

## Problem Sheet 6

Problems in Part A will be discussed in class. Problems in Part B come with solutions and should be tried at home.

### Part A

(6.1) Given a linear programming problem,

$$\text{minimize } \langle c, x \rangle \quad \text{subject to } Ax = b, x \geq 0 \quad (\text{P})$$

recall the feasible sets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= \{(x, y, s) : A^\top y + s = c, Ax = b, x \geq 0, s \geq 0\} \\ \mathcal{F}^\circ &= \{(x, y, s) : A^\top y + s = c, Ax = b, x > 0, s > 0\} \end{aligned}$$

The long-step primal dual interior point method restricts to steps in the neighbourhood

$$\mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(\gamma) = \{(x, y, s) \in \mathcal{F}^\circ : x_i s_i \geq \gamma \mu, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Show that  $\mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(1)$  coincides with the central path. In particular, in the extreme case  $\gamma = 1$  we would force the trajectory to be exactly on the central path.

(6.2) Show that if  $f(x)$  is a convex function, then the set  $\{x : f(x) \leq 0\}$  is a convex set. Conclude that the feasible set

$$\mathcal{C} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_1(x) \leq 0, \dots, g_m(x) \leq 0, h_1(x) = 0, \dots, h_\ell(x) = 0\},$$

with  $g_i$  convex and  $h_j$  linear, is a convex set.

(6.3) Given a constrained optimization problem with equality constraints

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize } f(x) \\ &\text{subject to } g_1(x) = \dots = g_m(x) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

the **Lagrangian** function is defined as the function in  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = f(x) - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i g_i(x) = f(x) - \langle \lambda, g(x) \rangle.$$

A point  $x$  is a local minimum of the equality constrained optimization problem, if there exist **Lagrange multipliers**  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that the Lagrangian satisfies  $\nabla \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = 0$ , where the gradient is with respect to both sets of variables  $(x, \lambda)$ . If  $f$  is convex and the  $g_i$  linear, this is a necessary and sufficient condition for a global minimum.

Use the method of Lagrange multipliers to find a closed-form solution for the minimum of an equality constrained quadratic optimization problem

$$\text{minimize } \frac{1}{2} x^\top Q x \quad \text{subject to } Ax = b.$$

## Part B

(6.4) Consider the following linear programming problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && y_1 + y_2 \\ & \text{subject to} && 0.2p \cdot y_1 + y_2 + s_p = 1 + 0.01p^2, \\ & && s_p \geq 0, 0 \leq p \leq 10. \end{aligned}$$

- Formulate the primal version of this problem, and determine the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  and the vectors  $\mathbf{b}$ ,  $\mathbf{c}$ .
- Using a computing system such as Python or MATLAB, solve this problem using the long-step primal-dual method with parameters  $\sigma = 0.1, 0.5, 0.9$ . Plot the corresponding trajectories in the  $x_2 s_2 - x_5 s_5$  plane and in the  $y_1 - y_2$  plane.
- Describe the central path in the  $y_1 - y_2$  plane for this problem.

(6.5) Consider the following portfolio optimization problem.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && \mathbf{x}^\top \Sigma \mathbf{x} \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathbf{r}^\top \mathbf{x} = \mu \\ & && \mathbf{e}^\top \mathbf{x} = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

- $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a positive semidefinite symmetric matrix;
- $\mathbf{e} = (1, \dots, 1)^\top$ ;
- $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a vectors of estimated returns.

The interpretation is that  $\Sigma$  is an estimated covariance matrix, and the goal is to find an investment strategy that minimizes the risk for a given return level. Using the method of **Lagrange multipliers**, show that the solution is characterized by:

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{ac - b^2} (c\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{r} - b\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{e}) + \mu \cdot (a\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{e} - b\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{r}),$$

where  $a = \mathbf{e}^\top \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{e}$ ,  $b = \mathbf{e}^\top \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{r}$  and  $c = \mathbf{r}^\top \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{r}$ .

Given the covariance matrix and expected returns as follows,

$$\mathbf{r} = \begin{pmatrix} 14 \\ 12 \\ 15 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 185 & 86.5 & 80 & 20 \\ 86.5 & 196 & 76 & 13.5 \\ 80 & 76 & 411 & -19 \\ 20 & 13.5 & -19 & 25 \end{pmatrix},$$

Compute the *efficient frontier*, i.e., the plot that relates the solution of (1) to target returns  $\mu$  for  $\mu$  varying between 5 and 35.

Repeat the same exercise, but this time with the additional constraint  $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$ . You can use CVXPY for that. Give an interpretation of this additional constraint.