# Homework 5 Git II

#### Solutions

### **Submission Instructions**

For this assignment, all of your submissions will be to GitLab.

### **Optional Reading**

Git is a purely functional data structure, by Philip Nilsson, from Jayway.

http://www.jayway.com/2013/03/03/git-is-a-purely-functional-data-structure/

I highly encourage reading this when you have some time to read the article carefully and think deeply about the material. This article presents an excellent way of thinking about git and how it operates.

## 1 Evaluating git usage

Earlier this semester, we asked you to use git with at least one project. Now you will set up that project to be shared with the course staff. Visit https://gitlab.eecs.umich.edu and create a new project named exactly c4cs-f16-wk7. Be sure to create this project as **Private** (not Interal or Public).

Add this new repository as a remote (git remote add ...) to your existing project.

Push the project to this new remote.

In GitLab, grant <u>Reporter</u> permission to ppannuto and mmdarden. (Choose "Members" from the drop-down list from the settings gear in the top right to manage this permission).

We will run a test script, checking our access to everyone's repository on Thursday.

# 2 Handling merge conflicts

#### 2.1 Content Conflict

Clone https://gitlab.eecs.umich.edu/ppannuto/c4cs-f16-conflict1.git

This repository has a master branch and a merge\_me branch that have diverged. Merge the merge\_me branch into master, resolving the conflict.

When you are done, running bash test.sh should print "Success" and running python main.py should print something reasonable (if factually inaccurate now, hooray!).

Create a new repository in your GitLab named **exactly** c4cs-f16-conflict1. Be sure to create this project as **Private** (not Interal or Public).

Push your changes to your new repository. (Note: This will be a different "remote")

In GitLab, grant <u>Reporter</u> permission to ppannuto and mmdarden. (Choose "Members" from the drop-down list from the settings gear in the top right to manage this permission).

We will run a test script, checking our access to everyone's repository on Thursday night.

First, clone the repository:

```
$ git clone https://gitlab.eecs.umich.edu/ppannuto/c4cs-w16-conflict1.git
```

This brings down the master branch onto our machine and adds ppannuto/c4cs-w16-conflict1 as a remote. We can verify this:

```
$ git remote -v
origin https://gitlab.eecs.umich.edu/ppannuto/c4cs-w16-conflict1.git (fetch)
origin https://gitlab.eecs.umich.edu/ppannuto/c4cs-w16-conflict1.git (push)
$ git branch
* master
```

Now we want to merge in the branch merge\_me. However, we don't have this particular branch locally, as is seen from the git branch command above. It resides on the remote server origin.

```
$ git merge origin/merge_me
Auto-merging test.sh
CONFLICT (content): Merge conflict in test.sh
Auto-merging main.py
CONFLICT (content): Merge conflict in main.py
Automatic merge failed; fix conflicts and then commit the result.
$ git status
On branch master
Your branch is up-to-date with 'origin/master'.
You have unmerged paths.
  (fix conflicts and run "git commit")
Unmerged paths:
  (use "git add <file>..." to mark resolution)
        both modified:
                         main.py
        both modified:
                         test.sh
no changes added to commit (use "git add" and/or "git commit -a")
```

Let's have a look at the two files with conflicts. Notice the markers ««« HEAD ==== »»» origin/merge\_me. These are conflict markers. Everything below HEAD is what was in HEAD (which points to the master branch in our case) before the merge. Everything below the equals and above origin/merge\_me was is what was in that branch.

Important note: The conflict markers are automatically inserted by git but should never be committed.

Git tries its best to merge intelligently, but sometimes if the same areas of a file change it needs a human to reconcile the differences. This is an example of one such case. The correct solutions for each file are below:

In main.py we wanted to keep the first part of our message from master and the second better estimate from merge\_me. In test.sh, the conflict was resolved by keeping everything from master. You can verify this by running ./test.sh and seeing the success message.

To complete the merge:

You'll notice that test.sh does not appear in the git status. This is because it's actually identical to the master branch's copy. i.e. nothing changed, so there are no changes to be committed for that file.

#### 2.2 File Path Conflict

D

D

sales-march-1st

sales-march-2nd

commit f8e2f6933c9ea0f20bdc361934ebed1c55c53c40

Repeat the same steps for https://gitlab.eecs.umich.edu/ppannuto/c4cs-f16-conflict2.git Be sure to read over the commit history so that you are sure that the result of your merge has the right data! We start out very similar to the previous question. \$ git merge origin/merge\_me CONFLICT (modify/delete): sales-march-1st deleted in origin/merge\_me and modified in HEAD. Version HEAD of sales-march-1st left in tree. Automatic merge failed; fix conflicts and then commit the result. \$ git status On branch master Your branch is up-to-date with 'origin/master'. You have unmerged paths. (fix conflicts and run "git commit") Changes to be committed: new file: sales-2016-03-01 new file: sales-2016-03-02 new file: sales-2016-03-03 Unmerged paths: (use "git add/rm <file>..." as appropriate to mark resolution) deleted by them: sales-march-1st It appears that sales-march-1st doesn't fit the pattern of our other files. Intuition tells us it should probably be deleted, but we should double check. # command below shows logs from merge\_me & describes files changed in each commit \$ git log --name-status origin/merge me commit 0e9f1fba2bd00827dad94bc44585ed8b9f2f2c26 Author: Pat Pannuto <pat.pannuto@gmail.com> Fri Mar 11 10:49:17 2016 -0500 Date: Add March 3rd. Change naming scheme Using human-friendly names "march 1st" makes it harder than needed for computers to parse the dates. It will also break the simple sorting of tools like `ls` once you get to two-digit dates. Change the naming scheme to parse-friendly, sort-friendly numbers instead. sales-2016-03-01 Α Α sales-2016-03-02 sales-2016-03-03 Α

C4CS - W'17 Revision 1.0

```
commit 302584e32cc72978c6febb1b138d2afd892a7a25
Author: Pat Pannuto <pat.pannuto@gmail.com>
      Fri Mar 11 10:52:45 2016 -0500
Date:
    Update March 1st with real sales data
    Replace the placeholder data with the real sales data from March 1st.
М
        sales-march-1st
commit 1710c112b061bb1d4c43d0366b73a225fe93eb91
Author: Pat Pannuto <pat.pannuto@gmail.com>
Date: Fri Mar 11 10:14:18 2016 -0500
    Add initial sales calculation (note: buggy!)
    This is the point in history where the two branches will diverge.
Μ
       main.py
Α
        sales-march-1st
commit b469c96bae4424dfdc33c7aae253a663bd56735e
Author: Pat Pannuto <pat.pannuto@gmail.com>
      Fri Mar 11 10:08:56 2016 -0500
Date:
    Initial commit.
Α
        README.md
        main.py
```

Interpreting commit messages modified files tells us quite a bit. In fe93eb91, we committed buggy data to the (now deleted) sales file. We then see that in 892a7a25 we update our file with real data, so master definitely contains old data. Finally, in f2f2c26 we see why the files were renamed. We can confidently delete sales-march-1st.

```
$ git status
On branch master
Your branch is up-to-date with 'origin/master'.
All conflicts fixed but you are still merging.
  (use "git commit" to conclude merge)
Changes to be committed:
                  sales-2016-03-01
       new file:
       new file:
                  sales-2016-03-02
                  sales-2016-03-03
       new file:
                   sales-march-1st
       deleted:
$ git commit
[master f58156c] Merge remote-tracking branch 'origin/merge_me'
```

\$ git rm sales-march-1st
sales-march-1st: needs merge

rm 'sales-march-1st'