

IN THE HIGH COURT AT [REDACTED] CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION APPELLATE SIDE

Present: The Hon'ble Justice [REDACTED] And The Hon'ble Justice [REDACTED]

FMA [REDACTED] with CAN [REDACTED]

[PETITIONER A] -versus- State of [REDACTED] & Ors.

with

MAT [REDACTED] with CAN [REDACTED] [PETITIONER B] -versus- State of [REDACTED] & Ors.

For the Appellants : [REDACTED]

For the State : [REDACTED]

For the High Court Administration : [REDACTED]

For the PSC : [REDACTED]

Hearing concluded on : [REDACTED DATE] Judgment on : [REDACTED DATE]

[REDACTED], J.:

Both the appeals are directed against judgments and orders dated [REDACTED] passed on two writ petitions. Learned single judge found that there is a common issue involved in both the writ petitions. Being aggrieved by the judgments and orders, present appeals and connected applications were preferred.

The matter relates to recruitment in the Judicial Service Examination where clear and anticipated vacancies were advertised. The appellants, belonging to reserved categories, challenged the method of bifurcation of vacancies into clear and anticipated ones.

The appellants contended that had the roster system been applied differently, they would have been selected. Respondents argued that the bifurcation of vacancies was clearly stated in the advertisement and accepted by the appellants at the time of application. Hence, the challenge after the selection process could not be sustained.

After considering the submissions and precedents, the Court held that the Commission followed due process and applied roster points correctly to clear and anticipated vacancies separately. No interference is warranted.

Accordingly, both the appeals stand dismissed along with connected applications.

Order accordingly.

([REDACTED], J.)

I agree. ([REDACTED], J.)