MeqTrees, Measurement Equations, And All That

O. Smirnov (ASTRON)

Estartale III, Alla De

Ma-ulilla Jik Calus

MeqTrees -- 3rd MCCT SKADS Training School, Paris

Plans

- MeqTrees
- Measurement Equations
- Live Demos

MeqTrees, What And Why

- A software system for building numerical models – *simulation*
- ...and solving for their parameters – *calibration*
- Models are usually derived via a measurement equation
 - (we are, after all, in the *measurement* business)
- ...and specified as trees
 - because this is a very flexible way to specify low-level mathematical expressions
 - the high-level user may be (blissfully) oblivious to this



The Measurement Equation (of a generic radio interferometer)

- First formulated by Hamaker, Bregman & Sault (and further developed by Hamaker.)
- A mathematically complete and elegant description of what you actually measure with an interferometer
 - all we had before were hints and approximations
- Absolutely crucial for simulating and calibrating the next generation of radio telescopes; everything literally revolves around it.
- Like most great things, is utterly obvious in hindsight.

2.

A Wafer-Thin Slice of Physics: EM Field Propagation

Pick an *xyz* frame with *z* along the direction of propagation. The EM field can be described by the complex vector $\vec{e} = \begin{pmatrix} e_x \\ e_y \end{pmatrix}$

The fundamental assumption is **LINEARITY**:

1. Propagation through a medium is linear

 \Rightarrow can be fully described by a 2x2 complex matrix:

$$\vec{e}' = \boldsymbol{J}\vec{e}$$
 i.e. $\begin{pmatrix} e'_x \\ e'_y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_x \\ e_y \end{pmatrix}$
Receptor voltages $\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_x \\ v_y \end{pmatrix}$ are also linear w.r.t. \vec{e}

Single Dish



polarized feeds

Interferometry



A Wafer-Thin Slice of Physics: Correlations & Visibilities

An interferometer measures *correlations* btw voltages \vec{v}_p, \vec{v}_q :

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{xx} = \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{px} \boldsymbol{v}_{qx}^{*} \rangle, \boldsymbol{v}_{xy} = \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{px} \boldsymbol{v}_{qy}^{*} \rangle, \boldsymbol{v}_{yx} = \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{py} \boldsymbol{v}_{qx}^{*} \rangle, \boldsymbol{v}_{yy} = \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{py} \boldsymbol{v}_{qy}^{*} \rangle$$

It is convenient to represent these as a matrix product:

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{pq} = \langle \vec{\boldsymbol{v}}_{p} \vec{\boldsymbol{v}}_{q}^{\dagger} \rangle = \langle \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{V}_{px} \\ \boldsymbol{V}_{py} \end{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{v}_{qx}^{*} \boldsymbol{v}_{qy}^{*}) \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{V}_{xx} & \boldsymbol{V}_{xy} \\ \boldsymbol{V}_{yx} & \boldsymbol{V}_{yy} \end{pmatrix}$$

($\langle \rangle$: time/freq averaging; \uparrow : conjugate-and-transpose) V_{pq} is also called the *visibility matrix*.

Now let's assume that all radiation arrives from a single point, and designate the "source" E.M. vector by *e*.

A Wafer-Thin Slice of Physics: The M.E. Emerges

Antennas p,q then measure: $\vec{v}_p = J_p \vec{e}$, $\vec{v}_q = J_q \vec{e}$

where J_p , J_q are Jones matrices describing the signal paths from the source to the antennas.

Then $\mathbf{V}_{pq} = \langle (\mathbf{J}_{p}\vec{e})(\mathbf{J}_{q}\vec{e})^{\dagger} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{J}_{p}(\vec{e}\vec{e}^{\dagger})\mathbf{J}_{q}^{\dagger} \rangle = \mathbf{J}_{p}\langle \vec{e}\vec{e}^{\dagger} \rangle \mathbf{J}_{q}^{\dagger}$

(making use of $(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{B})^{t} = \boldsymbol{B}^{t}\boldsymbol{A}^{t}$, and <u>assuming</u> \boldsymbol{J}_{p} is constant over $\langle \rangle$)

The inner quantity is known as the *source coherency* :

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \langle \vec{e} \, \vec{e}^{\dagger} \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} I + Q & U \pm i V \\ U \mp i V & I - Q \end{pmatrix} \iff (I, Q, U, V)$$

which we can also call the *source brightness*. Thus:

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{pq} = \boldsymbol{J}_{p} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{J}_{q}^{\dagger}$$

And That's The Measurement Equation!

 $\boldsymbol{V}_{pq} = \boldsymbol{J}_{p} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{J}_{q}^{\dagger}$

• Or in more pragmatic terms:

$$\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{measured} \\ \textbf{XX} & \textbf{XY} \\ \textbf{YX} & \textbf{YY} \end{array}\right)}_{\text{posterior}} = \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{c} j_{xx(p)} & j_{xy(p)} \\ j_{yx(p)} & j_{yy(p)} \end{array}\right)}_{\text{posterior}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} l+Q & U+iV \\ U-iV & l-Q \end{array}\right)}_{\text{source}} \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{c} j_{xx(q)}^{*} & j_{yx(q)}^{*} \\ j_{xy(q)}^{*} & j_{yy(q)}^{*} \end{array}\right)}_{\text{posterior}}$$

 NB: it is also possible to write the ME with a circular polarization basis (RR, LL, etc.) We'll use linear polarization throughout.

Jones Matrices

- J is called a Jones matrix
- Total **J** is a product of individual Jones terms:

$$\vec{v} = J_n(J_{n-1}(\dots J_1 \vec{e})) = (\prod_{i=n}^1 J_i)\vec{e} = J\vec{e}$$

where $J_1 \dots J_n$ describes the full signal path.

- Order of **J**s corresponds to the physical order of effects in your signal path.
- Matrices (usually) don't commute!

Accumulating Jones Terms

If J_p , J_q are products of Jones matrices: $J_p = J_{pn} \dots J_{p1}$, $J_q = J_{qm} \dots J_{q1}$ Since $(\mathbf{AB})^{\dagger} = \mathbf{B}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}^{\dagger}$, the M.E. becomes: $V_{pq} = J_{pn} \dots J_{p2} J_{p1} \mathbf{B} J_{q1}^{\dagger} J_{q2}^{\dagger} \dots J_{qm}^{\dagger}$

or in the "onion form":

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{pq} = \boldsymbol{J}_{pn}(\dots(\boldsymbol{J}_{p2}(\boldsymbol{J}_{p1}\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{J}_{q1}^{\dagger})\boldsymbol{J}_{q2}^{\dagger})\dots)\boldsymbol{J}_{qm}^{\dagger}$$

Why Is This Great?

- A complete and mathematically elegant framework for describing all kinds of signal propagation effects.
- ...including those at the antenna, e.g.:
 - beam & receiver gain
 - dipole rotation
 - receptor cross-leakage
- Effortlessly incorporates polarization:
 - think in terms of a **B** matrix and never worry about polarization again.
- Applies with equal ease to heterogeneous arrays, by using different Jones chains.

Why Is This Even Greater?

• Most effects have a very simple Jones representation:

gain:
$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} g_x & 0 \\ 0 & g_y \end{pmatrix}$$
 phase delay: $\begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\phi} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\phi} \end{pmatrix} \equiv e^{-i\phi}$
rotation: $\begin{pmatrix} \cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma \\ \sin \gamma & \cos \gamma \end{pmatrix} \equiv \operatorname{Rot}(\gamma)$ (rotation matrix)
e.g. Faraday rotation: $\mathbf{F} = \operatorname{Rot}(\frac{\operatorname{RM}}{v^2})$

Three Layers Of Intuition

Physical

- Beam pattern of X and Y dipoles different, causes instrumental polarization of off-center sources
- Parallactic angle rotates angle of polarization

Geometrical

- A Jones matrix is also a coordinate tranform
- gain is stretching => instrumental polarization
- P.A. is a rotation
- The two do not commute
- Mathematical: matrix properties

$$\boldsymbol{G} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\chi} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{\chi} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \boldsymbol{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma \\ \sin \gamma & \cos \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

ME ME ME

- The general formulation above is "<u>The</u> Measurement Equation" (of a generic radio interferometer...)
- When we want to simulate a specific instrument, we put specific Jones terms into the ME, and derive <u>a measurement equation</u> for that instrument.
- We then *implement* that specific m.e. in software (e.g. with MeqTrees)
- Existing packages implicitly use specific m.e.'s of their own.

Example 1: A Lonely Point Source



Observing a point source with a perfect instrument

Even w/o instrumental effects, we still have empty space, so:

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{pq} = \boldsymbol{K}_{p} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{K}_{q}^{T}$$

K_p is the *phase shift* term, a **scalar** Jones matrix:

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{p} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\phi_{p}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\phi_{p}} \end{pmatrix} \equiv e^{-i\phi_{p}}$$

K accounts for the pathlength difference

 (and is what makes interferometry possible in the first place...)

The (familiar?) Scalar Case

'Classic' (scalar) visibility of a source:

$$v_{pq} = l e^{-i\phi_{pq}}$$

where ϕ_{pq} is the *interferometer phase difference*:

$$\phi_{pq} = 2 \pi (u_{pq} l + v_{pq} m + w_{pq} (n-1))$$

This can be decomposed into per-antenna phases by decomposing $(u_{pq}, v_{pq}, w_{pq}) = \vec{u}_{pq} = \vec{u}_p - \vec{u}_{q}$.

$$v_{pq} = I e^{-i(\phi_p - \phi_q)} = e^{-i\phi_p} I (e^{-i\phi_q})^*$$

Implicit m.e.'s ("What Would AIPS Do?")

- Pre-ME packages use some implicit, specific, form of the ME
- For example, a perfect point source:

$$V_{xx,pq} = \frac{1}{2} (I+Q) e^{-i\phi_{pq}} = e^{-i\phi_{p}} (I+Q) (e^{-i\phi_{q}})^{*}$$
$$V_{yy,pq} = \frac{1}{2} (I-Q) e^{-i\phi_{pq}} = e^{-i\phi_{p}} (I-Q) (e^{-i\phi_{q}})^{*}$$

etc...

compare this to:

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{pq} = \boldsymbol{K}_{p} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{K}_{q}^{\dagger},$$

with
$$\boldsymbol{B} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} I + Q & 0 \\ 0 & I - Q \end{pmatrix}$$

MeqTree Components

meqbrowser

- GUI front-end, provides controls & visualization,

meqserver

- Computational back-end to do the heavy work
- **TDL** (Tree Definition Language)
 - Python-based scripting language to define trees
 - Runs on the browser side

Frameworks

- High-level TDL frameworks for implementing M.E.s, simulation, calibration, etc.
- Ancillary tools (PURR, etc.)

Group 1: Developers



Developers:

- overworked
- underpaid
- grouchy
 - ...but covered in reflected glory

NB: this is not a picture of Oleg

Group 2: Power Users



Power Users:

- have more fun
- steal glory from developers

NB: this is also not a picture of Oleg

Group 3: Button-Pushing Astronomers



The ideal astronomer GUI (Tony Willis):



The Two Cardinal Rules Of Doing Live Demos

424.5

- 1. Don't do live demos
- 2. Don't use unstable code

Simulation Demo 1

- Run the browser (meqbrower.py)
- Start a meqserver from the browser
- Load a TDL script (sim.py)
- Setup options
 - MS: WSRT
 - sky model: single point source at center of field
 - no Jones terms
- Compile script, run the tree to fill MS with simulated visibilities
- Run the imager to make a dirty image of the simulation



PURR

- "PURR is Useful for Remembering Reductions"
- Disciplined people keep notes
- Undisciplined people write software

Using PURR

- The object of PURR is to make note-keeping as effortless as possible
- PURR watches your working directory for new or modified files ("data products")
 - configuration files, images, screenshots
- Offers to save them to a log
 - ...along with descriptive comments
 - And useful rendering of things like images
- Purrlogs are natively saved in HTML and may be immediately published or shared

Example PURR Logs

Calibrating 3C147:

http://www.astron.nl/meqwiki-data/users/oms/ 3C147-Calibration-Tutorial/purrlog/

Enthroned chicken:

http://www-astro.physics.ox.ac.uk/~ianh/ PURRLOGS/enthroned/

Introducing Complex Gains

• The "classic" view: each receiver has a complex amplitude and phase term (troposphere/electronics/etc.)

$$V_{xx,pq} = \frac{1}{2} (I+Q) e^{-i\phi_{pq}} g_{x,p} g_{x,q}^{*}$$

$$V_{yy,pq} = \frac{1}{2} (I-Q) e^{-i\phi_{pq}} g_{y,p} g_{y,q}^{*}$$

$$V_{xy,pq} = \frac{1}{2} (U+iV) e^{-i\phi_{pq}} g_{x,p} g_{y,q}^{*}$$

$$V_{yx,pq} = \frac{1}{2} (U-iV) e^{-i\phi_{pq}} g_{y,p} g_{x,q}^{*}$$

Gains: The ME View

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{pq} = \boldsymbol{G}_{p} \boldsymbol{K}_{p} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{K}_{q}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{\dagger}$$
$$\boldsymbol{G}_{p} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{g}_{x,p} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{g}_{y,p} \end{pmatrix}$$

and with multiple sources:

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{pq} = \boldsymbol{G}_{p} \left(\sum_{s} \boldsymbol{K}_{p}^{(s)} \boldsymbol{B}^{(s)} \boldsymbol{K}_{q}^{(s)\dagger} \right) \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{\dagger}$$

Simulation Demo 2

- We'll throw a G Jones into the mix
- The G Jones module provided here implements a simple error model: sine wave
- More realistic error models may be plugged in
 Implementation is just a bit of Python code
- Rerun sim.py
 - Grid model, 5x5 mJy sources at 5', 1 Jy at center
 - enable G Jones phase error
 - 120 degrees, 2-4 hours
 - Add some noise
- Open bookmarks

Visualization Everywhere

- One of the guiding principles of MeqTrees: everything can be visualized
 - any intermediate calculation or result may be published into the browser and plotted
- But some visualizations are more interesting than others
 - the script (i.e. its author) knows which these are
- Scripts can define "bookmarks" for interesting visualizations

Calibration (Can Be Fun)



Personnel stack 4,000 boxes of TNT, at 50 pounds a box, to make a stack of 100 tons of TNT for the May 7, 1945, calibration test.

Classic (Scalar) Selfcal

- Start with a sky model (point source at center, etc.)
- Solve for complex gains by fitting observed data:

$$v_{xx,pq} = \frac{1}{2} (I + Q) e^{-i\phi_{pq}} g_{x,p} g_{x,q}^* \rightarrow d_{xx,pq}$$
$$v_{yy,pq} = \frac{1}{2} (I - Q) e^{-i\phi_{pq}} g_{y,p} g_{y,q}^* \rightarrow d_{yy,pq}$$

• Iteratively refine sky model, rinse, repeat

M.E.-based (Matrix) Selfcal

- Start with a sky model (point source at center, etc.)
- Solve for G Jones elements by fitting observed data:

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{pq} = \boldsymbol{G}_{p} \boldsymbol{K}_{p} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{K}_{q}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_{pq}$$

- Iteratively refine sky model, rinse, repeat
- Arbitrary Jones terms may be added (and solved for!)

Calibration Demo 1

- Load cal.py
 - Use 2x2 data, diagonal terms only
 - Enable calibrate & correct
 - Use sky model with 1 source at center
 - Enable G Jones (FullRealImag)
- Open bookmarks for G and for corrected residuals
- Solve for G diagonal terms
 - Subtiling of 1 in time
 - Tile size 20
- Make an image of the corrected data

M.E. Calibration Terminology

- $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_{pq} &: \text{observed visibilities ('data')} \\ \mathbf{K}_{p}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}_{q}^{\dagger} &: \text{sky model (or } \sum \mathbf{K}_{p}^{(s)}\mathbf{B}^{(s)}\mathbf{K}_{q}^{(s)\dagger}) \\ \mathbf{V}_{pq} = \mathbf{G}_{p}\mathbf{K}_{p}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}_{q}^{\dagger}\mathbf{G}_{q}^{\dagger} &: \text{corrupted model ('predict')} \end{aligned}$
- $\boldsymbol{D}_{pq} \boldsymbol{V}_{pq} \rightarrow \min$

: calibration

- $$\begin{split} & \pmb{D}_{pq} \pmb{V}_{pq} \\ & \pmb{G}_{p}^{-1} \pmb{D}_{pq} \pmb{G}_{q}^{-1 \dagger} \\ & \pmb{G}_{p}^{-1} (\pmb{D}_{pq} \pmb{V}_{pq}) \pmb{G}_{q}^{-1 \dagger} \end{split}$$
- : corrupted residuals
- : corrected data
- : corrected residuals

 $\boldsymbol{D}_{pq}(\text{data})$



 $oldsymbol{G}_{p}^{-1}oldsymbol{D}_{pq}oldsymbol{G}_{q}^{-1}$ (corrected data)



mJy level

Major Loop Of Calibration

- Make initial sky model
- Calibrate, subtract sky model, and generate corrected residuals
- Use corrected residuals (deconvolution, etc.) to improve sky model
- Repeat until satisfied

• What is satisfaction?

Calibration (Noordam Definition)

Real-Life Residuals

- Real-life residuals are always contaminated by imperfect subtraction of sources (due to calibration error)
- Causes of error:
 - Contamination from sources not included in sky model
 - Imperfect instrument models
 - RFI, insufficient flagging
- Error level here ~0.01 mJy (dynamic range: 1:100,000)



The Classical Approach To Polarization



Classical Equation For Polarization Selfcal

$$\begin{split} R_{j}R_{k}^{*} &= G_{Rj}G_{Rk}^{*} \left[E_{Rj}E_{Rk}^{*}e^{-i\left(\phi_{j}-\phi_{k}\right)} + D_{Rk}^{*}E_{Rj}E_{Lk}^{*}e^{-i\left(\phi_{j}+\phi_{k}\right)} + \\ & D_{Rj}E_{Lj}E_{Rk}^{*}e^{i\left(\phi_{j}+\phi_{k}\right)} + D_{Rj}D_{Rk}^{*}E_{Lj}E_{Lk}^{*}e^{i\left(\phi_{j}-\phi_{k}\right)} \right] \\ R_{j}L_{k}^{*} &= G_{Rj}G_{Lk}^{*} \left[E_{Rj}E_{Lk}^{*}e^{-i\left(\phi_{j}+\phi_{k}\right)} + D_{Lk}^{*}E_{Rj}E_{Rk}^{*}e^{-i\left(\phi_{j}-\phi_{k}\right)} + \\ & D_{Rj}E_{Lj}E_{Lk}^{*}e^{i\left(\phi_{j}-\phi_{k}\right)} + D_{Rj}D_{Lk}^{*}E_{Lj}E_{Rk}^{*}e^{i\left(\phi_{j}+\phi_{k}\right)} \right]. \end{split}$$

(With thanks to Huib Jan van Langevelde)

The Measurement Equation For Polarization Selfcal

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{pq} = \boldsymbol{G}_{p} \boldsymbol{K}_{p} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{K}_{q}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{\dagger}$$

$$\boldsymbol{G}_{p} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{g}_{11,p} & \boldsymbol{g}_{1Y,p} \\ \boldsymbol{g}_{21,p} & \boldsymbol{g}_{22,p} \end{pmatrix}$$

- The only difference w.r.t. the previous m.e. is that the *G* matrix has off-diagonal terms.
- Polarization not so scary after all!

A Case Study: Dipole Projection

- Aperture array with fixed NS and EW dipoles
- Projection of dipoles onto tangential plane determines sensitivity to polarization
- Equivalent to conventional dipole pair only at zenith



 $\lambda = 90^{\circ}$

Dipole Projection Jones Matrix

Projection can be described by a Jones matrix:

$$\boldsymbol{L}(\boldsymbol{\varphi},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & -\sin\varphi\sin\lambda \\ \sin\varphi & \cos\varphi\sin\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

- Function of azimuth/elevation, so:
 - Varies with time
 - Varies with source position, given a wide field
 - Varies with station position, given a large array

Simulation Demo 3

- We'll simulate dipole projection
- Run sim2.py
- Sky model: 5x5 cross at 30'
- Enable L Jones
 - Per-source but not per-station
- Open bookmarks to check az/el and L Jones
- Make an IQUV image
 - Note distortions in I map due to time-varying sensitivity of the dipoles
 - Note instrumental QU polarization directiondependent!



Stokes I map.

Note distortions in source shape. These are caused by time-varying sensitivity of the dipoles to *total flux*.

Peak flux is ~.6 Jy (would be 1 Jy without this effect!)



Q and U maps. Note instrumental polarization

> (directiondependent!)

Peak flux is ±0.1 Jy



Calibrating For Dipole Projection?

• The ME we are using is:

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{pq} = \boldsymbol{G}_{p} \left(\sum_{s} \boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{(s)} \boldsymbol{K}_{p}^{(s)} \boldsymbol{B}^{(s)} \boldsymbol{K}_{q}^{(s)\dagger} \boldsymbol{L}_{q}^{(s)\dagger} \right) \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{\dagger}$$

- For calibration, we can use the same ME and solve for G Jones again
- No need to solve for *L* Jones since we know it analytically
 - we simply incorporate it into the ME at the predict stage
- But can we really correct for it?

Problem 1: Inverting Jones Terms



Problem 2: Correcting For Direction-Dependent Effects

ideal sky is
$$\boldsymbol{S}_{pq} = \sum_{s} \boldsymbol{K}_{p}^{(s)} \boldsymbol{B}^{(s)} \boldsymbol{K}_{q}^{(s)\dagger}$$

w/o DD effects

observed data is: $D_{pq} = G_{p} \left(\sum_{s} K_{p}^{(s)} B^{(s)} K_{q}^{(s)\dagger} \right) G_{q}^{\dagger}$ (plus noise) calibration yields $\tilde{G}_{p} \approx G_{p}$, corrected data is: $\tilde{G}_{p}^{-1} D_{pq} \tilde{G}_{q}^{\dagger-1} \approx S_{pq}$

with DD effects observed data is: $\boldsymbol{D}_{pq} = \boldsymbol{G}_{p} \left(\sum \boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{(s)} \boldsymbol{K}_{p}^{(s)} \boldsymbol{B}^{(s)} \boldsymbol{K}_{q}^{(s)\dagger} \boldsymbol{L}_{q}^{(s)\dagger} \right) \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{\dagger}$ (plus noise) calibration yields $\tilde{\boldsymbol{G}}_{p} \approx \boldsymbol{G}_{p}$, corrected data is: $\tilde{\boldsymbol{G}}_{p}^{-1}\boldsymbol{D}_{pa}\tilde{\boldsymbol{G}}_{a}^{\dagger-1}\neq\boldsymbol{S}_{pa}$ at best we can pick a direction s_0 : $\boldsymbol{L}_{p}^{(s_{0})-1}\boldsymbol{\tilde{G}}_{p}^{-1}\boldsymbol{D}_{pa}\boldsymbol{\tilde{G}}_{a}^{t-1}\boldsymbol{L}_{a}^{(s_{0})t-1}$

Demo: Correcting For a Single Direction

- In general, visibility data can only be "corrected" for a single direction on the sky.
- Hence, e.g., facet imaging.
- Bhatnagar (EVLA Memo 100) suggests an approximate method to apply on-the-fly corrections during imaging)
- Correction Demo:
 - Run cal2.py
 - Enable correct, disable calibrate and subtract
 - Apply L Jones correction (for center of field) and make an image



Stokes I map.

Distortions in source shape no longer visible (though from the math we know they must remain, on a low level.) Peak flux is 1 Jy.

Q and U maps.

Note how instrumental polarization corrects perfectly at center, but increases towards edge of field.

Peak flux is ± 50 mJy.

Dealing With D-D Effects

- The same issue arises with other D-D effects:
 - Ionosphere
 - Beam shapes & pointing errors
- Becoming critical for today's SKA pathfinders, and will be even more so for the SKA itself
- Solution: subtract sources bright enough to cause trouble
 - Since we can predict them "perfectly" (within the limits of calibration error)

Example: WSRT Off-Axis Effects



Differential Gains

• We can write an m.e. with differential gains:

 $\Delta \mathbf{E}_{p}^{(s)}$ is frequency-independent, slowly varying in time. Solvable for a handful of "troublesome" sources, and set to unity for the rest.

Flyswatter I

Flyswatter II

 Solved for *∆E* for 5 sources.

Flyswatter III

Solved for ΔE for 10 sources.

Nancay Workshop, SSSC

- MCCT SKADS Workshop "Towards 3rd Generation Calibration In Radio Astronomy" Nancay, Sep 27 – Oct 10, 2009 http://mcct.skads-eu.org/nancay/nancay-mcct.php
- Qualification via the SKADS Set Of Standard Challenges: http://www-astro.physics.ox.ac.uk/~ianh/SSSC/index.html

The End!

