

# Estimating Transition Matrices and their associated quantities from Random Walks

Kessem Klein

January 24, 2021

## 1 Introduction

1. Covering time in classic random walks
2. RWs with arbitrary transition probabilities
3. RWs with unknown transition probabilities
4. RWs with unknown transition probabilities and unknown vertices

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a row-stochastic transition probability matrix, describing the probabilities of hopping amongst a discrete set of states. The elements  $T_{ij}$  give the probability of an  $i \rightarrow j$  transition in a single step. We denote this probability as:

$$P_T(i \rightarrow j)$$

In the following we refer to a *random walk* as a random walk on the fully connected graph with  $n$  vertices  $K_n$ , where the transition probabilities are given by  $T$ .

The covering time  $C_G$  of a graph  $G$  is the expected number of steps until a random walk on  $G$  visits all the vertices of  $G$ . In the case of a highly regular, or very connected graph, it has been shown that the expected number of steps it takes to cover **any** two sets of vertices of equal size should be very similar [ref]. *REPHRASE THIS:* We are interested in investigating the timescales of expected covering times, in cases where there are nearly disconnected sets in the graph, such that the covering time of a set of vertices can vary drastically with the starting point.

**Definition 1.** *first passage time (FPT)*

*For any  $i, j \in V$  we define the random variable  $FPT_{ij}$  to be the first time a random walk that originates from  $i$  reaches  $j$ .*

It can be useful to understand the distribution of the FPT. In particular, it has been shown [ref 10.1103/PhysRevA.42.2047] that for  $t$  larger than a fraction of  $T = \mathbb{E}[FPT_{ij}]$ , the value  $P(FPT_{ij} = t)$  is approximated to a high degree of accuracy by:

$$P(FPT_{ij} = t) \approx \frac{1}{T} \exp\left(\frac{-t}{T}\right) \quad (1)$$

This means that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $t > T$  for which we can determine that  $P(FPT_{ij} > t) < \epsilon$ .

**Definition 2.**  *$\epsilon$ -certain passage time*

For  $\epsilon > 0$  we define the  $\epsilon$ -certain passage time from  $i$  to  $j$  as:

$$\epsilon\text{-}CPT_{ij} = \min_k \{k \in \mathbb{N} | P(FPT_{ij} \geq k) < \epsilon\}$$

In words, if  $\epsilon\text{-}CPT_{ij} = t$ , this means that it is nearly certain (with probability at least  $1 - \epsilon$ ) that a random walk originating from  $i$  will visit  $j$  in at most  $t$  steps.

**Note:** if  $\epsilon$  is chosen to be a relatively large fraction of 1, although the definitions stay the same, a more appropriate semantic interpretation of the  $\epsilon$ -certain passage time is that "if  $\epsilon\text{-}CPT_{ij} = t$ , this means that it is **plausible** (with probability at least  $1 - \epsilon$ ) that a random walk originating from  $i$  will visit  $j$  in at most  $t$  steps. "

**Definition 3.**  *$\epsilon$ -covering time NOT SURE WE NEED THIS*

For any set  $B \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and vertex  $i$  we define the  $\epsilon$ -covering time of  $B$  from  $i$  to be:

$$C_\epsilon(i, B) = \max\{\epsilon\text{-}CPT_{ij} | j \in B\}$$

**Definition 4.**  *$\epsilon$ -covering ball*

For any vertex  $i$  and radius  $r$  we define the  $\epsilon$ -covering ball of radius  $r$  around  $i$  to be:

$$B_\epsilon(i, r) = \{j \in [n] | \epsilon\text{-}CPT_{ij} \leq r\}$$

Equivalently,  $B_\epsilon(i, r)$  is the maximal set  $B \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$C_\epsilon(i, B) \leq r.$$

**Note:** From here on we will assume some fixed  $\epsilon$ , and omit the  $\epsilon$  from our notations, e.g. we will write  $CPT_{ij}$  instead of  $\epsilon\text{-}CPT_{ij}$

¡SOME DISCUSSION EXPLAINING THESE DEFINITIONS !

### 3 Estimation of First Passage Times

In cases where precise transition probabilities are unknown apriori, they can be estimated if there is a way to produce samples of random walks.

Denote by  $C$  a transition count matrix, describing the number of transitions observed between vertices in a set of sampled random walks. The elements  $C_{ij}$

count the number of  $i \rightarrow j$  transitions observed.

By the Markovian assumption on random walks, each time the current state is a vertex  $i$ , the next state is sampled from the categorical distribution parameterized by the vector  $T_i$  (denoting transition probabilities from vertex  $i$ ). Therefore, each row  $C_i$ , which counts all the transitions observed *from* the vertex  $i$ , is a random variable sampled from the multinomial distribution parameterized by the vector  $T_i$ :

$$C_i \sim \text{Mul}(T_i, k_i) \quad (2)$$

where  $k_i = \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij}$ .

However if we don't know the precise value of  $T$ , we can still estimate it via it's posterior distribution using the observed count matrix  $C$ . Since each  $C_i$  has a multinomial distribution parameterized by  $T_i$ , if we assume a dirichlet prior distribution on  $T_i$ :

$$T_i \sim \text{Dir}(\alpha_i) \quad (3)$$

then the posterior distribution  $P(T_i|C_i)$  is also a dirichlet distribution:

$$T_i|C_i \sim \text{Dir}(C_i + \alpha_i) \quad (4)$$

This observation will allow us to compute and estimate some useful values. Namely, we will be able to estimate the distribution  $P(CPT_{ij})$ . Lets define a few values which will be of particular use:

**Definition 5.**  *$\delta$ -probable certain passage time*

*We define the  $\delta$ -probable certain passage time from  $i$  to  $j$  to be:*

$$d_\delta(i, j|C) = \min_n \{k \in \mathbb{N} | P(CPT_{ij} \leq k) > 1 - \delta\}.$$

The  $\delta$ -probable certain passage time from  $i$  to  $j$  is essentially a rough upper bound on  $CPT_{ij}$ , that expresses, given our observation  $C$ , the first time we are almost sure that that  $j$  is in " $CPT$  - range" of  $i$ .

It is useful to think of it as a sort of *non-symmetric-distance* from  $i$  to  $j$ . Since we have defined a sort of distance, the next natural question arises: *What does a ball look like under this distance?* For example, say we want to define a ball of radius  $r$  using this distance function around the vertex  $i$ . If for a vertex  $j$  it holds that

$$D_\delta(i, j|C) < r$$

we could assign  $j$  to the ball, and be confident that  $j$  will stay inside this ball even after we get more data and update our observations  $C$ . However, if

$$D_\delta(i, j|C) > r$$

we are not necessarily confident that  $j$  will remain *outside* of the ball if we obtain more data, so we can not define such a ball with confidence.

To address this, we define the complementary matching lower bound:

**Definition 6.**  $\delta$ -probable uncertain passage time (*I AM  $\delta$ -CERTAIN WE NEED A BETTER NAME FOR THIS*)

We define the  $\delta$ -probable uncertain passage time from  $i$  to  $j$  to be:

$$D_\delta(i, j|C) = \max_n \{k \in \mathbb{N} | P(CPT_{ij} > k) > 1 - \delta\}.$$

Similarly to  $d_\delta(i, j|C)$ , the value  $D_\delta(i, j|C)$  represents a rough lower bound to  $CPT_{ij}$ .

With these two bounds in hand, we can determine exactly for which  $r$ 's we can confidently define a ball around a vertex.

**Definition 7.** *CPT confidence time.*

We define the  $\delta$ -CPT confidence time of a vertex  $i$  to be:

$$Conf_\delta(i|C) = \{r | \forall j \in [n] : r \notin (d_\delta(i, j|C), D_\delta(i, j|C))\}.$$

For a vertex  $i$ , if  $r \in Conf_\delta(i|C)$ , then for each vertex  $j$  we can determine with confidence if  $CFP_{ij} < r$  or  $CFP_{ij} > r$ .

Now we have all we need to have a well defined  $\epsilon$ -covering ball in cases where there is uncertainty about  $T$ .

**Definition 8.**  $\epsilon, \delta$ -covering ball around a vertex

For any vertex  $i$  and radius  $r \in Conf_\delta(i|C)$  we define the  $\epsilon, \delta$ -covering ball of radius  $r$  around  $i$  to be:

$$B_{\epsilon, \delta}(i, r) = \{j \in [n] | d_\delta(i, j|C) \leq r\}.$$

Note that the complement of the ball  $B_{\epsilon, \delta}(i, r)$  is exactly

$$B_{\epsilon, \delta}^c(i, r) = \{j \in [n] | D_\delta(i, j|C) > r\}$$

meaning that each vertex is assigned with probability  $> 1 - \delta$  to its correct set.

**Note:** Once again, to avoid excessive notation, from here on we will assume some fixed  $\delta$  and  $\epsilon$ , and omit writing them in our notation.

### 3.1 Calculating first passage time probabilities

On a random walk with transition probabilities given by a transition matrix  $T$ , where  $T_{ij}$  gives the probability of a  $i \rightarrow j$  transition in one step, we can see that:

$$T_{ij}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n T_{ik} \cdot T_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^n P(i \rightarrow k)P(k \rightarrow j) = \sum_{k=1}^n P(i \rightarrow k \rightarrow j) \quad (5)$$

Which by the law of total probability, is exactly the probability of an  $i \rightarrow j$  transition in two steps. Likewise it can be seen that for any number of steps  $k$ , exponentiating the matrix  $T$  to the  $k$ 'th power gives the probabilities of transitions in exactly  $k$  steps.

To find the probability that a transition  $i \rightarrow j$  occurred at least once in  $k$  steps, we can modify the transition probabilities to make  $j$  an absorbing vertex (a sink), and then calculate the probability of an  $i \rightarrow j$  occurring in  $k$  steps with the modified transition probability matrix. In the modified setting, any random walk that reaches the vertex  $j$  will remain there for the rest of the random walk, therefore if  $\tilde{T}(j)$  is the modified transition matrix (with  $\tilde{T}_{jk}(j) = \mathbb{1}_{j=k}$ ) then

$$\tilde{T}_{ij}^k(j) = P(FPT_{ij} \leq k) \quad (6)$$

So that in the case where the transition probability matrix  $T$  is known, we have found a closed form representation of the distribution  $P(FPT_{ij})$ , and therefore of the value:

$$CPT_{ij} = \min_k \{k \in \mathbb{N} | \tilde{T}_{ij}^k(j) > 1 - \epsilon\} \quad (7)$$

Using this representation we can calculate the exact value of  $CPT_{ij}$  by simply exponentiating  $\tilde{T}(j)$  until the first time  $\tilde{T}_{ij}^k(j) > 1 - \epsilon$ .

The complexity of this calculation is  $O(n^3 \log k)$ .

*TODO:* I'm pretty sure we can find  $k$  by solving a linear system of the form  $Tx = \epsilon b$  (which is also  $O(n^3)$ ).

### 3.2 Estimating first passage time probabilities from data

We now return to the setting where the precise transition probabilities are unknown to us, but we have a transition count matrix  $C$  calculated from a set of sampled random walks. Using (4), we can generate samples from the posterior distribution of the rows of  $T$  given  $C$ , and therefore generate samples from the posterior distribution of  $T$  given  $C$ .

By modifying these samples and setting one of the vertices as an absorbing vertex, and exponentiating the samples to the  $k$ 'th power, using (??) and (7) we can directly sample from the posterior distribution  $P(FPT_{ij} \leq k | C)$  and  $P(CPT_{ij} | C)$  to estimate them, and determine the values of  $d_\delta(i, j | C)$  and  $D_\delta(i, j | C)$ .

For example, a naive way to determine if  $d_\delta(i, j | C) < k$  is to sample a large set of transition probability matrices  $S$  s.t.  $T \in S \sim T | C$ , and estimate:

$$P(CPT_{ij} \leq k) \approx \frac{|\{T \in S : CPT_{ij}(T) \leq k\}|}{|S|} \quad (8)$$

Where  $CPT_{ij}(T)$  is the value of  $CPT_{ij}$  calculated as in (7) using the matrix  $T$ .

Other ways of estimating the values  $d_\delta(i, j | C)$ ,  $D_\delta(i, j | C)$  more efficiently may include estimating the distribution of  $P(CPT_{ij} | C)$ , for example by fitting a Gaussian distribution to it.

### 3.3 Spectral properties of T

1. classifying balls and transition rates with eigenvectors
2. estimation and uncertainty of eigenvalues

## 4 Random walks with unknown vertices

1. unseen vertex trick
2.  $Conf_\delta(i|C) = \{r < D_\delta(i, n|C) | \forall j \in [n] : r < D_\delta(i, j|C) \text{ or } r > d_\delta(i, j|C)\}$

## 5 Transition Matrices of Hierarchical Graphs

### 5.1 Hierarchical representation of a graph

#some sentences about when the graph has a nested graph structure, and how representing it with a coarse grained graph may be useful

**Definition 9.** *Graph Partition*

Let  $G$  be a graph, associated with a transition probability matrix  $T$ , and let  $W = \{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$  be a partition of  $V$ , the vertices of  $G$ .

Let the graph  $G_i$  be the graph with the vertices  $V_i$ , and an additional vertex  $w_j$  for each  $V_j \in W$  s.t.  $j \neq i$ .

Further, let the transition probability matrix  $T_i$  be the transition probability matrix of  $G_i$ , such that

$$\forall v, w \in V_i : P_{T_i}(v \rightarrow w) = P_T(v \rightarrow w)$$

And for any  $j \neq i$ :

$$\forall v \in V_i : P_{T_i}(v \rightarrow w_j) = \sum_{w \in V_j} P_T(v \rightarrow w)$$

$$P_{T_i}(w_j \rightarrow v) = 0$$

$$P_{T_i}(w_j \rightarrow w_j) = 1$$

Then we define the set of graphs with their associated transition probability matrices:

$$G_W = \{(G_1, T_1), \dots, (G_k, T_k)\}$$

to be a graph partition of  $G$ .

For an arbitrary partition  $W$ , a graph partition  $G_W$  is unlikely to be useful in any meaningful way.

However, if  $W$  partitions  $G$  in such a way that a random walk on  $G$  sampled via the matrix  $T^k$  for  $k > 1$ , can be estimated to a high degree of accuracy as a random walk between on  $G_W$  (how this graph is defined exactly will be

defined soon), then such a partition can provide a useful model to understand the behavior of random walks on  $G$  - both in terms of human understanding and computation.

To formalize this requirement, we must introduce the following standard definition:

**Definition 10.** *Mixing time*

Let  $\pi$  be the stationary distribution of a random walk on a graph  $G$ . For a vertex  $i$ , denote by  $Q_i^k$  the probability distribution of the random walk on  $G$  after  $k$  steps, starting at  $i$ .

We say that the random walk mixes after  $k$  steps if:

$$\forall i \in [n] : \|Q_i^k - \pi\| < \frac{1}{4}.$$

The mixing time  $\text{mix}(G)$  of a random walk on  $G$  is the smallest such  $k$ .

With this definition in hand, we can now introduce a criterion for a graph partition to give a good estimation of long term transitions on a graph. For ease of notation, for a subset  $V_i \subset V$  we denote:

$$P_T(v \rightarrow V_i) = \sum_{w \in V_i} P_T(v \rightarrow w).$$

**Definition 11.**  *$\epsilon$ -Graph partition*

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $W = \{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$  be a partition of  $V$ , the vertices of  $G$ .

Let  $\text{mix}(G|_{V_i})$  be the mixing time of the subgraph of  $G$  restricted to the vertices  $V_i$ .

If for all  $i \neq j$ :

$$\forall v \in V_i : P_{T^{\text{mix}(G|_{V_i})}}(v \rightarrow V_j) < \epsilon.$$

Then  $G_W$  is an  $\epsilon$ -graph partition of  $G$ .

In essence, if  $G_W$  is an  $\epsilon$ -graph partition, then for any  $t \geq \max_{i \in [k]} \text{mix}(G|_{V_i})$ , a random walk sampled at intervals of  $t$  steps, 'forgets' (up to a factor of  $\epsilon$ ) which vertex it visited last, and only remembers which subgraph  $G|_{V_i}$  it was in.

We will give a formal proof for this once we have defined how to construct a 'Metagraph' out of a graph partition, which describes random walks in timesteps of  $t$  steps.

# example: two cliques with very small probability of traversing between them.  
Two graphs with a 'narrow bridge' between them

**Definition 12.** *Metagraph / integrative graph?*

Let  $G_W = \{(G_1, T_1), \dots, (G_k, T_k)\}$  be an  $\epsilon$ -graph partition of  $G$ , and  $\tau > \max_{i \in [k]} \text{mix}(G|_{V_i})$ . We define the metagraph with timescale  $\tau$  of  $G$  w.r.t.  $G_W$

to be the graph with  $k$  vertices, and associated transition probability matrix  $T_W$ , such that for  $i \neq j$ :

$$P_{T_W}(i \rightarrow j) = \mathbb{E}_{v \sim \pi_i}[P_{T_i^\tau}(v \rightarrow w_j)].$$

Where  $\pi_i$  is the stationary distribution on  $G|_{V_i}$ , and  $T_i^\tau$  is the transition probability matrix  $T_i$  exponentiated  $\tau$  times, which gives the probabilities of transitions occurring in  $\tau$  steps.

**Note:** From here on we will refer to  $G_W$  as the Metagraph induced by the graph partition  $G_W$ , and we will assume it is an  $\epsilon$ -graph partition, and omit the  $\epsilon$  notation.

**Claim 1.** *Let  $G_W$  be a Metagraph of  $G$  with timescale  $\tau$ . Then for all  $i, j \in [k]$ , and for all  $v \in V_i$ :*

$$|P_{T^\tau}(v \rightarrow V_j) - P_{T_W}(i \rightarrow j)| < 3\epsilon.$$

*Proof.* (Okay theres a bit of a hole in my proof...)

□

## 6 Adaptive Sampling Strategies