

CSC 503 Homework Assignment 7

Out: September 28, 2015

Due: October 5, 2015

rsandil

1. [30 points total] Let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be the sentences

$$\phi_1 = \forall x \neg P(x, f(x))$$

$$\phi_2 = \forall x \forall y \forall z P(x, y) \wedge P(y, z) \rightarrow P(f(x), f(z))$$

where P is a predicate symbol of two arguments and f is a function symbol of one argument. Assume that P and f are the only nonlogical symbols in the language.

- (a) [10 points]: Give a formal interpretation I of the language that makes ϕ_1 true and ϕ_2 false.

Answer

Let the Interpretation I from above such that $p(a, b)$ means $a \wedge b$.

$$\phi_1 = \forall x \neg P(x, f(x))$$

Let $f(x) = \neg x$

Check for ϕ_1 :

$\forall x (x \wedge \neg x)$ is *False* which means $\forall x P(x, f(x))$ is *False*. Thus $\forall x (\neg P(x, f(x)))$ is *True*

Hence ϕ_1 is *True*

Check for ϕ_2 :

Left hand side: $\forall x \forall y \forall z P(x, y) \wedge P(y, z)$ means $\forall x \forall y \forall z (x \wedge y) \wedge (y \wedge z)$

If left hand side is True, we have $(x \wedge y)$ as *True* and $(y \wedge z)$ as *True* which means x is *True*, y is *True* and z is *True*

Right hand side: $P(f(x), f(z))$ which means $f(x) \wedge f(z)$

Now since $f(x) = \neg x$ and $f(z) = \neg z$, $f(x) \wedge f(z)$ means $\neg x \wedge \neg z$.

if left hand side is *True*, x and z is *True* which means $\neg x$ and $\neg z$ both are *False*

Thus $\neg x \wedge \neg z$ is *False*

If left hand side is true, right hand side is being evaluated as false. Thus ϕ_2 is *False*

- (b) [5 points]: Briefly explain why I makes ϕ_1 true and makes ϕ_2 false.

Answer

$p(x, y)$ means $x \wedge y$. Whenever y is a function of x taken in such a way that it is negation of x , $p(x, y)$ will be False. Thus $\neg p(x, y)$ will be True making ϕ_1 as *True*. In case of ϕ_2 , left hand side if evaluates to True, we get x , y and z evaluated as *True*. if x and z are *True*, right hand side is evaluated to False, as shown above, Since $T \rightarrow F$ is *F*. Thus ϕ_2 evaluates to *False*.

- (c) [10 points]: Give the formal definition of an interpretation J that makes ϕ_1 false and ϕ_2 true.

Answer

Let the Interpretation J be defined such that $p(a, b)$ means $a < b$.

$$\phi_1 = \forall x \neg P(x, f(x))$$

Let $f(x) = x+1$

Check for ϕ_1 :

$\forall x, (x < x+1)$ is *True*. Thus $\forall x P(x, f(x))$ is *True*

Since $\forall x P(x, f(x))$ is *True*, thus $\forall x, (\neg P(x, f(x)))$ is *False*

Hence ϕ_1 is *False*

Check for ϕ_2 :

If Left hand side is *True*: $\forall x \forall y \forall z P(x, y) \wedge P(y, z)$ means $\forall x \forall y \forall z (x < y) \wedge (y < z)$

which means $x < z$

Right hand side: $P(f(x), f(z))$ which means $f(x) < f(z)$

Now if $f(x) = x + 1$ and $f(z) = z + 1$

Thus $f(x) < f(z)$ means $x + 1 < z + 1$. Thus $x < z$ which is *True*

Thus if Left hand side is *True*, Right hand side also evaluates to *True*. Since $T \rightarrow T$ is *T*. Hence ϕ_2 is *True*.

- (d) **[5 points]:** Briefly explain why J makes ϕ_1 false and makes ϕ_2 true.

Answer

$p(x, y)$ means x is less than y . Whenever y is a function of x taken in such a way that it is less than x , $p(x, y)$ will be *True*. Thus $\neg p(x, y)$ will be *False* making ϕ_1 *False* for all x .

In case of ϕ_2 , if left hand side evaluates to *True*, we get $x < z$. If $x < z$, we get right hand side as *True*, as shown above as $T \rightarrow T$ is *T*. Hence ϕ_2 will evaluate to *True*.

2. **[30 points total]** Apply the unification algorithm to each of the following sets. For each set, at each step i , show (I) the disagreement of S_i , (II) the substitution σ_i if there is one, or an explanation why there is no unifying substitution, (III) the result S_{i+1} of applying σ_i to S_i . If the set unifies, show also (IV) the overall substitution $\sigma_0 \dots \sigma_k$ expressed as a single substitution, not as a composition.

For your reference, the algorithm to calculate the most general unifier of a set S of predicate expressions consists of the following steps.

- Step 0:
 - Set $S_0 = S$
 - Set $\sigma_0 = \epsilon$
- Step $k + 1$:
 - If $|S_k| = 1$, return the product substitution $\sigma_0 \dots \sigma_k$
 - If the disagreement set $D(S_k)$ contains both a variable v and a term t in which v does not occur, then
 - * Choose least such pair
 - * Set $\sigma_{k+1} = \{t/v\}$
 - * Set $S_{k+1} = S_k \sigma_{k+1}$
 - * Proceed to step $k + 2$
 - Otherwise, announce that S has no unifier

In the following expressions, assume that a, b, c are constant symbols, f, g, h are function symbols, P is a predicate symbol, and u, v, w, x, y, z are variable symbols.

- (a) **[10 points]** $S = \{P(b, y, f(y)), P(x, x, z)\}$

Answer

Initializing σ_0 to $\{\}$

$S_0 = \{P(b, y, f(y)), P(x, x, z)\}$

$D(S_0) = \{b, x\}$

$\sigma_1 = \{b/x\}$

$S_1 = \{P(b, y, f(y)), P(b, b, z)\}$

$D(S_1) = \{b, y\}$

$\sigma_2 = \{b/y\}$

$S_2 = \{P(b, b, f(b)), P(b, b, z)\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
D(S_2) &= \{f(b), z\} \\
\sigma_3 &= \{f(b)/z\} \\
S_3 &= \{P(b, b, f(b)), P(b, b, f(b))\} \\
|S_3| &= 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma &= \sigma_0 \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_3 \\
\sigma &= \{\} \cdot \{b/x\} \cdot \{b/y\} \cdot \{f(b)/z\} \\
\sigma &= \{b/x\} \cdot \{b/y\} \cdot \{f(b)/z\} \\
\sigma &= \{b/x, b/y\} \cdot \{f(b)/z\} \\
\sigma &= \{b/x, b/y, f(b)/z\}
\end{aligned}$$

Unification is feasible for above σ .

- (b) **[10 points]** $S = \{P(x, x), P(y, g(h(y)))\}$

Answer

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Initializing } \sigma_0 \text{ to } \{\} \\
S_0 &= \{P(x, x), P(y, g(h(y)))\} \\
D(S_0) &= \{x, y\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_1 &= \{x/y\} \\
S_1 &= \{P(x, x), P(x, g(h(x)))\} \\
D(S_1) &= \{x, g(h(x))\}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $g(h(x))$ contains x , substitution is not possible.

Unification is not feasible for above σ .

- (c) **[10 points]** $S = \{P(f(w, a), h(g(v), b)), P(f(w, w), h(x, y)), P(f(v, a), h(g(v), b))\}$

Answer

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Initializing } \sigma_0 \text{ to } \{\} \\
S_0 &= \{P(f(w, a), h(g(v), b)), P(f(w, w), h(x, y)), P(f(v, a), h(g(v), b))\} \\
D(S_0) &= \{w, v\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_1 &= \{w/v\} \\
S_1 &= \{P(f(w, a), h(g(w), b)), P(f(w, w), h(x, y)), P(f(w, a), h(g(w), b))\} \\
D(S_1) &= \{a, w\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_2 &= \{a/w\} \\
S_2 &= \{P(f(a, a), h(g(a), b)), P(f(a, a), h(x, y)), P(f(a, a), h(g(a), b))\} \\
D(S_2) &= \{g(a), x\} \\
\sigma_3 &= \{g(a)/x\} \\
S_3 &= \{P(f(a, a), h(g(a), b)), P(f(a, a), h(g(a), y)), P(f(a, a), h(g(a), b))\} \\
D(S_3) &= \{b, y\} \\
\sigma_4 &= \{b/y\} \\
S_4 &= \{P(f(a, a), h(g(a), b)), P(f(a, a), h(g(a), b)), P(f(a, a), h(g(a), b))\} \\
|S_4| &= 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma &= \sigma_0 \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \cdot \sigma_3 \cdot \sigma_4 \\
\sigma &= \{\} \cdot \{w/v\} \cdot \{a/w\} \cdot \{g(a)/x\} \cdot \{b/y\} \\
\sigma &= \{w/v\} \cdot \{a/w\} \cdot \{g(a)/x\} \cdot \{b/y\} \\
\sigma &= \{a/v, a/w\} \cdot \{g(a)/x\} \cdot \{b/y\} \\
\sigma &= \{a/v, a/w, g(a)/x\} \cdot \{b/y\} \\
\sigma &= \{a/v, a/w, g(a)/x, b/y\}
\end{aligned}$$

Unification is feasible for above σ .

3. [10 points] Using the clauses

Line	Clause	Justification
1.	$\{\neg P, Q\}$	Given
2.	$\{\neg P, R\}$	Given
3.	$\{\neg S, \neg T, U\}$	Given
4.	$\{\neg U, \neg Q, V\}$	Given
5.	$\{P\}$	Given
6.	$\{S\}$	Given
7.	$\{T\}$	Given

over the propositional symbols P, Q, R, S, T, U, V , give a resolution refutation proof of V in either tree or linear form.

Answer

From logical point of view, we want to prove: $\{A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, A7\} \vdash V$ where

A1: $\{\neg P, Q\}$, A2: $\{\neg P, R\}$, A3: $\{\neg S, \neg T, U\}$, A4: $\{\neg U, \neg Q, V\}$, A5: $\{P\}$, A6: $\{S\}$, A7: $\{T\}$

Thus By refutation, we need to check the consistency of:

$C = \{A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, A7\} \cup \{\neg V\}$

- (a) $\{\neg P, Q\}$
- (b) $\{\neg P, R\}$
- (c) $\{\neg S, \neg T, U\}$
- (d) $\{\neg U, \neg Q, V\}$
- (e) $\{P\}$
- (f) $\{S\}$
- (g) $\{T\}$
- (h) $\{\neg V\}$
- (i) $\{Q\}$ From Steps 1 + 5
- (j) $\{\neg T, U\}$ From Steps 3 + 6
- (k) $\{U\}$ From Steps 7 + 10
- (l) $\{\neg Q, V\}$ From Steps 4 + 11
- (m) $\{V\}$ From Steps 9 + 12
- (n) \square From Steps 8 + 13

Hence C is inconsistent by resolution/refutation so proof of V is established.

4. [30 points] Give a resolution refutation of the following set of clauses. At each step, indicate the literals being resolved together and the substitutions being made. You may find it helpful to standardize variables apart in each clause and at each step.

Line	Clause	Justification	Substitution
1.	$\{P(a, u, f(h(u))), Q(u, a), R(h(b), b)\}$	Given	
2.	$\{P(a, x, f(y)), P(a, z, f(h(b))), \neg R(y, z)\}$	Given	
3.	$\{\neg P(a, w, f(h(b))), Q(x, a)\}$	Given	
4.	$\{\neg R(h(b), w), Q(w, a)\}$	Given	
5.	$\{\neg Q(v, a)\}$	Given	

Answer

Resolution

- (a) $\{P(a, u, f(h(u))), Q(u, a), R(h(b), b)\}$
- (b) $\{P(a, x, f(y)), P(a, z, f(h(b))), \neg R(y, z)\}$
- (c) $\{\neg P(a, w, f(h(b))), Q(x, a)\}$
- (d) $\{\neg R(h(b), w), Q(w, a)\}$
- (e) $\{\neg Q(v, a)\}$
- (f) $\{\neg R(h(b), v)\}$ From Steps 4 + 5. Substituting v/w
- (g) $\{\neg P(a, w, f(h(b)))\}$ From Steps 3 + 5. Substituting v/x
- (h) $\{P(a, v, f(h(v))), R(h(b), b)\}$ From Steps 1 + 5. Substituting v/u
- (i) $\{P(a, b, f(h(b)))\}$ From Steps 6 + 8. Substituting b/v
- (j) \square From 7 + 9. Substituting $\{b/w\}$