Category Theory

October 14, 2018

C	ONTENTS	2
Contents		
0	Introduction	3
1	Definitions and examples	4
2	The Yoneda Lemma	10

3

0 Introduction

I didn't go to the first 3 lectures, so no intro – sorry. I have no idea on what this course is about, let's see

1 Definitions and examples

Definition. (1.1)

A category C consists of:

- (a) a collection ob \mathcal{C} of objects A, B, C;
- (b) a collection mor C of morphisms f, g, h;
- (c) two operations domain, codomain assigning to each $f \in \text{mor } \mathcal{C}$ a pair of objects, its *domain* and *codomain*; we write $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ to mean f is a morphism and dom f = A, cod f = B;
- (d) an operation assigning to each $A \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}$ a morphism $A \xrightarrow{1_A} A$;
- (e) a partial binary operation $(f,g) \to fg$ on morphisms, such that fg is defined iff dom f = cod g, and dom(fg) = dom g, cod(fg) = cod(f) if fg is defined, satisfying:
- (f) $f1_A = f = 1_B f$ for any $A \xrightarrow{f} B$;
- (g) (fg)h = f(gh) whenever fg and gh are defined.

Remark. (1.2)

- (a) This definition is independent of any model of set theory. If we're given a particular model of set theory, we call \mathcal{C} small if ob \mathcal{C} and mor \mathcal{C} are sets.
- (b) Some texts say fg means f followed by g, i.e. fg is defined iff $\operatorname{cod} f = \operatorname{dom} g$.
- (c) Note that a morphism f is an identity iff fg = g and hf = h whenever the composites are defined. So we could formulate the definition entirely in terms of morphisms.

Example. (1.3)

(a) The category **Set** has all sets as objects, and all functions between sets as morphisms.

Strictly speaking, morphisms $A \to B$ are pairs (f, B) where f is a set-theoretic function. (?)

(b) The category \mathbf{Gp} has all groups as objects, group homomorphisms as morphisms.

Similarly, **Ring** is the category of rings, $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the category of R-modules.

(c) The category **Top** has all topological spaces as objects, and continuous functions as morphisms.

Similarly, **Unif** has all uniform spaces and uniformly continuous functions as morphisms, **Mf** has all manifolds and smooth maps correspondingly.

- (d) The category **Htpy** has the same objects as **Top**, but morphisms are homotopy classess of continuous functions. More generally, given \mathcal{C} , we call an equivalence relation \simeq on mor \mathcal{C} a congruence if $f \simeq g \implies \text{dom } f = \text{dom } g$ and cod f = cod g, and $f \simeq g \implies fh \simeq gh$ and $kf \simeq kg$ whenever the composites are defined. Then we have a category \mathcal{C}/\simeq with the same objects as \mathcal{C} , but congruence classes as morphisms instead.
- (e) Given \mathcal{C} , the *opposite category* C^{op} has the same objects and morphisms as \mathcal{C} , but dom and cod are interchanged, and fg in \mathcal{C}^{op} is gf in \mathcal{C} .

This leads to the duality principle: if P is a true statement about categories, so is the statement P^* obtained from P by reversing all arrows.

(f) A small category with one object is a *monoid*, i.e. a semigroup with 1. In particular, a group is a small cat (\boxtimes) with one object in which every morphism is an isomorphism (i.e. for all $f, \exists g$ s.t. fg and gf are identities).

- (g) A groupoid is a category in which every morphism is an isomorphism. For example, for a topological space X, the fundamental groupoid $\pi(x)$ has all points of X as objects, and morphisms $x \to y$ are homotopy classes $rel\{0,1\}$ of paths $u:[0,1] \to X$ with u(0)=x, u(1)=y (if you know how to prove that the fundamental group is a group, you can prove that $\pi(x)$ is a groupoid).
- (h) A discrete cat is one whose only morphism are identities.

A preorder is a cat C in which, for any pair (A, B), \exists at most 1 morphism $A \to B$.

A small preorder is a set equipped with a binary relation which is reflexive and transitive.

In particular, a partially ordered set is a small preorder in which the only isomorphisms are identities.

(i) The category **Rel** has the same objects as *set*, but morphisms $A \to B$ are arbitrary relations $R \subseteq A \times B$. Given R and $S \subseteq B \times C$, we define $S \cdot R = \{(a,c) \in A \times C | (\exists b \in B)((a,b) \in R, (b,c) \in S)\}.$

The identity $1_A: A \to A$ is $\{(a, a) | a \in A\}$.

Similarly, the category **Part** are for sets and partial functions (i.e. relations s.t. $(a,b) \in R$ and $(a,b') \in R \implies b=b'$).

- (j) Let K be a field. The cateogry $\mathbf{Mat}_{\mathbf{K}}$ has natural numbers as objects, and morphism $n \to p$ are $(p \times n)$ matrices with entries from K. Composition is matrix multiplication.
- (k) We write **Cat** for the category whose objects are all small categories, and whose morphisms are functors between them. (see below for definition of functors)

Definition. (1.4)

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories. A functor $F:\mathcal{C}\to\mathcal{D}$ consists of:

- (a) a mapping $A \to FA$ from ob \mathcal{C} to ob \mathcal{D} ;
- (b) a mapping $f \to Ff$ from mor \mathcal{C} to mor \mathcal{D} ,

such that dom(Ff) = F(dom f), cod(Ff) = F(cod f), $1_{FA} = F(1_A)$, and (Ff)(Fg) = F(fg) whenever fg is defined.

Example. (1.5)

- (a) We have forgetful functors $U: \mathbf{Gp} \to \mathbf{Set}$, $\mathbf{Ring} \to \mathbf{Set}$, $\mathbf{Top} \to \mathbf{Set}$, $\mathbf{Ring} \to \mathbf{AbGp}$ (forget \times), $\mathbf{Ring} \to \mathbf{Mon}$ (Category of all monoids) (forget +).
- (b) Given a set A, the free group FA has the property:

Given any group G and any function $A \xrightarrow{f} UG$ (?), there's a unique homomorphism $FA \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} G$ extending f. Here F is a functor $\mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Gp}$: given $A \xrightarrow{f} B$, we define Ff to be the unique homomorphism extending $A \xrightarrow{f} B \leftrightarrow UFB$. Functorality follows from uniqueness given $B \xrightarrow{f} C$. F(gf) and (Fg)(Ff) are both homomorphisms extending $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \to UFC$.

(c) Given a set A, we write PA for the set of all subsets of A.

We can make P into a functor $\mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Set}$, given $A \xrightarrow{f} B$, we defined $Pf(A') = \{f(a) | a \in A'\}$ for $A' \subseteq A$.

But we also have a functor $P^*: \mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Set}^{op}$ defined on objects by P, but $P^*f(B') = \{a \in A | f(a) \in B'\}$ for $B' \subseteq B$.

By a contravariant functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$, we mean a functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}^{op}$ (or $\mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathcal{D}$). A covariant functor is one that doesn't reverse arrows (in op I guess?).

- (d) Let K be a field. We have a functor $*: \mathbf{Mod_K} \to \mathbf{Mod_K}^{op}$ defined by $V^* = \{ \text{ linear maps } V \to K \}$, and if $V \xrightarrow{f} W$, $f^*(\theta : W \to K) = \theta f$.
- (e) We have a functor $op : \mathbf{Cat} \to \mathbf{Cat}$, which is the identity on morphisms (note that this is a covariant).
- (f) A functor between monoids is a monoid homomorphism.
- (g) A functor between posets is an order-preserving map.
- (h) Let G be a group. A functor $F \circ G \to \mathbf{Set}$ consists of a set A = F* together with an action of G on A, i.e. a permutation representation of G.

Similarly, a functor $G \to \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a K-linear representation of G.

(i) The construction of the fundamental group $\pi(X, X)$ of a space X with basepoint X is a functor $\mathbf{Top}* \to \mathbf{Gp}$ where $\mathbf{Top}*$ is the category of spaces with a chosen basepoint.

Similarly, the fundamental groupoid is a functor $\mathbf{Top} \to \mathbf{Gpd}$, where \mathbf{Gpd} is the category of groupoids and functors between them.

Definition. (1.6)

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories and $F,G:\mathcal{C} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ (why two arrows?) two functors. A natural transformation $\alpha:F\to G$ consists of an assignment $A\to \alpha_A$ from ob C to mor \mathcal{D} , such that $\mathrm{dom}_{\alpha_A}=FA$ and $\mathrm{cod}_{\alpha_A}=GA$ for all A, and for all $A\xrightarrow{f} B$ in \mathcal{C} , the square

$$FA \xrightarrow{Ff} FB$$

$$\downarrow \alpha_A \qquad \downarrow \alpha_B$$

$$GA \xrightarrow{Gf} GB$$

commutes (i.e. $\alpha_B(Ff) = (Gf)_{\alpha A}$).

(1.3) (l) Given categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} , we write $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}]$ for the category whose objects are functors $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ and whose morphisms are natural transformations.

Example. (1.7)

(a) Let K be a field, V a vector space over K. There is a linear map $\alpha_V : V \to V^{**}$ given by $\alpha_V(v)\theta = \theta(v)$ for $\theta \in V^*$.

This is the V-component of a natural transformation $1_{\mathbf{Mod_K}} \to ** : \mathbf{Mod_K} \to \mathbf{Mod_K}$.

- (b) For any set A, we have a mapping $\sigma_A : A \to PA$ sending a to $\{a\}$. If $f : A \to B$, then $Pf\{a\} = \{f(a)\}$. So σ is a natural transformation $1_{\mathbf{Set}} \to P$.
- (c) Let $F:\mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Gp}$ be the free group functor (1.5(b)), and $U:\mathbf{Gp} \to \mathbf{Set}$ the forgetful functor. The inclusions $A \to UFA$ form a natural transformation $1_{\mathbf{Set}} \to UF$.
- (d) Let G, H be groups and $f, g : G \Rightarrow H$ be two homomorphisms. A natural transformation $\alpha : f \to g$ corresponds to an element $h = \alpha_*$ of H, s.t. $hf(x) \to g(x)h$ for all $x \in G$ or equivalently $f(x) = h^{-1}g(x)h$, i.e. f and g are conjugate group homomorphisms.
- (e) Let A and B be two G-sets, regarded as functors: $G \rightrightarrows \mathbf{Set}$. A natural transformation $A \to B$ is a function f satisfying $f(g \cdot a) = g \cdot f(a)$ for all $a \in A$, i.e. a G-equivariant map.

Lemma. (1.8)

Let $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ be two functors, and $\alpha : F \to G$ a natural transformation. Then α is an isomorphism in $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}]$ iff each α_A is an isomorphism in \mathcal{D} .

Proof. Forward is trivial (ok, I'll check this later). For backward, suppose each α_A has an inverse β_A . Given $f: A \to B$ in \mathcal{C} , we need to show that

$$GA \xrightarrow{Gf} GB$$

$$\downarrow \beta_A \qquad \downarrow \beta_B$$

$$FA \xrightarrow{Ff} FB$$

commutes. But as α is natural,

$$(Ff)\beta_A = \beta_B \alpha_B(Ff)\beta_A = \beta_B(Gf)\alpha_A \beta_A = \beta_B(Gf)$$

Definition. (1.9)

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories. By an *equivalence* between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} , we mean a pair of functors $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$, $G: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ together with natural isomorphisms $\alpha: 1_{\mathcal{C}} \to GF$ and $\beta: FG \to 1_{\mathcal{D}}$.

We write $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{D}$ if \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are equivalent.

We say a property P of categories is a *categorical property* if whenever C has P and $C \cong D$, then D has P.

For example, being a groupoid or a preorder are categorical properties, but being a group or a partial order are not.

Example. (1.10)

- (a) The category **Part** is equivalent to the category **Set*** of pointed sets (and basepoint preserving functions):
- We define $F : \mathbf{Set}_* \to \mathbf{Part}$ by $F(A, a) = A \setminus \{a\}$, and if $f : (A, a) \to (B, b)$, then Ff(x) = f(x) if $f(x) \neq b$, and undefined otherwise;
- and $G: \mathbf{Part} \to \mathbf{Set}_*$ by $G(A) = A^+ = A \cup \{A\}$, and if $f: A \to B$ is a partial function, we define $Gf: A^+ \to B^+$ by Gf(x) = f(x) if $x \in A$ and f(x) defined, and equals B otherwise.

The composite FG is the identity on **Part**, but GF is not the identity. However, there is an isomorphism $(A, a) \to ((A \setminus \{a\})^+, A \setminus \{a\})$ sending a to $A \setminus \{a\}$ and everything else to itself and this is natural.

Note that there can be no isomorphism from \mathbf{Set}_* to \mathbf{Part} , since \mathbf{Part} has a 1-element isomorphism class $\{\phi\}$ but \mathbf{Set}_* doesn't.

- (b) The category $\mathbf{fdMod_K}$ of finite-dimensional vector spaces over K is equivalent to $\mathbf{fdMod_K}^{op}$, the functors in both directions are $(-1)^*$ (???) and both isomorphisms are the natural transformations of 1.7(a).
- (c) $\mathbf{fdMod}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is also equivalent to Mat_{K} (1.3(j)).

We define $F: \mathbf{Mat}_{\mathbf{K}} \to \mathbf{fdMod}_{\mathbf{K}}$ by $F(n) = K^n$, and F(A) is the linear map represented by A w.r.t. the standard bases of K^n and K^p .

To define $G: \mathbf{fdMod_K} \to \mathbf{Mat_K}$, choose a basis for each finite dimensional vector space, and define $G(V) = \dim V$, $G(V \xrightarrow{f} W)$ to be the matrix representing f w.r.t. chosen bases. GF is the identity, provided we choose the standard bases for the spaces K^n ; $FG \neq 1$, but the chosen bases give isomorphisms $FG(V) = K^{\dim V} \to V$ for each V, which form a natural isomorphism.

—Lecture 4—

Definition. (1.11)

Let $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

- (a) We say F is faithful if, given $f, f' \in \text{mor } \mathcal{C}$ with dom f = dom f', cod f = cod f', and Ff = Ff', then f = f' (injectivity on morphisms. The name comes more from representation theory);
- (b) We say F is full if, given $FA \xrightarrow{g} FB$ in \mathcal{D} , ther exists $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in \mathcal{C} with Ff = g. (this is something like surjective, but see below);
- (c) We say F is essentially surjective if, forevery $B \in \text{ob } \mathcal{D}$, there exists $A \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}$ and isomorphism $FA \to B$ in \mathcal{D} .

We say a subcategory $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is full if the inclusion $\mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{C}$ is a full functor. For example, \mathbf{Gp} is a full subcategory of \mathbf{Mon} (the category of all monoids), but \mathbf{Mon} is not a full subcategory of the category \mathbf{SGp} of semigroups.

Lemma. (1.12)

Assuming the axiom of choice, a functor $F \cdot \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is part of an equivalence $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{D}$ (see (1.9) for what an equivalence is. I think here it means one of the functors F, G) if it's full, faithful, and essentially surjective.

Proof. \Rightarrow : Suppose given G, α, β as in (1.9). Then for each $B \in \text{ob } \mathcal{D}$, β_B is an isomorphism $FGB \to B$, so F is essentially surjective.

Given $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in C, we can recover f from Ff as composite $A \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} GFA \xrightarrow{GFf} GFB \xrightarrow{\alpha_b^{-1}} B$. Hence if $A \xrightarrow{f'} B$ satisfies Ff = Ff', then f = f'. So F is faithful:

Lastly, for fullness, given $FA \xrightarrow{g} FB$, define f to be the composite $A \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} GFA \xrightarrow{Gg} GFB \xrightarrow{\alpha_B^{-1}} B$. Then $GFf = \alpha_B f \alpha_A^{-1}$, which by construction is just Gg. But G is faithful for the same reason as f, so Ff = g.

 \Leftarrow : (need to find suitable G, α, β for F.) For each $B \in \text{ob } \mathcal{D}$, choose $GB \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}$ and an isomorphism $\beta_B : FGB \to B$ in \mathcal{D} . Given $B \xrightarrow{g} B'$, define $Gg : GB \to GB'$ to be the unique morphism whose image under F is $FGB \xrightarrow{\beta_B} B \xrightarrow{g} B' \xrightarrow{\beta_{B'}^{-1}} FGB'$.

Uniqueness implies functoriality (check what this means – think it appeared somewhere before): given $B' \xrightarrow{g'} B''$, (Gg')(Gg) and G(g'g) have the same image under F, so they are equal.

By construction, β is a natural transformation $FG \to 1_{\mathcal{D}}$.

Given $A \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}$, define $\alpha_A : A \to GFA$ to be the unique morphism whose image under F is $FA \xrightarrow{\beta_{FA}^{-1}} FGFA$. α_A is an isomorphism, since β_{FA} also has a unique pre-image under F. And α is a natural transformation, since any naturality square for α (the commutative square when we defined natural transformation. check) is mapped by F to a commutative square, and F is faithful. \square

Definition. (1.13)

By a *skeleton* of a category, we mean a full subcategory C_0 containing one object from each isomorphism class. We say C is *skeletal* if it's a skeleton of itself. For example, $\mathbf{Mat_K}$ is a skeletal, and the image of $F: \mathbf{Mat_K} \to \mathbf{fdMod_K}$ of 1.10(c) is a skeleton of $\mathbf{fdMod_K}$.

(there are some examples on wikipedia)

Warning: almost any assertion about skeletons is equivalent to axiom of choice (see q2 on example sheet 1).

Definition. (1.14)

Let $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ be a morphism in C.

- (a) We say f is a monomorphism (or f is monic) if, given any pair $C \stackrel{g}{\underset{h}{\Longrightarrow}} A$, fg = fh implies g = h.
- (b) We say f is an *epimorphism* (or *epic*) if it's a monomorphism in C^{op} , i.e. if gf = hf implies g = h.

We denote monomorphisms by $A \stackrel{f}{\rightarrowtail} B$, and epimorphisms by $A \stackrel{f}{\twoheadrightarrow} B$.

Any isomorphism is monic and epic: more generally, if f has a left inverse (i.e. $\exists g$ s.t. gf is an identity), then it's monic. We call such monomorphisms split. We say C is a balanced category if any morphism which is both monic and epic is an isomorphism.

Example. (1.15)

- (a) As usual we consider **Set** first. In **Set**, monomorphisms correspond to injections (\Leftarrow is easy (ok); for \Rightarrow , take $C = 1 = \{*\}$), and epimorphisms correspond to surjections (\Leftarrow is easy; for \Rightarrow , use morphisms $B \Rightarrow 2 = \{0,1\}$). So **Set** is balanced.
- (b) In \mathbf{Gp} , monomorphisms again correspond to injections (for \Rightarrow use homomorphisms $\mathbb{Z} \to A$); epimorphisms again correspond to surjections (\Rightarrow use free products with amalgamation this is a non-trivial fact about groups, read more if free). So \mathbf{Gp} is also balanced.
- (c) In **Rng** (obvious notation), monomorphisms correspond to injections (proof is much like for **Gp**). However, not all epimorphisms are surjective. For example the inclusion $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ is an epimorphism, since if $\mathbb{Q} \stackrel{f}{\underset{g}{\Longrightarrow}} R$ agree on all integers, they agree everywhere. So **Rng** is not balanced.
- (d) One final example is **Top**. Again, monomorphisms are injections and epimorphisms are surjections (and vice versa): proof is similar to **Set** (check). However, **Top** is not balanced since a continuous bijection need not have continuous inverse.

2 The Yoneda Lemma

Let's not start on the content this lecture. Why are we talking about one single lemma in a chapter? Well it's not really a lemma. There's some story behind this, check here for an obituary which probably has the story that lecture was talking about in class.