

Presented by :- Rupinder pal Kaur  
Research scholar (Botany)  
Lovely Professional University, Phagwara

# Comparison of phenolic , flavanoid contents and antioxidant activities of various extracts of selected plants of Genus *Cucumis* L. and *Momordica* L. of family Cucurbitaceae

Rupinderpal Kaur<sup>1\*</sup>, Yumnam Devashree <sup>1</sup> and Vijay Singh<sup>2</sup> Renu Sharma<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Bioengineering and Biosciences, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab India-144411

<sup>2</sup> Department of Botany, Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab, India-140406

<sup>3</sup> Department of Chemistry, Bhai Gurdas Institute of Engineering and Technology, Punjab, India-148001

Correspondence author Email Id- [ripan151@gmail.com](mailto:ripan151@gmail.com)

# INTRODUCTION

- ✖ It is well known that reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as superoxide anion ( $O_2^{\bullet-}$ ), hydroxyl radicals ( $OH^{\bullet}$ ), singlet oxygen ( $^1O_2$ ) and hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ), play a major role in the development of oxidative stress that can lead to many illnesses including cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, inflammation, degenerative diseases, cancer, anemia, and ischemia (Cai *et al.*, 2004).
- ✖ Plant based antioxidant compounds play a defensive role by preventing the generation of free radicals and hence are extremely beneficial to alleviate the diseases caused by oxidative stress (Akinmoladun *et al.*, 2010; Özen *et al.*, 2010)

# OBJECTIVES

- ✕ The objectives of the study were to
  - ❖ Establish scavenging effect of extracts on some non biological free radicals - 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical
  - ❖ To evaluate total phenolic content
  - ❖ To evaluate total flavanoid content

# Material and methods

Dried plant



Homogenized



Filtered



Centrifuged



Rotary evaporator



Concentrated extract

# METHODOLOGY

Soaked in 50%  
Methanol, 24 hrs.

## Antioxidant activity

DPPH assay (Clarke et al.)

0.1 MI test sample + 0.9 MI of 0.1mM solution of DPPH in methanol



Incubate for 30 minute at room tempertaure



Absorbance at 517 nM

- ❑ Control sample – 0.1 MI methanol in 0.9 mL DPPH
- ❑ Ascorbic acis as standard
- ❑ Blank – distilled water

## Total phenolic content

1 mL test sample + 2 mL water + 1 mL of Folin-Ciocalteu's phenol reagent



After 5 Minute 1 mL of saturated sodium carbonate (8%w/v in water)



Dilute with deionised water to make final volume 25 mL



Keep for 30 minute in dark



Absorbance at 765 nm



## Total flavanoid content

- ❖ The flavonoids content was determined (Zhishen et al., 1999).
- ❖ A volume of 125  $\mu\text{L}$  of extract is added to 75  $\mu\text{L}$  of a 5%  $\text{NaNO}_2$  solution.
- ❖ The mixture was allowed to stand for 6 min, then 150  $\mu\text{L}$  of aluminium trichloride (10%) was added and incubated for 5 min,
- ❖ The 750  $\mu\text{L}$  of  $\text{NaOH}$  (1M) was added  
The final volume of the solution was adjusted to 2500  $\mu\text{L}$  with distilled water.
- ❖ After 15 min of incubation the mixture turned to pink and the absorbance was measured at 510 nm.

# Results and Discussions

# Antioxidant activity

## Antioxidant activity of *Cucumis* L.

Concentration (µg/ml)	Ascorbic acid	<i>Cucumis melo</i> L.	<i>Cucumis melo</i> var. <i>agrestis</i>	<i>Cucumis melo</i> var. <i>momordica</i>
100	63.72±0.87	52.92±0.28	56.68±0.98	54.65±0.060
200	73.31±0.62	63.37±0.54	61.92±0.56	59.854±0.148
300	83.95±0.58	74.91±0.76	75.48±0.78	73.294±0.422
500	92.87±1.45	78.52±0.87	77.65±1.26	75.26±0.65

## Antioxidant activity of *Cucumis L.*

Concentration ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )	Ascorbic acid	<i>Momordica</i> <i>charantia</i>	<i>Momordica</i> <i>balsamina</i>	<i>Momordica</i> <i>dioica</i>
100	63.72 $\pm$ 0.87	23.672 $\pm$ 0.234	25.897 $\pm$ 0.326	28.702 $\pm$ 0.671
200	73.31 $\pm$ 0.62	35.982 $\pm$ 0.424	37.273 $\pm$ 0.250	41.536 $\pm$ 0.240
300	83.95 $\pm$ 0.58	43.643 $\pm$ 0.678	49.107 $\pm$ 0.653	52.712 $\pm$ 0.441
500	92.87 $\pm$ 1.45	47.240 $\pm$ 0.27	51.830 $\pm$ 0.34	57.670 $\pm$ 0.74

## Total phenolic and flavanoid

Plant	TPC	TFC
CM	81.08 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	73.03 ± 0.21 <sup>a</sup>
CMAI	43.07 ± 0.05 <sup>e</sup>	38.27 ± 0.15 <sup>e</sup>
CMAII	55.49 ± 0.07 <sup>c</sup>	49.43 ± 0.15 <sup>c</sup>
CMM	69.18 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	55.97 ± 0.40 <sup>b</sup>
MC	39.25 ± 0.12 <sup>f</sup>	36.8 ± 0.2 <sup>f</sup>
MB	44.53 ± 0.02 <sup>d</sup>	42.6 ± 0.2 <sup>d</sup>
MD	36.73 ± 0.09 <sup>g</sup>	35.43 ± 0.25 <sup>g</sup>