

Recitation 2: Linear Algebra Review

1 Notation

- $\langle u, v \rangle = u \cdot v = u^T v = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i$
- $\|u\| = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle}$, at least for the purposes of these notes. This is the ℓ_2 norm.

2 Warmup

- **Definition:** For the angle θ_{uv} between u and v , $\cos\theta_{uv} = \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\|u\| \|v\|}$
- **Question:** Show that $-1 \leq \text{corr}(x, y) \leq 1$
- Hint: Let $\tilde{x} = x - \bar{x}$, and $\tilde{y} = y - \bar{y}$

Remember that $\text{corr}(x, y) = \frac{\text{cov}(x, y)}{\text{sd}(x)\text{sd}(y)}$

By the definitions above, $\text{sd}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\|\tilde{x}\|$, $\text{sd}(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\|\tilde{y}\|$, $\text{cov}(x, y) = \frac{1}{n}\langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} -1 &\leq \cos\theta_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}} \leq 1 \\ -1 &\leq \frac{\langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \rangle}{\|\tilde{x}\| \|\tilde{y}\|} \leq 1 \\ -1 &\leq \text{corr}(x, y) \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

3 Linear Regression

- Model: $Y_{n \times 1} = X_{n \times p} \beta_{p \times 1}$

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} - & x_1^T & - \\ & \cdots & \\ - & x_n^T & - \end{pmatrix}$$

- How do we find a reasonable value for β
- Idea: minimize the squared error

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2 \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} (Y - X\beta)^T (Y - X\beta) \end{aligned}$$

- **Property:** $\nabla_x (u^T v) = u^T (\nabla_x v) + v^T (\nabla_x u)$
- **Question:** Find β

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\doteq \nabla_{\beta} (Y - X\beta)^T (Y - X\beta) \\ 0 &= -2 (Y - X\beta)^T (X) \\ X^T X \beta &= X^T Y \\ \hat{\beta} &= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y \end{aligned}$$

And our predicted values

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Y} &= X \hat{\beta} \\ \hat{Y} &= X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y \end{aligned}$$

4 Normal Errors and MLE

- Let's rewrite our model to account for noise:

$$y_i = x_i\beta + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, 1)$$

- It turns out that this implies Y is a multivariate normal:

$$Y \sim N(X\beta, I)$$

- **Definition:** A multivariate normal random variable $z \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ has a pdf:

$$f(z; \mu, \Sigma) = \det(2\pi\Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(z - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(z - \mu))$$

- **Question:** Find the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) for β

The pdf for Y is

$$f(Y; X\beta, I) = \det(2\pi I)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(Y - X\beta)^T (Y - X\beta))$$

So the log likelihood function for β is

$$l(\beta) = \log(\text{some constant wrt } \beta) - \frac{1}{2}(Y - X\beta)^T (Y - X\beta)$$

To find the MLE for β , we need to maximize the function above. And simplifying:

$$\hat{\beta}_{MLE} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\beta} -(Y - X\beta)^T (Y - X\beta)$$

Notice that this is equivalent to taking the *argmin* of the sum of squared error.

$$\hat{\beta}_{SSE} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} (Y - X\beta)^T (Y - X\beta)$$

5 Vector Spaces

- X is $n \times p$ matrix.

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & & | \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_p \\ | & | & & | \end{pmatrix}$$

- Column space: $C(X) = \{w : w = Xc\}$
- Null space: $N(X) = \{w : Xw = 0\}$
- Orthogonal complement: $C(X)^\perp = \{w : w^T X = 0\}$
- **Question:** Show that \hat{Y} (our vector of fitted values) lives in $C(X)$, and $Y - \hat{Y}$ (our vector of residuals) lives in $C(X)^\perp$.

If \hat{Y} is in $C(X)$, then we should be able to find a vector c such that $\hat{Y} = Xc$. This is easy, because we defined $\hat{Y} = X\hat{\beta}$.

If $Y - \hat{Y}$ is in $C(X)^\perp$ then $(Y - \hat{Y})X = 0$. Remember that in finding $\hat{\beta}$ in Section 2, we'd taken the gradient of the sum of squared errors and set that to 0. This gave us the condition that $2(Y - X\hat{\beta})^T(-X) = 0$, which is equivalent to the condition that $(Y - \hat{Y})X = 0$.

6 Eigenvectors and Eigenvalues

- For the matrix A , v is an **eigenvector** corresponding to the **eigenvalue** λ if

$$Av = \lambda v$$

Here λ is a scalar

- The intuition is that A only stretches v and does not rotate the vector
- Here's an example:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvectors of A are $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ with corresponding eigenvalues 1.5 and 0.5.

- **Spectral Decomposition:** We can decompose any real, symmetric matrix A as

$$A = Q\Lambda Q^T$$

Where the columns of U are the **orthogonal eigenvectors** of A and Λ contains the **eigenvalues** of A (everything is real). Q is an orthogonal matrix, which means it is a square matrix and that the columns are orthogonal and have norm 1.

- Back to the previous example, we can rescale our eigenvectors and eigenvalues to get Q and λ . The current norm of the two vectors is $\sqrt{2}$ so

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Why do we care about Spectral Decomposition?
 - Spectral decomposition gives us a really nice way of getting pseudoinverses, as we can just take the inverse of Λ . Taking the inverse of Λ is easy, because it's a diagonal matrix, so the inverse is diagonal with entries $1/\lambda_{ii}$.
 - It also gives us a nice way of taking matrix square roots (we can just take the square root of λ).
 - There's a nice interpretation of the eigenvectors as the principal axes of variation. This will come into play again when we talk about PCA next week.