Poisson Regression Lab

Introduction

Poisson regression can be used when we want to model a response variable Y given a predictor or predictors X, where Y represents count data. The GLM model framework is applicable here, and the log-link function is the most appropriate since it maps positive data to the real line. Therefore the two components for GLM are

- 1. The response belongs to an exponential family (works for a poisson random variable), and
- 2. the link-function is $ln(\lambda) = X^T \beta$.

Solving for λ in our link function we find that

$$\lambda = e^{x^T \beta},$$

and therefore our proposed poisson model is

$$P(y \mid x) = \frac{exp(-e^{x^T\beta})e^{x^T\beta y}}{y!}$$

Dataset set from Agresti, 2007.

- Data: Study of nesting horseshoe crabs (J. Brockman, Ethology, 1996). Each female crab in the study had a male crab attached to her in her nest. The study investigated factors that affect whether the female crab had any other males, called satellites, residing nearby her. Explanatory variables thought possibly to affect this included the female crab's color, spine condition, weight, and carapace width. The response outcome for each female crab is her number of satellites.
- Variable descriptions:
- color: 1 light medium, 2 medium, 3 dark medium, 4 dark
- spine: 1 both good, 2 one worn or broken, 3 both worn or broken
- width: carapace width in cm
- satell: number of satellites
- weight: weight in kg

crabs <- read.table("http://www.math.montana.edu/shancock/data/horseshoe.txt",header=T)</pre>

Problem 1

Fit a Poisson regression model using weight and spine as predictors for the number of satellites a female crab has. Comment on the results. How do the coefficients relate to the geometric mean of the data?

Answer:

Problem 2

The deviance residuals can be obtained using the **resid** function on our model fit. Do these residuals follow a normal distribution? Perform a deviance test for goodness of fit. Comment on the results.

Answer:

Problem 3

It is very common in practice to find that the variance of the data is greater than the mean of the data, but the poisson model dictates that the mean and variance are both equal to λ . Therefore we can introduce a dispersion parameter ϕ , where now we model $V(Y) = \phi \lambda$. In R use family = quasipoisson. Fit a model with a dispersion parameter and comment on the results. Is there evidence of overdispersion?

Answer:

Problem 4

We might consider bootstrapping the distribution of the dispersion parameter to see if we should really include it. Calculate a bootstrap 95% confidence interval for the dispersions parameter using 1000 bootstrap replications. The following function will be helpful if you want to use modelr for your bootstrap.

```
getdispersion <- function(x){unlist(x)$dispersion}</pre>
```

Answer:

Problem 5

Use the anova function in R with test = "Chisq" to compare the model without spine to the larger model with spine. As in logistic regression, the anova test statistic is the difference in deviance values, and the degrees of freedom for the χ^2 distribution is the difference in number of parameters. Comment on the results.

Answer:

Problem 6

The following code fits shows the observed counts (black) and the predicted counts (red) from the model named fit3 for the mean value of weight. Replace fit3 with your model object chosen from Problem 5 and comment on the fit.

```
# Calculate observed counts
ns.table <- table(crabs$satell)
counts <- as.data.frame(ns.table)[,1] %>%
    as.character(.) %>% as.numeric(.)
freq <- as.data.frame(ns.table)[,2]

# Calculate predicted counts for the mean value of weight
x.p <- mean(crabs$weight)
lambda.x.p <- exp(coef(fit3)[1]+coef(fit3)[2]*x.p)
vals <- 0:15
fits <- rep(0,length(vals))
for (i in 1:length(fits)){
    fits[i] <- dpois(i-1,lambda.x.p)*nrow(crabs)
}

plot(freq~counts)
points(fits~vals,col="red")</pre>
```

Answer:

Problem 7

A 'zero-inflated' model splits the process into two pieces: we now assume that the response will be zero with probability π , and will follow a poisson distribution with probability $(1-\pi)$. Notice that the zero could occur

in two ways now, either with probability π or it could be generated by the poisson distribution. Furthermore, the π probability will be modeled using logistic regression, so our proposed distribution now is

$$p(y \mid x) = \pi I\{y = 0\} + (1 - \pi) \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^y}{y!} I\{y > 0\},$$

where $\lambda = e^{x^T \beta}$ and

$$\pi = \frac{e^{x^T \alpha}}{1 + e^{x^T \alpha}}.$$

Here α represents the coefficients for the logistic regression piece, and β represents the coefficients for the poisson piece. In practice the covariates used in each piece can be different, and I will point out how to do this in R. For example, the probability that Y = 0 is

$$P(y = 0) = \pi + (1 - \pi)e^{-\lambda}.$$

Zero-inflated models in R

These models can be fit in R using the zeroinft function in the pscl package.

```
library(pscl)
fit_zero <- zeroinfl(satell~weight, data=crabs)
summary(fit_zero)</pre>
```

Notice that the output now gives us output for the poisson piece and output for the logistic piece. By default R uses the same predictors for both pieces, but this can be easily modified. Notice that the direction of the weight coefficients agree for the two pieces. The positive coefficient for the poisson portion indicates that the expected number of satellites increases as weight increases; the negative coefficient for the logistic piece indicates that the probability of observing zero satellites decreases as weight increases. Plot the observed counts and predicted counts for this zero-inflated model using the mean weight value to fix λ . Comment on the results.

Answer: