

Recommendation System for Skincare Products

02807 COMPUTATIONAL TOOLS FOR DATA SCIENCE

AUTHORS

Ana Marija Pavičić - s232468 Anna Sky Kastl Jensen - s194824 Raquel Moleiro Marques - s243636 Sree Keerthi Desu - s243933

Contents

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Approach	1
Datasets	1
2.1 Product Dataset	1
Methods	2
3.1 Sentiment Analysis	2
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5.5.5 Recipiocal Rank Fusion (RAF)	4
Recommendation System	4
Concluding remarks	4
Appendix	5
A.1 Group Member's Contribution to the Project	5
A.2 Code	5
eferences	18
	1.1 Motivation 1.2 Approach Datasets 2.1 Product Dataset 2.2 Review Dataset Methods 3.1 Sentiment Analysis 3.2 Frequent Itemsets 3.3 Similar Items 3.3.1 Similarity of Highlights 3.3.2 Ingredient Similarity 3.3.3 Reciprocal Rank Fusion (RRF) Recommendation System Concluding remarks Appendix A.1 Group Member's Contribution to the Project A.2 Code

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

In today's consumer-driven world, where corporations frequently flood the market with new products and aggressive promotions, choosing the right products can be overwhelming. Our recommendation system offers a simple solution by tailoring suggestions to a user's preferences and predicting potential responses to specific inputs. By presenting users with options they are likely to enjoy, these systems can reduce cognitive overload, making the decision-making process more efficient. As a result, users are more likely to purchase products they genuinely value, ultimately reducing wasteful consumption.

1.2 Approach

Collaborative filtering is a specific type of recommendation system that focuses on the relationships between users and products. Recommendations are generated by identifying similarities between user profiles, which are typically based on their product purchase history [1]. In essence, these recommendations suggest products that other users frequently buy alongside the input product. However, traditional collaborative filtering struggles with the cold start problem, where new users or products lack sufficient data for accurate recommendations.

For our skincare recommendation system, the goal is to provide recommendations based on a singular input skincare product, which simulates the situation where there is a lack of a solid user profile. To achieve this, we first use the A-priori algorithm to analyze product reviews and identify frequent itemsets — groups of products often bought together. This step narrows the search space, focusing on products that are contextually relevant to the user's input.

Next, sentiment analysis is applied to user reviews to gauge overall satisfaction and identify potential adverse reactions. Additionally, we refine the recommendations by calculating similarity scores based on two critical aspects: product highlights (e.g., claims like "brightening" or "hydrating") and ingredients (e.g., hyaluronic acid or salicylic acid). Combining these factors would ensure that the recommended products align with user preferences. By doing so, we aim to answer the following research question:

"How can we deliver product recommendations for customers with no prior user data?"

2 Datasets

The chosen datasets are available on Kaggle under the name "Sephora Products and Skincare Reviews" [2]. It consists of a product information file and several files containing different reviews. Please note that all our datasets and code are available in the GitHub repository [3].

2.1 Product Dataset

There are approximately 8,000 products available on Sephora, and their corresponding information includes price, highlights, ingredient list, average rating, size, etc. However, not all of these products are related to skincare. Using the primary category, only skincare products were filtered resulting in 2,420 unique product IDs. Among the remaining rows, there are also mini versions and gift sets made of products that already exist in the dataset and therefore were also removed to ensure that there were no duplicates for the association rules to avoid recommending the same product. Rows that have only either highlights or ingredients were removed, as both columns are necessary for obtaining similar items. This action resulted in 1,583 unique products.

The ingredient list for each product was preprocessed to make sure that ingredients like water (that were listed in multiple different ways such as "aqua") were standardized, and special characters towards the beginning and the end of the list were removed. The uniformity of the column would help the similarity score further in the report.



2.2 Review Dataset

The multiple files containing reviews were merged into one. Most of the products seem to have at least 65 reviews; hence, the review dataset size is considerably large and has over a million reviews. The dataset was reduced to include the reviews corresponding to only the 1583 products obtained from data cleaning of the product dataset. Additionally, the dataset was filtered to keep only the reviews that had 3, 4, or 5 stars as we do not want to consider any negative reviews before finding association rules among them. After all this preprocessing, we end up with 700,000 reviews in the dataset.

3 Methods

3.1 Sentiment Analysis

To ensure that the products we recommend are those that users truly enjoy, we first had to narrow down the data used in our analysis and models. With that in mind, sentiment analysis was implemented as it is a Natural Language Processing (NLP) technique that evaluates the emotional tone of text, classifying it as positive, negative, or sometimes neutral. In the context of product reviews, sentiment analysis helps identify whether users had a positive or negative experience with a product, which is essential when making product recommendations.

Originally, we considered using the *is_recommended* column already present in the reviews dataset, to more easily determine which products users spoke well of. However, we found inconsistencies in this parameter, including a significant amount of missing values and 5-star reviews marked as "not recommended". This made the *is_recommended* column unreliable, making sentiment analysis a necessary alternative.

In our analysis, we focused on reviews rated 3 stars and above, excluding 1- and 2-star reviews as they were considered too negative. Since 4- and 5-star reviews are clearly positive, they were included directly in our study, while sentiment analysis was applied to only 3-star reviews, as their sentiments are ambiguous. To perform the analysis, we used two Hugging Face models: xlnet-base-cased-product-review-sentiment-analysis [4], specialized for product reviews, and cardiffnlp/twitter-xlm-roberta-base-sentiment [5], which supports multiple languages. This allowed us to capture both English and the few non-English reviews. While these models are somewhat of a black box, they are verified, widely used, and well-suited to our problem. To ensure accuracy, we intersected the results of both models, keeping only reviews marked as positive by both. This decreased false positives and therefore resulted in 14,454 positive reviews out of 60,703 3-star reviews for further analysis.

Note that, although there are challenges when taking the sentiment of product reviews such as ambiguous or softened feedback, the implementation of sentiment analysis still plays a crucial role in improving the quality of our recommendations.

3.2 Frequent Itemsets

The primary objective of using frequent itemsets is to uncover associations between products based on customer reviews. Through mining frequent itemsets and generating association rules, we aim to predict which products a customer might like based on their interest in another product. This insight allows us to build a recommendation system that enhances the shopping experience by suggesting items that other similar users have enjoyed. We chose to use the A-priori algorithm because its systematic approach to pruning the search space of itemsets ensures computational efficiency, making it suitable for our dataset size and structure.

Choosing the appropriate minimum support threshold and deciding how many reviews to exclude were critical steps in our analysis. Excluding more users resulted in a larger number of association rules, providing richer data for recommendations. However, this approach risks overfitting to a small subset of users, making the rules less generalizable to the broader population. Conversely, including too many users with fewer reviews requires significantly lowering the minimum support threshold in order to have enough association rules to work with, which can lead to less reliable rules. After exploring various configurations, as can be seen in Table 1, we determined that restricting the dataset to users with 10 or more reviews struck an



effective balance. This approach ensured that the generated rules supported meaningful and actionable recommendations.

A limitation of association rule mining is that not all products will have associated rules, especially those with low representation in the dataset. To address this, we incorporated a similar items approach. When a product lacks direct recommendations, we identify the most similar product that is present in the association rules. This ensures every product can act as an entry point for personalized recommendations. A more detailed accounting of this is provided later in the paper.

By mining frequent itemsets and generating association rules, we enable a data-driven recommendation system that suggests products based on user preferences. Furthermore, the integration of similar items enhances its usability across diverse customer journeys.

User Threshold	Association Rules Size	Unique Products Included	Comments	
5+ reviews	6	3	Although we are including more users in our dataset, the unique products the resulting rules cover are nowhere near enough to make assumption about the rest of the dataset.	
10+ reviews	1704	82	This seems to be a decent middle-ground, where enough unique products are included in the association rules, but we are not cutting out too much of the population.	
30+ reviews	526,853	277	Here, we are approaching a super small subset of users. They account for only 0.001% of the total users, which is not enough to make generalizations.	

Table 1: Finding a user threshold based on resulting association rules

3.3 Similar Items

Similar items can be found in different ways. Two of the most used measures are Cosine similarity and Jaccard similarity scores. Cosine similarity measures the distance between two vectors in a vector space, whereas Jaccard similarity measures the proportion of shared to the union of the items [1]. As mentioned briefly in our approach, the highlights and ingredients of each product will be used to determine a score. This score is obtained by calculating the similarity scores for highlights and ingredients individually, and then combining them using an approach known as Reciprocal Rank Fusion (RRF).

3.3.1 Similarity of Highlights

The product highlights are sets of the most emphasized features of the product. For example, a certain product targeted at users with dry skin would have a "dry skin" highlight to convey this attribute of the product. To find the similarity of preprocessed highlights between a random product and the input, we computed Jaccard Similarity. It measures how many features are in common within the sets of highlights. The more similar the sets, the more alike the products are in how they affect the skin and address skin issues.

3.3.2 Ingredient Similarity

Before calculating the similarity scores for ingredients, we apply a TF-IDF vectorizer to transform the ingredient lists into vectors. It prevents common ingredients, like water which is a common ingredient in almost all products, from disproportionately influencing similarity, focusing instead on more unique ingredients. The TF-IDF vectorizer accounts for both the frequency of an ingredient within a single product and its frequency across all products. [1]. The resulting vectors are then used to compute cosine similarity scores. The higher the similarity score, the more alike the ingredient lists are in terms of truly relevant ingredients.



3.3.3 Reciprocal Rank Fusion (RRF)

As the similarity scores are generated using different measures, their scales vary. Cosine similarity ranges from -1 to 1, whereas Jaccard similarity ranges from 0 to 1. Therefore, simply taking the average of the scores or any weighted sum would not be recommended.

To avoid this, we use an algorithm known as Reciprocal Rank Fusion (shown in Equation 1),

$$RRF = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k + r_i(d)}$$
 (1)

where $r_i(d)$ is the rank of product d in the i^{th} ranked list, k is a constant (typically k = 60) used to prevent division by zero and to adjust the impact of higher ranks, and N is the number of ranked lists (in our case 2) [6].

RRF uses the ranks of the product within the individual lists and then computes a singular score. The rank is simply the position of the product on a list sorted based on the similarity score in descending order. By doing so, we ensure that the higher the score, the more the product is similar to the input in terms of both highlights and ingredients. In the end, the resulting output of RRF is a ranked list of products.

4 Recommendation System

As mentioned briefly in our approach, the recommendation system operates with a logic similar to Collaborative Filtering, but is specifically designed to address the challenge of limited user history. Instead of relying on prior user data, it uses a single product as input, simulating scenarios where no purchase history or profile information is available. To recommend only products that other users have positively reviewed, we first filter reviews with ratings of 4 and 5 stars, as these are inherently positive. For 3-star reviews, we perform sentiment analysis, retaining only those with high sentiment scores, to ensure they reflect a positive experience. The A-priori algorithm is then applied to this filtered data to identify frequent itemsets, revealing which products are commonly bought together. This assumes that users with similar skin conditions tend to purchase and like related items. To handle cases where a product lacks any association rules — such as newly introduced items — the system calculates similarity scores against antecedents in all the found association rules. It identifies the most similar antecedent and utilizes its association rules to generate recommendations. This approach maintains consistency in the type of recommendations provided. Similarly, if there are insufficient association rules, the system uses the similar items method to find more products related to the consequents to ensure a consistent and diverse output.

5 Concluding remarks

One challenge we faced was in determining how many reviews to include in the analysis. Using a smaller subset of reviews often results in more association rules, which provides more granular information for recommendations. However, this approach risks bias, as it may not accurately reflect the broader population's preferences. To address this, two strategies were considered. By plotting user reviews (2+ review, 3+ reviews, etc.) against average confidence of the generated rules, the elbow point in the curve could help us identify a trade-off between rule confidence and dataset size. Another approach would be to use Algorithm 1 as described in the article "Mining association rules with multiple minimum supports" [7], where all the association rules are tested, and only the most influential are used to make assumptions about user preferences. Although we tried to incorporate these methods, we couldn't make it work with the time constraints we had, so we chose arbitrary values for the minimum threshold and user cutoffs. They were chosen based off of what would give decent results without giving over-fitted results. If given the opportunity to improve our recommendation system, we would like to implement these methods.

Another improvement that can be made is to increase the size of the dataset by scraping the data to include more products along with more information about the products themselves such as the product descriptions. The latter would have proved useful in performing similarity score analysis on as it has more textual information. An attempt was made to scrape data, but due to time constraints, we had to pivot.



A Appendix

A.1 Group Member's Contribution to the Project

	Ana	Anna Sky	Raquel	Sree Keerthi
	Marija Pavičić	Kastl Jensen	Moleiro Marques	Desu
Motivation	40%	20%	0%	40%
Approach	35%	30%	0%	35%
Product Dataset	30%	0%	40%	30%
Review Dataset	20%	0%	60%	20%
Sentiment Analysis	0%	20%	80%	0%
Frequent Itemsets	0%	70%	30%	0%
Similar Items	50%	0%	0%	50%
Recommendation System	35%	20%	10%	35%
Concluding Remarks	15%	70%	0%	15%

Table 2: Contributions Table

A.2 Code

```
¦# —*— coding: utf—8 —*—
| """final_notebook.ipynb
       Automatically generated by Colab.
       Original file is located at https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1w5MPyzzZFuzAlRrybLQoq—_WMXtN2hRW
10
11
      \mid# 02807 Computational Tools for Data Science - Final Project
       Group members:
            Dup members:
Ana Marija Pavi i (s232468)
Anna Sky Kastl Jensen (s194824)
Raquel Moleiro Marques (s243636)
Sree Keerthi Desu (s243933)
13
14
15
\frac{16}{17}
18
       #### Imports
^{19}_{20}_{21}
       # Commented out IPython magic to ensure Python compatibility.
22
      # !pip install nbimporter
# from imports import *
23
\frac{24}{25}
26
27
28
       """# 1. Data pre—processing"""
      # Data paths
      raw_data_directory = 'data'
raw_data_path = 'data/product_info.csv'
processed_data_directory = 'processed_data'
29
30
      processed_data_path = processed_data_directory + '/skincare.csv'
\frac{32}{33}
       """## Data Cleaning
34
35
      # Read all products info
df = pd.read_csv(raw_data_path)
36
37
38
      # Filter df for rows where 'primary_category' is 'Skincare'
skincare_df = df[df['primary_category'] == 'Skincare']
print("Skin care data size:", len(skincare_df))
40
\frac{41}{42}
      # Remove rows where highlight are non existent
| skincare_df = skincare_df[skincare_df['highlights'].notna() & (skincare_df['highlights'] !=
43
44
       print("Skin care data size after removing empty highlights:",len(skincare_df))
\frac{45}{46}
       # Remove rows where ingredients are non existent
skincare_df = skincare_df[skincare_df['ingredients'].notna() & (skincare_df['ingredients']
!= '')]
print("Skin care data size after removing empty ingredients:",len(skincare_df))
47
48
49
```

```
# Remove 'Mini' size products from data as it could be seen as a duplicate skincare_df = skincare_df[~skincare_df['product_name'].str.contains('mini', case=False, na=
 51
 52
                 False)]
        # Also removing additional products with 'Mini Size' as their secondary category
| skincare_df = skincare_df[skincare_df['secondary_category'] != 'Mini Size']
| print(f"Size of skincare data after removing 'mini' from product names (case—insensitive): {
            len(skincare_df)}")
 54
 55
         # Remove 'Limited edition' from data
skincare_df = skincare_df[~skincare_df['product_name'].str.contains('limited edition', case=
    False, na=False)]
print(f"Size of skincare data after removing 'limited edition' from product names (case_
    insensitive): {len(skincare_df)}")
 57
 59
 60
         # Remove 'Value & Gift Sets' from data to focus on individual products
skincare_df = skincare_df[skincare_df['secondary_category'] != 'Value & Gift Sets']
print("Skin care data size after removing 'Value & Gift Sets' secondary_category:",len(
 62
 63
                 skincare_df))
 64
         65
 67
                                                                                                                                             ") for h in highlights]
 68
          # Function to clean each ingredient row
 70
         def clean_ingredients(row):
    # Replace unwanted characters
    row = row.replace("[", "").re
        replace(".", "")
 71
 72
                                                             "").replace("]", "").replace("'", "").replace(" (Vegan)*", "").
 73
 \frac{74}{75}
                # Remove text inside parentheses
row = re.sub(r'\([^)]*\)', '', row)
 76
77
78
               # Replace " , " with a single comma (in case extra spaces after commas)
row = row.replace(" ,", ",")
row = row.replace(" ", " ")
 79
 80
81
                  Check for "water", "aqua", or "eau" and replace first occurrence

f "water" in row.lower() or "aqua" in row.lower() or "eau" in row.lower():

row_list = row.split(", ")

# Find the first occurrence of "water", "aqua", or "eau"

index = next((i for i, s in enumerate(row_list) if 'water' in s.lower() or "aqua" in s

.lower() or "eau" in s.lower()), -1)

if index != -1:

# Replace the identified word with "Water"

row_list[index] = "Water"
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 88
                     row_list[index] = "Wat
row = ", ".join(row_list)
 89
 \frac{92}{93}
         # Apply the clean_ingredients function
skincare_df['ingredients'] = skincare_df['ingredients'].apply(clean_ingredients)
        # Ensure the directory exists
output_dir = os.path.dirname(processed_data_path)
if not os.path.exists(output_dir):
 97
 98
 99
100
               os.makedirs(output_dir)
101
         # Save cleaned file
skincare_df.to_csv(processed_data_path, index=False)
103
104
          """## Clean and Filter Product Reviews"""
        # Convert product_id column to a set for efficient filtering
product_ids = set(skincare_df['product_id'])
107
108
109
        def clean_reviews(raw_data_path, processed_data_path):
110
111
                 Clean the reviews in the given CSV file by filtering based on the conditions.

— Filters rows where 'product_id' is in the skincare dataset.

— Keeps reviews with rating 5, 4, and only 3—star reviews if they have review text.
112
113
114
116
                         # Read the data
df = pd.read_csv(raw_data_path)
117
\frac{118}{119}
                         # Keep only the filtered skincare data
df = df[df['product_id'].isin(product_ids)]
120
\frac{121}{122}
                         123
124
125
```

```
# Keep reviews with rating 5, 4, or 3 (only keep 3—star reviews if they have review
127
                          128
129
130
                    ]
133
                     # Ensure the output directory exists
                    output_dir = os.path.dirname(processed_data_path)
if not os.path.exists(output_dir):
    os.makedirs(output_dir)
134
135
136
                    # Save the cleaned file
df.to_csv(processed_data_path, index=False)
print(f"Processed and saved: {processed_data_path}")
138
139
\begin{array}{c} 140 \\ 141 \end{array}
142
              except Exception as e:
                     print(f"Error processing {raw_data_path}: {e}")
143
        #_Apply the function to each review data file
145
       clean_reviews('data/reviews_0-250.csv', 'processed_data/reviews_0-250.csv')
clean_reviews('data/reviews_250-500.csv', 'processed_data/reviews_250-500.csv')
clean_reviews('data/reviews_500-750.csv', 'processed_data/reviews_500-750.csv')
clean_reviews('data/reviews_750-1250.csv', 'processed_data/reviews_750-1250.csv
clean_reviews('data/reviews_1250-end.csv', 'processed_data/reviews_1250-end.csv
146
147
149
^{150}_{151}
        print("All files have been processed and saved.")
152
153
        """## Combining All Reviews Files Into a Single Dataframe"""
154
155
156
        # List of file paths for the processed reviews
       file_paths = [
157
                processed_data/reviews_0—250.csv',
processed_data/reviews_250—500.csv',
processed_data/reviews_500—750.csv',
processed_data/reviews_750—1250.csv'
158
159
160
161
                processed_data/reviews_1250_end.csv'
162
       . ]
\frac{163}{164}
        # Combine all files into one DataFrame
combined_reviews_df = pd.DataFrame()
165
166
167
168
        for file_path in file_paths:
169
                    170
171
172
                                                      arguments
                                                encoding='utf-8', # Ensure proper encoding
engine='python') # Use Python engine for more robust handling
173
\frac{174}{175}
              # Append it to the combined DataFrame
  combined_reviews_df = pd.concat([combined_reviews_df, df], ignore_index=True)
  print(f"Successfully added {file_path}")
except Exception as e:
  print(f"Error reading {file_path}: {e}")
176
177
178
179
180
        # Save the combined DataFrame to a single CSV file
output_combined_path = 'processed_data/combined_re
182
183
                                                                      combined_reviews.csv
        combined_reviews_df.to_csv(output_combined_path, index=False)
184
        print(f"All files have been combined and saved to: {output_combined_path}")
        """# 2. Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)
188
       ### Skincare data
189
\frac{190}{191}
       # Display the first few rows to get a sense of the data
print("Skincare Data Overview:")
print(skincare_df.head())
192
193
        # Get general info
print("\nSkincare
196
       print("\nSkincare Data Info:")
print(skincare_df.info())
197
\frac{198}{199}
       # Describe numerical columns for basic statistics
print("\nSkincare Data Statistics:")
200
201
       print(skincare_df.describe())
        """### Product Reviews Data"""
       # Display the first few rows to get a sense of the data
print("\nReview Data Overview:")
206
```

```
print(combined_reviews_df.head())
209
      # Get general info
print("\nReview Da
210
                   \nReview Data Info:")
211
      print(combined_reviews_df.info())
212 \\ 213 \\ 214
      # Describe numerical columns for basic statistics
print("\nReview Data Statistics:")
215
216 \\ 217 \\ 218
      print(combined_reviews_df.describe())
       """### Distribution of categorical and numerical features
\frac{219}{220}
       #### Skincare data
\frac{221}{222}
      # Check distribution of secondary categories
print("\nSkincare Secondary Categories Distr
223
224
                                                                    Distribution:")
      secondary_category_counts = skincare_df['secondary_category'].value_counts().sort_values(
    ascending=False)
       print(secondary_category_counts)
226
       # Plot the distribution of secondary categories
plt.figure(figsize=(10,6))
228
229
      sns.countplot(
230
231
                          \mathsf{dary}_{-}\mathsf{category}',
              data=skincare_df,
              order=secondary_category_counts.index # Sort the plot by frequency
233
234
235
       plt.title('Distribution of Secondary Categories')
      plt.show()
237
       # Get the count and percentage of top brands
brand_count = skincare_df['brand_name'].value_counts()
brand_percentage = skincare_df['brand_name'].value_counts(normalize=True) * 100
238
239
240
\frac{241}{242}
      # Create a DataFrame for the top brands
| brand_df = pd.DataFrame({'Brand': brand_count.index, 'Counts': brand_count.values, 'Percent'
243
              : np.round(brand_percentage.values, 2)})
      # Display the top 10 brands
print(brand_df.head(10))
245
\frac{246}{247}
       # Plot the top 10 brands horizontally
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
sns.barplot(x='Counts', y='Brand', data=brand_df.head(10))
plt.xlabel('Count')
plt.title('Top 10 Occurring Brands')
plt.title('Top 10 Occurring Brands')
248
249
250
251
252
       plt.title(
       plt.show()
        """#### Product Reviews Data"""
\frac{255}{256}
       # Review rating distribution
257
      print("\nReview Ratings Distribution:")
print(combined_reviews_df['rating'].value_counts())
258
250
       # Plot the rating distribution
261
       plt.figure(figsize=(8,6))
sns.countplot(x='rating', data=combined_reviews_df)
plt.title('Distribution of Review Ratings')
262
263
264
       plt.show()
\frac{265}{266}
       # Review length (characters in review text)
      combined_reviews_df['review_length'] = combined_reviews_df['review_text'].apply(lambda x:
268
              len(str(x)))
269
      # Plot distribution of review lengths
plt.figure(figsize=(10,6))
270
271
       sns.histplot(combined_reviews_df['review_length'], bins=50, kde=True)
plt.title('Distribution of Review Lengths')
272
273
\frac{274}{275}
       plt.show()
\frac{276}{277}
278
      ## Filtering 3—Star Reviews
\frac{279}{280}
       # Load the combined reviews data from the saved file
combined_reviews_df = pd.read_csv('processed_data/combined_reviews.csv', encoding='utf-8',
282
             engine='python')
283
      # Filter for reviews with rating = 3 to perform sentiment analysis on the same three_stars = combined_reviews_df[combined_reviews_df['rating'] == 3]
284
\frac{285}{286}
       print(f"Total reviews with rating of 3: {len(three_stars)}")
       """## *is_recommended* column in the dataset
```

```
To ensure we would recommend products well—regarded by users, we explored the * is_recommended*_ column in our reviews dataset and performed a series of checks to
                 evaluate its reliability. As per the following:
\frac{292}{293}
         # Count the number of 1s, 0s, and NAs in the 'is_recommended' column for 3—5 stars reviews
is_recommended_counts = combined_reviews_df['is_recommended'].value_counts(dropna=False)
294
295
        is_recommended_counts
\frac{296}{297}
         """We can already tell that there are a lot of missing values in the *is_recommended* column . Either way, before proceeding, well check if any 5—star reviews are incorrectly marked as not recommended, as we would expect these to generally be recommended. This will help ensure data quality."""
298
299
         # Filter 5—star reviews
five_star_reviews = combined_reviews_df[combined_reviews_df['rating'] == 5]
301
        # Check how many of the 5—star reviews are not recommended
not_recommended_5_stars = five_star_reviews[five_star_reviews['is_recommended'] == 0]
303
         # Count the number of 5—star reviews that are not recommended
not_recommended_count = not_recommended_5_stars.shape[0]
306
308
\frac{309}{310}
         print(f"Number of 5—star reviews marked as not recommended: {not_recommended_count}")
         # Show a random review_text from the not recommended 5—star reviews, random seed set for reproducibility
311
         random_example = not_recommended_5_stars['review_text'].sample(1, random_state=42).iloc[0]
313
314
         print("\nRandom example of 5—star review marked as not recommended:")
         print(random_example)
         """Since there are 610 5—star reviews marked as "not recommended," and the random review test shows a very positive review from a happy user, this *is_recommended* column can't be trusted. Therefore, we'll rely on sentiment analysis to accurately identify products users truly recommend and feel good about.
317
318
\frac{319}{320}
         # 3. Sentiment Analysis on Product Reviews
\frac{321}{322}
         ## xlnet—base—cased—product—review—sentiment—analysis
        Below we use [xlnet—base—cased—product—review—sentiment—analysis](https://huggingface.co/dipawidia/xlnet—base—cased—product—review—sentiment—analysis) model from Hugging face
323
                 to perform sentiment analysis.
\frac{324}{325}
        # Initialize the tokenizer and model tokenizer = XLNetTokenizer.from_pretrained("dipawidia/xlnet—base—cased—product—review—sentiment—analysis")
326
327
         model = TFXLNetForSequenceClassification.from_pretrained("dipawidia/xlnet—base—cased—product
328
                  -review-sentiment-analysis")
       # Function to analyze sentiment for a batch of reviews
def get_sentiment_batch(reviews_batch):
    tokenize_text = tokenizer(reviews_batch.tolist(), padding=True, truncation=True,
        return_tensors='tf', max_length=512)
    preds = model.predict(dict(tokenize_text))['logits']
    class_preds = np.argmax(tf.keras.layers.Softmax()(preds), axis=-1)
    labels = ['Positive' if pred == 1 else 'Negative' for pred in class_preds]
    return labels
330
331
332
333
334
335
\frac{336}{337}
         # Batch size for processing reviews
batch_size = 64
338
339
340
        # Initialize the progress bar for batching
num_batches = len(three_stars) // batch_size + (1 if len(three_stars) % batch_size != 0 else
341
342
         # List to hold sentiment results
sentiment_results = []
344
         # Process reviews in batches
for i in tqdm(range(0, len(three_stars), batch_size), total=num_batches, desc="Processing
    reviews", unit="batch"):
    batch = three_stars['review_text'][i:i + batch_size] # Get the current batch of reviews
    batch_sentiments = get_sentiment_batch(batch) # Get sentiments for the current batch
    sentiment_results.extend(batch_sentiments) # Append results
347
349
350
352
         # Assign the sentiment results back to the dataframe three_stars['sentiment'] = sentiment_results
353
        # Count positive and negative sentiments
| sentiment_counts = three_stars['sentiment'].value_counts()
| print(sentiment_counts)
356
357
        # Filter the reviews with positive sentiment
```

```
positive_reviews_xlnet = three_stars[three_stars['sentiment'] == 'Positive']
362
       |# Display the count of positive sentiment reviews
| print(f"Number of positive sentiment reviews: {len(positive_reviews_xlnet)}")
363
\frac{364}{365}
       print("Positive Sentiment Examples:")
print(positive_reviews_xlnet[['review_text']].head())
366
367
368
        ## cardiffnlp/twitter—xlm—roberta—base—sentiment
\frac{371}{372}
        Below we use [cardiffnlp/twitter—xlm—roberta—base—sentiment](https://huggingface.co/cardiffnlp/twitter—xlm—roberta—base—sentiment) model from Hugging face to perform again
               sentiment analysis.
\frac{374}{375}
       # Model path for the new model
model_path = "cardiffnlp/twitter—xlm—roberta—base—sentiment"
376
\frac{377}{378}
       # Initialize the tokenizer and model
| tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained(model_path)
| model = TFAutoModelForSequenceClassification.from_pretrained(model_path)
380
\frac{381}{382}
383
        # Function to analyze sentiment for a batch of reviews
       def get_sentiment_batch(reviews_batch):
384
               # Tokenize the batch of reviews
tokenize_text = tokenizer(reviews_batch.tolist(), padding=True, truncation=True,
386
                     return_tensors='tf', max_length=512)
387
               # Get predictions from the model
preds = model(**tokenize_text)['logits']
388
389
390
               # Apply softmax to get class probabilities
scores = softmax(preds.numpy(), axis=-1)
391
392
393
               # Get the class with the highest probability (0 = negative, 2 = positive)
394
               class_preds = np.argmax(scores, axis=-1)
395
396
               # Assign sentiment labels based on the class prediction
labels = ['Negative' if pred == 0 else 'Neutral' if pred == 1 else 'Positive' for pred
    in class_preds]
397
398
               return labels
\frac{400}{401}
        # Batch size for processing reviews
402
       _{\text{h}}batch_size = 64
\frac{403}{404}
       |# Ensure the reviews are valid strings and filter out NaN values
| three_stars['review_text'] = three_stars['review_text'].fillna('').astype(str)
405
407
        # Initialize the progress bar for batching
num_batches = len(three_stars) // batch_size + (1 if len(three_stars) % batch_size != 0 else
408
409
410
        # List to hold sentiment results
sentiment_results = []
411
\frac{412}{413}
       # Process reviews in batches
for i in tqdm(range(0, len(three_stars), batch_size), total=num_batches, desc="Processing
    reviews", unit="batch"):
    batch = three_stars['review_text'][i:i + batch_size] # Get the current batch of reviews
    batch_sentiments = get_sentiment_batch(batch) # Get sentiments for the current batch
    sentiment_results.extend(batch_sentiments) # Append results
415
416
417
418
        # Assign the sentiment results back to the dataframe
three_stars['sentiment'] = sentiment_results
420
\frac{421}{422}
        # Count positive, negative, and neutral sentiments
sentiment_counts = three_stars['sentiment'].value_counts()
print(sentiment_counts)
423
424
425
426
        # Filter the positive sentiment reviews
       positive_reviews_cardiffnlp = three_stars[three_stars['sentiment'] == 'Positive']
\frac{428}{429}
        # Display the count of positive sentiment reviews
print(f"Number of positive sentiment reviews: {len(positive_reviews_cardiffnlp)}")
430
431
432
        print("Positive Sentiment Examples:")
433
        print(positive_reviews_cardiffnlp[['review_text', 'product_id']].head())
\frac{434}{435}
\frac{436}{437}
        ## Intersect all positive reviews found
438
       # Merge the two positive review datasets based on the 'Unnamed: 0' column intersected_positive_reviews = pd.merge(
441
442
```

```
positive_reviews_xlnet[['Unnamed: 0', 'review_text', 'product_id', 'author_id', '
443
                   review_title'.
             444
                                                                                                                   'review_title',
445
446
             on='Unnamed: 0',
how='inner' # 'inner' ensures only matching rows are returned
447
448
\frac{449}{450}
      , )
      # Rename columns to avoid conflicts (the '_x' and '_y' suffixes) intersected_positive_reviews.rename(columns={
451
452
              review_text_x': 'review_text',
'product_id_x': 'product_id',
author_id_x': 'author_id',
'review_title_x': 'review_title',
product_name_x': 'product_name',
'brand_name_x': 'brand_name',
price_usd_x': 'price_usd'
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
      }, inplace=True)
460
      # Now drop the columns from the second dataframe that are not needed (with the '_y' suffix) intersected_positive_reviews.drop(columns=[col for col in intersected_positive_reviews. columns if col.endswith('_y')], inplace=True)
462
463
464
      465
466
467
468
      # Display the count of intersected positive sentiment reviews
print(f"Number of intersected positive sentiment reviews: {len(intersected_positive_reviews)
}")
469
470
471
      # Check the first few rows of the final dataframe print(intersected_positive_reviews.head())
472
\frac{473}{474}
       # Save the intersected positive reviews to a CSV file
475
       intersected_positive_reviews.to_csv('processed_data/3_star_positive_reviews.csv', index=
476
             False)
477
\frac{478}{479}
       ## Filtering and Combining Desired Reviews
480
\frac{481}{482}
       # Load the combined reviews data from the saved file
combined_reviews_df = pd.read_csv('processed_data/combined_reviews.csv', encoding='utf-8',
484
             engine='python')
485
       # Filter the reviews with 4 and 5 stars
frequent_items_reviews = combined_reviews_df[combined_reviews_df['rating'].isin([4, 5])]
486
487
488
      # Load the positive reviews from the sentiment analysis on the 3—star reviews
positive_reviews_df = pd.read_csv('processed_data/3_star_positive_reviews.csv', encoding='
    utf-8', engine='python')
489
490
491
       # Combine the filtered 4 and 5—star reviews with the 3—star positive reviews
492
       frequent_items_reviews = pd.concat([frequent_items_reviews, positive_reviews_df],
    ignore_index=True)
493
494
       print(f"Total reviews to be used in frequent items: {len(frequent_items_reviews)}")
495
496
      # Check how many unique products there are based on 'product_id'
unique_products_count = frequent_items_reviews['product_id'].nunique()
497
\frac{498}{499}
       # Pretty printing the result
print(f"Total number of unique products in the reviews dataset: {unique_products_count}")
500
501
       """# 4. Frequent Items"""
503
504
      # Step 1: Aggregate reviews by user
| user_review_counts = frequent_items_reviews.groupby('author_id')['product_id'].nunique()
505
507
       # Step 2: Define thresholds for grouping
thresholds = list(range(2, 11)) + [20, 30] + list(range(40, user_review_counts.max() + 10,
508
509
             10))
510
511
       # Step 3: Count users per group
      users_per_group = []
513
       for t in thresholds:
    count = (user_review_counts >= t).sum()
    users_per_group.append(count)
514
515
517
      # Step 4: Display results print("Users per group:")
518
519
```

```
for t, count in zip(thresholds, users_per_group):
    print(f"{t}+ reviews: {count} users")
520
\frac{521}{522}
       plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6))
plt.bar([str(t) + "+" for t in thresholds], users_per_group, color="skyblue", alpha=0.8)
plt.title("Number of Users per Group Based on Minimum Reviews")
plt.xlabel("Minimum Reviews per User")
plt.ylabel("Number of Users")
plt.xticks(rotation=45)
plt.xticks(rotation=45)
523
524
525
526
527
528
       plt.grid(axis='y', linestyle='--', alpha=0.7)
529
       plt.show()
       """## Threshold on users with 10+ reviews"""
\frac{532}{533}
       534
535
536
      # Display the filtered DataFrame
print(f"Number of rows in the filtered dataframe: {len(filtered_df)}")
print(f"Number of unique authors in the filtered dataframe: {len(set(filtered_df['author_id']))
537
538
539
\frac{540}{541}
       #set(filtered_df['author_id'])
       # Combining all the products reviewed for each person in the dataset
selected_columns = filtered_df[['author_id', 'product_id']]
542
543
544
       # Convert product_id to string before applying 'join'
combined_reviews = selected_columns.groupby('author_id')['product_id'].apply(lambda x: ' '.
    join(x.astype(str))).reset_index()
545
546
547
\frac{548}{549}
       print(combined_reviews)
       # Convert the 'reviews' into a list of transactions
transactions = combined_reviews['product_id'].str.split().tolist()
550
551
552
       # Create a DataFrame for one—hot encoding
# Flatten all unique items (reviews) and create a unique item list
te = TransactionEncoder()
te_array = te.fit(transactions).transform(transactions)
553
554
555
556
       df_encoded = pd.DataFrame(te_array, columns=te.columns_)
557
558
       # Apply the Apriori algorithm
559
       frequent_itemsets = apriori(df_encoded, min_support=0.03, use_colnames=True)
       # Focus on frequent itemsets containing only a single product (not pairs or larger sets)
frequent_pairs = frequent_itemsets[frequent_itemsets['itemsets'].apply(len) == 1]
562
563
564
      565
566
567
568
569
       print(frequent_pairs)
       # Filter rules to include only those where antecedents have a single item
rules_filtered = rules[rules['antecedents'].apply(len) == 1].copy()
570
\frac{571}{572}
573
       rules_display = rules_filtered[["antecedents", "consequents", "support", "confidence", "lift
              ']].copy()
574
       # Convert frozensets to readable strings
rules_display["antecedents"] = rules_display["antecedents"].apply(lambda x: ', '.join(list(x))
576
             )))
577
       rules_display["consequents"] = rules_display["consequents"].apply(lambda x: ', '.join(list(x))
             )))
578
579
       # Sorting by confidence
rules_display = rules_display.sort_values(by="support", ascending=False)
print(rules_display.to_string(index=False))
580
581
584
       filtered_rules = rules_display[rules_display['antecedents'].apply(lambda x: 'P500633' in x)]
585
\frac{586}{587}
      print(filtered_rules)
       # Preprocess the rules DataFrame to ensure 'antecedents' and 'consequents' are sets
def preprocess_rules(rules):
588
589
590
            Preprocess the rules DataFrame to ensure 'antecedents' and 'consequents' are sets.
591
592
            # Create a copy of the DataFrame to avoid modifying the original
rules = rules.copy()
rules['antecedents'] = rules['antecedents'].apply(lambda x: x.spl
593
594
                                           = rules['antecedents'].apply(lambda x: x.split(", ") if isinstance(x)
```

```
rules['consequents'] = rules['consequents'].apply(lambda x: x.split(", ") if isinstance(x,
596
             , str) else x)
return rules
\frac{597}{598}
        # Function to find items associated with an input item
599
       _def find_associated_items(input_item, rules):
600
601
              Find all items associated with an input item based on association rules.
602
603
             Parameters:
input_item (str): The item to find associations for.
rules (pd.DataFrame): A DataFrame of association rules with columns 'antecedents' and
604
605
606
                         'consequents'.
607
             Returns:
list: A list of items associated with the input item, preserving the order of rules.
609
610
             # Sort rules by confidence in descending order
rules = rules.sort_values(by='confidence', ascending=False).reset_index(drop=True)
611
\begin{array}{c} 612 \\ 613 \end{array}
              input_item_antecedents = [input_item]
614
             associated_items = []
\frac{616}{617}
             # Iterate through the rules
for _, rule in rules.iterrows():
    antecedents = rule['antecedents']
    consequents = rule['consequents']
618
619
620
621 \\ 622
                  # Check if the input item is in the antecedents
if input_item_antecedents == antecedents:
    # Add_consequents to the associated items if not already present
62\bar{3}
624
625
                       for item in consequents:
    if item not in associated_items:
        associated_items.append(item)
626
627
628
629
              return associated_items
\frac{630}{631}
        rules_df = pd.DataFrame(rules_display)
632
633
        # Preprocess the rules DataFrame
rules_df = preprocess_rules(rules_df)
634
        # Input item
637
        input_item = 'P500633'
638
639
        # Find associated items
associated_items = find_associated_items(input_item, rules_df)
640
641
        print(f"Items associated with
                                                           '{input_item}': {associated_items}")
642
643
        rules_display.to_csv('processed_data/association_rules.csv', index=False)
644
645
\frac{646}{647}
        # 5. Similar Items Based on Ingredients and Highlights
648
649
       ### Calculate similar items using ingredients
650
651
       To calculate similar items using ingredients we choose to embed ingredients with TF—IDF.

This was chosen because TF—IDF will take into account the uniqueness of ingredients. For example water is a frequent ingredient in the list which does not tell us a lot about the product. Where as salicylic acid does not occur as often leading to higher importance. To compute the similarity between products we choose cosine similarity because TF—IDF gives results in the form of embedding.
653
654
        #### Display ingredients
       df = pd.read_csv("processed_data/skincare.csv")
# Select only 'Name' and 'Ingredients' columns
df_selected = df[['product_name', 'ingredients']]
657
658
660
       # Display the first 5 rows
print(df_selected.head())
661
\frac{662}{663}
        """#### Define functions"""
\frac{664}{665}
        def custom_tokenizer(text):
    return text.split(", ")
666
667
        def similarity_search_ingredients(df, query):
669
670
             Perform a similarity search based on cosine similarity of TF—IDF vectors.
             Parameters:
— query (str): The input query string.
673
674
                df (pd.DataFrame): A DataFrame containing 'id' and 'ingredients' columns.
```

```
Returns: ___results_df (pd.DataFrame): Rows from the original DataFrame sorted by similarity.
677 \\ 678
679
              # Initialize TfidfVectorizer with a custom tokenizer (adjust lowercase as needed)
vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(tokenizer=custom_tokenizer, lowercase=False)
680
\frac{681}{682}
              # Extract the 'ingredients' col
ingredients = df['ingredients']
                                                               column
683
684
685
              # Fit and transform the ingredients
tfidf_matrix = vectorizer.fit_transform(ingredients)
686
\frac{687}{688}
              # Transform the query into the TF—IDF space
689
              query_tfidf = vectorizer.transform([query])
690
              # Compute cosine similarity between the query and all documents
similarities = cosine_similarity(query_tfidf, tfidf_matrix).flatten()
692
693
694
              # Add similarity scores to the DataFrame
df['similarity_score_ingredients'] = similarities
695
\frac{696}{697}
              # Sort the DataFrame by similarity scores in descending order
results_df = df.sort_values(by='similarity_score_ingredients', ascending=False).
    reset_index(drop=True)
698
699
700
              results_df['rank_ingredients'] = range(1, len(results_df) + 1)
\frac{701}{702}
              return results_df
\frac{703}{704}
         """### Calculate similarity using the highlights
705
706
        In the dataset we choose we were not provided with description but rather highlights of a product. Those are sets of words that describe the product, so therefore there was no need to use the minhashing as the length of sets is not big to begging with. To compare the sets we choose to use Jaccard Similarity because the more highlight product have in
                common the more similar they are.
708
         #### Display a few rows of highlights
709
\frac{710}{711}
         df_selected = df[['product_name', 'highlights']]
712 \\ 713
         # Display the first 5 rows
714
        print(df_selected.head())
\frac{715}{716}
        """#### Define functions"""
\begin{array}{c} 717 \\ 718 \end{array}
        def jaccard_similarity(set_a, set_b):
719
\begin{array}{c} 720 \\ 721 \end{array}
              Calculate the Jaccard Similarity between two sets.
\begin{array}{c} 722 \\ 723 \end{array}
              intersection = len(set_a.intersection(set_b))
union = len(set_a.union(set_b))
return intersection / union if union != 0 else 0.0
724
\frac{725}{726}
         def similarity_search_highlights(df, token_list):
727
728
729
730
731
              Perform similarity search based on Jaccard similarity between df and a token list.
              :param df: A pandas DataFrame with columns ['product_id','product_name', 'highlights'].
:param token_list: A list of tokens to compare against (highlights).
:return: A DataFrame with IDs and their Jaccard similarity scores, sorted by similarity
""" score.
732
733
734
              # Convert the token list to a set
token_set = set(token_list)
735
              # List to store the similarity scores
similarity_scores = []
738
739
740
              # Iterate over the rows of the DataFrame
for index, row in df.iterrows():
    product_id = row['product_id']
    title = row['product_name']
    # Convert the tokens for this ID to a set
    id_token_set = set(row['highlights'].split(", "))
741 \\ 742
743
744
745
746 \\ 747 \\ 748
                   # Calculate Jaccard similarity
similarity_score = jaccard_similarity(id_token_set, token_set)
\begin{array}{c} 749 \\ 750 \end{array}
                   # Append the result as a tuple (id, score)
similarity_scores.append((product_id, title, similarity_score, (row['highlights'])))
751
\frac{752}{753}
              754
755
756
              # Sort the DataFrame by the 'similarity_score' column in descending order
```

```
758
759
\frac{760}{761}
              similarity_df_sorted['rank_highlights'] = range(1, len(similarity_df_sorted) + 1)
              return similarity_df_sorted
\frac{762}{763}
       """### Combining similarities based on highlight and ingredients
Jaccard similarity measure is in range [0, 1] and cosine similarity is in range [-1, 1]
which means that we can not simply calculate the average or weighted sum. Due to that
reason we choose to use reciprocal rank fusiona algorithm. To use it we need to rank our
similarities tables which is already done in their respective functions.
The **Reciprocal Rank Fusion (RRF)** score for a product _d_ is calculated as:
764
765
766
         \begin{array}{l} \$\$ \\ RRF(d) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{r_i(d) + k} \end{aligned} 
767
768
        \text{}
769
       $$
Where:
- $r_i (d)$ is the rank of product $d$ in the $i^{th}$ ranked list.
- $k$ is a constant (typically $k = 60$), used to prevent division by zero and to adjust the impact of higher ranks.

*Md is the number of ranked lists (models or sources).
**The ideal where higher ranks give more
\begin{array}{c} 770 \\ 771 \end{array}
776
                weight to the document.
         0.00
777
778
779
       def reciprocal_rank_fusion(df_highlights, df_ingredients, k=60):
780
              Compute Reciprocal Rank Fusion (RRF) scores based on rank_highlights and rank_ingredients
781
              Parameters:
— df_highlights (pd.DataFrame): DataFrame containing 'product_id', 'rank_highlights', and
783

    other relevant columns.
    df_ingredients (pd.DataFrame): DataFrame containing 'product_id', 'rank_ingredients', and other relevant columns.
    k (int): A constant for RRF computation (default=60).

785
788
                 combined_df (pd.DataFrame): A new DataFrame with overall RRF scores and combined
              ranking.
790
              # Merge the two DataFrames on 'product_id'
merged_df = pd.merge(
    df_highlights, # Include all columns from df_highlights
    df_ingredients[['product_id', 'rank_ingredients']], # Include only product_id and
791
792
793
794
                          rank_ingredients
                   on='product_id',
how='inner'
795
796
              )
              # Fill missing ranks with a large value (e.g., very low relevance)
merged_df['rank_highlights'] = merged_df['rank_highlights'].fillna(float('inf'))
merged_df['rank_ingredients'] = merged_df['rank_ingredients'].fillna(float('inf'))
799
800
801
802
              # Compute the RRF score
merged_df['rrf_score'] = (
    1 / (k + merged_df['rank_highlights']) +
    1 / (k + merged_df['rank_ingredients'])
803
804
805
806
\frac{807}{808}
              # Sort by the RRF score in descending order
merged_df = merged_df.sort_values(by='rrf_score', ascending=False).reset_index(drop=True)
809
810
811
              # Add a new rank based on the RRF score
merged_df['overall_rank'] = range(1, len(merged_df) + 1)
\frac{813}{814}
              return merged_df
815
816
        """### Run code for specific product_id"""
817
818
        def get_similar_items(product_id, df, n = 5):
819
820
821
              Retrieve the top N products most similar to a given product based on highlights and
                     ingredients.
              This function takes a product ID, performs similarity searches on the product's
823
              highlights and ingredients, and combines the results using a reciprocal rank fusion algorithm. It returns the top N most similar products.
824
825
              Parameters:
\frac{826}{827}
              product_id : int or str
828
                   The ID of the product for which similar items are being searched.
```

```
n : int, optional
The number of similar products to return. Default is 5.
830
\frac{831}{832}
833
             __merged_results (pd.DataFrame): A new DataFrame containing top n similar items
834
835 \\ 836
            # get the selected product
837
            product = df[df['product_id'] == product_id]
838
839
            # get the product highlights and ingredients
product_highlights = list(product['highlights'])[0].split(", ")
product_ingredients = str(product['ingredients'])
840
841
\frac{842}{843}
            # remove the product I am searching for
df = df[(df['product_id'] != product_id)]
844
845
846
            # perform similarity searches
highlights_similarity_results = similarity_search_highlights(df, product_highlights)
ingredients_similarity_results = similarity_search_ingredients(df, product_ingredients)
847
848
\frac{849}{850}
            # combine similarity searches with reciprocal rank fusion algorithm
merged_results = reciprocal_rank_fusion(highlights_similarity_results,
852
                  ingredients_similarity_results)
             # Return only the top—n products
854
             return merged_results[:n]
855
856
857
               pd.read_csv("processed_data/skincare.csv")
ict id = "P442001"
      product_id = "P4
858
       product_Id = r442001
product_name = df[df['product_id'] == product_id]['product_name'].iloc[0]
merged = get_similar_items(product_id, df)['product_name'].head(5)
859
\frac{860}{861}
        print(f"The most similar products to the {product_name} are: ")
862
        print(merged)
863
864
\frac{865}{866}
        # 6.Recommender
\frac{867}{868}
       To handle cases where a product lacks association rules such as newly introduced
869
        itemsthe system calculates similarity scores against all other products in the database. It identifies the most similar
870
       product and
utilizes its association rules to generate recommendations. This approach maintains
consistency in the type
of recommendations provided. Similarly, if there are insufficient association rules, the
871
872
        system leverages the "similar items" method by using related products as a basis to ensure a consistent and
             diverse output.
874 \\ 875
876
       # Recommender function
def recommender(product_id, rules, df, n=5):
877
878
879
880
              Recommend products based on association rules and similarity.
881
              Parameters
                    product_id (str): The product ID for which recommendations are needed.
rules (pd.DataFrame): A DataFrame of association rules with columns 'antecedents'
and 'consequents'.
882
883
                    n (int): Number of recommendations to return.
             Returns:
list: Recommended products.
886
887
888
              # Step 1: Find associated items
890
              associated_items = find_associated_items(product_id, rules)
891
892
              # no rule for chosen item
if len(associated_items) == 0:
    # find the most similar antecedents to the product_id
893
894
896
                    # get all the single antecedents in the rules
897
                    antecedents = [
    list(item)[0]
    for item in rules["antecedents"]
    if len(item) == 1
898
899
900
901
                    1
\frac{902}{903}
                    # filter skincare so it searches for the similar items only among the antecedents
904
                          and product_id
                    antecedents.append(product_id)
df_antecedents = df[df['product_id'].isin(antecedents)]
905
906
```

```
the most similar antecedents to a product_id
908
                 the_most_similar_product_id = get_similar_items(product_id, df_antecedents, n = 1)['
    product_id'].iloc[0]
909
910
                 associated_items = find_associated_items(the_most_similar_product_id, rules)
\frac{911}{912}
             get the top n associated items
913
               len(associated_items) >= n:
  return associated_items[:n]
914
           # if there are not enough associated items get the similar items to the product_id as
917
                well
           else:
    number_of_similar_items = n - len(associated_items)
918
\frac{919}{920}
                 # for each of the consequents find similar items and combine them in one dataframe
921
                 similar_items_dataframes = []
for i in associated_items:
    # get the top number_of_similar_items
    similar_items = get_similar_items(product_id, df)
922
923
924
925
\frac{926}{927}
                      similar_items_dataframes.append(similar_items)
                 all_similar_items = pd.concat(similar_items_dataframes, axis=0)
928
929
                # order is by similarity_score_highlights
all_similar_items = all_similar_items.sort_values(by='rrf_score', ascending=False).
930
931
                      reset_index(drop=True)
932
                # take only the top number_of_similar_items
similar_products = list(all_similar_items['product_id'])[:number_of_similar_items]
933
\frac{934}{935}
                 return associated_items + similar_products
936
937
      """### Load data"""
\frac{938}{939}
      association_rules = pd.read_csv('processed_data/association_rules.csv')
association_rules = preprocess_rules(association_rules)
940
941
      skincare_df = pd.read_csv("processed_data/skincare.csv
942
943
944
945
      """### Example of usage"""
      random_product_id = skincare_df['product_id'].sample(n=1).iloc[0]
      product_name = skincare_df[skincare_df['product_id'] == random_product_id]['product_name'].
948
      iloc[0]
merged = get_similar_items(random_product_id, skincare_df)['product_name'].head(5)
949
950
      print(f"The most similar products to the {product_name} are: ")
     print(merged)
952
```

References

- [1] J. Leskovec, A. Rajaraman, and J. D. Ullman, *Mining of Massive Datasets*. USA: Cambridge University Press, 2nd ed., 2014.
- [2] Kaggle, "Sephora products and skincare reviews," 2023. https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/nadyinky/sephora-products-and-skincare-reviews.
- [3] "Github repository for the project." https://github.com/raquelmdtum/CTDS-Final-Project.
- [4] H. Face, "dipawidia/xlnet-base-cased-product-review-sentiment-analysis." https://huggingface.co/dipawidia/xlnet-base-cased-product-review-sentiment-analysis.
- [5] H. Face, "twitter-xlm-roberta-base for sentiment analysis." https://huggingface.co/cardiffnlp/twitter-xlm-roberta-base-sentiment.
- [6] D. Shah, "Reciprocal rank fusion (rrf) explained in 4 mins.," 2024.
- [7] B. Liu, W. Hsu, and Y. Ma, "Mining association rules with multiple minimum supports," in *Proceedings* of the Fifth ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '99, (New York, NY, USA), p. 337–341, Association for Computing Machinery, 1999.