

Lecture with Computer Exercises: Modelling and Simulating Social Systems with MATLAB

Project Report

Pedestrian dynamics in long, narrow hallways

Moser Manuel, Suter Yannick & Theiler Raphael

Zurich December 2012

Agreement for free-download

We hereby agree to make our source code for this project freely available for download from the web pages of the SOMS chair. Furthermore, we assure that all source code is written by ourselves and is not violating any copyright restrictions.

Name 1 Name 2

Contents

1	Abstract	4
2	Individual contributions	4
3	Introduction and Motivations	4
4	Description of the Model	4
5	Implementation 5.1 Simplifications	5 5
6	Simulation Results and Discussion 6.1 Goals	6 6 6 7
7	Summary and Outlook	8
8	References	8
9	Want-To-Do-List	9
A	Appendix	11

- 1 Abstract
- 2 Individual contributions
- 3 Introduction and Motivations
- 4 Description of the Model

5 Implementation

5.1 Simplifications

- x All our agents walk on their own, there are no groups of friends, families etc who stand together as much as possible.
- ? All have the same mean speed, size, ??
- ? Any other simplifications?

6 Simulation Results and Discussion

6.1 Goals

First, let's have a look at what our goals were. We planned to have a look at the pedestrian flux, how it can be improved and jammings be avoided. We furthermore wanted to have a closer look to what happens during rush-hours and in a situation when much more people are moving in one direction than in the other.

On the agent-based side of our model, we wanted to analyze the influence of aggressive fast people in a rush, slowly moving obstacles (eg. mothers with baby buggies) and the influence of drunkard (more or less randomized walking) on the pedestrian flux.

If everything went well, we also wanted to implement a static obstacle and see what happens.

6.2 Achievements

As soon as we started programming we realized there was a major point of importance about this work we all were aware of, but had forgot to put it in the project proposal. We all did not want to start with an already known program or algorithms, but build something "new". So we started off creating our logic function that would allow the agents to avoid crashing into other agents and not working with repulsive forces as for example Helbing (Quelle angeben, ist das lteste Paper) did.

Quite proudly, we can now say we managed to do this. Our idea of the agents "thinking ahead" by consulting where other agents are and not just being pushed around by repulsive forces worked.

We now are able to play with lots of input variables, the most important being number of agents entering the corridor per time and the agents' characteristics as size, speed and lots more.

A nice thing we built but did not originally plan to is that we planned to and did research on the sitation as explained earlier in the long, narrow corridor in Zurich mainstation. But in our simulation, one can also change dimensions as length and shape of the walls easily.

6.3 Fundamental Questions

Our fundamental research questions were:

• How does the simulation behave in the following situations: rush hour, with obstacle, with very slow/fast agents, random path agent (drunkard)? Does it

run smoothly or will ther be jams?

- How will our implementation of a rudimentary kind of ?thinking ahead? affect the simulation? Will it work good or bad? Can we compare it to other implementations?
- Are there any group dynamics evolving as lane or group formation?

6.4 Comparing measurements

Saturday, Nov 17th, we did some quick mesaurements right at Zurich main station to have some data we could compare. Two measurements were taken, only some minutes lay between these, that was when we measured the length and breadth of our corridor. The measurements were:

- 1 The "boring" measurement: During 2 minutes 14 pedestrians headed tracks 3-18, and 20 pedestrians directed towards tram station "Bahnhofsquai". No problems at all, very fluently.
- 2 The "crowded" measurement: During 2 minutes, 41 pedestrians headed tracks 3-18, and 33 pedestrians directed towards tram station "Bahnhofsquai". People got stuck, ran into each other, had to walk stop-and-go-like.

- 7 Summary and Outlook
- 8 References

9 Want-To-Do-List

- im latex-folder inne euse report zu "report" umbenenne (statt wie bisher reportTemplate)
- Titelblatt: Titel so guet oder i zwei Zeile ufteile?
- Titelblatt: nachli meh Platz iefege zwsched die Sache (zwsched ETH-Bildli, Lecture.., Titel, und Zurich December 2012)?
- Titelblatt: December 2012 guet?
- chamer ae oe ue au na enable? ;)

Im File reportTemplate.tex:

- was bi date ietueh? today, oder doch eifach scho direkt de 14.12. wemmers mend abgeh? oder chamer en art "min(today, 14.12.2012) mache"? :P Uf di ander Siite griifemer eh niened uf das Datum zrugg, uf de Frontpage staht December 2012 (Vorlag isch gsi May 2008)...
- wieso hets nach inputcover, newpage sonen fette %%%-Balke, denn weder newpage und denn s agreement? Chamer da nid de Balke + einisch s newpage uselsche?
- allgemein: reportTemplate verschnern/verkrzen!
- : Ich wrde die einzelnen Titel und jeweiligen newpages ins jeweilige dokument nehmen (wie jetzt bei der Wanttodolist getan). Warum (nicht) ?
- Agreement for free-download als separates File gut so?
- Agreement for free download: 3. Name einfgen; verschnern
- "fixes" pdf is LaTex ibinde mglich? (und wie zellts denn d Siite?): well mer mend ja na die Declaration ietueh, da ischsi offiziell: http://www.ethz.ch/faculty/exams/plagiarism/confirmation_en.pdf (eifach vo dem Link wos drin gha hend); s isch allerdings nur fr 1 Person, ich chum ned ganz drus b mer das ding eifach 3mal mend usflle (jede einzeln) oder bs da na en gruppe-version gbti, well online isch nt... fallsd d antwort weisch: gib sie mir; und sust schrib ich mal dere kontaktperson obe rechts.
- Theiler: was wie womit ignoriere?

• Fuer github: git config –global user.name "sutery" git config –global user.email "sutery@student.ethz.ch"

A Appendix