



Final report

Plan: Simple

A51 Finance

January 2024



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1. Ir	ntroduction	3
2. Analyzed Contracts		3
3. Audit Process		3
	3.1 Auto-analysis	3
	3.2 Expert audit	3
4. Known issues checked		4
5. Issue Classification		6
6. Issues		6
	6.1 High risk issues	6
	6.2 Medium risk issues	6
	6.3 Low risk issues	6
7. Conclusion		7
8. Disclaimer		8
9. Automated analysis		9

JANUARY 2024 PAGE 2 OF 11



### INTRODUCTION

The report has been prepared for A51 Finance.

Pilot is an ERC20 token with additional functionality.

The token is burnable.

The token is mintable. Accounts with a minter role can mint new tokens.

The contract has permit extension.

Name A51 Finance

Audit date 2024-01-12 - 2024-01-12

Language Solidity

Platform Ethereum

### **ANALYZED CONTRACTS**

Name Address

Pilot 0x37c997b35c619c21323f3518b9357914e8b99525

#### **AUDIT PROCESS**

Our audit structure consists of two stages:

#### Auto-analysis

- Our automated tools allow us to scan smart contract code and find potential issues
- We hand pick and verify all the issues found by the tools

#### **Expert audit**

JANUARY 2024 PAGE 3 OF 11



- Manual analysis of potential issues and vulnerabilities
- Contract code is reviewed thoroughly

## **KNOWN ISSUES CHECKED**

Result
✓ passed

JANUARY 2024 PAGE 4 OF 11



Block values as a proxy for time	✓ passed
Authorization through tx.origin	✓ passed
DoS with Failed Call	✓ passed
Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	✓ passed
Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	✓ passed
Assert Violation	✓ passed
State Variable Default Visibility	✓ passed
Reentrancy	✓ passed
Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	✓ passed
Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	✓ passed
Unchecked Call Return Value	✓ passed
Floating Pragma	✓ passed
Outdated Compiler Version	✓ passed
Integer Overflow and Underflow	✓ passed
Function Default Visibility	✓ passed



PAGE 6 OF 11

## **ISSUE CLASSIFICATION**

**High risk** Issues leading to assets theft, locking or any other loss of assets or

leading to contract malfunctioning.

**Medium risk** Issues that can trigger a contract failure of malfunctioning.

Low risk Issues that do now affect contract functionality. For example,

unoptimised gas usage, outdated or unused code, code style

violations, etc.

### **ISSUES**

#### High risk issues

No issues were found

#### Medium risk issues

No issues were found

#### Low risk issues

No issues were found

JANUARY 2024



# CONCLUSION

A51 Finance Pilot contract was audited. No risk issues were found.

The token contract allows privileged accounts to mint new tokens.

JANUARY 2024 PAGE 7 OF 11



### **DISCLAIMER**

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to the Company in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes without RapidLabs prior written consent.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts RapidLabs to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model or legal compliance.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

JANUARY 2024 PAGE 8 OF 11



#### **AUTOMATED ANALYSIS**

```
INFO:Detectors:
Pilot.updateMinter(address) (contracts/Token.sol#588-593) should emit an event
        - _minter = newMinter (contracts/Token.sol#591)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#missing-
events-access-control
INFO:Detectors:
Pilot.constructor(address, address[], uint256[])._timelock (contracts/Token.sol#576)
lacks a zero-check on :
                - timelock = _timelock (contracts/Token.sol#581)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#missing-
zero-address-validation
TNFO: Detectors:
Pilot.permit(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint8,bytes32,bytes32) (contracts/
Token.sol#618-634) uses timestamp for comparisons
        Dangerous comparisons:
        - require(bool,string)(deadline >= block.timestamp,PILOT:: AUTH_EXPIRED)
(contracts/Token.sol#627)
Pilot.transferWithAuthorization(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,bytes32,uin
t8,bytes32,bytes32) (contracts/Token.sol#649-682) uses timestamp for comparisons
        Dangerous comparisons:
        - require(bool,string)(block.timestamp > validAfter,PILOT::
AUTH_NOT_YET_VALID) (contracts/Token.sol#660)
        - require(bool,string)(block.timestamp < validBefore,PILOT:: AUTH_EXPIRED)</pre>
(contracts/Token.sol#661)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#block-
timestamp
INFO: Detectors:
Pilot.getChainId() (contracts/Token.sol#643-647) uses assembly
        - INLINE ASM (contracts/Token.sol#644-646)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-
usage
INFO:Detectors:
Context._msqData() (contracts/Token.sol#133-135) is never used and should be
removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
INFO:Detectors:
```

JANUARY 2024 PAGE 9 OF 11



Pragma version>=0.8.6 (contracts/Token.sol#2) allows old versions

solc-0.8.6 is not recommended for deployment

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-

versions-of-solidity

INFO:Detectors:

Pilot.timelock (contracts/Token.sol#533) should be immutable

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-

variables-that-could-be-declared-immutable

INFO:Slither:. analyzed (6 contracts with 85 detectors), 9 result(s) found

JANUARY 2024 PAGE 10 OF 11

