CS 561 Artificial Intelligence Lecture # 2 Probability Review

Rashmi Dutta Baruah

Department of Computer Science & Engineering
IIT Guwahati



भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान गुवाहाटी Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati

Guwahati - 781039, INDIA

- Probability: a measure of belief (as opposed to being a frequency) — Bayesian Probability or Subjective Probability
- Example: Suppose there are three agents, Alice, Bob and Chris, and one die has been tossed.
 - Alice observes that the outcome is a "6" and tells Bob that the outcome is even but Chris knows nothing about the outcome
 - Alice has probability (degree of belief) about the outcome of the toss to be "6" is "1", Bob has probability of "1/3" (Bob believes Alice and considers that all events outcome are equally likely), Chris has probability "1/6".

- Axioms of Probability
 - Suppose P is a function from propositions (or events) into real numbers that satisfies the following three axioms of probability:
 - Axiom 1: $0 \le P(\alpha)$ for any proposition α i.e. the belief in any proposition cannot be negative.
 - Axiom 2: $P(\tau) = 1$ if τ is a tautology i.e. if τ is true in all possible worlds, its probability is 1.
 - Axiom 3: $P(\alpha \lor \beta) = P(\alpha) + P(\beta)$ if α and β are contradictory propositions i.e. if $\sim (\alpha \land \beta)$ is a tautology. If two propositions can not both be true (they are mutually exclusive) then the probability of their disjunction is the sum of their probabilities.

- Conditional Probability
- We do not only want to know the prior probability of some proposition, but we want to know how this belief is updated when an agent observes new evidence.
- The **unconditional or prior probability** refers to the degree of belief in proposition in the absence of any other information (or when agent has not observed anything).
- The measure of belief in proposition α based on proposition β is called **conditional (or posterior)** probability of α given β and written as $P(\alpha|\beta)$. β is also referred to as **evidence**.
- For an agent the conjunction of all his observations of the world is evidence.
- When taking decision an agent has to condition on **all** the evidence it has observed.

- Conditional Probability
- Conditional probabilities are defined in terms of unconditional probabilities
 - $P(\alpha|\beta) = \frac{P(\alpha \land \beta)}{P(\beta)}$ which holds whenever $P(\beta) > 0$
 - It can also be written in the form of **product rule**
 - $P(\alpha \land \beta) = P(\alpha | \beta) P(\beta)$ for α and β to be true, we need β to be true and also need α to be true given β .

- Joint Probability Distribution
 - Random variable (neither random nor variable) : function from some discrete domain (in our case) \rightarrow [0,1]
 - Domain of random variable is the set of all possible values that it can take on.
 - Example: random variable Weather and its domain is { sunny, rain, cloudy, snow}
 - Weather(sunny) = 0.2, this is usually written as
 - P(Weather = sunny) = 0.2 or P(sunny) = 0.2
 - **Probability Distribution:** probability assignment of all possible values for a random variable

- Joint Probability Distribution
 - **Probability Distribution:** probability assignment of all possible values for a random variable

```
P(Weather = sunny) = 0.6
P(Weather = rain) = 0.1
P(Weather = cloudy) = 0.29
P(Weather = snow) = 0.01
\mathbf{P}(Weather) = <0.6, 0.1, 0.29, 0.01>, \mathbf{P} \text{ indicates that the result is a vector of numbers and defines a probability distribution}
```

• For multiple random variables, we use the term **Joint Probability Distribution:** probability assignment to all combinations of the values of the random variables

- Joint Probability Distribution
 - Example: **Dentistry domain** with two propositional random variables : *Cavity* and *Toothache*
 - $Cavity = \{cavity, \sim cavity\}$: Does the patient have a cavity or not?
 - *Toothache* = $\{toothache, \sim toothache\}$: Does the patient have toothache?

	toothache	~toothache
cavity	0.04	0.06
~cavity	0.01	0.89

- Inference with Joint Probability Distribution: a simple method of probabilistic inference
 - Joint probability distribution table can be used as a "knowledge base" for answering any query
 - Example: What is the probability of cavities?
 - Answer: The probability of cavities is 0.1 (add elements of *cavity* row i.e. cavities with and without toothache) obtained by Marginalization (or summing out)
 - $P(Y) = \sum_{z \in Z} P(Y, z)$

	toothache	~toothache
cavity	0.04	0.06
~cavity	0.01	0.89

- Inference with Joint Probability Distribution
 - Example: What is the probability that if a patient comes with a toothache has a cavity?
 - Answer: $P(cavity \mid toothache) = P(cavity \land toothache) / P(toothache) = 0.04/0.05 = 0.8$
 - So, the probability that someone has cavity is 0.1 but if we know that he/she has toothache then the probability increases to 0.8.

Problem: the size of the table

Bayes' Rule and its Use

- Product rule:
 - $P(a \land b) = P(a \mid b) P(b)$, it can also be written as
 - $P(a \land b) = P(b \mid a) P(a)$
- Equating the two RHS and dividing by P(a), we get the equation known as Bayes' Rule:
 - $P(b \mid a) = P(a \mid b)P(b) / P(a)$
- Bayes' Rule is useful for assessing diagnostic probability from causal probability

cause effect

- P(disease | symptom) = P(symptom | disease) P(disease) / P(symptom)
- Example: disease = measles, symptom = high fever
 - P(disease | symptom) may be different in India vs US: diagnostic direction
 - P(symptom | disease) should be same : causal direction
 - So, it is more useful to learn relationships in causal direction and use it to compute the diagnostic probabilities.

Bayes' Rule and its Use

- Conditioning (can be used to determine *P(symptom)*)
 - $P(a) = P(a \land b) + P(a \land \sim b) = P(a \mid b) P(b) + P(a \mid \sim b) P(\sim b)$
- Independence
 - $P(a \land b) = P(a).P(b)$
 - P(a | b) = P(a): knowing that b is true does not give us any more information about the truth of a
 - P(b | a) = P(b)
- Independence is helpful in efficient probabilistic reasoning.
- Conditional Independence
 - a and b are conditionally independent given c
 - $P(a \mid b, c) = P(a \mid c)$
 - $P(b \mid a, c) = P(b \mid c)$
 - $P(a \land b \mid c) = P(a \mid c)$. $P(b \mid c)$

Using Bayes' Rule: combining evidence

- Example: Dentistry domain
 - Toothache
 - Cavity
 - Xray-Spot
- given variables are not independent but *toothache* and *xrayspot* are conditionally independent given *cavity*
- Combining evidence

```
• P(Cavity \mid toothache, xrayspot) = \frac{P(toothache, xrayspot \mid Cavity) P(Cavity)}{P(toothache, xrayspot)}
```

- Assuming that xrayspot and toothache are conditionally independent
- P(Cavity | toothache, xrayspot) =
 P(toothache | Cavity) P(xrayspot | Cavity) P(Cavity)
 P(toothache, xrayspot)

Using Bayes' Rule: combining evidence

- Combining evidence
 - P(Cavity | toothache, xrayspot) =
 P(toothache | Cavity) P(xrayspot | Cavity) P(Cavity)
 P(toothache, xrayspot)
 - We started with prior probability of someone having cavity and as the evidence arrives (xrayspot) we multiplied a factor to and so on as new evidences arrive.
- Normalizing constant (*P*(toothache, xrayspot))

```
 \begin{array}{c|c|c} P(cavity \mid toothache \,, xrayspot) + P(\sim cavity \mid toothache \,, xrayspot) = 1 \\ \hline P(toothache \mid cavity) \; P(xrayspot \mid cavity) \; P(cavity) \\ \hline P(toothache, xrayspot) \\ \hline P(toothache \mid \sim cavity) \; P(xrayspot \mid \sim cavity) \; P(\sim cavity) \\ \hline P(toothache, xrayspot) \\ \hline P(toothache \mid cavity) \; P(xrayspot \mid cavity) \; P(cavity) + P(toothache \mid \sim cavity) \\ P(xrayspot \mid \sim cavity) \; P(\sim cavity) = P(toothache, xrayspot) \\ \hline \end{array}
```

Conditional Independence

• Given a cause (disease) that influences a number of effects (symptoms), which are conditionally independent, the full joint distribution can be written as

```
\mathbf{P}(Cause, Effect_1, ..., Effect_n) = \mathbf{P}(Cause) \Pi_i \mathbf{P}(Effect_i \mid Cause)
```

- this is called the *naïve Bayes model*
 - it makes the simplifying assumption that *all* effects are conditionally independent
 - it is naïve in that it is applied to many problems although the effect variables are not precisely conditionally independent given the cause variable
 - nevertheless, such systems often work well in practice