

# Introduction to Discrete Analysis

October 16, 2018

<i>CONTENTS</i>	2
-----------------	---

## Contents

<b>0</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>The discrete Fourier transform</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Roth's theorem . . . . .	5
1.2	Bogolyubov's method . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Sumsets and their structure</b>	<b>11</b>

## 0 Introduction

asdasd

## 1 The discrete Fourier transform

Let  $N$  be a fixed positive integer. Write  $\omega$  for  $e^{2\pi i/N}$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  for  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Given  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ , define  $\hat{f}(r)$  to be

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} f(x) \omega^{-rx}$$

From now on we use the notation  $\mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$  for  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ , so  $\hat{f}(r) = \mathbb{E}_x f(x) e^{-\frac{2\pi i r x}{N}}$ .

If we write  $\omega_r$  for the function  $x \rightarrow \omega^{rx}$ , and  $\langle f, g \rangle$  for  $\mathbb{E}_x f(x) \overline{g(x)}$ , then  $\hat{f}(r) = \langle f, \omega_r \rangle$ . So the discrete fourier transform is basically expanding the function  $f$  in the set of orthonormal basis  $\omega_r$ .

Let us write  $\|f\|_p$  for  $\mathbb{E}_x |f(x)|^p$ <sup>1/p</sup> (the  $L_p$ -norm), and call the resulting space  $L_p(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ .

Important convention: we use *averages* for the 'original functions' in 'physical spaces', and *sums* for their Fourier transforms in 'frequency space' (referring to  $\mathbb{E}$ :  $\langle, \rangle$  is average in the original space but just  $\sum$  in frequency space, i.e. for  $\hat{f}, \hat{g}$  etc.)

**Lemma.** (1, Parseval's identity)

If  $f, g : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle &= \sum_r \hat{f}(r) \overline{\hat{g}(r)} \\ &= \sum_r (\mathbb{E}_x f(x) \omega^{-rx}) (\overline{\mathbb{E}_y g(y) \omega^{-ry}}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \mathbb{E}_y f(x) \overline{g(y)} \sum_r \omega^{-r(x-y)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \mathbb{E}_y f(x) \overline{g(y)} n \delta_{xy} \\ &= \langle f, g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma.** (2, Convolution identity)

$$\widehat{f * g}(r) = \hat{f}(r) \hat{g}(r)$$

where

$$(f * g)(x) = \mathbb{E}_{y+z=x} f(y) g(z) = \mathbb{E}_y f(y) g(x-y)$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{f * g}(r) &= \mathbb{E}_x f * g(x) \omega^{-rx} \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_x \mathbb{E}_{y+z=x} f(y) g(z) \omega^{-rx} \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_x \mathbb{E}_{y+z=x} f(y) g(z) \omega^{-ry} \omega^{-rz} \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_y \mathbb{E}_z f(y) \omega^{-ry} g(z) \omega^{-rz} \\
 &= \hat{f}(r) \hat{g}(r)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma.** (3, Inversion formula)

$$f(x) = \sum_r \hat{f}(r) \omega^{rx}$$

(note the sign of  $\omega^{rx}$ ).

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_r \hat{f}(r) \omega^{rx} &= \sum_r \mathbb{E}_y f(y) \omega^{r(x-y)} \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_y f(y) \sum_r \omega^{r(x-y)} \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_y f(y) n \delta_{xy} \\
 &= f(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

This is really just the statement that we get the original vector back when we sum up its components. □

Further observations: If  $f$  is real-valued, then  $\hat{f}(-r) = \mathbb{E}_x f(x) \omega^{rx} = \overline{\mathbb{E}_x f(x) \omega^{-rx}} = \overline{\hat{f}(r)}$ .

If  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_n$ , write  $A$  (instead of  $1_A, \chi_A$ ) for the characteristic function of  $A$ . Then  $\hat{A}(0) = \mathbb{E}_x A(x) = \frac{|A|}{N}$ , the *density* of  $A$ .

Also,  $\|\hat{A}\|_2^2 = \langle \hat{A}, \hat{A} \rangle = \langle A, A \rangle = \mathbb{E}_x A(x)^2 = \mathbb{E}_x A(x) = \frac{|A|}{N}$ , again the density.

Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Given  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , define  $f_\mu(x)$  to be  $f(\mu^{-1}x)$  (so we need  $(\mu, N) = 1$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{f}_\mu(r) &= \mathbb{E}_x f_\mu(x) \omega^{-rx} \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_x f(x/\mu) \omega^{-rx} \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_x f(x) \omega^{-r\mu x} \\
 &= \hat{f}(\mu r)
 \end{aligned}$$

## 1.1 Roth's theorem

**Theorem.** (4) For every  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\exists N$  s.t. if  $A \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$  is a set of size at least  $\delta N$ , then  $A$  must contain an arithmetic progression of length 3.

This is also true for 4, 5, ..., but the proof is much harder – Szemerédi's theorem. Basic strategy of proof: show that if  $A$  has density  $\delta$  and no AP of length 3 (3AP), then there's a long AP in  $P \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  s.t.

$$|A \cap P| \geq (\delta + c(\delta))|P|$$

where  $c(\delta)$  is some positive number. But then we can continue this argument to expand  $A \cap P$  to infinity (note that  $|A \cap P|$  is an integer, so each time increase by 1 at least).

The best known relationship between  $\delta$  and the  $N$  required is around  $\delta \sim \frac{c}{\log \log N}$  for some constant  $c$ .

—Lecture 2—

**Lemma.** (5)

Let  $N$  be odd,  $A, B, C \subset \mathbb{Z}_N$  have densities  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ .

If  $\max_{r \neq 0} |\hat{A}(r)| \leq \frac{\alpha(\beta\gamma)^{1/2}}{2}$  and  $\frac{\alpha\beta\gamma}{2} > \frac{1}{N}$ , then there exists  $x, d \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  with  $d \neq 0$  s.t.  $(x, x+d, x+2d) \in A \times B \times C$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{x,d} A(x)B(x+d)C(x+2d) &= \mathbb{E}_{x+z=2y} A(x)B(y)C(z) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_u (\mathbb{E}_{x+z=u} A(x)C(z)) \mathbb{E}_{2y=u} B(y) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_u A * C(u) B_2(u) \\ &= \langle A * C, B_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \widehat{A * C}, \hat{B}_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{A} \hat{C}, \hat{B}_2 \rangle \\ &= \sum_r \hat{A}(r) \hat{C}(r) \hat{B}(-2r) \\ &= \alpha\beta\gamma + \sum_{r \neq 0} \hat{A}(r) \hat{C}(r) \hat{B}(-2r) \end{aligned}$$

Recall here the notation is  $B_2(u) = B(u/2)$ . now

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{r \neq 0} \hat{A}(r) \hat{B}(-2r) \hat{C}(r) \right| &\leq \frac{\alpha(\beta\gamma)^{1/2}}{2} \sum_{r \neq 0} |\hat{B}(-2r)| |\hat{C}(r)| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha(\beta\gamma)^{1/2}}{2} \left( \sum_r |\hat{B}(-2r)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_r |\hat{C}(r)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{By Cauchy-Schwarz} \\ &= \frac{\alpha(\beta\gamma)^{1/2}}{2} \|\hat{B}\|_2 \|\hat{C}\|_2 \\ &= \frac{\alpha(\beta\gamma)^{1/2}}{2} \|B\|_2 \|C\|_2 \\ &= \frac{\alpha\beta\gamma}{2} \end{aligned}$$

The contribution to  $\mathbb{E}_{x,d} A(x)B(x+d)C(x+2d)$  from  $d = 0$  is at most  $\frac{1}{N}$ , so if  $\frac{\alpha\beta\gamma}{2} > \frac{1}{N}$ , we are done.  $\square$

Now let  $A$  be a subset of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  with density  $\geq \delta$  and let  $B = C = A \cap [\frac{N}{3}, \frac{2N}{3}]$ . If  $B$  has density  $< \frac{\delta}{5}$  (??), then either  $A \cap [1, \frac{N}{3}]$  or  $A \cap [\frac{2N}{3}, N]$  has density at least  $\frac{2\delta}{5}$ . In that case we find an AP  $P$  of length about  $N/3$  such that  $|A \cap P|/|P| \geq \frac{6\delta}{5}$ .

Otherwise, we find that if  $\max_{r \neq 0} |\hat{A}(r)| \leq \frac{\delta}{10}$  and  $\frac{\delta^3}{50} > \frac{1}{N}$ , then  $A \times B \times C$  contains a 3AP, so  $A$  contains a 3AP.

So if  $A$  does not contain a 3AP, then either we find  $P$  of length about  $N/3$  with  $|A \cap P|/|P| \geq \frac{6\delta}{5}$ , or there exists  $r \neq 0$  s.t.  $|\hat{A}(r)| \geq \frac{\delta}{10}$ .

**Definition.** If  $X$  is a finite set and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $Y \subset X$ , write  $\text{osc}(f|_Y)$  to mean  $\max_{y_1, y_2 \in Y} |f(y_1) - f(y_2)|$  (I think *amplitude* is a better word for this).

**Lemma.** (6)

Let  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is a partition of  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  into arithmetic progressions  $P_i$  of length at least  $c(\varepsilon)\sqrt{N}$  such that

$$\text{osc}(\omega_r|_{P_i}) \leq \varepsilon$$

for each  $i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t = \lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor$ . Of the numbers  $1, \omega^r, \dots, \omega^{tr}$ , there must be two that differ by at most  $\frac{2\pi}{t}$ .

If  $|\omega^{ar} - \omega^{br}| \leq \frac{2\pi}{t}$  with  $a < b$ , then  $|1 - \omega^{dr}| \leq \frac{2\pi}{t}$  where  $d = b - a$ . Then  $|\omega^{urd} - \omega^{vrd}| \leq |\omega^{urd} - \omega^{(u+1)rd}| + \dots + |\omega^{(v-1)rd} - \omega^{vrd}| \leq \frac{2\pi}{t}(v - u)$ .

So if  $P$  is a progression with common difference  $d$  and length  $l$ , then  $\text{osc}(\omega_r|_P) \leq \frac{2\pi l}{t}$ . So divide up  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  into residue classes mod  $d$ , and partition each residue class into parts of length between  $\frac{\varepsilon t}{4\pi}$  and  $\frac{\varepsilon t}{2\pi}$  (possible, since  $d \leq t \leq \sqrt{N}$ ).

We are done, with  $c(\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon}{16}$  (a casual choice).  $\square$

Now let us use the information that  $r \neq 0$  and  $|\hat{A}(r)| \geq \frac{\delta^2}{10}$ .

Define the *balanced function*  $f$  of  $A$  by  $f(x) = A(x) - \frac{|A|}{N}$  for each  $x$ .

Note that  $\hat{f}(0) = 0$  and  $\hat{f}(r) = \hat{A}(r)$  for all  $r \neq 0$ .

Now let  $P_1, \dots, P_m$  be given by Lemma 6 with  $\varepsilon = \delta^2/20$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta^2}{10} &\leq |\hat{f}(r)| \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_x f(x) \omega^{-rx} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \omega^{-rx} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \omega^{-rx_i} \right| + \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) (\omega^{-rx} - \omega^{-rx_i}) \right| \right] \quad x_i \in P_i \text{ arbitrary} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \right| + \frac{\delta^2}{20} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \right| \geq \frac{\delta^2 N}{20}$ .

We also have  $\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) = 0$ , so

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left( \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \right| + \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \right) \geq \frac{\delta^2}{20} \sum_{i=1}^m |P_i|$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) \right| + \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) &\geq \frac{\delta^2}{20} |P_i| \\ \implies \sum_{x \in P_i} f(x) &\geq \frac{\delta^2}{40} |P_i| \\ \implies |A \cap P_i| &\geq \left( \delta + \frac{\delta^2}{40} \right) |P_i| \end{aligned}$$

—Lecture 3—

Now let  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $|A| \geq \delta N$ . Then:

- either  $A$  contains a  $3AP$ ,
- or  $N$  is even,
- or  $\exists P \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,  $|P| \geq N/3$  s.t.  $|A \cap P| \geq \frac{6\delta}{5} |P|$ ,
- or  $\exists P \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,  $|P| \geq \frac{\delta^2}{640} \sqrt{N}$  (casual) s.t.  $|A \cap P| \geq (\delta + \frac{\delta^2}{40}) |P|$ .

Note that the third case is strictly worse than the fourth.

Well if the first is true then we're done. Suppose now the second holds. Write  $N = N_1 + N_2$  with  $N_1, N_2$  odd,  $N_1, N_2 \approx \frac{N}{2}$ . Then  $A$  has density at least  $\delta$  in one of  $\{1, \dots, N_1\}$  or  $\{N_1 + 1, \dots, N_1 + N_2\}$ .

If (4) holds (note (3)  $\implies$  (4)), then we pass to  $P$  and start to again. After  $\frac{40}{\delta}$  iterations, the density at least doubles. Therefore the total number of iterations we can have is at most  $\frac{40}{\delta} + \frac{40}{2\delta} + \dots \leq \frac{80}{\delta}$ .

If  $\frac{\delta^2}{640} \sqrt{N} \geq N^{1/3}$  (to account for the above, and also for the possible use of (2)) at each iteration, and  $\frac{\delta^3}{25} \geq N^{-1}$  (which follows from the first condition), then after  $\frac{80}{\delta}$  iterations we have  $N \geq N^{(1/3)^{80/\delta}}$ , so the argument works provided

$$N^{(1/3)^{80/\delta}} \geq \left( \frac{640}{\delta^2} \right)^6$$

taking logs and simplify a bit, we need

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{80}{\delta} \log 3 + \log \log N &\geq \log 6 + \log(\log 640 + 2 \log \frac{1}{\delta}) \\ \Leftrightarrow \log \log N &\geq \frac{160}{\delta} \\ \Leftrightarrow \delta &\geq \frac{160}{\log \log N} \end{aligned}$$

## 1.2 Bogolyubov's method

Let  $K \subset \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_N$  and let  $\delta > 0$ . The *Bohr set*  $B(K, \delta)$  has two definitions (not exactly equivalent, but quite equivalent):



- (1)  $B(K, \delta) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N : rx \in [-\delta N, \delta N] \forall r \in K\}$  (arc-length definition);
- (2)  $B(K, \delta) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N : |1 - \omega^{rx}| \leq \delta \forall r \in K\}$  (chord-length definition).

**Definition.** Let  $G$  be an abelian group and let  $A, B$  be subsets of  $G$ . Then write  $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$  and the obvious definition for  $A - B$ . We also write  $rA = \{a_1 + \dots + a_r : a_1, \dots, a_r \in A\}$  (note this might be different than what you think this notation should mean).

**Lemma.** (7)

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_N$  be a set of density  $\alpha$ . Then  $2A - 2A$  contains a Bohr set  $B(K, 1/4)$  (arc) with  $|K| \leq \alpha^{-2}$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $x \in 2A - 2A$  iff  $A * A * (-A) * (-A)(x) \neq 0$  (this makes more sense if we write it as  $\mathbb{E}_{a+b-c-d=x} A(a)A(b)A(c)A(d) \neq 0$ , i.e. we are basically just counting the number of ways  $x$  can be written as  $a + b - c - d$  where  $a, b, c, d \in A$ .)

But

$$\begin{aligned} A * A * (-A) * (-A)(x) &= \sum_r A * A * \widehat{(-A)} * (-A)(r) \omega^{rx} \text{ inversion formula} \\ &= \sum_r |\hat{A}(r)|^4 \omega^{rx} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $K = \{r : |\hat{A}(r)| \geq \alpha^{3/2}\}$ . Then  $\alpha = \|\hat{A}\|_2^2 = \sum_r |\hat{A}(r)|^2 \geq \alpha^3 |K|$ . So  $|K| \leq \alpha^{-2}$ .

Now suppose that  $x \in B(K, 1/4)$ . Then

$$\sum_r |\hat{A}(r)|^4 \omega^{rx} = \alpha^4 + \sum_{r \in K, r \neq 0} |\hat{A}(r)|^4 \omega^{rx} + \sum_{r \notin K} |\hat{A}(r)|^4 \omega^{rx}$$

The real part of the second term is non-negative since  $rx \in [-N/4, N/4]$  when  $r \in K$ .

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{r \notin K} |\hat{A}(r)|^4 \omega^{rx} \right| &\leq \sum_{r \notin K} |\hat{A}(r)|^4 \\ &< \alpha^3 \sum_{r \notin K} |\hat{A}(r)|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha^4 \end{aligned}$$

So it follows that the real part of  $\sum_r |\hat{A}(r)|^4 \omega^{rx} > 0$ , i.e. it is non-zero. So  $x \in 2A - 2A$ .  $\square$

**Lemma.** (8)

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{Z}_N$  and let  $\delta > 0$ . Then:

- (i)  $B(K, \delta)$  (arc) has density at least  $\delta^{|K|}$ ;
- (ii)  $B(K, \delta)$  contains a mod- $N$  arithmetic progression of length at least  $\delta N^{1/|K|}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $K = \{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$ . Consider the  $N$   $k$ -tuples  $(r_1 x, \dots, r_k x) \in \mathbb{Z}_N^k$ . If we intersect this set of  $k$ -tuples with a random 'box'  $[t_1, t_1 + \delta N] \times \dots \times [t_k, t_k + \delta N]$

(here we are thinking  $t_i$  as real numbers), then the expected number of the  $k$ -tuples in the box is  $\delta^k N$  (since each one has a probability  $\delta^k$ ).

But if  $(r_1x, \dots, r_kx)$  and  $(r_1y, \dots, r_ky)$  belong to this box, then  $x - y \in B(K, \delta)$ .

(ii) If we take  $\eta > N^{-1/k}$ , then by (i) we get that  $|B(K, \eta)| > 1$ , therefore at least 2. So  $\exists x \in B(K, \eta)$  s.t.  $x \neq 0$ . But then  $dx \in B(K, d\eta)$  for every  $d$ .

So if  $d\eta \leq \delta$  then  $dx \in B(K, \delta)$ . That gives us an AP of length at least  $\frac{\delta}{\eta}$ . So we get one of length at least  $\delta N^{1/k}$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** Let  $A, b$  be subsets of Abelian groups and let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ . Then  $\phi$  is a *Freiman homomorphism of order  $k$*  if

$$a_1 + \dots + a_k = a_{k+1} + \dots + a_{2k} \implies \phi(a_1) + \dots + \phi(a_k) = \phi(a_{k+1}) + \dots + \phi(a_{2k})$$

If  $k = 2$ , we call this just a *Freiman homomorphism*. In that case, the condition is equivalent to  $a - b = c - d \implies \phi(a) - \phi(b) = \phi(c) - \phi(d)$ .

If  $\phi$  has an inverse which is also a F-homomorphism of order  $k$ , then  $\phi$  is a *F-isomorphism* of order  $k$ .

**Lemma.** (9)

Assume  $0 \notin K$ , and  $N$  is prime. If  $\delta < 1/4$ , then  $B(K, \delta)$  (arc) is Freiman isomorphic to the intersection in  $\mathbb{R}^{|K|}$  of  $[-\delta N, \delta N]^{|K|}$  with some lattice  $\Lambda$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K = \{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$ , and let  $\Lambda = N\mathbb{Z}^k + \{(r_1x, \dots, r_kx) : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Write  $\mathbf{r}$  for  $(r_1, \dots, r_k)$ . Claim that  $B(K, \delta) \cong \Lambda \cap [-\delta N, \delta N]^k$ .

Define a map  $\phi : B(K, \delta) \rightarrow \Lambda \cap [-\delta N, \delta N]^k$  by sending  $x$  to  $(\langle r_1x \rangle, \dots, \langle r_kx \rangle)$  where  $\langle u \rangle$  means the least-modulus residue  $u \bmod N$ .

If  $x + y = z + w$ , then  $\mathbf{r}x + \mathbf{r}y = \mathbf{r}z + \mathbf{r}w$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_N^k$ . But for each  $i$ ,  $\langle r_ix \rangle + \langle r_iy \rangle - \langle r_iz \rangle - \langle r_iw \rangle \in [-4\delta N, 4\delta N]$ . Since  $\delta < 1/4$ , that implies that  $\langle r_ix \rangle + \langle r_iy \rangle - \langle r_iz \rangle - \langle r_iw \rangle = 0$ . So  $\langle \mathbf{r}x \rangle + \langle \mathbf{r}y \rangle = \langle \mathbf{r}z \rangle + \langle \mathbf{r}w \rangle$ .

That already implies that  $\phi$  is an injection.

If  $\mathbf{r}x + \mathbf{a}N \in [-\delta N, \delta N]^k$ , then  $r_ix \in [-\delta N, \delta N] \bmod N$  for each  $i$ . So  $x \in B(K, \delta)$  and  $\phi(x) = \mathbf{r}x + \mathbf{a}N$ . So  $\phi$  is a surjection as well.

If  $\mathbf{r}x + \mathbf{a}N + \mathbf{r}y + \mathbf{b}N = \mathbf{r}z + \mathbf{c}N + \mathbf{r}w + \mathbf{d}N$ , then  $r_1(x + y) = r_1(z + w) \bmod N$ , so  $x + y = z + w \bmod N$ . So the inverse of  $\phi$  is also a Freiman homomorphism.  $\square$

**Lemma.** (10)

Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice and  $C$  be a symmetric convex body, both in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Then  $|\Lambda \cap C| \leq 5^k |\Lambda \cap \frac{C}{2}|$ .

*Proof.* let  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  be a maximal subset of  $\Lambda \cap C$  such that for all  $i \neq j$ ,  $x_j \notin x_i + \frac{C}{2}$ . Then by maximality, the sets  $x_i + \frac{C}{2}$  over(are?) all of  $\Lambda \cap C$ . Also, the sets  $x_i + \frac{C}{4}$  are disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , and they are all contained in  $C + \frac{C}{4} = \frac{5}{4}C$ . So  $m \leq \frac{\text{vol}(\frac{5}{4}C)}{\text{vol}(\frac{1}{4}C)} = 5^k$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** (11)

If  $N$  is prime,  $0 \notin K$ ,  $|K| = k$ ,  $\delta < 1/4$ , then  $|B(K, \delta)| \leq 5^k |B(K, \frac{\delta}{2})|$ .

## 2 Sumsets and their structure

It's to be shown that  $|A + A| \leq K|A| \implies |rA - sA| \leq K^{r+s}|A|$  (Ruzsa).

**Lemma.** (1, Petridis)

Let  $A_0, B$  be finite subsets of an Abelian group such that  $|A_0 + B| \leq K_0|A_0|$ . Then there exist a non-empty subset  $A \subset A_0$  and  $K \leq K_0$  s.t.  $|A + B + C| \leq K|A + C|$  for every finite subset  $C$  of the group.

*Proof.* Let  $A$  minimize the ratio  $\frac{|A+B|}{|A|}$ , and let the minimal ratio be  $K$ .

Claim: this works. We prove this by induction on  $C$ .

If  $C = \phi$ , then the result holds. Now assume it for  $C$  and let  $x \notin C$ . Then  $A + (C \cup \{x\}) = (A + C) \cup [(A + x) \setminus (A' + x)]$  where  $A' = \{a \in A : a + x \in A + C\}$ . This is a disjoint union, so  $|A + (C \cup \{x\})| = |A + C| + |A| - |A'|$ ,  $A + B + (C \cup \{x\}) = (A + B + C) \cup ((A + B + x) \setminus (A' + B + x))$ , since if  $a + x \in A + C$  then  $a + B + x \in A + B + C$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} |A + B + (C \cup \{x\})| &\leq |A + B + C| + |A + B| - |A' + B| \\ &\leq K|A + C| + K|A| - K|A'| \end{aligned}$$

by induction and minimality property of  $A$ . □