# Notes on calculating combined GAM estimates within the Rapid Assessment Method (RAM)

Mark Myatt

15 February 2020

### Contents

1	1 Background		2						
2	Proposed approach								
3	Worked example for combined GAM by WHZ and GAM by MUAC  3.1 Combined GAM estimation using the classical approach								
	3.1 Combined GAM estimation using the classical approach		4						
	3.2 Combined GAM estimation using proposed hybrid approach		5						
4	Extending the approach to include oedema cases								
5 Worked example for combined GAM by WHZ, GAM by MUAC and oedema									
	5.1 Combined GAM estimation using the classical approach		7						
	5.2 Combined GAM estimation using proposed hybrid approach		7						

#### 1 Background

A June 2019 article in Field Exchange advocates for the reporting of combined GAM estimate (i.e., a single prevalence estimate for GAM cases identified by WHZ and by MUAC). By January 2020, the SMART ENA software has included the calculation and reporting of this single GAM prevalence estimate.

This document reports on our current thining on how this single prevalence estimate can be calculated within the Rapid Assessment Method (RAM). Additionally, we explore how oedema cases can also be added in calculating this single GAM prevalence estimate.

#### 2 Proposed approach

PROBIT gives a probability so we look to combining two probabilities:

$$P(GAM_{MUAC} \cup GAM_{WHZ}) = P(GAM_{MUAC}) + P(GAM_{WHZ})$$

However, the problem is that we do not have **independent** probabilities. We overestimate because the intersection gets counted twice. Therefore we need:

$$P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \cup GAM_{\text{WHZ}}) = P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}}) + P(GAM_{\text{WHZ}}) - P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \cap GAM_{\text{WHZ}})$$

We have the first two terms but not the third. We can estimate the third term from a 2 by 2 table:

	$\mathtt{WHZ}<-2$	$\mathrm{WHZ} \geq -2$
$\mathtt{MUAC} < 125$	a	b
$\texttt{MUAC} \geq 125$	С	d

and

$$P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \cap GAM_{\text{WHZ}}) = \frac{a}{a+b+c+d}$$

We have a small sample size so the estimate will lack precision but I think that being "clever" and using something like:

$$P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \cap GAM_{\text{WHZ}}) = P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}}) \times P(GAM_{\text{WHZ}})$$

will not work as it assumes independence.

We can try to move forward with this hybrid method.

### 3 Worked example for combined GAM by WHZ and GAM by MUAC

We try the proposed approach in R using a dataset from Uganda.

```
## Read dataset from Uganda
x <- read.table(file = "data/ugan01.csv", header = TRUE, sep = ",")
## First 10 rows of the Uganda dataset
##
       psu age sex weight height muac oedema
                                                           whz
                                               haz
                                                     waz
                      6.5
                            75.0
## 1
      9999
            11
                 2
                                   94
                                           2 0.88 -2.47 -3.94
## 2
      9999
            18
                 1
                      5.5
                            67.5
                                   94
                                           2 -5.47 -5.51 -4.42
                      5.2
## 3
      9999
                 2
                            63.3 100
                                           2 -4.16 -4.40 -2.89
            12
## 4
     9999
            8
                 1
                      6.3
                            69.8 100
                                           2 -0.36 -2.83 -3.59
## 5
     9999
                      6.2
                            68.5 101
                                          2 -4.83 -4.64 -3.35
           17
                 1
                           78.0 101
     9999
                      8.0
                                           2 -3.59 -3.92 -2.90
## 6
            27
                 1
                      6.2
                            68.4 103
                                           2 -5.37 -4.92 -2.69
## 7
     9999
           24
                 2
                      7.0
                            73.0 103
                                           2 -3.72 -4.02 -3.28
## 8
     9999
            19
                 1
## 9 9999
           12
                 2
                      6.0
                            67.4 104
                                           2 -2.57 -3.36 -2.74
## 10 9999
                            66.2 105
                                           2 -3.58 -4.46 -3.76
                 1
                      5.6
           11
```

We then create case definitions as follows:

```
## Case definitions
x$gamWHZ <- ifelse(x$whz < -2, 1, 2)  ## GAM by WHZ
x$gamMUAC <- ifelse(x$muac < 125, 1, 2)  ## GAM by MUAC
x$cGAM <- ifelse(x$whz < -2 | x$muac < 125, 1, 2)  ## GAM by WHZ and MUAC</pre>
```

## First 10 rows of the updated Uganda dataset contining case definitions

```
##
       psu age sex weight height muac oedema
                                                             whz gamWHZ gamMUAC cGAM
                                                 haz
                                                       waz
      9999
            11
                 2
                       6.5
                             75.0
                                            2 0.88 -2.47 -3.94
                                                                       1
                                                                               1
## 1
                                    94
                                                                                     1
## 2
      9999
                      5.5
                             67.5
                                    94
                                            2 -5.47 -5.51 -4.42
                                                                               1
            18
                 1
                                                                       1
                                                                                     1
      9999
                      5.2
                             63.3 100
                                            2 -4.16 -4.40 -2.89
## 3
            12
                 2
                                                                       1
                                                                               1
                                                                                     1
## 4
      9999
             8
                 1
                      6.3
                             69.8 100
                                            2 -0.36 -2.83 -3.59
                                                                       1
                                                                               1
                                                                                    1
## 5
      9999
            17
                 1
                      6.2
                             68.5 101
                                            2 -4.83 -4.64 -3.35
                                                                       1
                                                                               1
                                                                                     1
      9999
            27
                 1
                      8.0
                             78.0 101
                                            2 -3.59 -3.92 -2.90
                                                                       1
## 6
                                                                               1
                                                                                     1
      9999
            24
                 2
                      6.2
                             68.4 103
                                            2 -5.37 -4.92 -2.69
                                                                               1
## 7
                                                                       1
                                                                                    1
```

```
7.0
## 8
      9999
             19
                  1
                              73.0 103
                                              2 -3.72 -4.02 -3.28
                                                                                  1
                                                                         1
                                                                                       1
                                              2 -2.57 -3.36 -2.74
## 9
      9999
             12
                  2
                       6.0
                              67.4 104
                                                                         1
                                                                                  1
                                                                                       1
                                              2 -3.58 -4.46 -3.76
## 10 9999
             11
                  1
                       5.6
                              66.2 105
                                                                         1
                                                                                  1
                                                                                       1
```

#### 3.1 Combined GAM estimation using the classical approach

Calculating for prevalence using the classical approach we get:

```
## Classic prevalence for GAM by MUAC
round(prop.table(table(x$gamMUAC))[1] * 100, 2)

##    1
## 13.8

## Classic prevalence for GAM by WHZ
round(prop.table(table(x$gamWHZ))[1] * 100, 2)

##    1
## 9.05

## Classic prevalence for GAM by WHZ and MUAC
round(prop.table(table(x$cGAM))[1] * 100, 2)

##    1
## 1
## 15.5
```

We can test whether GAM cases by MUAC and GAM cases by WHZ are independent.

```
## Test if the two case definitions are independent
chisq.test(table(x$gamMUAC, x$gamWHZ))
```

The chi-square test has a *p-value* of  $8.8108361 \times 10^{-74}$  indicating that the two case definitions are not independent.

#### 3.2 Combined GAM estimation using proposed hybrid approach

We then proceed with our proposed hybrid approach using simple PROBIT prevalence estimation.

```
## Simple PROBIT prevalence for GAM by MUAC
pMUAC <- pnorm(125, mean(x$muac), sd(x$muac))</pre>
## [1] 13.65
## Simple PROBIT prevalence for GAM by WHZ
pWHZ <- pnorm(-2, mean(x$whz), sd(x$whz))</pre>
## [1] 7.61
## Estimate the UNION probability
pUNION <- table(x$gamMUAC, x$gamWHZ)[1,1] / sum(table(x$gamMUAC, x$gamWHZ))</pre>
## [1] 7.35
## Estimate of GAM by MUAC and WHZ by PROBIT
round((pMUAC + pWHZ - pUNION) * 100, 2)
## [1] 13.91
```

#### 4 Extending the approach to include oedema cases

Using the same concepts, we can extend the approach to include oedema cases. For this we need to take into account not only the intersection between GAM by MUAC and GAM by WHZ but also the intersection of GAM by MUAC and oedema cases, the intersection of GAM by WHZ and oedema cases, and the intersection between GAM by MUAC, GAM by WHZ and oedema cases as follows:

$$\begin{split} P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \;\cup\; GAM_{\text{WHZ}} \;\cup\; SAM_{\text{oedema}}) \;= \\ P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}}) \;+\; P(GAM_{\text{WHZ}}) \;+\; P(SAM_{\text{oedema}}) \\ -\; [P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \;\cap\; GAM_{\text{WHZ}}) \;-\; P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \;\cap\; GAM_{\text{WHZ}} \;\cap\; SAM_{\text{oedema}})] \\ -\; [P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \;\cap\; SAM_{\text{oedema}}) \;-\; P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \;\cap\; GAM_{\text{WHZ}} \;\cap\; SAM_{\text{oedema}})] \\ -\; [P(GAM_{\text{WHZ}} \;\cap\; SAM_{\text{oedema}}) \;-\; P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \;\cap\; GAM_{\text{WHZ}} \;\cap\; SAM_{\text{oedema}})] \\ -\; P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \;\cap\; GAM_{\text{WHZ}} \;\cap\; SAM_{\text{oedema}}) \end{split}$$

Simplifying this we get:

$$\begin{split} P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \,\cup\, GAM_{\text{WHZ}} \,\cup\, SAM_{\text{oedema}}) \,= \\ P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}}) \,+\, P(GAM_{\text{WHZ}}) \,+\, P(SAM_{\text{oedema}}) \\ -\, P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \,\cap\, GAM_{\text{WHZ}}) \\ -\, P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \,\cap\, SAM_{\text{oedema}}) \\ -\, P(GAM_{\text{WHZ}} \,\cap\, SAM_{\text{oedema}}) \\ +\, (2 \times P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \,\cap\, GAM_{\text{WHZ}} \,\cap\, SAM_{\text{oedema}})) \end{split}$$

## 5 Worked example for combined GAM by WHZ, GAM by MUAC and oedema

We try the proposed approach in R using the same dataset from Uganda used in the previous example. We already have an oedema variable that is coded into 1 for an oedema case and 2 for a non-oedema case. We just need to re-define the cGAM variable to include oedema cases.

```
## Case definition for combined GAM including oedema cases x$cGAM \leftarrow ifelse(x$whz < -2 \mid x$muac < 125 \mid x$oedema == 1, 1, 2)
```

## First 10 rows of the updated Uganda dataset contining case definitions

##		psu	age	sex	weight	height	muac	oedema	haz	waz	whz	${\tt gamWHZ}$	${\tt gamMUAC}$	cGAM
##	1	9999	11	2	6.5	75.0	94	2	0.88	-2.47	-3.94	1	1	1
##	2	9999	18	1	5.5	67.5	94	2	-5.47	-5.51	-4.42	1	1	1
##	3	9999	12	2	5.2	63.3	100	2	-4.16	-4.40	-2.89	1	1	1
##	4	9999	8	1	6.3	69.8	100	2	-0.36	-2.83	-3.59	1	1	1
##	5	9999	17	1	6.2	68.5	101	2	-4.83	-4.64	-3.35	1	1	1
##	6	9999	27	1	8.0	78.0	101	2	-3.59	-3.92	-2.90	1	1	1
##	7	9999	24	2	6.2	68.4	103	2	-5.37	-4.92	-2.69	1	1	1
##	8	9999	19	1	7.0	73.0	103	2	-3.72	-4.02	-3.28	1	1	1
##	9	9999	12	2	6.0	67.4	104	2	-2.57	-3.36	-2.74	1	1	1
##	10	9999	11	1	5.6	66.2	105	2	-3.58	-4.46	-3.76	1	1	1

#### 5.1 Combined GAM estimation using the classical approach

Calculating for oedema and combine GAM prevalence using the classical estimator we get:

```
## Classic prevalence for oedema cases
round(prop.table(table(x$oedema))[1] * 100, 2)

##    1
## 0.23

## Classic prevalence for GAM by WHZ and MUAC and oedema cases
round(prop.table(table(x$cGAM))[1] * 100, 2)

##    1
## 15.61
```

#### 5.2 Combined GAM estimation using proposed hybrid approach

We then proceed with our proposed hybrid approach using simple PROBIT prevalence estimation to include oedema cases.

```
## Estimate the probability of oedema cases
pOedema <- prop.table(table(x$oedema))[1]</pre>
```

```
## Estimate union probability for WHZ and oedema
pOedemaWHZ <- table(x$gamWHZ, x$oedema)[1,1] / sum(table(x$gamWHZ, x$oedema))
## [1] 0.11
## Estimate union probability for MUAC and oedema
pOedemaMUAC <- table(x$gamMUAC, x$oedema)[1,1] / sum(table(x$gamMUAC, x$oedema))
## [1] 0.11
## Create variable for GAM meeting WHZ, MUAC and oedema criteria
x$gamUnion <- ifelse(x$oedema == 1 & x$gamWHZ == 1 & x$gamMUAC == 1, 1, 2)
## Estimate union probability for MUAC, WHZ and oedema
pGAMunion <- prop.table(table(x$gamUnion))[1]</pre>
##
      1
## 0.11
## Estimate combined GAM including oedema cases
round((pMUAC + pWHZ + pOedema - pUNION - pOedemaWHZ -
         pOedemaMUAC + (2 * pGAMunion)) * 100, 2)
##
## 14.14
```