Hate Speech Detection - scikit-learn

Ravi Regulagedda, Zackary Leech

Text pre-processing was performed on the dataset, following which it was vectorized by using CountVectorizer from sci-kit learn. Following this the vectors were scaled using StandardScaler and then three different basic classification models were tested - Logistic Regression, Naive Bayes and Random Forest. Their base model accuracy scores are given below -

```
1  from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
2  print("Naive Bayes", accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred))
3  print("Random Forest", accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_2))
4  print("Logistic Regression", accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_3))
✓ 0.0s

Naive Bayes 0.6860779020439646
Random Forest 0.8177786347859622
Logistic Regression 0.8069803316621674
```

Figure 1: Result showing the baseline accuracy of 3 different algorithms

Following this, a cross-validation search was performed on the ranges of hyper parameters for Random Forest since it had the highest initial accuracy. The ranges chosen are below

```
▾ RandomizedSearchCV
RandomizedSearchCV(cv=3, estimator=RandomForestClassifier(n_jobs=3), n_iter=100,
                   n_jobs=-1,
                   param_distributions={'bootstrap': [True, False],
                                        'criterion': ['gini', 'entropy',
                                                      'log_loss'],
                                        'min_samples_split': [2, 3, 4, 5],
                                        'n_estimators': [200, 400, 600, 800,
                                                         1000, 1200, 1400, 1600,
                                                         1800, 2000]},
                   random_state=42, verbose=2)
    ▾ estimator: RandomForestClassifier
    RandomForestClassifier(n_jobs=3)
        ▾ RandomForestClassifier
        RandomForestClassifier(n_jobs=3)
```

Figure 2: Range of hyperparameter values for CV search

We used a 3 fold cross validation over 100 searches making a total of 300 models fit. This provided the following values for the hyperparameters -

```
1  rf_random.best_params_
✓ 0.0s

{'n_estimators': 1600,
 'min_samples_split': 2,
 'criterion': 'gini',
 'bootstrap': False}
```

Figure 3: Range of hyperparameter values for CV search

However, many of the “optimal” values provided are just the default values of the parameters. Therefore, the increase in accuracy was just 1%. The final accuracy is shown below -

Figure 4: Accuracy with best hyperparameters