MFDS - Mathematics Assignment-1

Mane: Ravi Chandra vedula Roll No: 20208C04054

Question 1: write a code to perform Gaum elimination with and without probing for a 2×2 system, taking the number of standisheart digits (d) to be considered as user input. Using the code, solve the 2×2 system with random coefficients for d = 3,4,5 and 6. Oisplay the results in Tabular Sorm.

Solution: Considering the system of linear equations Ax=b Por a 2x2 random matrix.

9.42477796 169.64600329 [22] [22] [109.64600329] [20.48670779 106.81415022] [2]

	-	2331-221-1	6100		gan light and solver	
1 0	1 000	Significant digits				
Method	REF Mobil	3	4	5	6	
J. 015	9.42477716 169.6	7 1		111430	1 1	
whout of 100 ing	0.0 -3681.94	659/10154	(1.1544)	1015444	(1.154437-)	
8410°,						
eth Pu	Q10.486708 106.81	(O.220)	0.2202	0.22013	6.220136	
booker bir.	0.04776 164.232	93 [10154]	1.1544	1.15444	1.154437	
, ,		and the second s	Consequent of the Consequence of	Nyagigagangahinisi Ali Vitandahini asamani amana ara aray		

Mars a-B		-
& without .	Bull Plyoting.	
(197.92033718)	[169-64600329]	1
-4280.57486095	190.22426774	- (

MFDS- Mathematics Assignment -1

2

Mame: Ravi Chandra vedula

ROUNO: 2020SC04054

Question 2: welt a code to perform

- a) Gaun Jacobi nethod
- (b) Gaun Seldel method
- A for a 3x3 system by checking the convergence exiteria cusing a sulfable norm. Test the method on a randown 3x3 system, which is diagonally dominant and check your results. A comparison between the two methods should be presented in a tabular form. The stopping criteria Could be taken as the lowest iteration number when the relative percentage error is loss than 1%.
- E Grenerate a rondom mother of Size 3×3 which annot be made diagonally dominant and cheek it the Iterates converge. The random entires generated should be of the form, n.dddd.

Normalized:
$$0.93333 = 0.8333$$
Normalized: $0.993333 = 0.93333$
 $0.93333 = 0.93333$
 $0.93333 = 0.93333$

Diagonally comminant matrix and solution converges

	+					1		
	Gau	Gauss-Jacobs			Graun - Seidel			
NO of Iteration	D 52,	72	73	21	72	23		
1	18.6666	4.6	2.5	18-6666	-2.8666	1.2995		
2	16.2002	-2-8666	-3.6785	1901889	-3.0756	1.3517		
3	20-848	-1-9201	1.6939	19.2412	-3.0965	1.3569		
4 1	18.742	-3.7392	0.3048	19.2464	-1.0986	1.3574		
5	19.8113	-2.8968	1.8686	19.2469	-3.0988	1.3575		
6	19.0093	-3.3245	1.1288	19.242	-3.0988	1.3575		
7	19.3984	-3.0037	1.5476	19.247	-3.0988	1.3575		
8	19.1519	-3-1594	102689	19.247	-3.0988	1.3575		
9	19.2967	-3.0608	1-4138	CONSTA				
-10-	19.2155	-2-1187	1.3239	-Solubon	Converges	much		
11	19.2648	-3.0862	1.376	faster, i		1 lerabor		
12	19.2366	-3.1059	1-3461	itself.		rours-le		
13	19.2531	-3,0946	1-3640	Es much				
14	19.2434	-3.1012	1.3534					
15	19.2490	-3.0974	1.3592	THE	,			
16	19.2458	-3.0996	1. 3562	1111,				
17	19.2477	-3.0983	1.3582	THE CONTRACT				
19	19.8466	-3.0991	1.3570					
		-		TANKS :	* 1			

Convergence 0.0013312 -0.13333 0.13332 -0.03333 0.13332 -0.03333 0.13332 -0.03333 0.13332 -0.03333 0.13332 -0.03333 0.13332 -0.03333 0.13332 -0.03333

(Hence makes Shefier Convergence costersa)



Non-Bagorally dominant Matrix [Non-converging] Divergence Table

	Gaun-Jacobi			Grown-School			
No of	21	72	72	21	22	7 2.	
1	6.1667	35.9999	1.5714	6.1667	11-3331	-7.096	
2	-25.4034	5.0475	-10.6209	3.8188	4901086	-9.8108	
4	-12.5815	1.80.0971	29.8837 -38.5439	-2409447	175.0219	5.070	
	156.2569	8850 343	219.3269	-571.430Y	594·7161 1993·6408	22·0203	
7	-950.9166 1531.594		-303.5301	-2024.9022	6656.5366	1011, [10]	
9	-5503-067/	-11282.0293		-6905.3383 -23213.6371	211-21-2001	1 100	
10	-34506.4663	-79091.1361	7903.2288 -18137.592	- (1617.1221	246683.831	53461.3461	
	84050-4029	210612.231	50741-1551	North Address of the Control of the		rgin 9m	
Marie and the first second				each			

Random rating generated for the above larable methods:

Matrix cefter normalisation;

Onvergence 110112 O. -0.8333 -1.0 O. 3.3332 0.0 O. 0.47606 1.1429

Nector-1-Norm = 4.6426 > 1

Vector & - Norm = 8.282 > 1

Probenius - Norm = 8.7865 > 1

As the norm is > 1 the solution is

diverging.