

# DISCRETE-MARGIN PRICING AT THE TWO: FAIR POINT-BUY VALUATION, ML VS. SPREAD, AND MIDDLEING AROUND 2

RICHARD OLDHAM

**ABSTRACT.** We present a first-principles, integer-margin model for pricing NFL point spreads in the neighborhood of the two-point line and apply it to the *Seattle Seahawks @ Arizona Cardinals* game on Thursday, Sept. 25, 2025 (Week 4). Using a push-aware discrete framework, we (i) convert DraftKings market odds to implied probabilities with vig normalization, (ii) estimate the mass function of the final margin near  $\{1, 2\}$  from historical distributions, (iii) compute fair prices for buying points at 2 (moves  $-2 \rightarrow -1.5$  and  $-2.5 \rightarrow -2$ ), (iv) derive an explicit moneyline-versus- $-2$  decision rule and compare expected values numerically, and (v) quantify the break-even threshold for a classic  $-1.5/+2.5$  middle. The analysis is fully push-adjusted and algebraically explicit, suitable as a building block for a backtestable betting dashboard. We include practical guidance for calibration, line-shopping, and bankroll sizing (Kelly).

## 1. MARKET SNAPSHOT AND NOTATION

For the Week 4 TNF matchup on 2025-09-25, multiple outlets reporting DraftKings lines list

SEA  $-1.5$ , ML: SEA  $-125$ , ARI  $+105$ , Total 43.5,

see e.g. [4, 2, 8, 7].<sup>1</sup>

**Integer-margin model.** Let the final *margin* be the integer-valued random variable

$$M \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad M = (\text{favorite score}) - (\text{underdog score}).$$

For a book line  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the favorite  $-s$  ticket: wins if  $M > s$ , pushes if  $M = s$ , loses if  $M < s$ ; the dog  $+s$  is complementary.

Write  $p_k := \Pr[M = k]$  and define the tail and atom at the line

$$P_{>s} := \Pr[M > s] = \sum_{k \geq s+1} p_k, \quad P_{=s} := p_s, \quad P_{<s} := 1 - P_{>s} - P_{=s}.$$

A tractable parametric baseline is a *discretized normal* centered at the spread,

$$(1) \quad p_k \approx \Phi\left(\frac{k + 0.5 - \mu}{\sigma}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{k - 0.5 - \mu}{\sigma}\right), \quad \mu \approx s,$$

augmented by a small “endgame” mixture that inflates mass at small positive margins (e.g.  $\{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ ), reflecting late fouling/clock dynamics.<sup>2</sup>

## 2. IMPLIED PROBABILITIES FROM AMERICAN ODDS

For American odds  $-a$  (favorite) or  $+b$  (dog), the *implied* win probabilities (with book vig) are

$$\pi(-a) = \frac{a}{a + 100}, \quad \pi(+b) = \frac{100}{b + 100}.$$

For SEA ML  $-125$  and ARI ML  $+105$ ,

$$\pi_{\text{SEA,ML}} = 0.556, \quad \pi_{\text{ARI,ML}} = 0.488.$$

---

*Date:* September 26, 2025.

<sup>1</sup>Throughout, we use the DraftKings snapshot reported across reputable aggregators on the day of game. Small intra-day ticks do not materially affect the algebra; the numerics below can be updated mechanically if the inputs change.

<sup>2</sup>Empirically, NFL margins concentrate at key numbers;  $\Pr(|M| = 3)$  is  $\sim 15\%$  over long samples, with 1 and 2 each at  $\sim 3\text{--}4\%$ ; see §3 and [3, 1, 6]. Post-2015 XP changes slightly reshaped the lattice frequencies.

Removing vig by normalization ( $\tilde{\pi}_i = \pi_i/(\pi_{\text{SEA}} + \pi_{\text{ARI}})$ ) gives the *fair* moneyline win probabilities

$$(2) \quad P(\text{SEA wins}) \approx 0.532, \quad P(\text{ARI wins}) \approx 0.468.$$

We denote  $p_{\text{ML}} := 0.532$  for SEA.

### 3. NEAR-LINE MASS AT 1 AND 2 FROM HISTORY

Long-horizon NFL studies find (unconditional) margin-of-victory frequencies roughly

$$\Pr(|M| = 3) \approx 15\%, \quad \Pr(|M| = 7) \approx 8\text{--}9\%, \quad \Pr(|M| = 1) \approx 4\%, \quad \Pr(|M| = 2) \approx 4\%,$$

with modest regime shifts after the 2015 XP rule<sup>3</sup> [3, 1, 5, 6]. Conditioning on SEA as a slight favorite, a neutral split allocates approximately half of the  $\{1, 2\}$  outcomes to the favorite. We therefore adopt calibrated atoms

$$p_1 := \Pr(M = 1) \approx 0.020, \quad p_2 := \Pr(M = 2) \approx 0.020,$$

for *SEA-by-1* and *SEA-by-2* respectively.<sup>4</sup>

### 4. COVER, PUSH, AND LOSS PROBABILITIES AT $s = 2$

From (2) and the atoms above,

$$P_{>2} = \Pr(M \geq 3) = p_{\text{ML}} - p_1 - p_2 = 0.492, \quad P_{=2} = p_2 = 0.020, \quad P_{<2} = 1 - 0.492 - 0.020 = 0.488.$$

Thus a favorite  $-2$  ticket has  $\Pr(\text{cover}) \approx 49.2\%$ ,  $\Pr(\text{push}) \approx 2.0\%$ ,  $\Pr(\text{lose}) \approx 48.8\%$ .

### 5. FAIR PRICING AND POINT-BUY VALUATION AT THE TWO

Let a unit stake pay net  $b$  on win (so  $b = 100/110 \approx 0.909$  at  $-110$ ). The push has zero net.

**Fair odds for  $-2$ .** Fair payoff  $b^*$  solves  $b^* P_{>2} = P_{<2}$ , i.e.

$$b^* = \frac{P_{<2}}{P_{>2}} = \frac{0.488}{0.492} = 0.992 \implies \text{American odds} \approx -101.$$

Hence an *even-money* quote for  $-2$  is essentially fair given  $p_1 = p_2 = 0.02$  and  $p_{\text{ML}} = 0.532$ .

**Value of buying on/off 2 (clean formulas).** Let  $p_2 = \Pr(M = 2)$ . Only the  $M = 2$  cell flips when you move across 2:

$$-2 \rightarrow -1.5 : \text{push} \rightarrow \text{win}, \quad \Delta \text{EV} = b p_2;$$

$$-2.5 \rightarrow -2 : \text{loss} \rightarrow \text{push}, \quad \Delta \text{EV} = 1 \cdot p_2.$$

Thus the full key move  $-2.5 \rightarrow -1.5$  is worth  $(1 + b)p_2$ . Numerically, with  $b = 0.909$  and  $p_2 = 0.02$ :

$$\underbrace{b p_2}_{-2 \rightarrow -1.5} = 0.0182 \text{ (per \$1 staked)}, \quad \underbrace{1 \cdot p_2}_{-2.5 \rightarrow -2} = 0.0200, \quad \underbrace{(1+b)p_2}_{-2.5 \rightarrow -1.5} = 0.0382.$$

Interpret each as the *fair premium* (in units of stake) for the move.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>3</sup>Recent seasons exhibit slightly higher mass at  $\{5, 6\}$  and modest changes around  $\{4, 10\}$ ; cf. [1].

<sup>4</sup>These are conservative, dashboard-friendly priors. If you prefer empirical, spread-conditional atoms (e.g. conditioning on closing spread in  $[1, 2]$ ), simply replace  $p_1, p_2$  below and recompute; the algebra is unchanged. Tools like [6] or your own historical bins can supply  $p_k$ .

<sup>5</sup>At  $-110$ , a “ten-cent” surcharge corresponds to  $\approx 4.5\%$  of stake in EV terms for near-even events; see also empirical pricing discussions in [3, 6].

6. MONEYLINE VERSUS  $-2$ : AN EV COMPARISON

Write  $b_{\text{ML}} = 100/125 = 0.8$ . A unit-stake expected value is

$$\text{EV}(-2; b) = b P_{>2} - P_{<2}, \quad \text{EV}(\text{ML}; b_{\text{ML}}) = b_{\text{ML}} p_{\text{ML}} - (1 - p_{\text{ML}}).$$

With the inputs above,

$$\text{EV}(-2; -110) = 0.909 \cdot 0.492 - 0.488 = -0.0407 \text{ } (-4.07\%), \quad \text{EV}(\text{ML}; -125) = 0.8 \cdot 0.532 - 0.468 = -0.0424.$$

Both wagers, at listed juice, are  $\sim -4\%$  EV—as expected—with a negligible 0.17% edge to the spread in this snapshot. The *analytic* ML-vs-spread difference is

$$\Delta \text{EV} = (1 + b_{\text{ML}}) \underbrace{(p_1 + p_2)}_{\text{ML captures}} - ((1 + b) - (1 + b_{\text{ML}})) P_{>2} - p_2,$$

which, upon substitution, reproduces the numeric gap above. Intuition: ML pays for the  $\{1, 2\}$  band that a  $-2$  spread does not (it either pushes at 2 or loses at 1), but ML also carries different juice and forgoes push equity.

7. MIDDLING AROUND TWO (THE  $-1.5/+2.5$  MIDDLE)

Stake one unit each on Favorite  $-1.5$  at  $-a_1$  ( $b_1 = 100/a_1$ ) and Dog  $+2.5$  at  $-a_2$  ( $b_2 = 100/a_2$ ). Outcomes:

- If  $M = 2$ : *both win*  $\Rightarrow$  profit  $b_1 + b_2$ .
- Otherwise exactly one wins  $\Rightarrow$  net loss  $\ell := \min\{1 - b_1, 1 - b_2\}$  (with symmetric  $-110/-110$ ,  $\ell = 0.0909$ ).

Hence

$$\text{EV} = (b_1 + b_2) p_2 - (1 - p_2) \ell, \quad p_2^* = \frac{\ell}{b_1 + b_2 + \ell}.$$

At  $-110/-110$ ,  $b_1 = b_2 = 0.909$ ,  $\ell = 0.0909 \Rightarrow p_2^* \approx 0.0476$ . Thus if  $\Pr(M = 2) \gtrsim 4.8\%$ , the middle is +EV; with sharper  $-105/-105$ , the threshold falls further.

## 8. CALIBRATION AND BACKTESTING GUIDANCE

1. **Baseline fit:** Estimate  $(\mu, \sigma)$  of a continuous margin model conditional on closing spreads in a window around 2, then discretize as in (1).
2. **Endgame mixture:** Add a light component that inflates  $\{2, 3, 4, 5\}$  proportional to situational features (pace, timeout inventory, coaching tendencies, two-point conversion rates).
3. **Posterior update:** Incorporate any private edge  $\delta$  by setting  $\mu = s + \delta$  and re-discretizing.
4. **Validation:** Backtest ML vs. small spreads, bought points around 2, and middles; compare realized frequencies of  $M \in \{1, 2\}$  to model-implied.<sup>6</sup>
5. **Dashboard implementation:** Given a live book snapshot, compute (i) vig-removed  $p_{\text{ML}}$ , (ii) spread-conditional  $p_1, p_2$ , (iii) fair  $-2$  odds and point-buy premia, (iv) ML vs.  $-2$  EV comparison, (v) middle thresholds; highlight mispricings across books.

## BANKROLL SIZING (KELLY)

For net win  $b$ , loss 1, with push probability  $r$  and win/loss probabilities  $(q, \ell)$  satisfying  $q + \ell + r = 1$ , the edge is  $bq - \ell$  and the (fractional) Kelly stake is

$$f^* = \frac{bq - \ell}{b}.$$

Apply to a spread (take  $q = P_{>2}$ ,  $\ell = P_{<2}$ ,  $r = P_{=2}$ ) or to a middle by viewing the pair as a single two-point outcome instrument (double-win vs. split).

<sup>6</sup>Public summaries of key numbers and their temporal drift are useful sanity checks [3, 1].

## CONCLUSIONS

Around the two-point line, (i) the favorite's fair  $-2$  price is essentially even money if  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are each near 2%, (ii) the fair value of  $-2 \rightarrow -1.5$  is  $b p_2$  and of  $-2.5 \rightarrow -2$  is  $p_2$ , and (iii) a canonical  $-1.5/ + 2.5$  middle requires  $p_2 \gtrsim 4.8\%$  at  $-110/ - 110$ . With DK's Week 4 snapshot, ML and spread both carry  $\sim -4\%$  EV at posted juice; choice should be driven by your calibrated  $\{1, 2\}$  mass, price differences across books, and portfolio considerations. The discrete, push-aware algebra here slots directly into a backtestable, production dashboard.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Action Network. Key numbers in nfl betting, explained (recent-era margin frequencies), 2024. Accessed 2025-09-25.
- [2] Action Network. Seahawks vs. cardinals: Prediction, pick, odds (week 4, tn timer), 2025. Accessed 2025-09-25.
- [3] Boyd's Bets. Nfl key numbers: When to buy points to get on or off the most common margins of victory, 2023. Comprehensive long-horizon margin frequencies; accessed 2025-09-25.
- [4] DraftKings Network. Nfl best bets: Seahawks vs. cardinals — week 4 (odds snapshot), 2025. Accessed 2025-09-25.
- [5] C. Hitter. Common nfl scores and key numbers, 2024. Accessed 2025-09-25.
- [6] Inpredictable. The distribution of nfl winning margins, 2023. Accessed 2025-09-25.
- [7] OddsShark. Seahawks vs. cardinals picks & odds (week 4, 2025), 2025. Accessed 2025-09-25.
- [8] SportsHandle. Seahawks vs. cardinals betting promos (week 4 tn timer) — odds snapshot, 2025. Accessed 2025-09-25.