

A low-angle, upward-looking photograph of several tall skyscrapers, creating a sense of height and urban density. The image is in grayscale and serves as a background for the central text.

# SMART CONTRACT SECURITY AUDIT

**Final report**

**Plan: Simple**

Seed.photo

February 2024

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                         |    |
|-------------------------|----|
| 1. Introduction         | 3  |
| 2. Analyzed Contracts   | 3  |
| 3. Audit Process        | 3  |
| 3.1 Auto-analysis       | 3  |
| 3.2 Expert audit        | 3  |
| 4. Known issues checked | 4  |
| 5. Issue Classification | 6  |
| 6. Issues               | 6  |
| 6.1 High risk issues    | 6  |
| 6.2 Medium risk issues  | 6  |
| 6.3 Low risk issues     | 7  |
| 7. Conclusion           | 8  |
| 8. Disclaimer           | 9  |
| 9. Static analysis      | 10 |

# INTRODUCTION

The report has been prepared for Seed.photo.

|            |                         |
|------------|-------------------------|
| Name       | Seed.photo              |
| Audit date | 2024-02-14 - 2024-02-14 |
| Language   | Solidity                |
| Platform   | Binance Smart Chain     |

# ANALYZED CONTRACTS

| Name | Address                                    |
|------|--------------------------------------------|
| SEED | 0x6730f7A6BbB7b9C8e60843948f7FEB4B6a17B7F7 |

# AUDIT PROCESS

Our audit structure consists of two stages:

## Auto-analysis

- Our automated tools allow us to scan smart contract code and find potential issues
- We hand pick and verify all the issues found by the tools

## Expert audit

- Manual analysis of potential issues and vulnerabilities
- Contract code is reviewed thoroughly

## KNOWN ISSUES CHECKED

| Title                                            | Result   |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain                | ✓ passed |
| Code With No Effects                             | ✓ passed |
| Message call with hardcoded gas amount           | ✓ passed |
| Typographical Error                              | ✓ passed |
| DoS With Block Gas Limit                         | ✓ passed |
| Presence of unused variables                     | ✓ passed |
| Incorrect Inheritance Order                      | ✓ passed |
| Requirement Violation                            | ✓ passed |
| Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes | ✓ passed |
| Shadowing State Variables                        | ✓ passed |
| Incorrect Constructor Name                       | ✓ passed |
| Block values as a proxy for time                 | ✓ passed |
| Authorization through tx.origin                  | ✓ passed |

---

|                      |          |
|----------------------|----------|
| DoS with Failed Call | ✓ passed |
|----------------------|----------|

---

|                                  |          |
|----------------------------------|----------|
| Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee | ✓ passed |
|----------------------------------|----------|

---

|                                      |          |
|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions | ✓ passed |
|--------------------------------------|----------|

---

|                  |          |
|------------------|----------|
| Assert Violation | ✓ passed |
|------------------|----------|

---

|                                   |          |
|-----------------------------------|----------|
| State Variable Default Visibility | ✓ passed |
|-----------------------------------|----------|

---

|            |          |
|------------|----------|
| Reentrancy | ✓ passed |
|------------|----------|

---

|                                      |          |
|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction | ✓ passed |
|--------------------------------------|----------|

---

|                              |          |
|------------------------------|----------|
| Unprotected Ether Withdrawal | ✓ passed |
|------------------------------|----------|

---

|                             |          |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| Unchecked Call Return Value | ✓ passed |
|-----------------------------|----------|

---

|                 |          |
|-----------------|----------|
| Floating Pragma | ✓ passed |
|-----------------|----------|

---

|                           |          |
|---------------------------|----------|
| Outdated Compiler Version | ✓ passed |
|---------------------------|----------|

---

|                                |          |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Integer Overflow and Underflow | ✓ passed |
|--------------------------------|----------|

---

|                             |          |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| Function Default Visibility | ✓ passed |
|-----------------------------|----------|

---

# ISSUE CLASSIFICATION

|             |                                                                                                                                            |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| High risk   | Issues leading to assets theft, locking or any other loss of assets or leading to contract malfunctioning.                                 |
| Medium risk | Issues that can trigger a contract failure of malfunctioning.                                                                              |
| Low risk    | Issues that do not affect contract functionality. For example, unoptimised gas usage, outdated or unused code, code style violations, etc. |

## ISSUES

### High risk issues

No issues were found

### Medium risk issues

#### 1. The owner can freeze tokens (SEED)

The owner of the contract can freeze the tokens.

```
function freezeAccount(address addr)
    public
    onlyOwner
{
    require(!frozenAccounts[addr], "Account is already Frozen!");
    require(addr != owner(), "Owner can not be frozen");
    frozenAccounts[addr] = true;
    emit AccountFrozen(addr);
} // freezeAccount
```

## Low risk issues

No issues were found

# CONCLUSION

Seed.photo SEED contract was audited. 1 medium risk issue was found.



## DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to the Company in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes without RapidLabs prior written consent.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts RapidLabs to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model or legal compliance.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

# STATIC ANALYSIS

INFO:Detectors:

Different versions of Solidity are used:

- Version used: ['^0.8.0', '^0.8.4']
- ^0.8.0 (contracts/contract.sol#6)
- ^0.8.0 (contracts/contract.sol#33)
- ^0.8.0 (contracts/contract.sol#118)
- ^0.8.0 (contracts/contract.sol#203)
- ^0.8.0 (contracts/contract.sol#233)
- ^0.8.4 (contracts/contract.sol#622)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#different-pragma-directives-are-used>

INFO:Detectors:

Context.\_msgData() (contracts/contract.sol#23-25) is never used and should be removed

ERC20.\_burn(address,uint256) (contracts/contract.sol#513-529) is never used and should be removed

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code>

INFO:Detectors:

Pragma version^0.8.0 (contracts/contract.sol#6) allows old versions

Pragma version^0.8.0 (contracts/contract.sol#33) allows old versions

Pragma version^0.8.0 (contracts/contract.sol#118) allows old versions

Pragma version^0.8.0 (contracts/contract.sol#203) allows old versions

Pragma version^0.8.0 (contracts/contract.sol#233) allows old versions

Pragma version^0.8.4 (contracts/contract.sol#622) allows old versions

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity>

INFO:Detectors:

SEED.constructor() (contracts/contract.sol#634-636) uses literals with too many digits:

- \_mint(msg.sender,1826000000 \* 10 \*\* decimals()) (contracts/contract.sol#635)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#too-many-digits>

INFO:Slither:. analyzed (6 contracts with 85 detectors), 10 result(s) found



RAPID LABS

# YOUR PROJECT SECURED

RL@RAPIDLABS.FINANCE

RAPIDLABS.FINANCE