Recitation 5: More Multivariate Normal

1 Representations, roughly

- Distributions are typically defined by functions (such as density functions, characteristic functions, moments, etc.)
- However, we can also represent a density in terms of other random variables¹
- If you're an algorithms buff, this is somewhat analogous to reducing a seemingly complicated problem to a problem in P and then realizing that there's a simple solution

2 Representation of Multivariate Normal

 \bullet y is Multivariate normal, or

$$y \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$$

if we can write

$$y = \underset{k \times 1}{A} z + \underset{k \times 1}{\mu}$$
$$z_i \sim N(0, 1) , \text{ and } \Sigma = AA^T$$

Note that this means $z \sim N(0, I_{m \times m})$

It's easy to show that this definition is equivalent to the function definitions of MVN.

• Question: Using its representation, find the mean and variance of y

$$E(y) = E(Az + \mu)$$
$$= AE(z) + \mu$$
$$= \mu$$

$$Var(y) = Var(Az + \mu)$$

$$= A Var(z) A^{T} + 0$$

$$= AA^{T}$$

¹Blitzstein and Morris (upcoming book)

3 Linear Combinations of MVN

• Question: Are linear combinations of MVN random variables MVN themselves?

$$y^* = By$$

$$= B(Az + \mu)$$

$$= BAz + B\mu$$

Note that this is now in the form of a MVN random variable,

$$y^* \sim N(B\mu, BAA^TB^T)$$
$$y^* \sim N(B\mu, B\Sigma B^T)$$

4 Subvectors of MVN

• Last week, Jennifer showed that subvectors of MVN are, themselves MVN

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \sim N\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

implies that

$$y_1 \sim N(\mu_1, \Sigma_{11})$$

• Showing this required marginalizing out y_2

$$P(y_1) = \int P(y_1, y_2) \ dy_2$$

This got real involved real fast. We needed to work with block matrix inverses, somewhere, we did a vector complete-the-square, and then somehow, after pages of work, the integral turned out to be 1, and the constants we pulled out of the integral turned out to specify an MVN.²

• Question: Derive the above property using the representation of y.

Hint: Can we write y_1 as a linear combination of y?

$$y_1 = [I \ 0] y$$

So, y_1 is MVN

$$y_1 \sim N([I \ 0] \mu, [I \ 0] \Sigma [I \ 0]^T)$$

 $y_1 \sim N(\mu_1, \Sigma_{11})$

 $^{^2\}mathrm{A}$ nice reference: http://cs229.stanford.edu/section/more_on_gaussians.pdf

5 The Uncorrelation Trick

• Last week, Jennifer also showed that conditional distributions of MVN are MVN

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \sim N\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

implies that

$$y_2|y_1 \sim N(\mu^*, \Sigma^*)$$

where
$$\mu^* = \mu_2 + \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} (y_1 - \mu_1)$$

 $\Sigma^* = \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}$

• Showing this required using the law of conditional probabilities

$$P(y_2|y_1) = \frac{P(y_1, y_2)}{P(y_1)}$$

Again, this got real involved real fast. We again needed to use block matrix inverses, complete-the-square, etc.

• Instead, today, we'll show this using the "uncorrelation trick" 3 :
We can write y_2 in terms of y_1 and $y_{2\cdot 1}$, a centered MVN that's independent of y_1

$$y_2 = \underset{\text{independent of } y_1}{y_{2.1} + \mu_2} + \underset{\text{function of } y_1}{B(y_1 - \mu_1)}$$

But what is B?

$$cov(y_2, y_1) = cov(y_{2\cdot 1} + \mu_2 + B(y_1 - \mu_1), y_1)$$

$$cov(y_2, y_1) = Bcov(y_1, y_1)$$

$$\Sigma_{21} = B\Sigma_{11}$$

$$B = \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}$$

In lecture, Stefanie mentioned a few times that getting this term is like doing linear regression. We're essentially regressing y_2 against y_1 .

Taking $\tilde{y}_1 = y_1 - \mu_1$ and $\tilde{y}_2 = y_2 - \mu_2$, we can write down a model

$$\tilde{y}_2 = B\tilde{y}_1 + \epsilon$$

This leads us to the interpretation that $y_{2\cdot 1}$ is then the residual ϵ after linear regression And the least squares solution for B (see section 2 notes) is

$$B = \tilde{y}_2 \tilde{y}_1^T (\tilde{y}_1 \tilde{y}_1^T)^{-1}$$

= $\Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1}$

³Blitzstein & Morris, upcoming book; other handy references: *Multivariate Analysis* (Mardia, Kent, Bibby), or Stanford Stat 306 Notes - http://statweb.stanford.edu/lpekelis/306a/

6 Conditional Distributions

• Reminding ourselves of the uncorrelation trick:

$$y_2 = y_{2\cdot 1} + \mu_2 + B(y_1 - \mu_1)$$
 independent of y_1 function of y_1

In light of this decomposition, $y_2|y_1$ must be MVN.

• It's straightforward now to find the conditional expectation and variance

$$E(y_2|y_1) = E(y_{2\cdot 1} + \mu_2 + B(y_1 - \mu_1) \mid y_1)$$

= $E(y_{2\cdot 1}|y_1) + \mu_2 + B(E(y_1|y_1) - \mu_1)$
= $\mu_2 + \sum_{1} \sum_{1}^{-1} (y_1 - \mu_1)$

$$Var(y_2|y_1) = Var(y_{2\cdot 1} + \mu_2 + B(y_1 - \mu_1) | y_1)$$

= $Var(y_{2\cdot 1}|y_1) + Var(\mu_2 + B(y_1 - \mu_1)|y_1)$
= $Var(y_{2\cdot 1})$

So now, in order to find $Var(y_2|y_1)$, we just need to find $Var(y_{2\cdot 1})$

$$Var(y_2) = Var(y_{2\cdot 1} + \mu_2 + B(y_1 - \mu_1))$$

$$Var(y_2) = Var(y_{2\cdot 1}) + BVar(y_1 1)B^T$$

$$\Sigma_{22} = Var(y_{2\cdot 1}) + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{11}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}$$

$$Var(y_{2\cdot 1}) = \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}$$

And so plugging this back in

$$Var(y_2|y_1) = \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}$$

7 Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury Formula

- This might come in handy for your problem sets depending on what route you choose to go for the first question
- The formula:

$$(Z + UWV^{T})^{-1} = Z^{-1} - Z^{-1}U(W^{-1} + V^{T}Z^{-1}U)^{-1}V^{T}Z^{-1}$$

- Applications: Recursive least squares, low rank decompositions, etc. Here's a very short application, just to show how it can be useful.
- Example: Matrix perturbations

Suppose the inverse of a matrix A is known.

We perturb each element to get a new matrix B

$$B_{ij} = A_{ij} + \Delta x_i \Delta y_i$$
$$B = A + xy^T$$

As a simple, concrete example, perhaps

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ then the perturbation matrix is } y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

If we want to invert B, we don't need to re-compute the entire matrix inverse. Instead, just plug into the Woodbury formula:

$$B^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}x(1 + y^T A^{-1}x)^{-1}y^T A^{-1}$$

Notice, $(1 + y^T A^{-1}x)$ is a scalar (in this case, it's simply 1), so that's easy to invert.