## Topics in Set Theory Sheet 4

Xiaojia Rao

March 13, 2019

Fix  $A \in M[G]$ , a formula  $\varphi(x, y, x_1, ..., x_n)$  which specifies the function that we want to use for replacement, and fix parameters  $a_1, ..., a_n \in M[G]$ . We want a name for

$$B := \{ y : M[G] \vDash \exists x \in A\varphi(x, y, a_1, ..., a_n) \}$$

Take a name  $\sigma$  for A and some names  $\tau_1, ..., \tau_n$  for  $a_1, ..., a_n$ . Define a formula

$$\psi(x, y, x_1, ..., x_n, A) := x \in A \land \varphi(x, y, x_1, ..., x_n)$$

and now consider the name

$$\rho := \{ (\pi, p) : p \Vdash^* \exists x \psi(x, \pi, \tau_1, ..., \tau_n, \sigma) \}$$

We claim that  $val(\rho, G) = B$ .

 $\subseteq$ : suppose  $y \in val(\rho, G)$ . So there is  $(\pi, p) \in \rho$  with  $p \in G$  and  $val(\pi, G) = y$ . So  $p \Vdash^* \pi \in \exists x \psi(x, \pi, \tau_1, ..., \tau_n, \sigma)$ . By definition, this means that the set

$$D := \{r : \exists \mu \in M^{\mathbb{P}}(r \Vdash^* \psi(\mu, \pi, \tau_1, ..., \tau_n, \sigma))\}$$

is dense below p. Also  $p \in G$ , so  $G \cap D \neq \phi$ , so take some  $q \in G \cap D$ .  $q \in D$  means there exists a name  $\mu$  s.t.

$$q \Vdash^* \psi(\mu, \pi, \tau_1, ..., \tau_n, \sigma)$$

But  $q \in G$  as well, so by FT,

$$M[G] \vDash \psi(\mu, \pi, \tau_1, ..., \tau_n, \sigma)$$

which translates to: there exists  $x := val(\mu, G)$  that

$$M[G] \vDash \psi(x, y, a_1, ..., a_n, A)$$
  
$$\iff M[G] \vDash x \in A \land \varphi(x, y, x_1, ..., x_n)$$

we can rewrite this as

$$M[G] \vDash \exists x (x \in A \land \varphi(x, y, x_1, ..., x_n))$$

i.e.  $y \in B$ .

 $\supseteq$ : suppose  $y \in B$ . So  $M[G] \vDash \exists x \psi(x, y, a_1, ....a_n, A)$ . Now take a name  $\pi$  for y; so by FT, there exists  $p \in G$  s.t.  $p \vDash^* \exists x \psi(x, \pi, \tau_1, ..., \tau_n, \sigma)$ . But this is exactly the requirement for  $(\pi, p) \in \rho$ . So  $y \in val(\rho, G)$ .

 $<sup>^1 \</sup>text{On}$  lecture 19 this was just stated as a fact without proof, but I can't see why it's trivial. My proof of this claim: suppose otherwise, that  $G \cap D = \phi$ . Add all elements q s.t. there's no  $r \in D$  s.t.  $r \leq q$  to D to form a new set D'. Then D' is dense, so  $G \cap D' \neq \phi$ , so pick  $r \in G \cap D'$ . Now if  $r \not\in D$ , then since G is a filter, pick  $q \in G$  s.t.  $q \leq p, r$ . Since D is dense below p and  $q \leq p$ , we can pick  $s \in D$  s.t.  $s \in q$ . But then  $s \leq q \leq r$ , and  $s \in D$ ,  $r \in D' \setminus D$ , contradicting our definition of D'.

Fix  $A \in M[G]$ , and  $\phi \notin A$ . We want to prove that there exists  $f \in M[G]$  s.t. f is a function from  $A \to \cup A$ , and  $x \in A \implies f(x) \in x$ .

Take a name  $\sigma$  of A. We'd like a name  $\pi$  that satisfies the following:

- (1)  $val(\pi, G)$  is a function  $A \to \cup A$ ;
- (2) if  $(\tau_1, p_1), (\tau_2, p_2) \in \pi, p_1, p_2 \in G$ , and

## 36.

I'm assuming every instance of ' $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over M' actually means ' $\mathbb{P}$ -generic filter over M' (and similarly for later questions).

G is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic filter:

- Let  $p \in G$ , and  $p \le p'$ . Then  $i(p) \le i(p')$ . But H is a filter, and  $i(p) \in H$ , so  $i(p') \in H$ . So  $p' \in G$ .
- Let  $p, p' \in G$ ; we want to prove that p, p' have a witness of compatibility in G. Suppose otherwise, so if  $p'' \leq p, p'$  then  $i(p'') \notin H$ . But i(p) and i(p') still need to have a witness of compatibility in H, say  $q \in H$ ; so this q cannot be in the image  $i(\mathbb{P})$ .
- We've proved that G is a filter; now we prove that G is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over M. In fact we prove that it's  $\mathbb{P}$ -antichain generic over M. Let  $A \subset \mathbb{P}$  be a maximal antichain, we want to prove that  $\exists a \in A(i(a) \in H)$ .

Suppose otherwise. Write i(A) for the set containing images of element of A under i. Then i(A) cannot be an maximal antichain (as H is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -antichain generic, so every maximal antichain has non-empty intersection with H). Obviously by (b), i(A) is still an antichain. So (as  $M[H] \models AC$ ) we extend it to a maximal antichain A'. Now  $A' \cap H \neq \phi$ , so take  $q \in A' \cap H$ . By assumption,  $q \notin i(A)$ . Now apply (c), we get a  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  s.t.  $p' \leq p \implies i(p')$  and q are compatible. But A is a maximal antichain in  $\mathbb{P}$ , so p has to be compatible with some element of A, say  $p_a$ . So let  $p_c \in \mathbb{P}$  witness that p and  $p_a$  are compatible; in particular,  $p_c \leq p$ . By (c),  $i(p_c)$  and q are compatible. But  $p_c \leq p_a$  and hence  $i(p_c) \leq i(p_a)$ , so  $i(p_a)$  and q are compatible; but both  $i(p_a)$  and q are in the antichain A' of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Contradiction (see diagram below).

