## Math 505, 2/1

Now (and for the rest of the course) we broaden our focus to commutative rings R, usually however assumed Noetherian. A special case of particular importance for us is the one where  $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , the polynomial ring in n variables over a field K (usually taken to be algebraically closed for simplicity), or a quotient of this ring. We have seen that every nonzero ideal in a Dedekind domain is uniquely a product of prime ideals; for general commutative rings this is too much to expect, but we can still hope to get a better grasp on prime ideals than on arbitrary ones. We will therefore focus on prime ideals in what follows.

Start with the particular example  $R = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$  mentioned above, where K is an algebraically closed field. If n = 1, we know that the nonzero prime ideals in R are all generated by single linear polynomials x - a for some  $a \in K$ , and that every such ideal is maximal. It is natural to wonder what happens for larger n. To this end, we define algebraic variety V in  $K^n$  to be the subset S of common zeros of some nonempty collection S of elements of R. Since the common zeros of the polynomials in S are the same as those of the ideal I generated by it, we may assume that S is in fact an ideal I of R; denote the variety of its common zeros by V(I) and call the quotient ring R/I the coordinate ring of V(I); we denote this ring by K[V]. We will see later that K[V] depends only on V (as the notation indicates) if the ideal I s suitably restricted; for now we have a map  $I \to V(I)$  from ideals of R to subsets of  $K^n$ , but this map is clearly not a bijection; even for n = 1, the varieties  $V(x), V(x^2)$  of the respective principal ideals generated by  $x, x^2$  are both the point  $\{0\}$ . For n > 1, it is not even obvious that V(I) is nonempty if I is proper.

To better understand V(I) we focus on K[V]; this is generated as K-algebra (that is, as a ring containing a copy of K which in turn contains its identity element) by finitely many elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ . I now claim that given any finitely generated algebra A over K, there are finitely many elements  $y_1, \ldots, y_m \in A$ that are algebraically independent over K such that A is a finitely generated integral extension of  $B = K[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ , that is, that every element of A satisfies a monic polynomial equation with coefficients in B. We prove this by induction on n. If the  $x_i$  are already algebraically independent then the result is clear; otherwise we have a polynomial p in the  $x_i$  with coefficients in K that equals 0 in A. We may regard p as a polynomial in just the last variable  $x_n$  (renumbering if necessary) with coefficients polynomials in the other variables  $x_i$ . Let d be the maximum degree of all of these coefficients. We now make a change of variable, setting  $x_i = y_i + x_n^{(d+1)^i}$  for i < n. Writing out p as a polynomial in  $y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}, x_n$  we find that every monomial term of every coefficient of pgives rise to a different power of  $x_n$ ; the top power of  $x_n$  occurring has constant coefficient c and arises from the lexicographically highest term  $cx_1^{m_1} \dots x_{n_1}^{m_{n-1}}$ of any coefficient of p, that is, one first of all with the highest possible power of  $x_{n-1}$ , then among these one with the highest possible power of  $x_{n-2}$ , and so on; if two coefficients appear with identical powers of the  $x_i$  for i < n, then the one we want is the one attached to the higher power of  $x_n$ . Dividing by c, we get a monic polynomial in  $x_n$  with coefficients polynomials in the  $y_i$ , so that A is integral over the subalgebra generated by K and the  $y_i$ . By induction we realize A in the desired form. Now we pause to note a simple ring-theoretic fact: given two integral domains  $A \subset B$  with B integral over A, then B is a field if and only if A is. Indeed, if A is a field and  $x \in B, x \neq 0$ , then we have an equation  $x^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i$  with  $a_i \in A$ ; cancelling out a suitable power of x, we may assume that  $a_0 \neq 0$ , and then  $a_0$  is a multiple of x and so has a multiplicative inverse, whence x does too. Conversely, if B is a field and  $x \in A, x \neq 0$ , then we have an equation  $x^{-n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^{i-n}$ ; multiplying by  $x^{n-1}$  we realize  $x^{-1}$ as a polynomial in x with coefficients in A, whence it lies in A as desired. Now given a proper quotient R/I of R/I that is a field K', we deduce that K' must be an integral extension of K itself (as opposed to  $K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$ , which is never a field for m > 0), whence if K is algebraically closed, we must have K' = K. This forces the generators  $x_i$  of R to map to elements  $a_i$  of K in the canonical map from R/I to K' = K, whence every maximal ideal of R takes the form  $(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$ , if K is algebraically closed. Since every proper ideal I of R is in turn contained in a maximal one, we deduce as desired that V(I) is nonempty for every proper ideal I of  $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . This is the weak form of a famous result called the Nullstellensatz (zero places theorem); we will prove the strong form and deduce a bijection between suitably restricted ideals I and varieties next time.