Notes on calculating combined GAM estimates within the Rapid Assessment Method (RAM)

15 February 2020

Contents

- 1 Background
- 2 Possible approach

1 Background

2 Possible approach

PROBIT gives a probability so we look to combining two probabilities:

$$P(GAM_{MUAC} \cup GAM_{WHZ}) = P(GAM_{MUAC}) + P(GAM_{WHZ})$$

However, the problem is that we do not have **independent** probabilities. We overestimate because the intersection gets counted twice. Therefore we need:

$$P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \cup GAM_{\text{WHZ}}) = P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}}) + P(GAM_{\text{WHZ}}) - P(GAM_{\text{MUAC}} \cap GAM_{\text{WHZ}})$$

We have the first two terms but not the third. We can estimate the third term from a 2 by 2 table:

	$\mathtt{WHZ} < -2$	$\overline{{\rm WHZ} \geq -2}$
$\mathtt{MUAC} < 125$	a	b
$\texttt{MUAC} \geq 125$	С	d

and

$$P(GAM_{\rm MUAC} \ \cap \ GAM_{\rm WHZ}) \ = \ \frac{a}{a \ + \ b \ + \ c \ + \ d}$$

We have a small sample size so the estimate will lack precision but I think that being "clever" and using something like:

$$P(GAM_{\mathrm{MUAC}} \ \cap \ GAM_{\mathrm{WHZ}}) \ = \ P(GAM_{\mathrm{MUAC}}) \ imes \ P(GAM_{\mathrm{WHZ}})$$

will not work as it assumes independence.

We can try to move forward with this hybrid method.

We try this in R using a dataset from Uganda.

```
## Read dataset
x <- read.table(file = "data/ugan01.csv", header = TRUE, sep = ",")
We then create case definitions.
## Case definitions
x\$gamWHZ \leftarrow ifelse(x\$whz < -2, 1, 2)
                                                      ## GAM by WHZ
x$gamMUAC <- ifelse(x$muac < 125, 1, 2)
                                                     ## GAM by MUAC
x$cGAM \leftarrow ifelse(x$whz \leftarrow -2 \mid x$muac < 125, 1, 2) ## GAM by WHZ and MUAC
Calculating for prevalence in the classical approach we get:
## Classic prevalence for GAM by MUAC
round(prop.table(table(x$gamMUAC))[1] * 100, 2)
##
      1
## 13.8
## Classic prevalence for GAM by WHZ
round(prop.table(table(x$gamWHZ))[1] * 100, 2)
##
## 9.05
## Classic prevalence for GAM by WHZ and MUAC
round(prop.table(table(x$cGAM))[1] * 100, 2)
##
      1
## 15.5
We can test whether GAM cases by MUAC and GAM cases by WHZ are independent.
```

```
## Test if the two case definitions are independent
chisq.test(table(x$gamMUAC, x$gamWHZ))
```

The chi-square test has a p-value of $8.8108361 \times 10^{-74}$ indicating that the two case definitions are not independent.

We then proceed with our proposed hybrid approach using simple PROBIT prevalence estimation.

```
## Simple PROBIT prevalence for GAM by MUAC
pMUAC <- pnorm(125, mean(x$muac), sd(x$muac))</pre>
## [1] 13.65
## Simple PROBIT prevalence for GAM by WHZ
pWHZ <- pnorm(-2, mean(x$whz), sd(x$whz))</pre>
## [1] 7.61
## Estimate the UNION probability
pUNION <- table(x$gamMUAC, x$gamWHZ)[1,1] / sum(table(x$gamMUAC, x$gamWHZ))</pre>
## [1] 7.35
\mbox{\tt \#\#} Estimate of GAM by MUAC and WHZ by PROBIT
round((pMUAC + pWHZ - pUNION) * 100, 2)
## [1] 13.91
```