

# Homework 6: Naive Bayes for Sentiment Analysis

Prof: Yannet Interian

**Due Oct 8th 2013**

## 1 Introduction

In this homework we consider the problem of classifying a document by the “sentiment.” We are going to write a classifier that predicts whether a movie review is positive or negative. We are using the same dataset set of movie reviews used in HW5.

### 1.1 Naive Bayes for document classification

Given a document  $d$  and a class  $c$ , one approach to text classification is to assign to a given document the class

$$c^* = \operatorname{argmax}_c P(c|d)$$

We can substitute  $P(c|d)$ , using Baye’s rule with  $P(c|d) = \frac{P(c)P(d|c)}{P(d)}$ , getting the formula  $c^* = \operatorname{argmax}_c \frac{P(c)P(d|c)}{P(d)}$ . Note that for a fixed document  $d$ ,  $P(d)$  is a constant so the following formula is equivalent:

$$c^* = \operatorname{argmax}_c P(c)P(d|c)$$

We can represent a document  $d$  as a sequence of words  $d = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$ . To estimate  $P(d|c)$ , Naive Bayes assumes that each word is conditionally independent given the a class.

$$c^* = \operatorname{argmax}_c P(c) \prod_{i=1}^m P(w_i|c)$$

If  $M$  is the number of unique words in  $d$  and  $n_i(d)$  is the count of word  $w_i$  in  $d$ , we can write the following equivalent formula.

$$c^* = \operatorname{argmax}_c P(c) \prod_{i=1}^M P(w_i|c)^{n_i(d)} \quad (1)$$

## 1.2 Underflow Prevention: log space

Multiplying lots of probability can result in floating-point underflow. It is better to sum logs of probabilities instead of multiplying probabilities. Using  $\log(xy) = \log(x) + \log(y)$ , we can write (1) as:

$$c^* = \operatorname{argmax}_c \log(P(c) + \sum_{i=1}^M n_i(d) \cdot \log(P(w_i|c)) \quad (2)$$

## 1.3 Parameter Estimation with add 1 smoothing

The maximum likelihood estimator for  $P(w|c)$  is  $\frac{\text{count}(w,c)}{\text{count}(c)} = \frac{\text{counts } w \text{ in class } c}{\text{counts of words in class } c}$ . This estimation of  $P(w|c)$  could be problematic since it would give us probability 0 for documents with unknown words. A common way of solving this problem is to use Laplace smoothing. Let  $V$  be the set of words in the training set, add a new element *UNK* (for unknown) to the set of words. Define

$$P(w|c) = \frac{\text{count}(w, c) + 1}{\text{count}(c) + |V| + 1}$$

In particular, any unknown word will have probability  $\frac{1}{\text{count}(c) + |V| + 1}$ . What is  $P(c)$  for this dataset?

## 1.4 Evaluation

For each class, randomly divide your reviews into “training” and “testing”. Take 2/3 of the reviews for training, these reviews are going to be used for learning the parameters. The rest of the reviews are used for evaluating the algorithm.

## 1.5 Evaluation Metric

$$\text{Accuracy of the testing set} = 100 \cdot \frac{\text{Number of test docs classified correctly}}{\text{Total number of test documents}}$$

## 2 Naive Bayes for Sentiment Analysis

Make a copy of your *unigram.py* code to use it as a starting code for this homework. Call your new code *naive-bayes.py*. **Implement a Naive Bayes algorithm** for the sentiment analysis project in python using the following steps:

1. For each iteration
  - (a) Randomly divide your data in training and testing using 1/3 for testing and 2/3 for training.
  - (b) Use the training set to estimate the parameters  $P(w|c)$  and  $P(c)$  as described in section 1.3.
  - (c) For every document in the testing set use equation (2) to compute  $P(c|d)$  and predict the class  $c^*$ .
  - (d) Compute the accuracy of the testing set as described in section 1.5.
2. Do at least 3 iterations to compute the average accuracy as your performance metric.
3. Give a directory with text files “my\_directory”. I should be able to run your code using the command line:

```
python naive-bayes.py -d my_directory
```

4. Print results for each iteration, output the key metrics as in the example below.

```
iteration 1:
num_pos_test_docs:333
num_pos_training_docs:667
num_pos_correct_docs:267
num_neg_test_docs:331
num_neg_training_docs:669
num_neg_correct_docs:261
accuracy:79%
iteration 2:
...
iteration 3:
...
ave_accuracy:80.3%
```