



Blue Bird

February 2024



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction	3
2. Analyzed Contracts	3
3. Audit Process	3
3.1 Auto-analysis	3
3.2 Expert audit	3
4. Known issues checked	4
5. Issue Classification	6
6. Issues	6
6.1 High risk issues	6
6.2 Medium risk issues	6
6.3 Low risk issues	6
7. Conclusion	7
8. Disclaimer	8
9. Static code analysis	9

FEBRUARY 2024 PAGE 2 OF 10



INTRODUCTION

The report has been prepared for Blue Bird.

The Blue Bird Token or BLB Token will be a strategic impact in the world of crypto-assets for being a token with real-world utility, breaking once and for all that feeling that a cryptocurrency only works in digital media.

luame	Bine Bilo

Audit date 2024-02-14 - 2024-02-14

Language Solidity

Platform Polygon Network

ANALYZED CONTRACTS

Name Address

BlueBird 0x80dd32b30E12cae9F08E23201BfedbA3B62e60D6

AUDIT PROCESS

Our audit structure consists of two stages:

Auto-analysis

- Our automated tools allow us to scan smart contract code and find potential issues
- We hand pick and verify all the issues found by the tools

Expert audit

• Manual analysis of potential issues and vulnerabilities

FEBRUARY 2024 PAGE 3 OF 10



• Contract code is reviewed thoroughly

KNOWN ISSUES CHECKED

Title	Result
Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	✓ passed
Code With No Effects	✓ passed
Message call with hardcoded gas amount	✓ passed
Typographical Error	✓ passed
DoS With Block Gas Limit	✓ passed
Presence of unused variables	✓ passed
Incorrect Inheritance Order	✓ passed
Requirement Violation	✓ passed
Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	✓ passed
Shadowing State Variables	✓ passed
Incorrect Constructor Name	✓ passed

FEBRUARY 2024 PAGE 4 OF 10



Block values as a proxy for time	✓ passed
Authorization through tx.origin	✓ passed
DoS with Failed Call	✓ passed
Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	✓ passed
Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	✓ passed
Assert Violation	✓ passed
State Variable Default Visibility	✓ passed
Reentrancy	✓ passed
Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	✓ passed
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	✓ passed
Unchecked Call Return Value	✓ passed
Floating Pragma	✓ passed
Outdated Compiler Version	✓ passed
Integer Overflow and Underflow	✓ passed
Function Default Visibility	✓ passed



ISSUE CLASSIFICATION

High risk Issues leading to assets theft, locking or any other loss of assets or

leading to contract malfunctioning.

Medium risk Issues that can trigger a contract failure of malfunctioning.

Low risk Issues that do now affect contract functionality. For example,

unoptimised gas usage, outdated or unused code, code style

violations, etc.

ISSUES

High risk issues

No issues were found

Medium risk issues

No issues were found

Low risk issues

No issues were found

FEBRUARY 2024



CONCLUSION

Blue Bird BlueBird contract was audited. No risk issues were found.

FEBRUARY 2024 PAGE 7 OF 10



DISCLAIMER

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to the Company in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes without RapidLabs prior written consent.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts RapidLabs to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model or legal compliance.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

FEBRUARY 2024 PAGE 8 OF 10



STATIC CODE ANALYSIS

INFO:Detectors:

Context._contextSuffixLength() (contracts/contract.sol#191-193) is never used and should be removed

Context._msgData() (contracts/contract.sol#187-189) is never used and should be removed

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code INFO:Detectors:

Pragma version^0.8.20 (contracts/contract.sol#5) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.8.18.

Pragma version^0.8.20 (contracts/contract.sol#170) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.8.18.

Pragma version^0.8.20 (contracts/contract.sol#201) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.8.18.

Pragma version^0.8.20 (contracts/contract.sol#283) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.8.18.

Pragma version^0.8.20 (contracts/contract.sol#311) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.8.18.

Pragma version^0.8.20 (contracts/contract.sol#629) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.8.18.

Pragma version^0.8.20 (contracts/contract.sol#668) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.8.18.

solc-0.8.20 is not recommended for deployment

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity

INFO:Detectors:

BlueBird.constructor() (contracts/contract.sol#673-675) uses literals with too many digits:

■- _mint(msg.sender,980000000 * 10 ** decimals()) (contracts/contract.sol#674)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#too-many-digits

INFO:Slither:. analyzed (9 contracts with 85 detectors), 11 result(s) found

FEBRUARY 2024 PAGE 9 OF 10

