

# SMART CONTRACT SECURITY AUDIT

Final report

Plan: Simple

Miner PEPE
March 2024



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1. Introduction	3
2. Analyzed Contracts	3
3. Audit Process	3
3.1 Auto-analysis	3
3.2 Expert audit	3
4. Known issues checked	4
5. Issue Classification	7
6. Issues	7
6.1 High risk issues	7
6.2 Medium risk issues	7
6.3 Low risk issues	7
7. Conclusion	8
8. Disclaimer	9
9. Static analysis	10

MARCH 2024 PAGE 2 OF 15



## INTRODUCTION

The report has been prepared for Miner PEPE.

MPEPE stands out as the initial Pepe coin for mining on Binance Smart Chain. It comes with its own decentralized app and Mobile App, offering miners a 12% daily return in BNB and MPEPE tokens. Additionally, Mpepe's native token boosts the Total Value Locked (TVL) of miners by 2% with each transaction (whether buying or selling), directly benefiting the miner's contract. Only those who hold \$MPEPE/Cake/BNB tokens can kickstart mining activities in the available miner pools and receive daily rewards for lifetime.

Name Miner PEPE

Audit date 2024-03-05 - 2024-03-05

Language Solidity

Platform Binance Smart Chain

## ANALYZED CONTRACTS

Name Address

Redis 0x6d306C2C9CD931160763D99376a68C14D33DC954

## **AUDIT PROCESS**

Our audit structure consists of two stages:

#### Auto-analysis

- Our automated tools allow us to scan smart contract code and find potential issues
- We hand pick and verify all the issues found by the tools

MARCH 2024 PAGE 3 OF 15



### Expert audit

- Manual analysis of potential issues and vulnerabilities
- Contract code is reviewed thoroughly

# **KNOWN ISSUES CHECKED**

Title	Result
Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	✓ passed
Code With No Effects	✓ passed
Message call with hardcoded gas amount	✓ passed
Typographical Error	✓ passed
DoS With Block Gas Limit	✓ passed
Presence of unused variables	✓ passed
Incorrect Inheritance Order	✓ passed
Requirement Violation	✓ passed
Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	✓ passed
Shadowing State Variables	✓ passed

MARCH 2024 PAGE 4 OF 15



Incorrect Constructor Name	✓ passed
Block values as a proxy for time	✓ passed
Authorization through tx.origin	✓ passed
DoS with Failed Call	✓ passed
Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	✓ passed
Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	✓ passed
Assert Violation	✓ passed
State Variable Default Visibility	✓ passed
Reentrancy	✓ passed
Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	✓ passed
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	✓ passed
Unchecked Call Return Value	✓ passed
Floating Pragma	✓ passed
Outdated Compiler Version	✓ passed
Integer Overflow and Underflow	✓ passed

FINAL REPORT

MINER PEPE



Function Default Visibility



✓ passed

PAGE 6 OF 15 MARCH 2024



# **ISSUE CLASSIFICATION**

**High risk** Issues leading to assets theft, locking or any other loss of assets or

leading to contract malfunctioning.

**Medium risk** Issues that can trigger a contract failure of malfunctioning.

Low risk Issues that do now affect contract functionality. For example,

unoptimised gas usage, outdated or unused code, code style

violations, etc.

## **ISSUES**

#### High risk issues

No issues were found

#### Medium risk issues

No issues were found

#### Low risk issues

No issues were found

MARCH 2024 PAGE 7 OF 15



# CONCLUSION

Miner PEPE Redis contract was audited. No risk issues were found.

MARCH 2024 PAGE 8 OF 15



## **DISCLAIMER**

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to the Company in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes without RapidLabs prior written consent.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts RapidLabs to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model or legal compliance.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

MARCH 2024 PAGE 9 OF 15



# STATIC ANALYSIS

```
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in Redis._transfer(address,address,uint256) (contracts/
contract.sol#338-357):
        External calls:
        - swapTokensForEth(contractTokenBalance) (contracts/contract.sol#353)

    uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForETCSupportingFeeOnTransferToken

s(tokenAmount,0,path,treasuryAddress,block.timestamp) (contracts/
contract.sol#368-379)
                - uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForROSESupportingFeeOnTransferToke
ns(tokenAmount,0,path,treasuryAddress,block.timestamp) (contracts/
contract.sol#382-393)
                - uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForAVAXSupportingFeeOnTransferToke
ns(tokenAmount,0,path,treasuryAddress,block.timestamp) (contracts/
contract.sol#396-407)
                - uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferToken
s(tokenAmount,0,path,treasuryAddress,block.timestamp) (contracts/
contract.sol#409-420)
        State variables written after the call(s):
        - _transferStandard(from,to,amount) (contracts/contract.sol#356)
                - rOwned[sender] = rOwned[sender] - rAmount (contracts/
contract.sol#467)
                - rOwned[recipient] = rOwned[recipient] + rTransferAmount
(contracts/contract.sol#468)
                - rOwned[address(this)] = rOwned[address(this)] + rTreasury
(contracts/contract.sol#473)
        Redis.rOwned (contracts/contract.sol#145) can be used in cross function
reentrancies:

    Redis._transferStandard(address,address,uint256) (contracts/

contract.sol#447-481)
        - Redis.balanceOf(address) (contracts/contract.sol#273-275)
        - Redis.constructor(string, string, uint8, uint256, address, address, uint16, uint
16, address) (contracts/contract.sol#186-237)
        - _transferStandard(from, to, amount) (contracts/contract.sol#356)
                - rTotal = rTotal - rReflection (contracts/contract.sol#478)
        Redis.rTotal (contracts/contract.sol#151) can be used in cross function
reentrancies:
        - Redis._getCurrentSupply() (contracts/contract.sol#549-554)
```

MARCH 2024 PAGE 10 OF 15



```
Redis._transferStandard(address,address,uint256) (contracts/
contract.sol#447-481)
        - Redis.constructor(string, string, uint8, uint256, address, address, uint16, uint
16, address) (contracts/contract.sol#186-237)
        - Redis.tokenFromReflection(uint256) (contracts/contract.sol#316-325)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-
Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-1
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in Redis._transfer(address,address,uint256) (contracts/
contract.sol#338-357):
        External calls:
        - swapTokensForEth(contractTokenBalance) (contracts/contract.sol#353)

    uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForETCSupportingFeeOnTransferToken

s(tokenAmount,0,path,treasuryAddress,block.timestamp) (contracts/
contract.sol#368-379)
                - uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForROSESupportingFeeOnTransferToke
ns(tokenAmount,0,path,treasuryAddress,block.timestamp) (contracts/
contract.sol#382-393)

    uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForAVAXSupportingFeeOnTransferToke

ns(tokenAmount,0,path,treasuryAddress,block.timestamp) (contracts/
contract.sol#396-407)
                - uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferToken
s(tokenAmount,0,path,treasuryAddress,block.timestamp) (contracts/
contract.sol#409-420)
        Event emitted after the call(s):
        - Reflected(sender,tReflection) (contracts/contract.sol#479)
                - _transferStandard(from,to,amount) (contracts/contract.sol#356)
        - Transfer(sender, recipient, tTransferAmount) (contracts/contract.sol#470)
                - _transferStandard(from,to,amount) (contracts/contract.sol#356)
        - Transfer(sender,address(this),tTreasury) (contracts/contract.sol#474)
                _transferStandard(from,to,amount) (contracts/contract.sol#356)
Reentrancy in Redis.swapTokensForEth(uint256) (contracts/contract.sol#359-422):
        External calls:
        - uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForETCSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(tokenA
mount,0,path,treasuryAddress,block.timestamp) (contracts/contract.sol#368-379)
        Event emitted after the call(s):
        - SwapTokensForEthFailed(tokenAmount) (contracts/contract.sol#378)
Reentrancy in Redis.swapTokensForEth(uint256) (contracts/contract.sol#359-422):
        External calls:
        - uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForROSESupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(token
Amount, 0, path, treasuryAddress, block.timestamp) (contracts/contract.sol#382-393)
        Event emitted after the call(s):
```

MARCH 2024 PAGE 11 OF 15



```
- SwapTokensForEthFailed(tokenAmount) (contracts/contract.sol#392)
Reentrancy in Redis.swapTokensForEth(uint256) (contracts/contract.sol#359-422):
        External calls:
        - uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForAVAXSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(token
Amount, 0, path, treasuryAddress, block.timestamp) (contracts/contract.sol#396-407)
        Event emitted after the call(s):
        - SwapTokensForEthFailed(tokenAmount) (contracts/contract.sol#406)
Reentrancy in Redis.swapTokensForEth(uint256) (contracts/contract.sol#359-422):
        External calls:
        - uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(tokenA
mount,0,path,treasuryAddress,block.timestamp) (contracts/contract.sol#409-420)
        Event emitted after the call(s):
        - SwapTokensForEthFailed(tokenAmount) (contracts/contract.sol#419)
Reentrancy in Redis.withdrawTokens(address,address,uint256) (contracts/
contract.sol#428-436):
        External calls:
        - require(bool,string)(IERC20(token).transfer(to,amount),transfer
rejected) (contracts/contract.sol#433)
        Event emitted after the call(s):
        - WithdrawedTokens(token, to, amount) (contracts/contract.sol#435)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-
Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-3
INFO:Detectors:
Redis.swapTokensForEth(uint256) (contracts/contract.sol#359-422) has a high
cyclomatic complexity (12).
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-
Documentation#cyclomatic-complexity
INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version0.8.16 (contracts/contract.sol#3) allows old versions
solc-0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-
versions-of-solidity
INFO:Detectors:
Function IUniswapV2Router02.WETH() (contracts/contract.sol#84) is not in mixedCase
Function IUniswapV2Router02.WETC() (contracts/contract.sol#86) is not in mixedCase
Function IUniswapV2Router02.WHT() (contracts/contract.sol#88) is not in mixedCase
Function IUniswapV2Router02.WROSE() (contracts/contract.sol#90) is not in
mixedCase
Function IUniswapV2Router02.WAVAX() (contracts/contract.sol#92) is not in
mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-
Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
```

MARCH 2024 PAGE 12 OF 15



```
INFO:Detectors:
Variable Redis._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).rReflection (contracts/
contract.sol#460) is too similar to
Redis._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).tReflection (contracts/
contract.sol#463)
Variable Redis._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).rReflection (contracts/
contract.sol#460) is too similar to
Redis._getRValues(uint256,uint256,uint256).tReflection (contracts/
contract.sol#531)
Variable Redis._getRValues(uint256,uint256,uint256).rReflection (contracts/
contract.sol#537) is too similar to
Redis._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).tReflection (contracts/
contract.sol#463)
Variable Redis._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).rReflection (contracts/
contract.sol#460) is too similar to Redis._getValues(bool,uint256).tReflection
(contracts/contract.sol#495)
Variable Redis._getRValues(uint256,uint256,uint256).rReflection (contracts/
contract.sol#537) is too similar to
Redis._getRValues(uint256, uint256, uint256).tReflection (contracts/
contract.sol#531)
Variable Redis._getRValues(uint256,uint256,uint256).rReflection (contracts/
contract.sol#537) is too similar to Redis._getValues(bool,uint256).tReflection
(contracts/contract.sol#495)
Variable Redis._getRValues(uint256,uint256,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/
contract.sol#539) is too similar to Redis._getValues(bool,uint256).tTransferAmount
(contracts/contract.sol#494)
Variable Redis._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount
(contracts/contract.sol#459) is too similar to
Redis._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/
contract.sol#462)
Variable Redis._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount
(contracts/contract.sol#459) is too similar to
Redis._getValues(bool,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/contract.sol#494)
Variable Redis._getValues(bool,uint256).rReflection (contracts/contract.sol#502)
is too similar to Redis._getRValues(uint256,uint256,uint256).tReflection
(contracts/contract.sol#531)
Variable Redis._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).rReflection (contracts/
contract.sol#460) is too similar to Redis._qetTValues(bool,uint256).tReflection
(contracts/contract.sol#523)
Variable Redis._getValues(bool,uint256).rReflection (contracts/contract.sol#502)
is too similar to Redis._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).tReflection
(contracts/contract.sol#463)
```

MARCH 2024 PAGE 13 OF 15



```
Variable Redis._getValues(bool,uint256).rReflection (contracts/contract.sol#502)
is too similar to Redis._getTValues(bool,uint256).tReflection (contracts/
contract.sol#523)
Variable Redis._getValues(bool,uint256).rReflection (contracts/contract.sol#502)
is too similar to Redis._getValues(bool,uint256).tReflection (contracts/
contract.sol#495)
Variable Redis._getRValues(uint256,uint256,uint256).rReflection (contracts/
contract.sol#537) is too similar to Redis._getTValues(bool,uint256).tReflection
(contracts/contract.sol#523)
Variable Redis._getRValues(uint256,uint256,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/
contract.sol#539) is too similar to
Redis._getTValues(bool,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/contract.sol#525)
Variable Redis._getValues(bool,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/
contract.sol#501) is too similar to Redis._getValues(bool,uint256).tTransferAmount
(contracts/contract.sol#494)
Variable Redis._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount
(contracts/contract.sol#459) is too similar to
Redis._getTValues(bool,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/contract.sol#525)
Variable Redis._getValues(bool,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/
contract.sol#501) is too similar to
Redis._getTValues(bool,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/contract.sol#525)
Variable Redis._getRValues(uint256,uint256,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/
contract.sol#539) is too similar to
Redis._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/
contract.sol#462)
Variable Redis._getValues(bool,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/
contract.sol#501) is too similar to
Redis._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/
contract.sol#462)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#variable-
names-too-similar
INFO:Detectors:
Redis.uniswapV2Router (contracts/contract.sol#162) should be immutable
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-
variables-that-could-be-declared-immutable
INFO:Slither:. analyzed (7 contracts with 85 detectors), 37 result(s) found
```

MARCH 2024 PAGE 14 OF 15

