

Gleason's Theorem and Quantum Logic

Changjae Lee¹

¹Department of Mathematical Science
Seoul National University

November 29, 2024

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Operator Algebras
- 3 Dirac-von Neumann Axioms
- 4 Quantum Logic
- 5 Gleason's Theorem
- 6 Hidden Variable Problem
- 7 Quantum Probability

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Operator Algebras
- 3 Dirac-von Neumann Axioms
- 4 Quantum Logic
- 5 Gleason's Theorem
- 6 Hidden Variable Problem
- 7 Quantum Probability

Introduction

Quantum logic began in 1936 when John von Neumann and Garret Birkhoff described the quantum propositions, through a lattice of projection operators in Hilbert space.

Introduction

Quantum logic began in 1936 when John von Neumann and Garret Birkhoff described the quantum propositions, through a lattice of projection operators in Hilbert space. This was a new logic that, unlike the Boolean logic, did not satisfy the distribution law.

Introduction

Quantum logic began in 1936 when John von Neumann and Garret Birkhoff described the quantum propositions, through a lattice of projection operators in Hilbert space. This was a new logic that, unlike the Boolean logic, did not satisfy the distribution law.

Examples

Choose a metric system $\hbar = 1$,

Introduction

Quantum logic began in 1936 when John von Neumann and Garret Birkhoff described the quantum propositions, through a lattice of projection operators in Hilbert space. This was a new logic that, unlike the Boolean logic, did not satisfy the distribution law.

Examples

Choose a metric system $\hbar = 1$, and let
 $P = \text{"the particle has momentum in the interval } [0, \frac{1}{6}] \text{"}$,

Introduction

Quantum logic began in 1936 when John von Neumann and Garret Birkhoff described the quantum propositions, through a lattice of projection operators in Hilbert space. This was a new logic that, unlike the Boolean logic, did not satisfy the distribution law.

Examples

Choose a metric system $\hbar = 1$, and let

P = “the particle has momentum in the interval $[0, \frac{1}{6}]$ ”,

Q = “the particle is in the interval $[-1, 1]$ ”,

Introduction

Quantum logic began in 1936 when John von Neumann and Garret Birkhoff described the quantum propositions, through a lattice of projection operators in Hilbert space. This was a new logic that, unlike the Boolean logic, did not satisfy the distribution law.

Examples

Choose a metric system $\hbar = 1$, and let

P = “the particle has momentum in the interval $[0, \frac{1}{6}]$ ”,

Q = “the particle is in the interval $[-1, 1]$ ”,

R = “the particle is in the interval $[1, 3]$ ”,

Introduction

Quantum logic began in 1936 when John von Neumann and Garret Birkhoff described the quantum propositions, through a lattice of projection operators in Hilbert space. This was a new logic that, unlike the Boolean logic, did not satisfy the distribution law.

Examples

Choose a metric system $\hbar = 1$, and let

P = “the particle has momentum in the interval $[0, \frac{1}{6}]$ ”,

Q = “the particle is in the interval $[-1, 1]$ ”,

R = “the particle is in the interval $[1, 3]$ ”, then

$$\begin{aligned} P \wedge (Q \vee R) &= 1, \\ (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

by the uncertainty principle $\sigma_x \sigma_p \geq \hbar/2 = \frac{1}{2}$.

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Operator Algebras**
- 3 Dirac-von Neumann Axioms
- 4 Quantum Logic
- 5 Gleason's Theorem
- 6 Hidden Variable Problem
- 7 Quantum Probability

- **Banach space** $\mathcal{B} = (B, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed vector space which is complete with respect to induced distance $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$.

- **Banach space** $\mathcal{B} = (B, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed vector space which is complete with respect to induced distance $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$.
- **Hilbert space** $\mathcal{H} = (H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an inner product space which is complete with respect to induced norm $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$.

- **Banach space** $\mathcal{B} = (B, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed vector space which is complete with respect to induced distance $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$.
- **Hilbert space** $\mathcal{H} = (H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an inner product space which is complete with respect to induced norm $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$.

A Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is **separable** if it has countable dense subset.

Theorem

Hilbert space is separable if and only if it has orthonormal basis.

Operator Algebras

Banach algebra is an associative algebra \mathcal{A} over the real or complex that at the same time is also Banach space which satisfies

$$\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$$

Operator Algebras

Banach algebra is an associative algebra \mathcal{A} over the real or complex that at the same time is also Banach space which satisfies

$$\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$$

C^* -algebra is a complex Banach algebra \mathcal{A} together with an involution $*$: $A \rightarrow A, x \mapsto x^*$ which satisfies

Operator Algebras

Banach algebra is an associative algebra \mathcal{A} over the real or complex that at the same time is also Banach space which satisfies

$$\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$$

C^* -algebra is a complex Banach algebra \mathcal{A} together with an involution $*$: $A \rightarrow A, x \mapsto x^*$ which satisfies

① $x^{**} = x,$

Operator Algebras

Banach algebra is an associative algebra \mathcal{A} over the real or complex that at the same time is also Banach space which satisfies

$$\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$$

C^* -algebra is a complex Banach algebra \mathcal{A} together with an involution $*$: $A \rightarrow A, x \mapsto x^*$ which satisfies

- ① $x^{**} = x$,
- ② $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$,

Banach algebra is an associative algebra \mathcal{A} over the real or complex that at the same time is also Banach space which satisfies

$$\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$$

C^* -algebra is a complex Banach algebra \mathcal{A} together with an involution $*$: $A \rightarrow A, x \mapsto x^*$ which satisfies

- ① $x^{**} = x$,
- ② $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$,
- ③ $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$,

Banach algebra is an associative algebra \mathcal{A} over the real or complex that at the same time is also Banach space which satisfies

$$\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$$

C^* -algebra is a complex Banach algebra \mathcal{A} together with an involution $*$: $A \rightarrow A, x \mapsto x^*$ which satisfies

- ① $x^{**} = x$,
- ② $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$,
- ③ $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$,
- ④ $(\lambda x)^* = \bar{\lambda}x^*$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

Banach algebra is an associative algebra \mathcal{A} over the real or complex that at the same time is also Banach space which satisfies

$$\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$$

C^* -algebra is a complex Banach algebra \mathcal{A} together with an involution $*$: $A \rightarrow A, x \mapsto x^*$ which satisfies

- ① $x^{**} = x$,
- ② $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$,
- ③ $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$,
- ④ $(\lambda x)^* = \bar{\lambda}x^*$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,
- ⑤ $\|xx^*\| = \|x\| \|x^*\|$

Operator Algebras

Banach algebra is an associative algebra \mathcal{A} over the real or complex that at the same time is also Banach space which satisfies

$$\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$$

C^* -algebra is a complex Banach algebra \mathcal{A} together with an involution $*$: $A \rightarrow A, x \mapsto x^*$ which satisfies

- ① $x^{**} = x$,
- ② $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$,
- ③ $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$,
- ④ $(\lambda x)^* = \bar{\lambda}x^*$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,
- ⑤ $\|xx^*\| = \|x\| \|x^*\|$

Example

$\mathcal{B}(H)$: The set of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space H is a C^* -algebra.

An element A of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be

- **normal** if $AA^* = A^*A$
- **self-adjoint** if $A = A^*$
- **projection** or **orthoprojector** if self-adjoint and $A^2 = A$
- **positive** if $A = B^*B$ for some $B \in \mathcal{A}$, and we write $A \geq 0$.

A positive linear functional $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a **state** if $\|f\| = 1$. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two C^* -algebras; a mapping linear map $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

- 1 $\pi(AB) = \pi(A)\pi(B)$,
- 2 $\pi(A^*) = \pi(A)^*$

is said to be a **$*$ -morphism**. A **representation** of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is defined to be a pair (H, π) , where H is a complex Hilbert space and π is a $*$ -morphism from \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

A **cyclic representation** of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is defined to be a triple (H, π, x) where (H, π) is a representation of \mathcal{A} and $x \in H$ is a **cyclic vector** for $\{\pi(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$, i.e., $\{\pi(A)x \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is dense in H .

GNS representation

Let ω be a state over the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Then there exists a cyclic representation $(H_\omega, \pi_\omega, x_\omega)$ of \mathcal{A} such that

$$\omega(A) = \langle x_\omega, \pi_\omega(A)x_\omega \rangle$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\|x_\omega\| = \|\omega\| = 1$

Let H be a Hilbert space. For any $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$, let

$$\mathcal{M}' = \{A \in \mathcal{M} \mid AM = MA \text{ for all } M \in \mathcal{M}\}$$

A **von Neumann algebra** on a Hilbert space H is a subset \mathcal{A} of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ such that

- ① $I \in \mathcal{A}$,
- ② $\alpha A + \beta B \in \mathcal{A}$ whenever $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$,
- ③ if $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A^* \in \mathcal{A}$,
- ④ \mathcal{A} is closed in the weak operator topology.

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Operator Algebras
- 3 Dirac-von Neumann Axioms**
- 4 Quantum Logic
- 5 Gleason's Theorem
- 6 Hidden Variable Problem
- 7 Quantum Probability

Dirac-von Neumann Axioms

The Dirac-von Neumann axioms give a mathematical formulation of quantum mechanics in terms of operators on a Hilbert space. They were introduced by Paul Dirac in 1930 and John von Neumann in 1932.

Dirac-von Neumann Axioms

The Dirac-von Neumann axioms give a mathematical formulation of quantum mechanics in terms of operators on a Hilbert space. They were introduced by Paul Dirac in 1930 and John von Neumann in 1932.

Hilbert Space Formulation

Let \mathcal{H} be a fixed complex Hilbert space of countably infinite dimension.

Dirac-von Neumann Axioms

The Dirac-von Neumann axioms give a mathematical formulation of quantum mechanics in terms of operators on a Hilbert space. They were introduced by Paul Dirac in 1930 and John von Neumann in 1932.

Hilbert Space Formulation

Let \mathcal{H} be a fixed complex Hilbert space of countably infinite dimension.

- The **observables** of a quantum system are defined to be the self-adjoint operators A on \mathcal{H} .

Dirac-von Neumann Axioms

The Dirac-von Neumann axioms give a mathematical formulation of quantum mechanics in terms of operators on a Hilbert space. They were introduced by Paul Dirac in 1930 and John von Neumann in 1932.

Hilbert Space Formulation

Let \mathcal{H} be a fixed complex Hilbert space of countably infinite dimension.

- The **observables** of a quantum system are defined to be the self-adjoint operators A on \mathcal{H} .
- A **state** ψ of the quantum system is a unit vector of \mathcal{H} , up to scalar multiples.

Dirac-von Neumann Axioms

The Dirac-von Neumann axioms give a mathematical formulation of quantum mechanics in terms of operators on a Hilbert space. They were introduced by Paul Dirac in 1930 and John von Neumann in 1932.

Hilbert Space Formulation

Let \mathcal{H} be a fixed complex Hilbert space of countably infinite dimension.

- The **observables** of a quantum system are defined to be the self-adjoint operators A on \mathcal{H} .
- A **state** ψ of the quantum system is a unit vector of \mathcal{H} , up to scalar multiples.
- The expectation value of an observable A for a system in a state ψ is given by the inner product $\langle \psi, A\psi \rangle$.

Operator Algebra Formalism

The Dirac-Von Neumann axioms can be formulated in terms of a C^* -algebra as follows.

Operator Algebra Formalism

The Dirac-Von Neumann axioms can be formulated in terms of a C^* -algebra as follows.

- The **bounded observables** of the quantum mechanical system are defined to be the self-adjoint elements of the C^* -algebra.

Operator Algebra Formalism

The Dirac-Von Neumann axioms can be formulated in terms of a C^* -algebra as follows.

- The **bounded observables** of the quantum mechanical system are defined to be the self-adjoint elements of the C^* -algebra.
- The **states** of the quantum mechanical system are defined to be positive functional ω such that $\|\omega\| = 1$.

Operator Algebra Formalism

The Dirac-Von Neumann axioms can be formulated in terms of a C^* -algebra as follows.

- The **bounded observables** of the quantum mechanical system are defined to be the self-adjoint elements of the C^* -algebra.
- The **states** of the quantum mechanical system are defined to be positive functional ω such that $\|\omega\| = 1$.
- The value $\omega(A)$ of a state ω on an element A is the expectation value of the observable A if the quantum system is in the state ω .

Dirac-von Neumann Axioms

Operator Algebra Formalism

The Dirac-Von Neumann axioms can be formulated in terms of a C^* -algebra as follows.

- The **bounded observables** of the quantum mechanical system are defined to be the self-adjoint elements of the C^* -algebra.
- The **states** of the quantum mechanical system are defined to be positive functional ω such that $\|\omega\| = 1$.
- The value $\omega(A)$ of a state ω on an element A is the expectation value of the observable A if the quantum system is in the state ω .

Example

For C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} on Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , $\omega_x(A) = \langle x, Ax \rangle$ for $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is called **vector state**.

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Operator Algebras
- 3 Dirac-von Neumann Axioms
- 4 Quantum Logic**
- 5 Gleason's Theorem
- 6 Hidden Variable Problem
- 7 Quantum Probability

Quantum Logic

A **lattice** $\mathcal{L} = (L, \vee, \wedge)$ is a structure over a poset (L, \leq) such that

$$x \wedge y = \inf\{x, y\},$$

$$x \vee y = \sup\{x, y\}.$$

exist in L for all $x, y \in L$.

A **lattice** $\mathcal{L} = (L, \vee, \wedge)$ is a structure over a poset (L, \leq) such that

$$x \wedge y = \inf\{x, y\},$$

$$x \vee y = \sup\{x, y\}.$$

exist in L for all $x, y \in L$. More generally, σ -**lattice** or a **complete lattice** whenever

$$\bigwedge_{i \in I} x_i = \inf\{x_i \mid i \in I\},$$

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} x_i = \sup\{x_i \mid i \in I\}.$$

exist in L for any sequence $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ with a countable or arbitrary index set I .

A **lattice** $\mathcal{L} = (L, \vee, \wedge)$ is a structure over a poset (L, \leq) such that

$$x \wedge y = \inf\{x, y\},$$

$$x \vee y = \sup\{x, y\}.$$

exist in L for all $x, y \in L$. More generally, σ -**lattice** or a **complete lattice** whenever

$$\bigwedge_{i \in I} x_i = \inf\{x_i \mid i \in I\},$$

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} x_i = \sup\{x_i \mid i \in I\}.$$

exist in L for any sequence $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ with a countable or arbitrary index set I . A **bounded lattice** $\mathcal{L} = (L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is a lattice which satisfy

$$0 \leq x \leq 1 \quad (\forall x \in L)$$

We say that a lattice \mathcal{L} is **distributive** if for all $a, b, c \in L$,

$$a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c),$$

$$a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c).$$

Quantum Logic

We say that a lattice \mathcal{L} is **distributive** if for all $a, b, c \in L$,

$$a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c),$$

$$a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c).$$

A lattice \mathcal{L} is **modular** if

$$a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge c \quad (a \leq c, a, b, c \in L)$$

We say that for a unary operation $' : L \rightarrow L$ the **de Morgan laws** holds if for any sequence (a_i) of elements from L we have

$$\left(\bigwedge_i a_i \right)' = \bigvee_i a_i',$$

$$\left(\bigvee_i a_i \right)' = \bigwedge_i a_i'$$

We say $\perp: L \rightarrow L, a \mapsto a^\perp$ is said to be an **orthocomplementation** on a poset L with 0 and 1 if

- 1 $(a^\perp)^\perp = a$ for any $a \in L$,

We say $\perp: L \rightarrow L, a \mapsto a^\perp$ is said to be an **orthocomplementation** on a poset L with 0 and 1 if

- 1 $(a^\perp)^\perp = a$ for any $a \in L$,
- 2 if $a \leq b$, then $b^\perp \leq a^\perp$,

We say $\perp: L \rightarrow L, a \mapsto a^\perp$ is said to be an **orthocomplementation** on a poset L with 0 and 1 if

- ① $(a^\perp)^\perp = a$ for any $a \in L$,
- ② if $a \leq b$, then $b^\perp \leq a^\perp$,
- ③ $a \vee a^\perp = 1$ for any $a \in L$.

We say $\perp: L \rightarrow L, a \mapsto a^\perp$ is said to be an **orthocomplementation** on a poset L with 0 and 1 if

- ① $(a^\perp)^\perp = a$ for any $a \in L$,
- ② if $a \leq b$, then $b^\perp \leq a^\perp$,
- ③ $a \vee a^\perp = 1$ for any $a \in L$.

The poset L with the orthocomplementation \perp is said to be **orthocomplemented**.

We say $\perp: L \rightarrow L, a \mapsto a^\perp$ is said to be an **orthocomplementation** on a poset L with 0 and 1 if

- ① $(a^\perp)^\perp = a$ for any $a \in L$,
- ② if $a \leq b$, then $b^\perp \leq a^\perp$,
- ③ $a \vee a^\perp = 1$ for any $a \in L$.

The poset L with the orthocomplementation \perp is said to be **orthocomplemented**. Two elements a and b are said to be **orthogonal**, and we write $a \perp b$, if $a \leq b^\perp$.

An orthocomplemented poset L is said to be an **orthomodular poset** (in short OMP) if

An orthocomplemented poset L is said to be an **orthomodular poset** (in short OMP) if

- 1 $a \vee b \in L$ whenever $a \perp b, a, b \in L$,

An orthocomplemented poset L is said to be an **orthomodular poset** (in short OMP) if

- 1 $a \vee b \in L$ whenever $a \perp b, a, b \in L$,
- 2 (orthomodularity) if $a \leq b$, then $b = a \vee (b \wedge a^\perp)$.

An orthocomplemented poset L is said to be an **orthomodular poset** (in short OMP) if

- ① $a \vee b \in L$ whenever $a \perp b, a, b \in L$,
- ② (orthomodularity) if $a \leq b$, then $b = a \vee (b \wedge a^\perp)$.

For OMP L , if we have

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \in L$$

whenever $a_i \perp a_j$ for $i \neq j$, $\{a_i\} \subset L$, then $\mathcal{L} = (L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1, \perp)$ is said to be a **quantum logic**.

- A **(finitely additive) measure** μ on an OMP L is a map $\mu : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mu(x \vee y) = \mu(x) + \mu(y)$ whenever $x \perp y$.

- A **(finitely additive) measure** μ on an OMP L is a map $\mu : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mu(x \vee y) = \mu(x) + \mu(y)$ whenever $x \perp y$.
- A measure μ on OMP L is called a **state** if μ has values in the unit interval $[0, 1]$ and $\mu(1) = 1$.

Quantum Logic

- A **(finitely additive) measure** μ on an OMP L is a map $\mu : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mu(x \vee y) = \mu(x) + \mu(y)$ whenever $x \perp y$.
- A measure μ on OMP L is called a **state** if μ has values in the unit interval $[0, 1]$ and $\mu(1) = 1$.
- A measure μ is called **σ -additive** if

$$\mu\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(a_i)$$

for any sequence a_i for $a_i \perp a_j$ for $i \neq j$.

- A **(finitely additive) measure** μ on an OMP L is a map $\mu : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mu(x \vee y) = \mu(x) + \mu(y)$ whenever $x \perp y$.
- A measure μ on OMP L is called a **state** if μ has values in the unit interval $[0, 1]$ and $\mu(1) = 1$.
- A measure μ is called **σ -additive** if

$$\mu\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(a_i)$$

for any sequence a_i for $a_i \perp a_j$ for $i \neq j$.

- A measure μ is called **completely additive** if

$$\mu\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i\right) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu(a_i)$$

for any index set I and for any sequence (a_i) for $a_i \perp a_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Example

Let H be a real or complex Hilbert space. Denote by $L(H)$ the system of all closed subspaces of H . Then $L(H)$ is a quantum logic (called the quantum logic of a Hilbert space H), where the partial ordering is determined by the set-theoretic inclusion, the meet and join are defined as follows

$$\bigvee_t M_t = \bigcap_t M_t, \quad \bigvee_t M_t = cl(sp(\bigcup_t M_t)),$$

and the orthocomplementation

$$\perp: M \mapsto M^\perp = \{x \in H \mid \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } y \in M\}.$$

Example

Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra of operators action on a real or complex Hilbert space H . Denote by $L_{\mathcal{A}(H)}$ the set of all closed subspaces of H whose orthoprojectors belongs to \mathcal{A} . Then $L_{\mathcal{A}(H)}$ is a sublogic of $L(H)$.

Example

Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra of operators action on a real or complex Hilbert space H . Denote by $L_{\mathcal{A}(H)}$ the set of all closed subspaces of H whose orthoprojectors belongs to \mathcal{A} . Then $L_{\mathcal{A}(H)}$ is a sublogic of $L(H)$.

Example

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the set of all projections in a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} .

Example

Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra of operators action on a real or complex Hilbert space H . Denote by $L_{\mathcal{A}(H)}$ the set of all closed subspaces of H whose orthoprojectors belongs to \mathcal{A} . Then $L_{\mathcal{A}(H)}$ is a sublogic of $L(H)$.

Example

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the set of all projections in a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Projections P_1 and P_2 are called **orthogonal** if $P_1P_2 = 0$. Give an ordering on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ by $P_1 \leq P_2$ if and only if $P_1P_2 = P_2P_1 = P_1$.

Example

Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra of operators action on a real or complex Hilbert space H . Denote by $L_{\mathcal{A}(H)}$ the set of all closed subspaces of H whose orthoprojectors belongs to \mathcal{A} . Then $L_{\mathcal{A}(H)}$ is a sublogic of $L(H)$.

Example

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the set of all projections in a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Projections P_1 and P_2 are called **orthogonal** if $P_1 P_2 = 0$. Give an ordering on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ by $P_1 \leq P_2$ if and only if $P_1 P_2 = P_2 P_1 = P_1$. If \mathcal{A} is unital, then the structure $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is an OMP with the complement $P^\perp = 1 - P$.

Example

Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra of operators action on a real or complex Hilbert space H . Denote by $L_{\mathcal{A}(H)}$ the set of all closed subspaces of H whose orthoprojectors belongs to \mathcal{A} . Then $L_{\mathcal{A}(H)}$ is a sublogic of $L(H)$.

Example

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the set of all projections in a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Projections P_1 and P_2 are called **orthogonal** if $P_1 P_2 = 0$. Give an ordering on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ by $P_1 \leq P_2$ if and only if $P_1 P_2 = P_2 P_1 = P_1$. If \mathcal{A} is unital, then the structure $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is an OMP with the complement $P^\perp = 1 - P$. **The structure $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}), \leq, 0, 1, \perp)$ is a Boolean algebra if and only if, \mathcal{A} is abelian.**

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Operator Algebras
- 3 Dirac-von Neumann Axioms
- 4 Quantum Logic
- 5 Gleason's Theorem**
- 6 Hidden Variable Problem
- 7 Quantum Probability

Gleason's Theorem

Gleason's Theorem

If H be a separable, real or complex Hilbert space, $\dim H \neq 2$, then for any state μ on $L(H)$ there exists a unique positive Hermitian trace operator T on H with $\text{tr} T = 1$, such that

$$\mu(M) = \text{tr}(TP_M)$$

for all $M \in L(H)$.

proof. [Dvu93, p.131-149]

The operator T is called a **density matrix**.

Remark

Gleason's theorem can be reformulated into an equivalent form: For any state μ on $L(H)$ of a separable Hilbert space H , $\dim H \neq 2$, there exists an orthonormal system of vectors (x_i) and a system of positive numbers $\{\lambda_i\}$ such that $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$, and

$$\mu(M) = \sum_i \lambda_i \mu_{x_i}$$

for all $M \in L(H)$.

Gleason's Theorem

Gleason was motivated by Mackey's approach on a natural concept of probability measure on the orthomodular structure referred today to quantum logic.

Gleason's Theorem

Gleason was motivated by Mackey's approach on a natural concept of probability measure on the orthomodular structure referred today to quantum logic. Gleason succeeded in describing all σ -additive probability measures on the logic of all closed subspaces of a separable Hilbert space and in showing that,

Gleason's Theorem

Gleason was motivated by Mackey's approach on a natural concept of probability measure on the orthomodular structure referred to today as quantum logic. Gleason succeeded in describing all σ -additive probability measures on the logic of all closed subspaces of a separable Hilbert space and in showing that, except for the obvious two dimensional counterexample, all probability measures can be identified with normal states (right-continuous states) in the sense of von Neumann approach.

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Operator Algebras
- 3 Dirac-von Neumann Axioms
- 4 Quantum Logic
- 5 Gleason's Theorem
- 6 Hidden Variable Problem**
- 7 Quantum Probability

Hidden Variable Problem

Theorem

Let H be a Hilbert space with $\dim H \geq 3$. There is no 0-1 finitely additive state, i.e., no probability measure attains only values 0 and 1 on the projection lattice $\mathcal{P}(H)$.

Hidden Variable Problem

Theorem

Let H be a Hilbert space with $\dim H \geq 3$. There is no 0-1 finitely additive state, i.e., no probability measure attains only values 0 and 1 on the projection lattice $\mathcal{P}(H)$.

proof. [Ham03, Theorem 3.4.1] The nonexistence of 0-1 state on an OML is connected with a long dispute on hidden variables in quantum theory.

Hidden Variable Problem

Theorem

Let H be a Hilbert space with $\dim H \geq 3$. There is no 0-1 finitely additive state, i.e., no probability measure attains only values 0 and 1 on the projection lattice $\mathcal{P}(H)$.

proof. [Ham03, Theorem 3.4.1] The nonexistence of 0-1 state on an OML is connected with a long dispute on hidden variables in quantum theory. The existence of sufficiently many hidden variables would mean that the probabilistic character of quantum mechanics disappears by adding certain new parameters.

Hidden Variable Problem

Theorem

Let H be a Hilbert space with $\dim H \geq 3$. There is no 0-1 finitely additive state, i.e., no probability measure attains only values 0 and 1 on the projection lattice $\mathcal{P}(H)$.

proof. [Ham03, Theorem 3.4.1] The nonexistence of 0-1 state on an OML is connected with a long dispute on hidden variables in quantum theory. The existence of sufficiently many hidden variables would mean that the probabilistic character of quantum mechanics disappears by adding certain new parameters. The theorem says that the projection lattice of a Hilbert space cannot be embedded into a Boolean algebra because any such embedding would induce a 0-1 state.

Hidden Variable Problem

Theorem

Let H be a Hilbert space with $\dim H \geq 3$. There is no 0-1 finitely additive state, i.e., no probability measure attains only values 0 and 1 on the projection lattice $\mathcal{P}(H)$.

proof. [Ham03, Theorem 3.4.1] The nonexistence of 0-1 state on an OML is connected with a long dispute on hidden variables in quantum theory. The existence of sufficiently many hidden variables would mean that the probabilistic character of quantum mechanics disappears by adding certain new parameters. The theorem says that the projection lattice of a Hilbert space cannot be embedded into a Boolean algebra because any such embedding would induce a 0-1 state. It is therefore an important consequence of Gleason's Theorem that the Hilbert space model cannot be completed by considering auxiliary hidden variables to a classical model without violating its inner structure.

Hidden Variable Problem

Gleason's theorem says that the probability structure is determined by quantum logic, i.e. by the ordered structure of projections in a Hilbert space.

Hidden Variable Problem

Gleason's theorem says that the probability structure is determined by quantum logic, i.e. by the ordered structure of projections in a Hilbert space. The fact that only linear restriction can qualify for being quantum state has, besides hidden variable theory, other interesting physical consequences.

Hidden Variable Problem

Gleason's theorem says that the probability structure is determined by quantum logic, i.e. by the ordered structure of projections in a Hilbert space. The fact that only linear restriction can qualify for being quantum state has, besides hidden variable theory, other interesting physical consequences. One of them is indeterminacy principle. [Ham03, p.87-93]

Indeterminacy Principle

Let p and q be atomic nonorthogonal projections in a Hilbert space H . For every completely additive state μ on $\mathcal{P}(H)$ the following equivalence holds

$$\mu(p), \mu(q) \in \{0, 1\} \Leftrightarrow \mu(p) = \mu(q) = 0$$

In other words, no quantum state assigns sharp probability zero or one to two atomic nonorthogonal projection unless they are both false.

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Operator Algebras
- 3 Dirac-von Neumann Axioms
- 4 Quantum Logic
- 5 Gleason's Theorem
- 6 Hidden Variable Problem
- 7 Quantum Probability**

[RS06, p.8] In classical probability theory, the probability space is a triple (X, Σ, μ) where X is a nonempty set, Σ is a σ -algebra on X , and probability measure $\Sigma \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

[RS06, p.8] In classical probability theory, the probability space is a triple (X, Σ, μ) where X is a nonempty set, Σ is a σ -algebra on X , and probability measure $\Sigma \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

In **noncommutative probability theory** or **quantum probability theory**, a probability space is a triple $(\mathcal{M}, P(\mathcal{M}), \phi)$ consisting of a von Neumann algebra, its lattice of orthogonal projections and a normal state on the algebra.

[RS06, p.8] In classical probability theory, the probability space is a triple (X, Σ, μ) where X is a nonempty set, Σ is a σ -algebra on X , and probability measure $\Sigma \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

In **noncommutative probability theory** or **qunatum probability theory**, a probability space is a triple $(\mathcal{M}, P(\mathcal{M}), \phi)$ consisting of a von Neumann algebra, its lattice of orthogonal projections and a normal state on the algebra. If \mathcal{M} is abelian, then $(\mathcal{M}, P(\mathcal{M}), \phi)$ is just classical probability space.

[RS06, p.8] In classical probability theory, the probability space is a triple (X, Σ, μ) where X is a nonempty set, Σ is a σ -algebra on X , and probability measure $\Sigma \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

In **noncommutative probability theory** or **qunatum probability theory**, a probability space is a triple $(\mathcal{M}, P(\mathcal{M}), \phi)$ consisting of a von Neumann algebra, its lattice of orthogonal projections and a normal state on the algebra. If \mathcal{M} is abelian, then $(\mathcal{M}, P(\mathcal{M}), \phi)$ is just classical probability space.

A state ϕ on a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} is said to be **disperision free** if $\phi(A^2) - \phi(A)^2 = 0$, for all self-adjoint $A \in \mathcal{M}$. A nonabelian factor admits no dispersion free state. That is one of characteristic of noncommutative probability.



Anatolij Dvurečenskij.

Gleason's theorem and its applications, volume 60 of *Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series)*.

Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht; Ister Science Press, Bratislava, 1993.



Jan Hamhalter.

Quantum measure theory, volume 134 of *Fundamental Theories of Physics*.

Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 2003.



Miklos Redei and Stephen J. Summers.

Quantum probability theory, 2006.

Thank You!