## Applied Probability

January 19, 2018

C0	ONTENTS	2	
C	Contents		
0	Miscellaneous	3	
1	Poisson process	4	

3

## 0 Miscellaneous

Some speech

Google lecture's name to find his homepage and example sheets or probably some notice of a change of room

4

## 1 Poisson process

Suppose we have a Geiger counter. We model the "click process" as a family  $\{N(t):t\geq 0\}$ , where N(t) denotes the total number of ticks up to time t. Now note that  $N(t)\in\{0,1,\ldots\},\ N(s)\leq N(t)$  if  $s\leq t,\ N$  increases by unit jumps, and N(0)=0. We also assert that N is right-continuous, i.e.  $\lim_{x\to t^+}N(x)=N(t)$ .

**Definition.** (infinitesimal definition)

A Poisson process with intensity  $\lambda$  is a process  $N = (N(t) : t \ge 0)$  which takes values in  $S = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ , s.t.:

(a) 
$$N(0) = 0$$
,  $N(s) \le N(t)$  if  $s \le t$ ;  
(b)

$$\mathbb{P}(N(t+h)=n+m|N(t)=n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda h + o(h) & m=1 \\ o(h) & m>1 \\ 1-\lambda h & m=0 \end{array} \right.$$

Recall that g(h) = o(h) means that  $\frac{g(h)}{h} \to 0$  as  $h \to 0$ ; (c) if s < t, then N(t) - N(s) is independent of all arrivals prior to s.

**Theorem.** N(t) has the Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda t$ .

*Proof.* Study N(t+h) given N(t). We have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(N(t+h) = j) &= \sum_{i \leq j} \mathbb{P}(N(t+h)) \\ &= j | N(t) = i) \mathbb{P}(N(t) = i) \\ &= (1 - \lambda h) \mathbb{P}(N(t) = j) + \lambda h \mathbb{P}(N(t) = h - 1) + o(h) \end{split}$$

So

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(N(t+h)=j) - \mathbb{P}(N(t)=j)}{h} = -\lambda \mathbb{P}(N(t)=j) + \lambda \mathbb{P}(N(t)=j-1) + \frac{o(h)}{h}$$

write  $p_n(t) = \mathbb{P}(N(t) = n)$ , then let  $h \to 0^+$  we get

$$p'j(t) = -\lambda p_j(t) + \lambda p_{j-1}(t)j \ge 1$$
$$p'0_i(t) = -\lambda p_0(t)$$

with boundary condition  $p_0(0) = 1$ .

We solve  $p_0$  to get  $p_0(t) = e^{-\lambda(t)}$ . Then we can use this to inductively solve  $p_1, p_2, ...$  to get the desired result.

An alternative derivation from the differential equations:

Let  $G(s,t) = \sum_j s^j p_j(t)$ . Now we take the set of differential equation, multiplying each one by  $s^j$ , then we get

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} = \lambda(s-1)G$$

Then we have

$$G(s,t) = A(s)e^{\lambda(s-1)t}$$

We also have G(s,0) = 1 so we should be able to plug in a suitable value of s to get the desired result (I probably missed that).