## BY

## INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, KHARAGPUR

Date: \_ - \_ -12 FN/AN Time: 3 Hrs No. of Students: 111

Subject No: CS31003 3<sup>rd</sup> Year B.Tech. (H) Full Marks: 100 Deptt. Computer Sc. & Engg. End Autumn Semester Examination. 2012-13

Subject Name: Compiler Design Instruction: Attempt all questions

1. Consider switch statement of C language with the syntax as follows:

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{switch (E) \{} \\ \text{ case } v_1 \colon S_1 \\ \text{ case } v_2 \colon S_2 \\ \dots \\ \text{ case } v_{n-1} \colon S_{n-1} \\ \text{ default: } S_n \\ \end{array}
```

where E is an integer (int) expression,  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_{n-1}$  are (distinct) integer constants and  $S_1, S_2, ..., S_{n-1}$  are list of statements. The semantics of switch statement is defined as in C.

a. Define a suitable grammar for switch statement. Assume v<sub>i</sub>'s as literals. There can be zero or more case clauses and zero or one default clause. For simplicity you may assume that all case clauses precede the default clause.

*Note*: You do not need to write the production rules for E and S.

b. Propose a translation scheme for switch statement based on your grammar. Show the semantic actions for every production of the grammar.

*Note*: There is no need to show the actions for E and S as you have not expanded the production rules for them.

c. Generate the 3-address codes for the following sample:

```
switch (x) {
     case 1: y = x; break;
     case -1: y = -x; break;
     default: y = 1; break;
}
```

Note: Plug in the codes for assignment and break statements from your general understanding of 3-address translation as there are no explicit production rules in your grammar generating these statements. Also assume that variables x and y have been declared earlier and hence have been added to an appropriate symbol table. Make further assumptions as needed and document them clearly.

2. Consider the following grammar G:

```
F \rightarrow \text{int id}(P) \{L\}

P \rightarrow P, D \mid D

D \rightarrow \text{int id}

L \rightarrow L S \mid S

S \rightarrow D; \mid \text{id} = \text{id}; \mid \text{if (B) S else S } \mid \text{return id};

B \rightarrow \text{id relop id}
```

a. Write the semantic actions for the above grammar rules for syntax directed translation to 3-address codes.

[25+

15+

## Note:

- Assume semantics of C language.
- Modify / Augment the grammar as you need.
- Define the attributes of all terminals and non-terminals that you use in writing the semantic actions.
- Define the data structures and functions you use in writing the semantic actions. There is no need to provide their codes for implementation. Just brief English descriptions would do.
- b. Propose a scheme to translate your 3-address codes to x86 target. The scheme should clearly highlight the following:
  - Mapping of 3-address statements to assembly instructions.
  - Register allocation and Address binding for the parameters and local variables of the function.
  - Handling of labels.
- c. Consider the following function:

```
int middle(int a, int b, int c)
{
   int r;
   if (a > b)
        if (b > c) r = b;
        else if (a > c) r = c; else r = a;
   else
        if (a > c) r = a;
        else if (b > c) r = c; else r = b;
   return r;
}
```

i. Construct the parse tree for the above code using the grammar. You may show the parse tree in two or three parts if it gets large.

(10+20)=

ii. Using your schemes in (a) and (b) translate the above code to x86 assembly.

70]