



WEST UNIVERSITY OF TIMIȘOARA

Faculty of Computer Science

## PROJECT 2: CLEANING UP THE GREAT LAKES

*Authors:*

Raul-Andrei ARITON (*Group 2*)  
Maria-Miruna MESAROȘIU (*Group 1*)  
Silviu-Ștefan MITREA (*Group 1*)

---

December 24, 2025  
Academic Year 2025-2026

**Contents**

<b>1</b>	<b>Cleaning Up the Great Lakes</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>“Draining” each lake of pollutants</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	An additional explanation . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Assuming clean water inflow</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Time to reduce pollution to 50% . . . . .	6
3.2	Time to reduce pollution to 5% . . . . .	7
<b>4</b>	<b>Taking into account flow between lakes</b>	<b>9</b>

# 1 Cleaning Up the Great Lakes

In this project, we aim to solve a *compartmental modelling* problem proposed in [1, pp. 313–314]. The problem involves estimating the time it would take to clean up the Great Lakes (figure) of pollutants at varying levels of accuracy.



Figure 1: The Great Lakes of North America

Kent Nagle *et al.* model each lake as a tank, containing a liquid (water) dissolved with a pollutant (DDT, phosphorus, mercury).

The following assumptions are made and maintained for the entirety of the problem:

- The volume of each lake remains constant.
- The flow rates are constant throughout the year.
- When a liquid enters the lake, perfect mixing occurs and the pollutants are uniformly distributed throughout the lakes.
- Pollutants are dissolved in the water and enter or leave by inflow or outflow of water.

We must note that, for practicality, we have decided to use metric system units instead of imperial units, as used in the original problem statement. We have simply replaced the imperial units with their metric equivalents, without altering the numerical values.

## 2 “Draining” each lake of pollutants

Use the outflow rates given to determine the time it would take to “drain” each lake. This gives a lower bound on how long it would take to remove all the pollutants.




Figure 2: Compartmental model of the Great Lakes with flow rates (km<sup>3</sup>/year) and volumes (km<sup>3</sup>) [1]

It is important to note that, in this context, “draining” a lake does not refer to removing all the water from it, but rather to removing all the pollutants dissolved in the water.

Since we are given the outflow rates for each lake (in km<sup>3</sup>/year) and the volumes of each lake (in km<sup>3</sup>) (see figure 2), the time (in years) that it would take to drain (remove all pollutants from) each lake can be simply computed as:

$$\text{Time to drain lake} = \frac{\text{Volume of lake}}{\text{Outflow rate}}$$

$$t = \frac{V}{Q_{out}}$$

Table 1 shows the computed times to drain each lake, using the given volumes and outflow rates.

Lake	Time (in years) to “drain”
Superior	193.33
Michigan	31.05
Huron	12.50
Erie	1.36
Ontario	3.97

Table 1: Time to “drain” each of the Great Lakes

## 2.1 An additional explanation

We have assumed that volume of all the lakes remain constant, meaning that the inflow rate  $Q_{out}$  is equal to the outflow rate  $Q_{in}$ . To model this problem in an even simpler manner, we can think of each lake as a full cup of water with soda syrup dissolved in it. If we were to place the cup over a sink, and start pouring water into the cup while letting the water-syrup mixture flow out of the cup, we would eventually end up with a cup full of only water, as the syrup would be washed away by the inflowing water. The time it would take to completely wash away the syrup from the cup can be computed by dividing the volume of the cup by the outflow rate. Notice how the volume of the cup remains constant (full).

### 3 Assuming clean water inflow

A better estimate is obtained by assuming that each lake is a separate tank with *only* clean water flowing in. Use this approach to determine how long it would take the pollution level in each lake to be reduced to 50% of its original level. How long would it take to reduce the pollution to 5% of its original level?

Let us consider the following notation:

- $V$  - volume of the lake ( $\text{km}^3$ )
- $Q_{out}$  - outflow rate ( $\text{km}^3/\text{year}$ )
- $C(t)$  - concentration of pollutant in the lake at time  $t$  ( $\text{kg}/\text{km}^3$ ) (mass per unit volume)
- $C_0$  - initial concentration of pollutant in the lake at time  $t = 0$  ( $\text{kg}/\text{km}^3$ )
- $M(t)$  - mass of pollutant in the lake at time  $t$  ( $\text{kg}$ )

The rate of change of the mass of pollutant in a lake is equal to the inflow of the pollutant minus the outflow of the pollutant:

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = \text{inflow of pollutant} - \text{outflow of pollutant}$$

Since inflow water is clean, the inflow of pollutant is equal to zero:

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = 0 - \text{outflow of pollutant}$$

The outflow of pollutant is equal to the outflow rate of the lake times the concentration of pollutant in the lake (this number represents the amount of pollutant leaving the lake as water flows out):

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = -Q_{out} \cdot C(t)$$

Since concentration is defined as mass per unit volume, we can express mass as the product of concentration and volume:

$$C(t) = \frac{M(t)}{V} \implies M(t) = C(t)V$$

Volume is constant, thus  $\frac{dM}{dt}$  becomes

$$V \frac{dC}{dt} = -Q_{out}C(t)$$

Rewriting using Lagrange notation (or prime notation) [2], we obtain a first-order ordinary differential equation:

$$VC'(t) = -Q_{out}C(t)$$

To solve this differential equation, we first move all terms involving  $C$  to the left side:

$$VC'(t) + Q_{out}C(t) = 0$$

The characteristic equation is:

$$Vr + Q_{out}r^0 = 0 \implies r = -\frac{Q_{out}}{V}$$

Thus, the general solution of the differential equation is:

$$C(t) = C_1 e^{-\frac{Q_{out}}{V}t}$$

where  $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  is a real constant.

To determine  $C_1$ , we use the initial condition that at time  $t = 0$ , the concentration is equal to the initial concentration  $C_0$ :

$$C(0) = C_1 e^{-\frac{Q_{out}}{V} \cdot 0} \implies C_1 = C_0$$

Therefore, the rate of change of the concentration of pollutant in the lake over time is dependent on the initial concentration:

$$C(t) = C_0 e^{-\frac{Q_{out}}{V}t} \tag{1}$$

### 3.1 Time to reduce pollution to 50%

To find the time  $t$  it takes to reduce the pollution concentration to 50% of its original level, we must find the  $t$  such that:

$$C(t) = 0.50 \cdot C_0$$

Using equation (1), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_0 e^{-\frac{Q_{out}}{V}t} &= 0.50 \cdot C_0 \\
 e^{-\frac{Q_{out}}{V}t} &= 0.50 \\
 -\frac{Q_{out}}{V}t &= \ln(0.50) \\
 t &= -\frac{V}{Q_{out}} \ln(0.50)
 \end{aligned}$$

An important observation is that, since the initial concentration  $C_0$  cancels out, the time to reduce pollution is independent of its initial concentration.

Table 2 shows the computed times to reduce the pollution concentration to 50% of its original level for each lake, using the given volumes and outflow rates.

Lake	Time (in years) to reduce pollution to 50%
Superior	134.01
Michigan	21.52
Huron	8.66
Erie	0.95
Ontario	2.75

Table 2: Time to reduce pollution to 50% in each of the Great Lakes

### 3.2 Time to reduce pollution to 5%

Similarly, as in section 3.1, the time  $t$  it takes for each lake to reduce the pollution concentration to 5% of its original level can be computed with:

$$t = -\frac{V}{Q_{out}} \ln(0.05)$$

Table 3 shows the computed times to reduce the pollution concentration to 5% of its original level for each lake, using the given volumes and outflow rates.

Lake	Time (in years) to reduce pollution to 5%
Superior	579.17
Michigan	93.03
Huron	37.45
Erie	4.09
Ontario	11.89

Table 3: Time to reduce pollution to 5% in each of the Great Lakes



Figure 3 illustrates the reduction (*decay*) of pollution in each of the Great Lakes over time, assuming clean water inflow. The plot was generated using the `matplotlib` library in Python.




Figure 3: Reduction of pollution in the Great Lakes over time, assuming clean water inflow

**One more step of modelling.** Of course, lakes do not exist in isolation, and the outflow of one lake often serves as the inflow for another, meaning that pollutants can be transferred between lakes. The next section takes modelling a step further by taking this into consideration, for the highest level of accuracy in estimating pollution reduction times.

## 4 Taking into account flow between lakes

Finally, to take into account the fact that pollution from one lake flows into the next lake in the chain, use the entire multiple compartment model given to determine when the pollution level in each lake has been reduced to 50% of its original level, assuming pollution has ceased (that is, inflows not from a lake are clean water). Assume that all the lakes initially have the same pollution concentration  $p$ . How long would it take for the pollution to be reduced to 5% of its original level?

## References

- [1] R. Kent Nagle, E. B. Saff, and A. D. Snider, *Fundamentals of Differential Equations*, 9th ed. London, England: Pearson Education, 2018.
- [2] *Notation for differentiation*, in *Wikipedia*, Dec. 10, 2025. [Online]. Available: [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Notation\\_for\\_differentiation&oldid=1326701499](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Notation_for_differentiation&oldid=1326701499) (visited on 12/23/2025).