



State Space Methods

Lecture 3: observability, observers, and observer based control

Jakob Stoustrup

jakob@es.aau.dk
www.es.aau.dk/~jakob/

Automation & Control

Department of Electronic Systems

Aalborg University

Denmark



Contents

- **Observability**
- The full order observer
- Observer design
- Observer based control



Observability (1)

A continuous time system

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t), \quad y(t) = Cx(t)$$

is said to be *observable* iff $y(t) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow x(t) \equiv 0$.

A discrete time system

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k), \quad y(k) = Cx(k)$$

is said to be *observable* iff $y(k) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow x(k) \equiv 0$.



Observability (2)

We consider the discrete time system

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k), \quad y(k) = Cx(k), \quad x(0) = x_0$$

and iterate:

$$x(0) = x_0 \qquad y(0) = Cx_0$$



Observability (2)

We consider the discrete time system

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k), \quad y(k) = Cx(k), \quad x(0) = x_0$$

and iterate:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x(0) &= x_0 \\ x(1) &= Ax(0) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} y(0) &= Cx_0 \end{array}$$



Observability (2)

We consider the discrete time system

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k), \quad y(k) = Cx(k), \quad x(0) = x_0$$

and iterate:

$$\begin{array}{llll} x(0) & = & x_0 & y(0) & = & Cx_0 \\ x(1) & = & Ax(0) & & & \end{array}$$



Observability (2)

We consider the discrete time system

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k), \quad y(k) = Cx(k), \quad x(0) = x_0$$

and iterate:

$$\begin{array}{llll} x(0) & = & x_0 & y(0) = Cx_0 \\ x(1) & = & Ax_0 & y(1) = CAx_0 \end{array}$$



Observability (2)

We consider the discrete time system

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k), \quad y(k) = Cx(k), \quad x(0) = x_0$$

and iterate:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x(0) &= x_0 & y(0) &= Cx_0 \\ x(1) &= Ax_0 & y(1) &= CAx_0 \\ x(2) &= Ax(1) \end{array}$$



Observability (2)

We consider the discrete time system

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k), \quad y(k) = Cx(k), \quad x(0) = x_0$$

and iterate:

$$\begin{array}{lll} x(0) & = & x_0 \\ x(1) & = & Ax_0 \\ x(2) & = & Ax(1) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{lll} y(0) & = & Cx_0 \\ y(1) & = & CAx_0 \end{array}$$



Observability (2)

We consider the discrete time system

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k), \quad y(k) = Cx(k), \quad x(0) = x_0$$

and iterate:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x(0) &= x_0 & y(0) &= Cx_0 \\ x(1) &= Ax_0 & y(1) &= CAx_0 \\ x(2) &= A^2x_0 & y(2) &= CA^2x_0 \end{array}$$



Observability (2)

We consider the discrete time system

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k), \quad y(k) = Cx(k), \quad x(0) = x_0$$

and iterate:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x(0) &= x_0 & y(0) &= Cx_0 \\ x(1) &= Ax_0 & y(1) &= CAx_0 \\ x(2) &= A^2x_0 & y(2) &= CA^2x_0 \\ &\vdots & & \end{array}$$

$$x(n-1) = Ax(n-2)$$



Observability (2)

We consider the discrete time system

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k), \quad y(k) = Cx(k), \quad x(0) = x_0$$

and iterate:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x(0) &= x_0 & y(0) &= Cx_0 \\ x(1) &= Ax_0 & y(1) &= CAx_0 \\ x(2) &= A^2x_0 & y(2) &= CA^2x_0 \\ &\vdots & & \end{array}$$

$$x(n-1) = Ax(n-2)$$



Observability (2)

We consider the discrete time system

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k), \quad y(k) = Cx(k), \quad x(0) = x_0$$

and iterate:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x(0) &= x_0 & y(0) &= Cx_0 \\ x(1) &= Ax_0 & y(1) &= CAx_0 \\ x(2) &= A^2x_0 & y(2) &= CA^2x_0 \\ &\vdots & & \\ x(n-1) &= A^{n-1}x_0 & y(n-1) &= CA^{n-1}x_0 \end{array}$$



Observability (3)

Writing the equations

$$y(k) = CA^k x_0, \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1$$

in matrix form we obtain:



Observability (3)

Writing the equations

$$y(k) = CA^k x_0, \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1$$

in matrix form we obtain:

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Observability matrix}} x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



Observability (3)

Writing the equations

$$y(k) = CA^k x_0, \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1$$

in matrix form we obtain:

$$\begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

When is this equation solvable for some $x_0 \neq 0$?



Observability (4)

THEOREM. A system


continuous time	discrete time
$\Sigma : \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) \\ y(t) = Cx(t) \end{cases}$	$\Sigma : \begin{cases} x(k+1) = Ax(k) \\ y(k) = Cx(k) \end{cases}$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$, is observable if and only if

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{O} = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = n$$



Example: series connection (1)



State and output equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \dot{x}_1 & = & -x_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 & = & -x_2 + x_1 \\ y & = & x_1 \end{array} \right\}$$

State space model:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$



Example: series connection (2)

For the state space matrices:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

the observability matrix \mathcal{O} becomes:

$$\mathcal{O} = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



Example: series connection (2)

For the state space matrices:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$


the observability matrix \mathcal{O} becomes:

$$\mathcal{O} = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\det \mathcal{O} = 0 \implies$ system is unobservable.



Example: series connection (3)



State and output equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \dot{x}_1 & = & -x_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 & = & -x_2 + x_1 \\ y & = & x_2 \end{array} \right\}$$

State space model:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$



Example: series connection (4)

For the state space matrices:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

the observability matrix \mathcal{O} becomes:

$$\mathcal{O} = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$



Example: series connection (4)

For the state space matrices:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

the observability matrix \mathcal{O} becomes:

$$\mathcal{O} = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\det \mathcal{O} = -1 \neq 0 \implies$ system is observable.




Contents

- Observability
- **The full order observer**
- Observer design
- Observer based control




The full order observer (1)






The full order observer (1)





The full order observer (1)





The full order observer (2)

System:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= Cx\end{aligned}$$

Observer:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\hat{x}} &= A\hat{x} + Bu + L(C\hat{x} - y) \\ \hat{y} &= C\hat{x}\end{aligned}$$



The full order observer (2)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{System:} \quad \dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= Cx\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Observer:} \quad \dot{\hat{x}} &= A\hat{x} + Bu + L(C\hat{x} - y) \\ \hat{y} &= C\hat{x}\end{aligned}$$

Error, $e = \hat{x} - x$:

$$\dot{e} = \dot{\hat{x}} - \dot{x} = A\hat{x} + Bu + L(C\hat{x} - y) - (Ax + Bu)$$



The full order observer (2)

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{System:} & \dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ & y &= Cx \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{Observer:} & \dot{\hat{x}} &= A\hat{x} + Bu + L(C\hat{x} - y) \\ & \hat{y} &= C\hat{x} \end{array}$$

Error, $e = \hat{x} - x$:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{e} &= \dot{\hat{x}} - \dot{x} = A\hat{x} + Bu + L(C\hat{x} - y) - (Ax + Bu) \\ &= A(\hat{x} - x) + L(C\hat{x} - Cx) \end{aligned}$$



The full order observer (2)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{System:} \quad \dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= Cx\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Observer:} \quad \dot{\hat{x}} &= A\hat{x} + Bu + L(C\hat{x} - y) \\ \hat{y} &= C\hat{x}\end{aligned}$$

Error, $e = \hat{x} - x$:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{e} &= \dot{\hat{x}} - \dot{x} = A\hat{x} + Bu + L(C\hat{x} - y) - (Ax + Bu) \\ &= A(\hat{x} - x) + L(C\hat{x} - Cx) \\ &= (A + LC)(\hat{x} - x) = (A + LC)e\end{aligned}$$



The full order observer (3)

THEOREM. A full order observer for the system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= Cx\end{aligned}$$

with observer gain L is stable, if and only if the eigenvalues of the matrix $A + LC$ all have negative real part.

Moreover, such an L always exists, if (A, C) is observable.



Observable canonical form (1)

Any observable *single output* system can be written in the form:

$$\dot{x}_o = A_o x_o, \quad y = C_o x_o, \quad x_o \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}$$

where

$$A_o = \left(a \left| \begin{array}{c} I_{n-1} \\ 0_{1 \times (n-1)} \end{array} \right. \right), \quad C_o = \left(1 \mid 0_{1 \times (n-1)} \right)$$

and where $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$, $a^T = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \end{pmatrix}$. It can be shown that

$$\det(\lambda I - A_o) = \lambda^n - a_1 \lambda^{n-1} - \dots - a_n$$



Observable canonical form (2)

For $n = 3$ the observable canonical form becomes:

$$A_o = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} a_1 & 1 & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline a_3 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad C_o = \left(1 \mid 0 \quad 0 \right)$$

which is indeed observable:

$$\mathcal{O}_o = \begin{pmatrix} C_o \\ C_o A_o \\ C_o A_o^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_1 & 1 & 0 \\ a_1^2 + a_2 & a_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\det(\mathcal{O}) = 1 \neq 0 \implies$ **system is observable.**



Observable canonical form (3)

Consider a system:

$$\dot{x} = Ax, \quad y = Cx, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}$$

For $n = 3$, the observable canonical form for this system can be found through the following procedure:

1. Compute $t_3 = \mathcal{O}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ where $\mathcal{O} = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \\ CA^2 \end{pmatrix}$



Observable canonical form (3)

1. Compute $t_3 = \mathcal{O}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ where $\mathcal{O} = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \\ CA^2 \end{pmatrix}$
2. Compute $t_2 = At_3$, $t_1 = At_2$.



Observable canonical form (3)

1. Compute $t_3 = \mathcal{O}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ where $\mathcal{O} = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \\ CA^2 \end{pmatrix}$
2. Compute $t_2 = At_3$, $t_1 = At_2$.
3. Define $T = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 \end{pmatrix}$



Observable canonical form (3)

1. Compute $t_3 = \mathcal{O}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ where $\mathcal{O} = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \\ CA^2 \end{pmatrix}$
2. Compute $t_2 = At_3$, $t_1 = At_2$.
3. Define $T = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 \end{pmatrix}$
4. The state space matrices for the observable canonical form are now given by $A_o = T^{-1}AT$, and $C_o = CT$.



Example: observable can. form (1)

We consider the system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 4 & -5 \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} u \\ y &= \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} x\end{aligned}$$

having the observability matrix

$$\mathcal{O} = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det(\mathcal{O}) = -1 \neq 0$$



Example: observable can. form (2)

We compute the columns of T by

$$t_2 = \mathcal{O}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$t_1 = At_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 4 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ -7 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \implies T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \\ -7 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$



Example: observable can. form (3)

Eventually, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_o = T^{-1}AT &= \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \\ -7 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 4 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and



Example: observable can. form (3)

Eventually, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_o = T^{-1}AT &= \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \\ -7 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 4 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -3 & 2 & 1 \\ -7 & 5 & 0 \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and



Example: observable can. form (3)

Eventually, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_o &= T^{-1}AT = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \\ -7 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 4 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -3 & 2 & 1 \\ -7 & 5 & 0 \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$C_o = CT = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



Example: observable can. form (3)

Eventually, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_o &= T^{-1}AT = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \\ -7 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 4 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -3 & 2 & 1 \\ -7 & 5 & 0 \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$C_o = CT = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$



Example: observable can. form (3)

Eventually, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_o &= T^{-1}AT = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \\ -7 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 4 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -3 & 2 & 1 \\ -7 & 5 & 0 \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \det(\lambda I - A) = \lambda^2 + 3\lambda + 2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$C_o = CT = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$



Example: observable can. form (3)

Eventually, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_o &= T^{-1}AT = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \\ -7 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 4 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -3 & 2 & 1 \\ -7 & 5 & 0 \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \det(\lambda I - A) = (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$C_o = CT = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$



Contents

- Observability
- The full order observer
- **Observer design**
- Observer based control



Observer gain design (1)

For a single output system in observable canonical form, an observer state matrix takes a particular simple form:

$$A_o = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} a_1 & 1 & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline a_3 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad C_o = \left(1 \mid 0 \quad 0 \right)$$

Applying the observer gain

$$L_o = \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 \\ \ell_2 \\ \ell_3 \end{pmatrix}$$



Observer gain design (2)

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} A_o + L_o C_o &= \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} a_1 & 1 & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline a_3 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) + \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 \\ \ell_2 \\ \ell_3 \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} a_1 + \ell_1 & 1 & 0 \\ a_2 + \ell_2 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline a_3 + \ell_3 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$



Observer gain design (3)

Thus, the characteristic polynomial has been changed from

$$\det(\lambda I - A_o) = \lambda^n - a_1\lambda^{n-1} - \dots - a_n$$

to

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\lambda I - (A_o + L_o C_o)) = \\ \lambda^n - (a_1 + \ell_1)\lambda^{n-1} - \dots - (a_n + \ell_n) \end{aligned}$$

By choosing ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_n appropriately, *any* observer pole configuration can be obtained. This is known as *observer pole assignment*.



Observer pole assignment

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$ be given.

1. Choose desired observer polynomial

$$\det(\lambda I - (A + LC)) = \lambda^n + a_{\text{obs},1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_{\text{obs},n}.$$



Observer pole assignment

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$ be given.

1. Choose desired observer polynomial

$$\det(\lambda I - (A + LC)) = \lambda^n + a_{\text{obs},1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_{\text{obs},n}.$$

2. Determine T , such that $A_o = T^{-1}AT$ and $C_o = CT$ are in observable canonical form.



Observer pole assignment

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$ be given.

1. Choose desired observer polynomial

$$\det(\lambda I - (A + LC)) = \lambda^n + a_{\text{obs},1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_{\text{obs},n}.$$

2. Determine T , such that $A_o = T^{-1}AT$ and $C_o = CT$ are in observable canonical form.

3. Determine open loop polynomial

$$\det(\lambda I - A) = \lambda^n + a_1\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$$



Observer pole assignment

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$ be given.

1. Choose desired observer polynomial

$$\det(\lambda I - (A + LC)) = \lambda^n + a_{\text{obs},1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_{\text{obs},n}.$$

2. Determine T , such that $A_o = T^{-1}AT$ and $C_o = CT$ are in observable canonical form.

3. Determine open loop polynomial

$$\det(\lambda I - A) = \lambda^n + a_1\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$$

4. Define $L_o = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 - a_{\text{obs},1} \\ \vdots \\ a_n - a_{\text{obs},n} \end{pmatrix}.$



Observer pole assignment

1. Choose desired observer polynomial

$$\det(\lambda I - (A + LC)) = \lambda^n + a_{\text{obs},1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_{\text{obs},n}.$$

2. Determine T , such that $A_o = T^{-1}AT$ and $C_o = CT$ are in observable canonical form.

3. Determine open loop polynomial

$$\det(\lambda I - A) = \lambda^n + a_1\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$$

4. Define $L_o = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 - a_{\text{obs},1} \\ \vdots \\ a_n - a_{\text{obs},n} \end{pmatrix}.$

5. Compute resulting observer gain $L = TL_o.$



Example: pole assignment (1)

We consider again the system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 4 & -5 \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} u \\ y &= \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} x\end{aligned}$$

for which we would like to assign observer poles to $\{-4, -5\}$, i.e. to design L such that $A + LC$ has eigenvalues in $\{-4, -5\}$.



Example: pole assignment (2)

1. Desired closed loop polynomial: $\lambda^2 + 9\lambda + 20$



Example: pole assignment (2)

1. Desired closed loop polynomial: $\lambda^2 + 9\lambda + 20$

$$2. T = \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow A_o = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -3 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{array} \right), C_o = (1 \mid 0)$$



Example: pole assignment (2)

1. Desired closed loop polynomial: $\lambda^2 + 9\lambda + 20$
2. $T = \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow A_o = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -3 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{array} \right), C_o = (1 \mid 0)$
3. Open loop polynomial: $\lambda^2 + 3\lambda + 2$



Example: pole assignment (2)

1. Desired closed loop polynomial: $\lambda^2 + 9\lambda + 20$
2. $T = \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow A_o = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -3 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{array} \right), C_o = (1 \mid 0)$
3. Open loop polynomial: $\lambda^2 + 3\lambda + 2$
4. $L_o = \begin{pmatrix} 3 - 9 \\ 2 - 20 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -6 \\ -18 \end{pmatrix}$



Example: pole assignment (2)

1. Desired closed loop polynomial: $\lambda^2 + 9\lambda + 20$

$$2. T = \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow A_o = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -3 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{array} \right), C_o = (1 \mid 0)$$


3. Open loop polynomial: $\lambda^2 + 3\lambda + 2$

$$4. L_o = \begin{pmatrix} 3 - 9 \\ 2 - 20 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -6 \\ -18 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$5. \textcolor{red}{L} = TL_o = \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -7 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -6 \\ -18 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -6 \\ -12 \end{pmatrix}$$




The full order observer





Example: obs. pole assignment (3)






Contents

- Observability
- The full order observer
- Observer design
- **Observer based control**




Observer based control (1)






Observer based control (1)






Observer based control (1)





Observer based control (1)





Observer based control (2)

System:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= Cx\end{aligned}$$

Observer:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\hat{x}} &= A\hat{x} + Bu + L(C\hat{x} - y) \\ \hat{y} &= C\hat{x}\end{aligned}$$

Feedback:

$$u = F\hat{x}$$



Observer based control (2)

System:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= Cx\end{aligned}$$

Observer:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\hat{x}} &= A\hat{x} + Bu + L(C\hat{x} - y) \\ \hat{y} &= C\hat{x}\end{aligned}$$

Feedback:

$$u = F\hat{x}$$

Error, $e = \hat{x} - x$:



Observer based control (2)

System:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= Cx\end{aligned}$$

Observer:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\hat{x}} &= A\hat{x} + Bu + L(C\hat{x} - y) \\ \hat{y} &= C\hat{x}\end{aligned}$$

Feedback:

$$u = F\hat{x}$$

$$\dot{e} = \dot{\hat{x}} - \dot{x}$$

$$= A\hat{x} + BF\hat{x} + L(C\hat{x} - y) - (Ax + BF\hat{x})$$



Observer based control (2)

System:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= Cx\end{aligned}$$

Observer:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\hat{x}} &= A\hat{x} + Bu + L(C\hat{x} - y) \\ \hat{y} &= C\hat{x}\end{aligned}$$

Feedback:

$$u = F\hat{x}$$

$$\dot{e} = \dot{\hat{x}} - \dot{x}$$

$$= A\hat{x} + BF\hat{x} + L(C\hat{x} - y) - (Ax + BF\hat{x})$$

$$= A(\hat{x} - x) + L(C\hat{x} - Cx)$$



Observer based control (2)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{System:} \\ \dot{x} = Ax + Bu \\ y = Cx \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Observer:} \\ \dot{\hat{x}} = A\hat{x} + Bu + L(C\hat{x} - y) \\ \hat{y} = C\hat{x} \end{array}$$

$$\text{Feedback: } u = F\hat{x}$$

$$\dot{e} = \dot{\hat{x}} - \dot{x}$$

$$= A\hat{x} + BF\hat{x} + L(C\hat{x} - y) - (Ax + BF\hat{x})$$

$$= A(\hat{x} - x) + L(C\hat{x} - Cx)$$

$$= (A + LC)(\hat{x} - x) = (A + LC)e$$



The separation principle (1)

Combining the two equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= Ax + Bu = Ax + BF\hat{x} = Ax + BF(e + x) \\ &= (A + BF)x + BF e\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\dot{e} = (A + LC)e$$

gives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{e} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A + BF & BF \\ 0 & A + LC \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ e \end{pmatrix}$$



The separation principle (2)

THEOREM. An observer based controller for the system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= Ax + Bu, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ y &= Cx\end{aligned}$$

with observer gain L and feedback gain F results in $2n$ closed loop poles, coinciding with the eigenvalues of the two matrices:

$$A + BF \quad \text{and} \quad A + LC$$



Example: observer based control (1)

We consider again the system


$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 4 & -5 \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} u \\ y &= \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} x\end{aligned}$$

for which we apply an observer based controller with

$$\textcolor{red}{L} = \begin{pmatrix} -6 \\ -12 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \textcolor{green}{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 42 & -30 \end{pmatrix}$$



Observer based control





Example: observer based control (2)

The transfer function of the controller becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} K(s) &= -F(sI - A - BF - LC)^{-1}L \\ &= -108 \frac{s + \frac{7}{3}}{s^2 + 15s + 74} \end{aligned}$$

The closed loop transfer function becomes:

$$G(s) (I - K(s)G(s))^{-1} = \frac{s^2 + 15s + 74}{(s + 5)^2(s + 4)^2}$$



Example: observer based control (3)

