be very “fat”, in the sense that they are dense in both the Zariski and Euclidean

topologies. For  $n = 1$ , the Zariski-closed subsets of  $K$  are precisely the finite subsets together with  $K$  itself; in general, points in  $K^n$  are always closed in the Zariski topology, but this topology is not Hausdorff. It has a very special property with no counterpart in the Euclidean topology, namely that  $K^n$  is *Noetherian*: there are no strictly descending infinite chains of closed subsets (since there are no strictly ascending chains of ideals in  $R$ , as you will prove in homework next week). It follows that every closed set in this topology is a finite union of *irreducible* closed sets, none of these being the union of two closed proper subsets. (If there were any closed subsets that are not finite unions of irreducible sets, there would be a smallest such closed set  $S$ , which would have to be reducible; but then both of the proper subsets of  $S$  would be finite unions of irreducible sets and so  $S$  would be also, a contradiction.) The irreducible subvarieties whose union is a given variety are called its *irreducible components*; they are unique if we impose the natural requirement that none of them be contained in another. They behave somewhat like connected components of a topological space, but are *not* disjoint, in general; for example, the variety defined by the equation  $xy = 0$  in  $K^2$  is the union of the coordinate axes, which intersect at the origin. More generally, the variety  $V(p)$  of a nonzero principal ideal  $(p)$  in  $R$  has as its irreducible components  $V(p_i)$ , where the  $p_i$  are the unique monic irreducible factors of  $p$  in  $R$  (recall that  $R$  is a unique factorization domain). Since we have seen that the variety of the intersection of radical ideals is the union of the varieties of the ideals, we deduce that *every radical ideal in  $R$  is a finite intersection of prime ideals, where these are unique if none of them is allowed to contain another*. We also see that *a variety  $V(I)$  is irreducible if and only if its coordinate ring is an integral domain, or equivalently if and only if  $\sqrt{I}$  is prime*. In the literature varieties are often taken to be irreducible by definition.