

Democrats: See No Evil, Speak No Evil, Hear No Evil

July 2025

The popularity of the democratic party is undoubtedly stagnant. For years now, it seems as though the democratic vote has relied mainly on familial legacies and pre-established trust rather than tempting policy suggestions that affect current Americans quickly and favorably. This reliance has recently capsized the party, and its failure has resulted in the re-election of Donald Trump, presumably as the undecided population seeks any form of change, no matter how extreme it may be. It is also safe to presume that the majority of this fault lies in the democratic party forcefully pushing away the undecided population so that they are now on the other side of the playing field, the republican side.

What is to be done about this situation? How can democrats draw people to their cause while also remaining just to the principles which they advocate so heavily for? Democrats should "see no evil", "hear no evil" and "speak no evil"... we should see no evil as in we should "see" events as they are without guidance from bias; we should "hear" information as it is told or conveyed, without guidance from bias; and we should "speak" information as we believe it to be so, without guidance from bias but instead from the desire to be persuasive. This process should be equally followed, regardless of the situation and the source of the provocation; whether it be from a republican, the undecided voter, or one of our own.

It's easier than ever to accomplish this goal of lacking political prejudice. The election of Donald Trump has shown all of us what policies the public believes to be favorable, so instead of being bashful against these ideas, we should instead welcome them as suggestions and gracefully alter them so that they fit into the core democratic principles and will, hopefully, be more favorable than they were in the beginning. One does not do this via aggressive action, since this only drives away the neutral public, as was done in the past. Rather, we must not force our ideals on others; we must not "speak evil"; we must instead make "suggestions" backed by logic and reasoning.

Our suggestions can only get us so far without some sort of query for them. Suggestions that are truly persuasive come from truly understanding the circumstances that provoke them, which is why we should not attempt to distort these circumstances so as to affirm our own beliefs; we musn't "see" nor "hear" evil.

Following these guidelines, one will find the basis for a persuasive argument that does not oppose the voter but instead usher them and invite them to support an idea that has the potential to be meaningful to their daily lives and subsequently join the cause behind this idea, the democratic party. This simple procedure against radicalism will find them easily decided, especially when juxtaposed with the new-age radicalism of the republican party. We can still win the fight.

R. A. Simon