

Data Mining II Homework 1

Due: Wednesday February 27th (11:59 pm)
30 points

- (1) (10 points) (Adopted from Recommender Systems, Aggarwal)
Consider the following ratings table between five users and six items.

Item-Id ⇒	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	5	6	7	4	3	?
2	4	?	3	?	5	4
3	?	3	4	1	1	?
4	7	4	3	6	?	4
5	1	?	3	2	2	5

- (a) Predict the values of unspecified ratings of user 2 using user-based collaborative filtering. Use the Pearson correlation with mean-centering.
- (b) Predict the values of unspecified ratings of user 2 using item-based collaborative filtering algorithms. Use the adjusted cosine similarity.
- (2) (10 points) Consider the Boston Housing Data. This data can be accessed in the ElemStatLearn package (available through CRAN).

```
> library(ElemStatLearn)
> data(boston)
> head(boston)
```

	crim	zn	indus	chas	nox	rm	age	dis	rad	tax	ptratio	black	lstat	medv
1	0.00632	18	2.31	0	0.538	6.575	65.2	4.0900	1	296	15.3	396.90	4.98	24.0
2	0.02731	0	7.07	0	0.469	6.421	78.9	4.9671	2	242	17.8	396.90	9.14	21.6
3	0.02729	0	7.07	0	0.469	7.185	61.1	4.9671	2	242	17.8	392.83	4.03	34.7
4	0.03237	0	2.18	0	0.458	6.998	45.8	6.0622	3	222	18.7	394.63	2.94	33.4
5	0.06905	0	2.18	0	0.458	7.147	54.2	6.0622	3	222	18.7	396.90	5.33	36.2
6	0.02985	0	2.18	0	0.458	6.430	58.7	6.0622	3	222	18.7	394.12	5.21	28.7

The variables are as follows:

CRIM per capita crime rate by town
 ZN proportion of residential land zoned for lots over 25,000 sq.ft.
 INDUS proportion of non-retail business acres per town
 CHAS Charles River dummy variable (= 1 if tract bounds river; 0 otherwise)
 NOX nitric oxides concentration (parts per 10 million)
 RM average number of rooms per dwelling
 AGE proportion of owner-occupied units built prior to 1940
 DIS weighted distances to five Boston employment centres
 RAD index of accessibility to radial highways
 TAX full-value property-tax rate per \$10,000
 PTRATIO pupil-teacher ratio by town

B $1000(B_k - 0.63)^2$ where B_k is the proportion of blacks by town
LSTAT % lower status of the population
MEDV Median value of owner-occupied homes in \$1000's

- a) Visualize the data using histograms of the different variables in the data set. Transform the data into a binary incidence matrix, and justify the choices you make in grouping categories.
 - b) Visualize the data using the `itemFrequencyPlot` in the “arules” package. Apply the apriori algorithm (Do not forget to specify parameters in your write up).
 - c) A student is interested in a low crime area as close to the city as possible (as measured by “dis”). What can you advise on this matter through the mining of association rules?
 - d) A family is moving to the area, and has made schooling a priority. They want schools with low pupil-teacher ratios. What can you advise on this matter through the mining of association rules?
 - e) Use a regression model to solve part d. Are your results comparable? Which provides an easier interpretation? When would regression be preferred, and when would association models be preferred?
- (3) (10 points) (Modified Exercise 14.4) Cluster the demographic data of Table 14.1 using a classification tree. Specifically, generate a reference sample the same size as the training set. Build a classification tree to the training sample (class 1) and the reference sample (class 0) and describe the terminal nodes having highest estimated class 1 probability.

*Note: you may use a variety of R libraries for tree construction e.g., `rpart` or `tree`. Computational lab for tree building is available upon request.

~~~~~  
EXTRA CREDIT

Consider the MovieLens data in the “recommenderlab” package.

```
>library(recommenderlab)
>data(MovieLens)
```

Design and evaluate your own recommendation system based on the following principles:

- For each user “i” and each movie “j” they did not see, find top “k” most similar users to “i” who have seen “j” and then use them to infer the user “i”’s rating on movie. Handle all exceptions in a reasonable way and report your strategy if you did so; e.g., if you cannot find “k” users for some movie “j”, then take all users who have seen it.
- Test the performance of your system using cross-validation. For each data set, the MovieLens database already provides a split of the initial data set into  $N = 5$  folds. This means you will run your algorithm  $N$  times; in each step, use the training partition to make predictions for each user on all items rated in the test partition (by that user). When you complete all  $N$  iterations, you will have a large number of user-movie pairs from the 5 test partitions on which you can evaluate the performance of your system. Measure the performance of your recommendation system.