

Thm: Let $\{u_\alpha\}$ be an orthonormal set in a Hilbert space H . Then the following are equivalent.

(i) $\{u_\alpha\}$ is an orthonormal basis for H .

(ii) (Fourier expansion): For every $x \in H$,

we have $x = \sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n$, where

$$\{u_1, u_2, \dots\} = \{u_\alpha \mid \langle x, u_\alpha \rangle \neq 0\}$$

(iii) (Parseval's formula): For every

$x \in H$, we have

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_n |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2$$

where $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\} = \{u_\alpha \mid \langle x, u_\alpha \rangle \neq 0\}$

(iv) $\text{Span}\{u_\alpha\}$ is dense in H

(v) $\nexists x \in H$ and $\langle x, u_\alpha \rangle = 0, \forall \alpha$

then $x = 0$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Let $\{u_\alpha\}$ be an orthonormal basis for H . Let $x \in H$.

Then by previous lemma (last class)

$\sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n$ converges to some

$y \in H$ and $x - y \perp u_\alpha, \forall \alpha$.

If $y \neq x$, then $u = \frac{y - x}{\|y - x\|}$.

Then $\|u\| = 1$ and $u \perp \{u_\alpha\}$

$\Rightarrow \{u\} \cup \{u_\alpha\}$ is an orthonormal set containing $\{u_\alpha\}$ in H ,
Contradicting the maximality of $\{u_\alpha\}$.

$$\therefore x = y = \sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n.$$

$$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$$

for any $x \in H$, we have

$$\text{by (ii)} \quad x = \sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n$$

Then

$$\|x\|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n, \sum_m \langle x, u_m \rangle u_m \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle \sum_m \overline{\langle x, u_m \rangle} \underbrace{\langle u_n, u_m \rangle}_{\delta_{nm}}$$

$$= \sum_n |\langle x, u_n \rangle|^2.$$

$$(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$$

for any $x \in H$, we have

$$x = \sum_n \langle x, u_n \rangle u_n.$$

\therefore For each $n=1,2,3, \dots$, let

$$x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x, u_k \rangle u_k \in \text{Span}\{u_k\}$$

$$\longrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_k \rangle u_k = x$$

$x_n \in \text{Span}\{u_k\}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$.

$$\therefore \overline{\text{Span}\{u_k\}} = H.$$

(iv) \Rightarrow (v)

Given that $\overline{\text{Span}\{u_k\}} = H$.

Let $x \in H$ be such that

$$\langle x, u_k \rangle = 0 \quad \forall k.$$

and let

$$x_n \rightarrow x, \quad x_n \in \text{Span}\{u_k\}.$$

$$\therefore \langle x, u_k \rangle = 0 \quad \forall k$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x, x_n \rangle = 0, \quad x_n \in \text{Span}\{u_k\}$$

$$\therefore 0 = \langle x, x \rangle \implies \langle x, x \rangle$$

$$\implies \|x\|^2 = 0$$

$$\implies x = 0.$$

$$(v) \implies (i)$$

$$\text{Given that } \langle x, u_\alpha \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \alpha$$

$$\implies x = 0.$$

Claim: $\{u_\alpha\}$ is an orthonormal basis for H .

Let E be an orthonormal set in H containing $\{u_\alpha\}$.

$$\nexists \text{ } u \in E \text{ and } u \neq u_\alpha \quad \forall \alpha,$$

$$\text{Then } \langle u, u_\alpha \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \alpha$$

$$\implies u = 0 \quad (\text{by (v)})$$

$$\text{But } u \in E \implies \|u\| = 1, \text{ this}$$

Contradiction shows that

$$E = \{u_d\}.$$

$\therefore \{u_d\}$ is a maximal orthonormal set in H . That is $\{u_d\}$ is an orthonormal basis for H .

Clearly (iii) \Rightarrow (ii)

See the proof of Bessel's inequality.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \therefore & (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Leftarrow (iii) & \\ & \Uparrow & \\ & (v) \Leftarrow (iv) & \end{array}$$

Projection: —

Let X be a linear space and

X_1 and X_2 be subspaces of X

such that

$$X = X_1 + X_2, \quad X_1 \cap X_2 = \{0\}$$

$$\text{i.e., } X = X_1 \oplus X_2$$

Then every $x \in X$ can be written
uniquely
as $x = x_1 + x_2$, $x_1 \in X_1$
 $x_2 \in X_2$.

Then define $P: X \rightarrow X_1$ by

$$Px = P(x_1 + x_2) = x_1.$$

Then P is a linear map.

and for any $u \in X_1$,
we have

$$Pu = P(u+0) = u, \quad \forall u \in X_1 = R(P).$$

and for any $v \in X_2$, we have

$$Pv = P(0+v) = 0.$$

$$\therefore X_1 = R(P), \quad X_2 = N(P).$$

$$\text{and } P^2 = P$$

A linear operator $P: X \rightarrow X$ is called projection operator or simply a projection if

$$Pu = u, \quad \forall u \in R(P)$$

g/f $P: X \rightarrow X$ is a projection

with $R(P) = X$, and $N(P) = X_2$,

We say P is projection
onto X_1 along X_2 .

and

$I-P$ is a projection onto
 X_2 along X_1 with

$$R(I-P) = X_2$$

$$N(I-P) = X_1.$$

Note :-

(1) Let X be an I.P.S and
 $P: X \rightarrow X$ be a projection.

We say P is an orthogonal
Projection iff $R(P) \perp N(P)$

$$[\because X = X_1 \oplus X_2]$$

$P: X \rightarrow X$ is an orthogonal projection
and

$$R(P) = X_1 \quad N(P) = X_2$$

$$X_1 \perp X_2$$

(2) If P is an orthogonal
projection, then for any
 $x \in X$, we have

$$x = Px + (I-P)x$$

$\in R(P) \qquad \qquad \in N(P)$

$$\therefore \|x\|^2 = \|Px\|^2 + \|(I-P)x\|^2$$

(by Pythagorean theory)

$$\geq \|Px\|^2$$

$$\therefore \|Px\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Px\| \leq \|x\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|P\| \leq 1 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Also, since

$$P = P^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|P\| = \|P^2\|$$

$$= \|P \cdot P\|$$

$$\leq \|P\| \|P\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|P\| \geq 1 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

\therefore from (1) & (2), we get

$$\|P\| = 1.$$

[For any $z \in H$

$$\|ABz\| = \|(A(Bz))\|$$

$$\leq \|A\| \|Bz\|$$

$$\leq \|A\| \|B\| \|z\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|]$$

(Projection theorem) : —

Let H be a Hilbert space and F be a non-empty closed subspace of H . Then $H = F + F^\perp$.

Equivalently, there is an orthogonal projection onto F .

$$\text{Moreover } F^{\perp\perp} = F$$