

# Markov Chains

November 1, 2016

<i>CONTENTS</i>	2
-----------------	---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Markov Chain</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Transition Probabilities</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Class Structure</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Recurrence and Transience</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Random walks on <math>\mathbb{Z}^d</math> with <math>d \geq 1</math></b>	<b>10</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Hitting probabilities</b>	<b>12</b>
6.1	Gambler's Ruin . . . . .	12
<b>7</b>	<b>Stopping times</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Classification of states</b>	<b>15</b>

## 1 Markov Chain

The notes taken during the first lecture was unfortunately lost.

**Theorem.** (Extended Markov Property) Let  $X$  be a Markov chain, and  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $H$  be an event defined in terms of  $X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}$  (the history), and let  $F$  be an event defined in terms of  $X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots$  (the future). Then

$$\mathbb{P}(F|H, X_n = i) = \mathbb{P}(F|X_n = i)$$

## 2 Transition Probabilities

We've known that  $p_{i,j}$  is the one-step transition probability,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = j | X_0 = i)$$

Now it's natural to discuss the  $n$ -step transition probabilities

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = j | X_0 = i) = p_{i,j}(n)$$

**Theorem.** (Chapman-Kolmogorov Equations)

$$p_{i,j}(m+n) = \sum_{k \in S} p_{i,k}(m) p_{k,j}(n)$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} p_{i,j}(m+n) &= \sum_{k \in S} \mathbb{P}(X_{m+n} = j | X_m = k) \mathbb{P}(X_m = k) \\ &= \sum_{k \in S} p_{k,j}(n) p_{i,k}(m) \end{aligned}$$

□

Now let  $P(1) = P = p_{i,j}$ ,  $P(n) = p_{i,j}(n)$ . Then this is just matrix multiplication:  $P(n) = P^n$ . To find  $P(n)$  we can diagonalize the matrix. (or matrix mult + qpower!)

**Example.** Let  $S = \{1, 2\}$ ,

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1-\alpha & \alpha \\ \beta & 1-\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

ans assume that  $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$  (non-trivial).

Then solve  $|P - \kappa I| = 0$ , we get  $\kappa = 1$  or  $\kappa = 1 - \alpha - \beta$ . So

$$P^n = U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1^n & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - \alpha - \beta)^n \end{pmatrix} U$$

for some invertible  $U$ . Then

$$p_{1,1}(n) = A \cdot 1^n + B(1 - \alpha - \beta)^n$$

for some  $A, B$ . We know that  $p_{1,1}(1) = 1 - \alpha$ ,  $p_{1,1}(0) = 1$ . Then we can solve for  $A$  and  $B$  and get

$$A = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}, B = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$$

By symmetry we can get

$$P^n = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} \begin{pmatrix} \beta + \alpha(1 - \alpha - \beta)^n & \alpha - \alpha(1 - \alpha - \beta)^n \\ \beta - \beta(1 - \alpha - \beta)^n & \alpha + \beta(1 - \alpha - \beta)^n \end{pmatrix}$$

Another method is to use difference equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_{1,1}(n+1) &= \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = 1 | X_0 = 1) \\
 &= \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = 1 | X_n = 1, X_0 = 1) \mathbb{P}(X_n = 1 | X_0 = 1) + \\
 &\quad \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = 1 | X_n = 2, X_0 = 1) \mathbb{P}(X_n = 2 | X_0 = 1) \\
 &= (1 - \alpha) P_{1,1}(n) + \beta p_{1,2}(n)
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a difference equation for the sequence for  $(p_{1,1}(n))$  (note  $p_{1,2}(n) = 1 - p_{1,1}(n)$ ) solved in the normal way, subject to boundary conditions.

The distributions of a Markov chain is somewhat related to linear algebra. Let  $\lambda$  be the initial distribution of  $X_0$ , i.e.  $\lambda_i = \mathbb{P}(X_0 = i)$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 = j) = \sum_i \lambda_i p_{i,j}$$

So the distribution of  $X_1$  is  $\lambda P$ , and similarly  $X_n$  has distribution  $\lambda P^n$ .

### 3 Class Structure

We write " $i$  leads to  $j$ ", or  $i \rightarrow j$ , if there exists  $n \geq 0$  s.t.  $p_{i,j}(n) > 0$ . Write  $i \leftrightarrow j$  if  $i \rightarrow j$  and  $j \rightarrow i$ , and say that  $i$  and  $j$  *communicate*.

**Proposition.**  $\leftrightarrow$  is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* •  $i \leftrightarrow i$  since  $p_{i,i}(0) = 1 > 0$ .

•  $i \leftrightarrow j \rightarrow j \leftrightarrow i$  is trivial.

• If  $i \leftrightarrow j$  and  $j \leftrightarrow i$ , in particular  $i \rightarrow j$  and  $j \rightarrow k$ . Then there exists  $m, k$  such that  $p_{i,j}(m) > 0$  and  $p_{j,k}(n) > 0$ . Then

$$p_{i,k}(m+n) \geq p_{i,j}(m)p_{j,k}(n) > 0$$

By C-K equation (since each term in the sum is non-negative). So  $i \rightarrow k$ . Similarly  $k \rightarrow i$ . So  $i \leftrightarrow k$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** Now  $S$ , the set of all states, has equivalence classes under  $\leftrightarrow$ . We call them *communicating classes*, and define

$$C_i = \{j \in S : i \leftrightarrow j\}$$

The space  $S$ , or the chain  $X$ , is called *irreducible* if there exists a unique communicating class (which is  $S$ ).

$C \subset S$  is called *closed* if

$$i \in C, i \rightarrow j \implies j \rightarrow C$$

if  $\{i\}$  is closed, then  $i$  is called *absorbing*.

**Proposition.** A set  $C$  is closed if and only if  $p_{i,j} = 0$  for all  $i \in C, j \notin C$ .

*Proof.* Suppose the above condition does not hold. Then  $\exists i \in C, j \notin C$  with  $p_{i,j} > 0$ . But then  $C$  is not closed by definition since  $i \rightarrow j$ .

Now suppose the above condition hold. Let  $i \in C, i \rightarrow j$ . There exists several  $k_0 = i, k_1, \dots, k_n = j$  such that

$$p_{k_0,k_1}p_{k_1,k_2}\dots p_{k_{n-1},k_n} > 0$$

which requires  $i = k_0 \rightarrow k_1, k_1 \rightarrow k_2, \dots, k_{n-1} \rightarrow k_n = j$ . Then  $k_1 \in C, k_2 \in C, \dots, k_n = j \in C$ . So  $C$  is closed.  $\square$

**Example.** Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$ , and

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\{4\}$ ,  $\{5, 6\}$  are communicating classes.

## 4 Recurrence and Transience

We write  $\mathbb{P}(\cdot | X_0 = i) = \mathbb{P}_i(\cdot)$ , and similarly for expectation.

**Definition.** The *first-passage time* of  $j \in S$  is

$$T_j = \inf \{n \geq 1 : X_n = j\}$$

The *first-passage probabilities* are

$$f_{i,j}(n) = \mathbb{P}_i(T_j = n)$$

**Definition.** The state  $i \in S$  is *recurrent* (or *persistent*) if  $\mathbb{P}_i(T_i < \infty) = 1$ , and *transient* otherwise.

**Theorem.** The state  $i$  is recurrent if and only if

$$\sum_n p_{ii}(n) \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij}(n) &= \mathbb{P}_i(x_n = j) = \sum_m \mathbb{P}_i(x_n = j | T_j = m) \mathbb{P}_i(T_j = m) \\ &= \sum_{m \leq n} \mathbb{P}_i(x_n = j | x_m = j) \mathbb{P}_i(T_j = m) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^n f_{i,j}(m) p_{jj}(n-m) \end{aligned}$$

(which looks like a *convolution* of  $f_{i,j}$  and  $p_{jj}$ ).

Now consider generating sequences

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ij}(s) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{ij}(n) s^n \\ P_{ij}(s) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{ij}(n) s^n \end{aligned}$$

with  $f_{ij}(0) = 0, p_{ij}(0) = \delta_{ij}$ .

Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} p_{ij}(n) s^n = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{m=1}^n f_{ij}(m) s^m p_{jj}(n-m) s^{n-m}$$

So by reversing the order of the sums,

$$P_{ij}(s) - \delta_{ij} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_{ij}(m) s^m \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} p_{jj}(n-m) s^{n-m} = F_{ij}(s) P_{jj}(s)$$

So we've derived

**Theorem.**  $P_{ij}(s) = \delta_{ij} + F_{ij}(s)P_{jj}(s)$ . ( $1 < s \leq 1$ , since we need the series to converge)

When  $i = j$ ,

$$P_{ii}(s) = \frac{1}{1 - F_{ii}(s)}$$

if  $0 \leq s < 1$ .

Now let  $s \rightarrow 1$ , then

$$F_{ii}(s) \rightarrow \sum_n f_{ii}(n) = \mathbb{P}_i(T_i < \infty),$$

$$P_{ii}(s) \rightarrow \sum_n p_{ii}(n)$$

Therefore  $i$  is recurrent iff  $F_{ij}(s) = 1$ , i.e.  $\sum_n p_{ii}(n) \rightarrow \infty$ .

□

**Theorem.** Let  $C$  be a communicating class.

- (a) For  $i, j \in C$ , either both of them are recurrent, or both are transient (i.e. recurrence is a class property).
- (b) If  $i \in C$  is recurrent, then  $C$  is closed (i.e. a recurrent communicating class is closed).

*Proof.* (a) Let  $i \leftrightarrow j$ . Then

$$p_{ii}(m+k+n) \geq p_{ij}(m)p_{jj}(k)p_{ji}(n)$$

Pick  $m$  s.t.  $p_{ij}(m) > 0$ , and  $n$  s.t.  $p_{ji}(n) > 0$ . Then

$$\sum_k p_{ii}(m+k+n) \geq \alpha \sum_k p_{jj}(k)$$

for  $\alpha > 0$ .

Then if  $j$  is recurrent, then  $\sum_k p_{jj}(k) \rightarrow \infty$ , and hence  $\sum_k p_{ii}(k) \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.  $i$  is recurrent, and vice versa.

(b) Suppose  $C$  is not closed. So  $\exists j \in C, k \notin C$  with  $p_{jk} > 0$ .

If  $i$  is recurrent, so is  $j$  by (a). Then

$$1 - \mathbb{P}_j(T_j < \infty) = \mathbb{P}_j(\text{no return to } j) \geq p_{jk}$$

Since  $k \notin C$ . However that implies  $p_{jk} \leq 1 - 1 = 0$ . Contradiction.

□

**Proposition.** Let  $i, j \in S$ . If  $j$  is transient, then  $p_{ij}(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.*  $P_{ij}(s) = \delta_{ij} + F_{ij}(s)P_{jj}(s)$  for  $-1 < s < 1$ .

Now let  $i \neq j$ , and  $s \rightarrow 1$ . Then

$$P_{ij}(1) = F_{ij}(1)P_{jj}(1)$$

Since  $j$  is transient,  $F_{ij}(1) < \infty$  and  $P_{jj}(1) < \infty$ .

So  $P_{ij}(n) < \infty$ , and hence  $p_{ij}(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The argument is similar when  $i = j$ .

□



**Theorem.** If  $S$  is finite, then there exists at least one recurrent state. Therefore, if the chain is irreducible, every state is recurrent.

*Proof.* Suppose otherwise, that every  $j$  is transient. Then we have

$$1 = \sum_{j \in S} p_{ij}(n) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Contradiction.

□

## 5 Random walks on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with $d \geq 1$

We consider random walks on

$$\mathbb{Z}^d = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) : x_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Define two points  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ :  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$  to be *adjacent* if

$$\sum_{i=1}^d |x_i - y_i| = 1$$

The *Random walk* on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  is a Markov chain with state space  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ ; a walker lives at  $X_n$  at time  $n$ , with

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = y) | X_n = x, X_0 = x(0), \dots, X_{n-1} = x(n-1) = \begin{cases} 0 & x, y \text{ are not adjacent} \\ \frac{1}{2d} & x, y \text{ are adjacent} \end{cases}$$

Clearly RW is irreducible and has an infinite state space.

**Theorem.** The random walk is recurrent if  $d = 1, 2$ , and transient if  $d \geq 3$ .

*Proof.* •  $d = 1$ :  $p_{0,0}(2n) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n} \binom{2n}{n} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n} \frac{2n!}{(n!)^2} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}}$  by Stirling formula. Hence  $\sum_n p_{0,0}(n) \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e. 0 is recurrent.

•  $d = 2$ : Suppose we walked  $m$  steps towards L/R, and  $n - m$  steps towards U/D.

$$\begin{aligned} p_{0,0}(2n) &= \sum_{m=0}^n \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2n} \frac{(2n)!}{m!m!(n-m)!(n-m)!} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2n} \binom{2n}{n} \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m}^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2n} \binom{2n}{n} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\pi n} \end{aligned}$$

So  $(0, 0)$  is recurrent.

•  $d = 3$  (and similarly  $d \geq 3$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} p_{0,0}(2n) &= \sum_{i+j+k=n} \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{2n} \frac{(2n)!}{(i!j!k!)^2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n} \binom{2n}{n} \sum_{i+j+k=n} \left(\frac{n!}{3^n i!j!k!}\right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n} \binom{2n}{n} M_n \sum_{i+j+k=n} \frac{n!}{3^n i!k!l!} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_n = \max \left\{ \frac{n!}{3^n i! j! k!} \mid i + j + k = n \right\}$$

The sum in the last line is 1, since each term in the sum is the probability of  $n$  balls goes in to 3 boxes, with  $i, j, k$  balls in each box.

We see that  $M_n$  is achieved when  $i, j, k$  are 'as equal as possible'. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p_{0,0}(2n) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 n \binom{2n}{n} \frac{n!}{3^n (\lfloor n/3 \rfloor!)^3} \\ &\sim \frac{c}{n^{3/2}} \end{aligned}$$

But this sum now converges. So  $(0, 0, 0)$  is transient. □

## 6 Hitting probabilities

### 6.1 Gambler's Ruin

What is the hitting probability for gambler's ruin,  $h_i = h_i^{\{0\}}$ ?

$$h_0 = 1, h_i = ph_{i+1} + qh_{i-1} \text{ for } i \geq 1.$$

Then guess a solution  $h_i = \theta^i$ , so  $\theta = q/p, 1$ . So the general solution is

$$h_i = A + B(q/p)^i$$

for all  $i$ .

Since  $h_0 = 1$ ,  $A + B = 1$ .

If  $p < q$ : since the  $h_i$  are probability,  $B = 0$ ,  $A = 1$ . So  $h_i = 1 - (q/p)^i$  for all  $i$ .

If  $p > q$ , since  $h_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ , we have  $A \geq 0$ . By minimality of  $(h_i)$ ,  $A = 0$ . So  $h_i = (q/p)^i$ .

When  $p = q$ : by the above arguments,  $h_i \equiv 1$ .

Extension: let  $p_i = 1 - q_i \in (0, 1)$ .

So  $h_0 = 1$ ,  $(p_i + q_i)h_i = p_i h_{i+1} + q_i h_{i-1}$ .  $p_i(h_{i+1} - h_i) = q_i(h_i - h_{i-1})$ .

Let  $u_i = h_{i-1} - h_i$ . Then  $p_i u_{i+1} = q_i u_i$ . So  $u_{i+1} = (q_i/p_i) u_i$ .

Therefore  $u_{i+1} = \gamma_i u_i$ , where

$$\gamma_i = \frac{q_1 q_2 \dots q_i}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_i}$$

for  $i \geq 1$ , and  $\gamma_0 = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_i &= (h_0 - h_i) \\ h_i &= 1 - (u_1 + \dots + u_i) = 1 - u_1(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $S = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma_i$ . If  $S = \infty$ , since  $h_1 \geq 0$  we have  $u_1 = 0$ , and hence  $h_i \equiv 1$ .

If  $S < \infty$ ,  $u_1$  is maximised when  $1 - u_1 S = 0$ , i.e.  $u_1 = 1/S$ .

## 7 Stopping times

Consider Markov chain  $X$ .

**Definition.** A random variable  $T$  taking values in  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{\infty\}$  is a *stopping time* (for  $X$ ) if for  $n \geq 0$ , the event  $\{T = n\}$  is given 'in terms of'  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n$  only.

Hitting times are stopping times:  $\{H^A = n\} = \{X_n \in A\} \cap (\cap_{0 \leq m < n} \{x_m \notin A\})$ .

$H^A + 1$  is a stopping times is a stopping time,  $H^A - 1$  is not in general a stopping time.

**Definition.** (Strong Markov Property) Let  $X$  be a Markov chain with transition matrix  $P$ , and let  $T$  be a stopping time for  $X$ . Given  $T < \infty$  and  $X_T = i$ , then  $Y = (X_T, X_{T+1}, \dots)$  is a Markov chain with transition matrix  $P$  and initial state  $Y_0 = i$ , and  $Y$  is independent of  $(X_0, \dots, X_{T-1})$ .

**Example.** Consider a random walk with an absorbing wall at 0, with probability  $p$  going right and  $q = 1 - p$  going left. Assume particle starts at 1, and let  $H$  be the hitting time of 0. What is the mass function and mean of  $H$ ?

Let

$$G(s) = \mathbb{E}_1(s^H) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n \mathbb{P}_1(H = n)$$

if  $|s| < 1$ .

By assuming  $|s| < 1$  (and using Abel's lemma when needed) we include the possibility  $\mathbb{P}_1(H = \infty) > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} G(s) &= \mathbb{E}_1(s^H) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_1(s^H | X_1 = 2)p + \mathbb{E}_1(s^H | X_1 = 0)q \\ &= p\mathbb{E}_1(s^{1+H_1+H_0}) + qs \\ &= psG(s)^2 (= \mathbb{E}_1(s^{H_1})\mathbb{E}_1(s^{H_2})) + qs \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_i$  is the time to go from  $i + 1$  to  $i$ .

So

$$G(s) = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4pqs^2}}{2ps}$$

for  $|s| < 1$ .

Since  $G$  is continuous, the  $\pm$  sign is the same for all  $s$ . Since  $G$  has to remain regular at  $s = 0$ , the  $\pm$  sign has to be  $-$  for all  $s$ . So

$$G(s) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4pqs^2}}{2ps}$$

So

$$\mathbb{P}_1(H = 2k - 1) = \frac{(2k - 2)!}{k!(k - 1)!} \frac{(pq)^k}{p}$$

where  $k \geq 1$ .

We can also get

$$\begin{aligned} P(H < \infty) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} G(s) \\ &= \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4pq}}{2p} \\ &= \frac{1 - |q - p|}{2p} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & p \leq q \\ q/p & p > q \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $p \leq q$ . We want to find  $\mathbb{E}_1(H)$ . Differentiate  $G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G' &= pG^2 + 2psGG' + q \\ \implies G'(s) &= \frac{pG^2 + q}{1 - 2psG} \end{aligned}$$

Take the limit  $s \rightarrow 1$ ,

$$G'(s) \rightarrow \begin{cases} \infty & p = q \\ \frac{1}{q-p} & p < q \end{cases}$$

## 8 Classification of states

**Definition.** (a) The *mean recurrence time* of  $i \in S$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_i &= \mathbb{E}_i(T_i) \\ &= \begin{cases} \infty & i \text{ is transient} \\ \sum_{n \geq 1} n f_{i,i}(n) & i \text{ is recurrent} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

(b) We call  $i$  *null* if  $\mu_i = \infty$ , and *non-null* or *positive* if  $\mu_i < \infty$ .

(c) The *period*  $d_i$  of  $i \in S$  is  $d_i = \gcd \{n \geq 1 : p_{i,i}(n) > 0\}$ .  
We call  $i$  *aperiodic* if  $d_i = 1$ .

(d) A state  $i \in S$  is *ergodic* if it is aperiodic and non-null recurrent.

**Theorem.** If  $i \leftrightarrow j$  then

- (a) they have the same period;
- (b) if one is recurrent, so is the other;
- (c) if one is positive recurrent, so is the other;
- (d) if one is ergodic, so is the other.