AI Fundamentals & Prompt Engineering

Interview Preparation Guide

Prepared for: Ravi Kiran Date: October 09, 2025

This guide covers fundamental AI concepts, GenAI/RAG/LLM technologies, and practical prompt engineering scenarios aligned with the job requirements for AI-integrated software development roles.

Section 1: Basic AI Concepts and Foundations

Q1: What is the difference between AI, Machine Learning, and Deep Learning?

Answer:

- Al (Artificial Intelligence): Broadest concept any system that performs tasks requiring human intelligence. Includes rule-based systems, expert systems, and ML.
- Machine Learning: Subset of AI where systems learn patterns from data without explicit programming. Uses algorithms like decision trees, SVM, random forests.
- **Deep Learning:** Subset of ML using neural networks with multiple layers (deep). Excels at unstructured data like images, text, audio.

Practical Example from MessageWise: • Al: The entire system making intelligent support decisions • ML: Using patterns in past tickets to predict resolution paths • Deep Learning: BERT/Transformer models creating embeddings for semantic search

Q2: Explain supervised vs unsupervised vs reinforcement learning.

Answer:

- **Supervised Learning:** Training with labeled data (input-output pairs). Used for classification and regression. Example: Spam detection with labeled emails.
- **Unsupervised Learning:** Finding patterns in unlabeled data. Used for clustering and dimensionality reduction. Example: Customer segmentation.
- **Reinforcement Learning:** Learning through trial and error with rewards/penalties. Example: Game playing, robotics.

In MessageWise Context: • Supervised: Training classifiers for ticket urgency using labeled historical tickets • Unsupervised: Clustering similar error messages without labels • Reinforcement: Could optimize response ranking based on user feedback signals

Q3: What metrics would you use to evaluate an Al model?

Answer: Depends on the task type:

Classification Metrics:

- Accuracy: Overall correct predictions. Can be misleading with imbalanced data.
- **Precision:** Of predicted positives, how many were correct? Important when false positives are costly.
- **Recall:** Of actual positives, how many did we catch? Important when false negatives are costly.
- F1 Score: Harmonic mean of precision and recall. Good for balanced evaluation.
- AUC-ROC: Performance across different thresholds.

For RAG/LLM Systems (like MessageWise):

- Relevance: Are retrieved documents relevant to the query?
- **Groundedness:** Are answers supported by retrieved documents?
- Latency: Response time (p50, p95, p99)
- User Satisfaction: Thumbs up/down, resolution rate
- Citation Accuracy: Do citations actually support claims?

Section 2: GenAl, RAG, and LLM Concepts

Q4: What is Generative AI and how does it differ from traditional AI?

Answer:

- **Traditional AI:** Analyzes, classifies, or predicts based on input. Output is typically a label, number, or decision.
- **Generative AI:** Creates new content (text, images, code) that didn't exist before. Output is novel content similar to training data.

Examples: • Traditional: Classify email as spam/not spam, predict house prices • Generative: Write email responses, create marketing copy, generate code **Key Technologies:** • Transformers (GPT, BERT) • Diffusion Models (Stable Diffusion, DALL-E) • VAEs and GANs

Q5: Explain RAG (Retrieval-Augmented Generation). Why use it instead of just an LLM?

Answer: RAG combines information retrieval with language generation to ground LLM responses in factual, up-to-date information.

RAG Process:

- 1. Query Processing: User question is processed and sometimes expanded
- 2. Retrieval: Relevant documents fetched from knowledge base
- 3. Context Assembly: Retrieved docs + query combined into prompt
- 4. Generation: LLM generates answer based on retrieved context
- 5. **Citation:** Response includes references to source documents

Why RAG over pure LLM:

- Accuracy: Reduces hallucinations by grounding in real data
- **Updatable:** Knowledge base can be updated without retraining
- Verifiable: Provides citations for fact-checking
- Domain-specific: Can use proprietary/internal data
- Cost-effective: No need for expensive fine-tuning

Q6: What is an LLM? How do they work at a high level?

Answer: Large Language Models are neural networks trained on vast text data to predict the next word in a sequence.

Key Concepts:

• **Tokens:** Text broken into chunks (words or subwords)

- Embeddings: Tokens converted to numerical vectors
- Attention Mechanism: Model learns relationships between all tokens
- Context Window: Maximum tokens the model can process at once
- Temperature: Controls randomness (0=deterministic, 1=creative)

Common LLMs:

- GPT Family: OpenAl's models (GPT-3.5, GPT-4)
- Claude: Anthropic's models (Claude 2, Claude 3)
- Open Source: Llama 2, Mistral, Falcon
- Specialized: CodeLlama (code), BioGPT (biomedical)

Q7: When would you fine-tune an LLM vs using RAG vs prompt engineering?

Answer: Each approach has different use cases and trade-offs:

Prompt Engineering (First Choice):

- When: Task can be solved with good instructions and examples
- Pros: No training needed, immediate iteration, low cost
- Cons: Limited by context window, may need repeated examples
- Example: Creating email templates, classification with few categories

RAG (For Dynamic Knowledge):

- When: Need access to changing/proprietary information
- Pros: Up-to-date info, verifiable, no retraining
- Cons: Retrieval quality impacts results, added latency
- Example: MessageWise support system, documentation Q&A;

Fine-tuning (For Specialized Behavior):

- When: Need consistent style/format, domain-specific knowledge
- Pros: Better performance on specific tasks, faster inference
- Cons: Expensive, risk of catastrophic forgetting, needs lots of data
- Example: Medical diagnosis, legal document generation

Section 3: Al-Native Principles for Software Development

Q8: What does it mean to build 'Al-Native' applications?

Answer: Al-Native means designing applications with Al capabilities as core features from the start, not bolted on later.

Key Principles:

- **Probabilistic Thinking:** Design for uncertainty and confidence scores, not binary outcomes
- Human-in-the-Loop: All assists but doesn't replace human judgment for critical decisions
- Continuous Learning: Systems improve from user feedback and new data
- Graceful Degradation: Fallbacks when AI fails or confidence is low
- Explainability: Users can understand and verify Al decisions

Implementation in MessageWise:

- Confidence scores on all responses
- Citations for verification
- Feedback loops for improvement
- Fallback to human experts when needed
- Audit logs for all decisions

Q9: How do you handle Al failures gracefully in production?

Answer: Multiple layers of defense and fallback strategies:

Technical Strategies:

- Timeout Handling: Set maximum response times with fallbacks
- Confidence Thresholds: Only show results above certain confidence
- Circuit Breakers: Temporarily disable failing services
- Caching: Serve cached similar responses during outages
- Model Redundancy: Multiple models (primary, secondary, fallback)

User Experience:

- Clear communication: 'I'm not confident about this answer'
- Provide alternatives: 'Here are related topics that might help'
- Enable escalation: Easy path to human expert
- Show working status: Loading indicators, partial results
- Request clarification: 'Could you rephrase your question?'

Section 4: Prompt Engineering Scenarios

Q10: You need to create a model that generates salad recipes based on available ingredients. How would you design the prompts?

Answer: I would use a structured, iterative approach with clear constraints and examples:

Initial System Prompt:

You are a professional chef specializing in healthy, creative salads. Generate recipes that are: - Nutritionally balanced - Use only the provided ingredients - Include preparation time and difficulty level - Suitable for the specified dietary restrictions Output format: Recipe Name: [Creative name] Prep Time: [X minutes] Servings: [Number] Difficulty: [Easy/Medium/Hard] Ingredients: - [Ingredient 1 with amount] - [Ingredient 2 with amount] Instructions: 1. [Step 1] 2. [Step 2] Nutritional Info: [Brief summary] Chef's Tip: [Optional suggestion]

User Prompt Template:

Create a salad recipe using these ingredients: Available: {user_ingredients} Dietary restrictions: {restrictions} Preference: {taste_preference} Serving size: {servings} Do not use any ingredients not listed above.

Improvement Strategies:

- Few-shot examples: Include 2-3 example recipes in the prompt
- Negative examples: Show what NOT to do (using unavailable ingredients)
- Chain-of-thought: Ask model to first list usable ingredients, then create recipe
- Validation step: Have model verify it only used available ingredients
- Variations: Request multiple options ranked by complexity

Q11: Design a prompt to extract key information from customer support emails.

Answer: Use structured extraction with clear field definitions:

Extraction Prompt:

```
Extract the following information from the customer email below. Return as JSON with these exact fields: { "sentiment": "positive|negative|neutral", "urgency": "high|medium|low", "category": "technical|billing|feature_request|complaint|other", "key_issue": "one sentence summary", "product_mentioned": ["list of products"], "requested_action": "what customer wants done", "customer_emotion": "frustrated|satisfied|confused|angry|neutral" } Rules: - Use null for missing information - Keep summaries under 20 words - Extract exact product names when mentioned - Base urgency on language and stated timelines Email: {email_content} JSON Output:
```

Enhancement Techniques:

- Examples in prompt: Show 2-3 correctly extracted examples
- Edge case handling: Include examples with missing fields
- Validation prompt: Second pass to verify extraction accuracy
- Confidence scoring: Add confidence field for each extraction

Q12: Create a prompt for a code review assistant that provides constructive feedback.

Answer: Design prompts that are specific, constructive, and educational:

Code Review Prompt:

You are a senior developer conducting a code review. Analyze the following code and provide feedback. Focus areas: 1. Bugs and potential errors 2. Performance optimizations 3. Code readability and maintainability 4. Security vulnerabilities 5. Best practices for {language} For each issue found: - Severity: [Critical|High|Medium|Low] - Location: [Line numbers] - Issue: [Brief description] - Suggestion: [How to fix] - Example: [Show corrected code if applicable] Code to review: ``{language} {code} ``` Provide feedback in this format: ### Summary [Overall assessment - be constructive] ### Critical Issues [Must fix before deployment] ### Improvements [Suggested enhancements] ### Good Practices Observed [Acknowledge what was done well]

Key Strategies:

- Balanced feedback: Include both improvements and positives
- Actionable suggestions: Don't just identify problems, show solutions
- Severity levels: Help prioritize what needs immediate attention
- Learning opportunity: Explain why something is an issue

Q13: How would you design prompts for a customer service chatbot that maintains context?

Answer: Use conversation history and state management:

Initial System Prompt:

You are a helpful customer service representative for Sur La Table. Your capabilities: - Answer product questions - Help with order status - Process returns/exchanges - Provide cooking class information - Escalate complex issues Maintain context across the conversation: - Remember customer's name and previous questions - Reference earlier topics naturally - Track unresolved issues - Note customer preferences Always: - Be empathetic and professional - Provide specific, actionable help - Admit when you need to escalate - Confirm understanding of complex requests

Context Management Template:

Conversation History: {previous_messages} Customer Profile: - Name: {customer_name} - Previous issues: {past_issues} - Current session topics: {session_topics} Current Message: {user_message} Respond naturally while maintaining context from the conversation. If referencing earlier topics, be specific.

Context Preservation Strategies:

- Summarization: Periodically summarize long conversations
- **Key facts extraction:** Track important details (order numbers, issues)
- Intent tracking: Maintain list of unresolved customer needs
- Emotion monitoring: Adjust tone based on customer sentiment

Section 5: Practical Al Integration Questions

Q14: How do you ensure data quality for Al model training?

Answer: Data quality is critical for Al success. I use a systematic approach:

Data Quality Checklist:

- **Completeness:** Check for missing values, handle appropriately (impute, remove, or flag)
- Consistency: Standardize formats, units, naming conventions
- Accuracy: Validate against known sources, check for outliers
- Relevance: Ensure data matches the problem domain
- **Timeliness**: Use recent data that reflects current patterns
- Balance: Check class distributions, address imbalances

In MessageWise:

- Deduplicated documents to avoid retrieval bias
- Standardized formatting across different doc types
- Validated citations actually support claims
- Removed outdated runbooks
- Balanced training data across different incident types

Q15: How would you collaborate with data scientists as a software engineer on an Al project?

Answer: Effective collaboration requires clear communication and defined responsibilities:

Key Collaboration Areas:

- Data Pipeline: I build robust data ingestion and preprocessing systems based on their requirements
- **Model Deployment:** Convert research code to production-ready services (containerization, API design)
- Monitoring: Implement logging, metrics, and alerting for model performance
- A/B Testing: Build infrastructure for experimentation and gradual rollouts
- Feedback Loops: Create systems to collect user feedback for model improvement

Communication Best Practices:

- Regular sync meetings to align on requirements
- Clear documentation of APIs and data schemas
- Joint code reviews for model integration

- Shared metrics dashboards
- Collaborative debugging when issues arise

Q16: Describe a scenario where Al might not be the right solution.

Answer: All isn't always the answer. Consider these scenarios:

When NOT to use Al:

- Simple rules suffice: If business logic is clear and deterministic (e.g., age verification)
- Insufficient data: Less than 1000 examples for supervised learning
- Need 100% accuracy: Critical safety systems, financial calculations
- Regulatory constraints: Some industries require explainable, auditable decisions
- Cost exceeds benefit: Simple lookup tables might work better than complex models

Real Example:

For MessageWise, we didn't use AI for: • User authentication (deterministic security rules)

• Permission checks (clear role-based access) • Exact string matching for error codes • Calculating SLA metrics (precise formulas needed) These cases needed guaranteed correctness, not probabilistic answers.

Q17: How do you stay updated with rapidly evolving AI technology?

Answer: Continuous learning through multiple channels:

- Practical experimentation: Test new models/tools on side projects
- Research papers: Follow arXiv, read 1-2 papers monthly
- Community engagement: Reddit (r/MachineLearning), HackerNews, Twitter Al community
- Courses: FastAl, Coursera updates, YouTube tutorials
- Conferences: Watch keynotes from NeurIPS, ICML online
- Tools/Frameworks: Follow releases from OpenAI, Anthropic, HuggingFace
- Hands-on: Contribute to open-source Al projects

Section 6: Advanced Prompt Engineering Scenarios

Q18: Design a prompt system for generating personalized workout plans.

Answer: Create a multi-step prompt system with safety considerations:

Step 1: Information Gathering Prompt

```
Extract user fitness profile from their input: { "fitness_level":
"beginner|intermediate|advanced", "goals": ["weight_loss", "muscle_gain",
"endurance", "flexibility"], "available_equipment": ["list"],
"time_per_session": "minutes", "sessions_per_week": "number", "restrictions":
["injuries", "medical_conditions"], "preferences": ["liked_exercises",
"disliked_exercises"] }
```

Step 2: Workout Generation Prompt

Create a personalized workout plan: User Profile: {extracted_profile} Generate a {sessions_per_week}-day workout plan with these requirements: - Each session under {time_per_session} minutes - Progressive difficulty over 4 weeks - Use only {available_equipment} - Avoid exercises affecting {restrictions} - Focus on {goals} Format each workout as: Day X: {Focus Area} Warm-up (5 min): [exercises] Main Workout (X min): - Exercise name: Sets x Reps (or time) - Rest periods Cool-down (5 min): [stretches] Include form cues and modifications. Add safety disclaimer about consulting healthcare providers.

Safety and Personalization Strategies:

- Always include medical disclaimer
- Provide easier/harder variations for each exercise
- Explain the 'why' behind exercise selection
- Include progress tracking metrics
- Add form videos/image references where applicable

Q19: Create a prompt for analyzing and summarizing legal documents (showing domain complexity).

Answer: Design careful prompts with appropriate disclaimers:

Legal Document Analysis Prompt:

You are a legal document analyzer (NOT providing legal advice). Analyze this document and provide a structured summary. IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER: This is informational analysis only, not legal advice. Users should consult qualified attorneys. Document Type: {document_type} Jurisdiction: {jurisdiction} Provide analysis in this structure: 1. DOCUMENT OVERVIEW - Type and purpose - Parties involved - Effective dates - Governing law 2. KEY PROVISIONS - Main obligations for each party - Payment terms (if applicable) - Duration and termination clauses - Confidentiality/IP provisions 3. IMPORTANT CLAUSES - Limitation of liability - Indemnification - Dispute resolution - Amendment procedures 4. POTENTIAL CONCERNS - Unusual or one-sided terms - Missing standard provisions - Ambiguous language 5. ACTION ITEMS - Dates/deadlines to track - Required actions by parties Flag any terms that typically require legal review. Use simple language, explain legal terms.

Risk Mitigation:

- Clear disclaimers about not being legal advice
- Encourage professional consultation
- Flag complex or unusual provisions
- Avoid interpretations of ambiguous language
- Focus on factual summary rather than recommendations

Key Interview Takeaways

Core Competencies to Demonstrate:

- Practical Understanding: Show you can apply Al concepts, not just define them
- Problem-Solving Approach: Start simple (prompts), then RAG, then fine-tuning
- Production Mindset: Consider latency, cost, failures, monitoring
- Collaboration Skills: Can work with data scientists and stakeholders
- Ethical Considerations: Understand bias, fairness, safety
- Continuous Learning: Stay updated with rapidly evolving field

Your Strengths to Highlight:

- Built production RAG system handling 350 queries/week
- Reduced resolution time by 66% with Al integration
- Experience with AWS Bedrock, OpenSearch, and LLM orchestration
- Practical prompt engineering for operational use cases
- Cross-functional collaboration with data science team
- Focus on measurable business impact (\$120K/month protected revenue)

Red Flags to Avoid:

- Don't oversell AI acknowledge limitations
- Don't ignore ethical concerns show awareness
- Don't skip evaluation metrics always measure
- Don't forget failure modes plan for them
- Don't neglect costs consider ROI

Questions to Ask Interviewers:

- "What AI/ML frameworks and tools does the team currently use?"
- "How do you handle model monitoring and retraining in production?"
- "What's the process for experimentation and A/B testing?"
- "How do you measure success for Al features?"
- "What are the main AI challenges the team is facing?"