

Reinforcement learning for Integrated Fixed-income with Link-based Embeddings

Integrating Regime Detection, Graph Neural Networks, and Modern RL
Algorithms

Corentin Servouze, Rany Stephan

March 14, 2025

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Project Overview
- 3 Bond Market Models
- 4 Regime Detection
- 5 Graph Neural Networks for Credit Risk
- 6 RL Pipeline
- 7 Conclusion


The Problem of Fixed Income Portfolio Management

- **Fixed income markets** represent over \$100 trillion globally
- Managing bond portfolios involves complex challenges:
 - Interest rate risk and credit risk management
 - Multi-dimensional features (duration, convexity, credit quality)
 - Regime-dependent dynamics (recession, expansion, crisis)
 - Limited liquidity compared to equities
- Traditional approaches:
 - Static allocation strategies (ladders, barbells)
 - Duration targeting
 - Factor-based or index-tracking methods


Project Innovation

- Comprehensive RL framework for fixed income portfolios that:
 - Integrates regime detection for regime-aware strategies
 - Incorporates credit risk through graph neural networks
 - Uses RL algorithms (TD3, DDPG)
 - Models realistic bond market dynamics
- Creates a complete simulation-to-deployment pipeline
- Addresses multi-faceted bond portfolio optimization with focus on risk-adjusted returns

Project Architecture



Sample Data Visualization



Prior Work in Fixed Income RL

- Limited research compared to equity RL applications
- Notable prior work:
 - Halperin & Feldshteyn (2018): Q-learning for fixed income trading
 - Kolm & Ritter (2019): Deep hedging with neural networks
 - Makinen et al. (2019): RL for corporate bond trading
- Limitations of existing approaches:
 - Often simplified market dynamics
 - Limited consideration of regime changes
 - Lack of network effects in credit risk
 - Focus on single bonds rather than portfolios

Interest Rate Models

- **Vasicek Model:**

$$dr_t = \kappa(\theta - r_t)dt + \sigma dW_t \quad (1)$$

where:

- r_t is the short rate at time t
 - κ is the mean reversion speed
 - θ is the long-term mean level
 - σ is the volatility
 - dW_t is a Wiener process increment
- Mean-reverting process capturing central tendency of rates
 - Allows for negative rates (theoretical limitation)

Interest Rate Models (Continued)

- **Cox-Ingersoll-Ross (CIR) Model:**

$$dr_t = \kappa(\theta - r_t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{r_t}dW_t \quad (2)$$

- Square root diffusion term ensures non-negative rates
- Higher volatility when rates are higher
- Same mean-reversion structure as Vasicek

- **Hull-White Model:**

$$dr_t = [\theta(t) - \kappa r_t]dt + \sigma dW_t \quad (3)$$

- Time-dependent $\theta(t)$ function
- Calibrated to match initial yield curve
- Extension of Vasicek with greater flexibility

Credit Spread Models

- **Merton Model:**

- Firm's asset value V follows geometric Brownian motion:

$$dV_t = rV_t dt + \sigma_V V_t dW_t \quad (4)$$

- Default occurs if $V_T < D$ at debt maturity T
- Credit spread:

$$s(t, T) = -\frac{1}{T-t} \ln(1 - N(-d_2)) \quad (5)$$

$$\text{where } d_2 = \frac{\ln(V_t/D) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_V^2)(T-t)}{\sigma_V \sqrt{T-t}}$$

- **Model parameters:**

- Asset value V_t
- Face value of debt D
- Asset volatility σ_V
- Risk-free rate r

Bond Pricing

- **Zero-coupon bond price:**

$$P(t, T) = \frac{F}{(1 + y_{t,T})^{T-t}} \quad (6)$$

where F is face value, $y_{t,T}$ is yield

- **Coupon bond price:**

$$P(t, T) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c \cdot F}{(1 + y_{t,T}/m)^{m(t_i-t)}} + \frac{F}{(1 + y_{t,T}/m)^{m(T-t)}} \quad (7)$$

where c is coupon rate, m is payments per year

- **Credit-risky bond:**

$$P(t, T) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c \cdot F}{(1 + r_{t,t_i} + s_{t,t_i})^{t_i-t}} + \frac{F}{(1 + r_{t,T} + s_{t,T})^{T-t}} \quad (8)$$

where $r_{t,T}$ is risk-free rate, $s_{t,T}$ is credit spread

Bond Risk Metrics

- **Macaulay Duration:**

$$D = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T t \cdot CF_t \cdot (1+y)^{-t}}{\sum_{t=1}^T CF_t \cdot (1+y)^{-t}} \quad (9)$$

- **Modified Duration:**

$$D_{mod} = \frac{D}{1+y} \quad (10)$$

- **Convexity:**

$$C = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T t(t+1) \cdot CF_t \cdot (1+y)^{-t}}{P \cdot (1+y)^2} \quad (11)$$

- **Price sensitivity to yield changes:**

$$\frac{\Delta P}{P} \approx -D_{mod} \cdot \Delta y + \frac{1}{2} \cdot C \cdot (\Delta y)^2 \quad (12)$$

Metrics capture different aspects of interest rate risk

Economic Regimes in Fixed Income

- Different market regimes significantly impact fixed income:
 - **Normal regime:** Steady growth, stable rates
 - **Expansion regime:** Rising rates, flattening yield curve
 - **Stress regime:** Flight to quality, widening credit spreads
 - **Crisis regime:** Rate cuts, extreme volatility, liquidity issues
- **Importance for bond investors:**
 - Risk factors behave differently across regimes
 - Optimal allocations vary by regime
 - Regime shifts create both risks and opportunities
 - Traditional mean-variance assumptions break down during transitions

Hidden Markov Models for Regime Detection


- **Hidden Markov Model (HMM)** framework:
 - Observable features \mathbf{X}_t (yields, spreads, etc.)
 - Hidden states (regimes) $z_t \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$
 - Emission distributions $p(\mathbf{X}_t|z_t)$
 - Transition matrix A where $A_{ij} = p(z_t = j|z_{t-1} = i)$
- **Model specification:**

$$p(\mathbf{X}_t|z_t = k) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k) \quad (13)$$

- **Parameter estimation** via Expectation-Maximization:
 - E-step: Calculate posterior $p(z_t|X_{1:T})$ using forward-backward algorithm
 - M-step: Update $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k$, and A

Regime Detection Visualization

Fixed Income Market Regimes



Regime Characterization and Transitions

- **Statistical regime characteristics:**

- Expected returns, volatilities, correlations
- Yield curve shapes (normal, flat, inverted)
- Average spread levels by rating
- Typical duration of each regime (persistence)



- **Transition matrix visualization:**

	Normal	Expansion	Stress	Crisis
Normal	0.983	0.010	0.005	0.002
Expansion	0.015	0.975	0.008	0.002
Stress	0.008	0.005	0.967	0.020
Crisis	0.010	0.000	0.025	0.965


- **Regime forecasting:**

- Short-term prediction via Markov property
- Confidence metrics for regime identification

Sample Data Visualization



Regime Occurrence



Integration with Market Simulator and RL

- **Market simulator integration:**

- Regime-specific parameters for interest rate models
- Regime-dependent credit spread dynamics
- Transition probabilities for regime switching

- **RL environment integration:**

- Current regime as part of state representation
- Regime-specific reward scaling to account for different risk environments
- Enables learning of regime-appropriate strategies

- **Benefits:**

- More realistic simulation of market dynamics
- Allows RL agent to learn regime-specific policies
- Better generalization to different market conditions

Credit Risk and Network Effects

- **Traditional limitations:**
 - Credit ratings provide point-in-time assessments
 - Standard models treat issuers independently
 - Interconnections between companies often ignored
- **Network effects in credit markets:**
 - Supply chain dependencies
 - Counterparty relationships
 - Common exposures to risk factors
 - Contagion effects during crises
- **GNN advantage:** Can explicitly model these relationships

Graph Neural Network Formulation

- **Graph representation:**

- Nodes: Bond issuers with features \mathbf{X}_i
- Edges: Relationships between issuers
- Target: Credit spreads or default probabilities

- **Message passing framework:**

$$\mathbf{h}_i^{(l+1)} = \text{UPDATE} \left(\mathbf{h}_i^{(l)}, \text{AGGREGATE} \left(\{\mathbf{h}_j^{(l)} : j \in \mathcal{N}(i)\} \right) \right) \quad (14)$$

where:

- $\mathbf{h}_i^{(l)}$ is the node representation at layer l
- $\mathcal{N}(i)$ is the neighborhood of node i
- AGGREGATE combines information from neighbors
- UPDATE incorporates aggregated information

GNN Model Architectures

- **Graph Convolutional Network (GCN):**

$$\mathbf{H}^{(l+1)} = \sigma \left(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{H}^{(l)} \mathbf{W}^{(l)} \right) \quad (15)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{I}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$ is degree matrix

- **Graph Attention Network (GAT):**

$$\mathbf{h}_i^{(l+1)} = \sigma \left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i) \cup \{i\}} \alpha_{ij} \mathbf{W}^{(l)} \mathbf{h}_j^{(l)} \right) \quad (16)$$

with attention coefficients α_{ij} learned from data

- **Message Passing Neural Network (MPNN):**

$$\mathbf{m}_i^{(l+1)} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} \text{MSG}^{(l)}(\mathbf{h}_i^{(l)}, \mathbf{h}_j^{(l)}, \mathbf{e}_{ij}) \quad (17)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_i^{(l+1)} = \text{UPDATE}^{(l)}(\mathbf{h}_i^{(l)}, \mathbf{m}_i^{(l+1)}) \quad (18)$$

Credit Spread Prediction with GNN

- **Node features \mathbf{X}_i** for issuer i :
 - Financial ratios (debt/equity, interest coverage)
 - Market capitalization and volatility
 - Industry and sector indicators
 - Current credit rating
 - Historical spread volatility
- **Edge features \mathbf{e}_{ij}** between issuers i and j :
 - Strength of relationship
 - Type of connection (supply chain, competitor, etc.)
 - Correlation of historical spreads
- **Prediction target:**

$$\hat{s}_i = f_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}_i, \{\mathbf{X}_j, \mathbf{e}_{ij} : j \in \mathcal{N}(i)\}) \quad (19)$$

where \hat{s}_i is predicted credit spread, f_{θ} is GNN

Node Embeddings and Integration with RL

- **Node embeddings** capture rich credit risk information:

$$\mathbf{z}_i = \mathbf{h}_i^{(L)} \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (20)$$


- \mathbf{z}_i is low-dimensional embedding of issuer i
 - Encodes both issuer-specific and network information
 - Dimensionality d typically 32-128
- **Integration into RL state space:**

$$\mathbf{s}_t = [\mathbf{m}_t, \mathbf{r}_t, \mathbf{z}_{i_1}, \mathbf{z}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{i_n}] \quad (21)$$

where:

- \mathbf{m}_t is market state (rates, economic indicators)
 - \mathbf{r}_t is current regime
 - $\mathbf{z}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{i_n}$ are embeddings of bonds in investable universe
- Enriches state representation with network-aware credit risk information

GNN Visualization



RL Environment Design for Fixed Income

- **State space \mathcal{S} :**

$$\mathbf{s}_t = [\mathbf{m}_t, \mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{h}_t, \mathbf{r}_t, \mathbf{z}_t] \quad (22)$$

where:

- \mathbf{m}_t : Market features (rates, spreads, volatility)
 - \mathbf{p}_t : Portfolio features (current weights, durations)
 - \mathbf{h}_t : Historical returns and features (lookback window)
 - \mathbf{r}_t : Regime indicator (one-hot encoded)
 - \mathbf{z}_t : GNN embeddings of issuers in universe
- **Dimensions:** Typically 500-700 features in total

RL Environment Design (Continued)

- **Action space \mathcal{A} :**

$$\mathbf{a}_t = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n] \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1, \quad w_i \geq 0 \quad (23)$$

- w_i is portfolio weight for bond i
- Continuous action space with simplex constraint
- Dimensionality = number of bonds in universe

- **Transition dynamics:**

$$\mathbf{s}_{t+1} = f(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \quad (24)$$

- Based on bond market simulation
- Incorporates regime transitions
- Updates portfolio based on new weights and market movements

Reward Function Design

- **Multi-objective reward function:**

$$r_t = \alpha \cdot r_{\text{return}} + \beta \cdot r_{\text{risk}} + \gamma \cdot r_{\text{constraint}} - \delta \cdot r_{\text{cost}} \quad (25)$$

- **Component rewards:**

$$r_{\text{return}} = R_t \quad (26)$$

$$r_{\text{risk}} = -\sigma_t \quad (27)$$

$$r_{\text{constraint}} = -\sum_j \max(0, c_j(\mathbf{a}_t))^2 \quad (28)$$

$$r_{\text{cost}} = TC(\mathbf{a}_{t-1}, \mathbf{a}_t) \quad (29)$$

where:

- R_t is portfolio return
- σ_t is portfolio volatility
- c_j are constraint functions (e.g., duration limits)
- TC is transaction cost function

Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient (DDPG)

- **Actor-critic architecture** for continuous action spaces:
 - Actor $\mu_\theta(s)$: Deterministic policy mapping states to actions
 - Critic $Q_\phi(s, a)$: Action-value function estimator
- **Learning algorithm:**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{critic}} = \mathbb{E}_{(s,a,r,s') \sim \mathcal{D}} [(Q_\phi(s, a) - y)^2] \quad (30)$$

$$y = r + \gamma Q_{\phi'}(s', \mu_{\theta'}(s')) \quad (31)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{actor}} = -\mathbb{E}_{s \sim \mathcal{D}} [Q_\phi(s, \mu_\theta(s))] \quad (32)$$

where ϕ' and θ' are parameters of target networks

- **Exploration** with Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process:

$$a_t = \mu_\theta(s_t) + \mathcal{N}_t \quad (33)$$

Twin Delayed Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient (TD3)

- **Improvements over DDPG:**

- Twin critics to reduce overestimation bias
- Delayed policy updates
- Target policy smoothing
- Clipped double Q-learning

- **Twin critics update:**

$$y = r + \gamma \min_{i=1,2} Q_{\phi'_i}(s', \mu_{\theta'}(s')) + \epsilon \quad (34)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{critic}_i} = \mathbb{E}_{(s,a,r,s') \sim \mathcal{D}} [(Q_{\phi_i}(s, a) - y)^2] \quad (35)$$

where $\epsilon \sim \text{clip}(\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma), -c, c)$

- **Delayed policy updates:**

$$\nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{L}_{\text{actor}} = -\mathbb{E}_{s \sim \mathcal{D}} [\nabla_a Q_{\phi_1}(s, a)|_{a=\mu_{\theta}(s)} \nabla_{\theta} \mu_{\theta}(s)] \quad (36)$$

Updated every d critic updates (typically $d = 2$)

RL Training and Hyperparameter Tuning

- **Training procedure:**

- Episode length: 252 steps (1 trading year)
- Batch size: 64-128 transitions
- Replay buffer size: 100,000 transitions
- Learning rates: $1e-4$ (actor), $1e-3$ (critic)
- Discount factor: 0.99
- Target network update: $\tau = 0.001$ (soft updates)

- **Data efficiency techniques:**

- Experience replay with prioritization
- Random start points within simulation
- Data augmentation through regime resampling
- Curriculum learning (gradually increasing difficulty)

- **Evaluation metrics** during training:

- Average return
- Sharpe ratio
- Constraint violation frequency
- Portfolio turnover

Backtest Evaluation Framework

- **Benchmark strategies:**

- Equal weight (naive diversification)
- Market value weight (passive approach)
- Duration targeting (fixed income standard)
- Regime-based rule strategies (manually defined)

- **Performance metrics:**

- Total return and volatility
- Sharpe and Sortino ratios
- Maximum drawdown
- Regime-conditional performance
- Turnover and transaction costs

- **Statistical significance tests:**

- Bootstrap resampling
- Spanning tests
- Out-of-sample robustness checks

Key Takeaways

- ➊ **Fixed income markets** benefit significantly from RL approaches due to their complex, regime-dependent dynamics and asymmetric risk profiles
- ➋ **Regime detection** provides crucial context that improves both simulation realism and strategy performance
- ➌ **Graph neural networks** capture issuer relationships and network effects in credit risk that traditional models miss
- ➍ **Advanced RL algorithms** like TD3 handle the high-dimensional continuous action space effectively while managing constraints
- ➎ **Integrated approach** combining multiple modeling techniques yields superior performance to any single method alone

References



Halperin, I., & Feldshteyn, I. (2018). Market self-learning of signals, impact and optimal trading: Invisible hand inference with free energy. arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.06126.



Kolm, P. N., & Ritter, G. (2019). Dynamic replication and hedging: A reinforcement learning approach. The Journal of Financial Data Science, 1(1), 159-171.



Makinen, Y., Kannianen, J., Gabbouj, M., & Iosifidis, A. (2019). Forecasting jump arrivals in stock prices: new attention-based network architecture using limit order book data. Quantitative Finance, 19(12), 2033-2050.



Merton, R. C. (1974). On the pricing of corporate debt: The risk structure of interest rates. The Journal of finance, 29(2), 449-470.



Kipf, T. N., & Welling, M. (2017). Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. ICLR.



Fujimoto, S., Hoof, H., & Meger, D. (2018). Addressing function approximation error in actor-critic methods. ICML.



Schulman, J., Wolski, F., Dhariwal, P., Radford, A., & Klimov, O. (2017). Proximal policy optimization algorithms. arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.06347.