

sort comparison

best, average, worst.

	time complexity	space complexity	technique
selection Sort	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$	Brute Force
bubble Sort	$O(n)/O(n^1)/O(n^1)$	$O(1)$	Brute Force
quick Sort	$O(n \log n)/O(n \log n)/O(n^2)$	$O(\log n)/O(\log n)/O(n)$	Divide and Conquer
merge Sort	$O(n \log n)$	$O(n)$	Divide and Conquer
insertion Sort	$O(n)/O(n^2)/O(n^2)$	$O(1)$	Brute Force
count Sort	$O(n + k)$	$O(k)$	Special Case

	pros	cons
selection Sort	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Stable sorting algorithm (preserves the order of equal elements).- Low space complexity ($O(1)$).	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Poor performance for large datasets ($O(n^2)$ time complexity).- Makes many comparisons and swaps, leading to inefficiency for larger arrays.
bubble Sort	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Stable sorting algorithm.- Low space complexity ($O(1)$).	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Extremely inefficient for large datasets ($O(n^2)$ time complexity in most cases).- Makes many unnecessary comparisons and swaps, even when the array is partially sorted.
quick Sort	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Excellent average and best-case performance ($O(n \log n)$ time complexity).- Efficient for large datasets due to its divide-and-conquer approach.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Worst-case performance can be $O(n^2)$, which occurs with a poorly chosen pivot element.- Additional space complexity ($O(\log n)$ on average) due to the recursion stack.
merge Sort	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Guaranteed $O(n \log n)$ time complexity for all cases.- Efficient for large datasets due to its divide-and-conquer approach.- Stable sorting algorithm.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Requires additional space ($O(n)$) for the temporary array used during merging.- Slightly more complex to implement compared to selection or bubble sort.

	pros	cons
insertion Sort	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Efficient for small datasets or nearly sorted arrays ($O(n)$ time complexity in these cases). - Low space complexity ($O(1)$). - Stable sorting algorithm. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Performance can degrade to $O(n^2)$ for large datasets and randomly ordered arrays. - Makes comparisons and shifts elements, which can be inefficient for very large arrays.
count Sort	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Excellent performance for data with a limited range of values ($O(n + k)$ time complexity, where k is the range). - Efficient for counting occurrences and placing elements directly in sorted positions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Space complexity can be high ($O(k)$) for datasets with a large range of values. - Not suitable for general-purpose sorting due to the requirement of a limited value range. - Not stable sorting algorithm (equal elements might not preserve their order).