Vertical Scaling

Vertical scaling, also know as scaling up, is the process of increasing the capacity of a single machine by adding more resources, such as:
1. CPU
2. Memory
3. Storage
4. Network bandwidth

How does vertical scaling work?
When system starts experiencing performance bottleneck due to resource limitation, you can upgrade the existing hardware to boost its performance.
1. Add more CPU cores to handle more tasks.
2. Adding components like more RAM or faster disk
3. Using virtualization or cloud bases solution like resizing VM instance.

Advantages
1. Simple Architecture:
Vertical scaling involves fewer complications, easier setup and simpler maintenance.
Ideal for applications that are inherently monolithic or not designed for easily horizontally.
2. Consistent Performance:
All processes run on the same machine, so there's no latency from network calls as in a distributed system.
3. Easier Management:
There's only one server to monitor and manage, reduces the complexity of the distributed systems.

Challenges with Vertical Scaling
1. Limitations:
Every machine has physical limit. This is known as vertical scaling ceiling.
Beyond certain point, adding more resources doesn't significantly improve performance due to diminishing returns.
2. Downtime:
Scaling vertically requires downtime for upgrades, which impact availability.
3. Cost:
High performance hardware are expensive.
Upgrading to the next tier often leads non-also cost significantly more.
4. Single Point of Failure:
If the bulkier machine fails, the entire system goes down unless there's a backup or failover mechanism.

Examples:
1. Database Scaling
Without changing code, user has privilege to increase RAM and processing power of CPU for database. That improves query performance.
2. Web Server Scaling
Upgrade server to larger configuration for black Friday sale.
Outcome: The server handles more concurrent user without introducing load balancer or additional servers.

When to use Vertical scaling
1. Small to Medium application
2. Quick fix
3. Cloud environmentalists not having significant downtime)

Key Points to Emphasize in System Design Interviews:
1. Trade-offs:
Discuss advantages(simplicity) and limitations(single point of failure)
A skilled host it's often a stepping stone before transitioning to horizontal scaling.
2. Use cases:
Explain scenarios where vertical scaling is ideal(keeps scaling horizontal is too complex.
3. Integration
Combining vertical scaling with other optimization like caching, database indexing or query optimization.

Scenario
Online Book Platform
You are tasked with designing a simple online web platform. Users can register, log in, and take quizzes. The platform initially supports a small user base but is expected to grow as more schools and organizations adopt the system.

Key Requirements:
1. Handle up to 10,000 daily active users at launch, scaling to 50,000 users within a year.
2. Ensure fast response times for quiz submissions.
3. Ensure high availability and reliable data storage for user records and quiz scores.
4. The budget is limited during the initial phase.

Question 1
How would you design the platform to handle the current and expected load using vertical scaling?
• What server specifications would you start with?
• What components (e.g., web server, database) would you include in the platform?
• How would you configure them to handle the load at launch (10,000 daily active users)?
• How would you vertically scale these components as the platform grows?

Analysis of Your Approach
1. Initial Setup for 10,000 Daily Active Users (DAU):
A small server with 16 GB RAM and a decent CPU could easily. Each 2-3 vertices) should handle the initial load for both the application and web server.
• Using Amazon Relational Database Service (RDS) for MySQL provides managed database services with high reliability and automated backups, perfectly suited for this use case.
2. Vertical Scaling Plan:
• Upgrade the application server (e.g., from 4 vCPUs to 8 vCPUs or even 16 vCPUs) as the load increases.
• Increase the RAM from 16 GB to 32 GB or more to accommodate growing traffic.
• Use snapshots of RDS instances to upgrade seamlessly to larger instances with minimal downtime.
3. Downtime Minimization Techniques:
• Schedule upgrades during off-peak hours.
• Deploy updates to a new environment while keeping the old one live.
• Redirect traffic to the updated environment only after testing.
• Monitoring
• Limit the number of simultaneous user connections during migration.
• Provide informative messages (e.g., "Scheduled Maintenance" alerts).

Suggested Enhancements to Your Strategy
1. Load Estimation for 10,000 DAU:
• Assume an average user session generates ~50 requests/day.
• Total requests: 10,000 users * 50 requests = 500,000 requests per day (~5.8 requests/second). A 4 vCPU can should suffice, but keep CPU credits in mind if they server experiences spikes.
2. Database Optimization:
• Add read replicas to offload heavy SELECT queries if necessary.
• Implement caching and use caching (e.g., Redis or Memcached) for frequently accessed data like quiz results.
3. Monitoring Tools:
• Use AWS CloudWatch to monitor CPU, memory, and database metrics.
• Set alarms for high CPU usage (e.g., above 70%) or low storage to trigger scaling decisions.
4. Cost Management:
• Consider using Elastic Load Balancers (ELB) as traffic grows to distribute the load efficiently even with a vertically scaled backend.
• Use RDS Aurora's auto-scaling capabilities for storage and instance resizing.

Let's dive deeper into each topic one by one, which one would you like to start with:
1. Calculating server/database capacity
2. Minimizing downtime techniques
3. Optimizing costs

1. Calculating Server/Database Capacity
Capacity planning involves estimating how many requests per second (RPS) your system needs to handle, the load on your database, and the resources required to maintain performance.
1. Estimating Traffic:
• Active Users per Day (DAU): 10,000 all teams.
• Average Requests per User: Assume each user makes ~50 requests/day (logs, fetch quiz, submit answers, etc.).
• Total Requests per Day: 10,000 users * 50 requests per user/session = 5 Day Total RPS=requests = 5 day24hours=requests per User Total RPS =10,000×50/86400 ≈ 5 requests/second
Peak Traffic Estimate: During active quiz times, traffic spikes. Assume 10x peak factor for 1 hour of high activity:
Peak RPS=500/3600 requests/second Peak RPS=500/3600 requests/second.
1. Application Server Needs:
• CPU: Each request might consume on AWS C7 (~3 GHz), 4 GB RAM) can handle ~100 RPS under a typical load for a single web app.
• With your estimated peak RPS of 50, this app will comfortably handle your known requirements.
2. Database Server Needs:
• Reads: Assume 80% of requests are fetch quiz requests (~8 database queries. Total Queries = 3× Total RPS=3×50=150 queries/second 3×Total RPS=3×50=150 queries/second.
• Writes: Writes are usually lower.
• 90% of queries are reads (fetching quizzes or results).
• 10% are writes (submitting answers).
• A 4 vCPU can RDS instance with MySQL/Aurora can handle ~500 write IOPS and several thousand reads/sec. Optimize with:
• Indexes for write operations.
• Read replicas for heavy reads on DAU grows.
3. Network Bandwidth:
• Assume average response is ~100 KB.
• Bandwidth = 50×100 KB=5000 KB=5 MB50×100 KB=5000 KB=5 MB. Any AWS instance with moderate networking (~10 Gbps) can handle this easily at launch.

2. Minimizing Downtime Techniques
Blue-Green Deployment
• Maintain two environments:
• Blue: Current version.
• Green: Newly tested version or instance.
• Steps:
1. Clone the database to the green environment.
2. Deploy the updated application to the green environment.
3. Test the green environment thoroughly.
4. Switch traffic to green with DNS or load balancer updates.
Rolling Updates with Load Balancers
• Use read replicas for offload traffic during upgrades, writes will pause briefly during database upgrades, but reads remain unaffected.
• Schedule maintenance during off-peak hours (e.g., midnight local time).
Auto-Failover Solutions
• Configure Amazon RDS Aurora for automatic failover during instance resizing or maintenance.

3. Optimizing Costs
For Initial Setup:
• Use T3.medium or T3.xlarge instances for both app and database servers. These are cost-effective for low traffic.
• Use Reserved Instances to avoid upfront investments.
As Traffic Grows:
• Transition to Reserved Instances (eg., 1-year or 3-year plans) once usage stabilizes to save up to 60% compared to on-demand costs.
• Leverage Spot Instances for flexible and redundant servers reduces further.
Leveraging Caching:
• Use Elastic Cache (Redis or Memcached) for frequently accessed data like quiz questions and user sessions. This reduces database load and saves costs on larger DB instances.

Key Takeaways
• A T3.medium or T3.xlarge server is sufficient for the initial phase. Plan upgrades (e.g., M5.large or C5.xlarge) based on observed growth.
• Use RDS Aurora for automated backups, read replicas, and minimal downtime during maintenance.
• Start small and leverage AWS's elasticity and spot pricing models to reduce long-term costs.

1. Optimizing Specific Database Queries
1. Query Design Optimization - Avoid Select *: Use where clauses effectively.
2. Indexing Strategies
1. Primary key indexes
2. Foreign key indexes
3. Covering indexes
4. Query execution Analysis
5. Index Maintenance
6. Query Caching
7. Use Projection
8. Database Connection Pooling

2. App- Level Caching
1. Caching Frequently accessed data
1. Type Of Data to cache
1. Static Data
2. Session Data
3. Computed Results like leaderboards
2. Where to Implement Caching
1. In-Memory caches(Redis or memcached)
2. Local Application Cache(app-level caching libraries)
3. Cache Invalidation
1. Read-Through: Load specific cache when a database read occurs, if cache is empty, query the database)
2. write-Through: Invalidate cache immediately when the database is updated)
3. Cache Expiration(TTL for stored Data)
4. Lazy Loading(Cache-aside) Load data into cache only when request arises, then update it)
5. Event-Based Invalidation(more cache is invalidated when underlying data changes)
4. Benefits
1. Reduce database query load significantly for frequently accessed data.
2. Improve response times for cached vs. non-cached requests.
3. Combine Query Optimization and Caching
1. Hybrid approach ensure maximum efficiency
1. Optimize without database queries for performance.
2. Use caching for offload repetitive queries for static and semi-static data.

Question 2: What are the potential bottlenecks in your design?

Application Server Bottlenecks
• CPU Saturation: High CPU usage due to traffic spikes or inefficient code (e.g., unoptimized loops, lack of concurrency).
• Memory Exhaustion
• Applications with large in-memory data structures (e.g., user session caches) may run out of RAM.
• Memory leaks in poorly managed code can worsen the issue over time.
• Network Bandwidth Constraints: A surge in user requests can saturate or limit the network (e.g., images or results exports) might exceed network capacity.
• Single Point of Failure: With only one application server, if it crashes, the entire platform goes down.

2. Database Bottlenecks
• Slow Queries
• Frequent and expensive (e.g., JOIN, of repeated) can lead to slow I/O interaction.
• Writes taking time can cause contention, slowing down read operations.
• Connection Limits
• Having too many concurrent (e.g., leading process or leaderboard data) can overwhelm the database if caching is not used.
• Lack of Indexing: Missing or poorly designed indexes can lead to full table scans, slowing down queries significantly.
• Locking Issues
• If frequently locked database can only handle so much load before hitting resource limits (CPU, memory, or disk IOPS).

3. Caching Bottlenecks
• Cache Misses: High rates of cache misses can overload the database. For example, if quiz data is not pre-warmed in the cache, sudden user spikes may hit the DB.
• Cache Eviction Policies: Inappropriate eviction, scheduled user results or leaderboard data might be turned to users.
• Memory Limits in Caching Layer:
• Redis/Memcached servers might run out of memory for large datasets.
• Poor eviction policies (eg., not prioritizing not delete) may reduce cache effectiveness.

User Interaction Bottlenecks
• Session Overload: If user sessions are stored in memory, memory exhaustion can occur during traffic surges.
• Authentication API: A surge in login requests might overwhelm the server if rate limiting or throttling isn't in place.

Deployment and Scaling Bottlenecks
• Downtime During Scale-Ups without careful vertical scaling (e.g., resize instances) some downtime may occur, impacting user experience.
• Uneven Load Distribution
• During flow any instance may to another wept stressing latency or causing dropping within temporarily.
• Blue-Green deployments can reduce this risk but might require additional resources temporarily.

Cost Constraints
• Scaling up to larger instances (e.g., from T3.medium to C5.xlarge) increases costs rapidly potentially exceeding the budget, especially for storage-heavy workloads.

Addressing These Bottlenecks
1. Application Bottlenecks
• Use asynchronous processing (eg., worker queues) for non-critical tasks like leaderboard updates.
• Offload Static Content: Use a network range with tools like AWS CloudFront and set alarms for early warnings.
2. Database Bottlenecks
• Use read replicas to distribute read traffic.
• Optimize Queries: Add a monitoring tool like AWS RDS Performance Insights (eg., monthly or week_of or year_of).
• Optimize queries and use connection pooling to reduce DB load.
3. Caching Bottlenecks
• Increase cache memory on EC2 free and tune eviction policies for optimal performance.
• Pre-load hot data (eg., accessed) into the cache during low-traffic hours.
• Use Redis Cluster for scaling and better fault tolerance and saving.
4. Infrastructure Bottlenecks
• Implement rate limiting for login attempts to prevent server overload.
• Use Amazon Route 53 or a similar scalable distributed rather than in-memory.
5. Scaling Downtime
• Use auto-scaling groups to automate instance upgrades with minor manual intervention.
• Use Elastic Load Balancers to seamlessly route traffic to newer weekly if an upgrade fails.
6. Cost Optimization
• Use Reserved Instances or Savings Plans for predictable workloads.
• Offload expensive operations like analytics to batch processes during off-peak hours.

Question 3: How would you mitigate risks of failure due to vertical scaling limitations?

1. Mitigating Single Points of Failure
• Use Multi-AZ Deployments for RDS
• Use Load Balancers (even for vertically scaled systems) to distribute traffic and provide failover.
• Set up a failover instance
• Keep a secondary server running on standby.
• Configure automated failover using tools like AWS Elastic IP or Route 53 health checks.
2. Implementing Redundancy
• Add database RDS provides automatic failover for primary database instances when the primary instance fails, the secondary takes over without manual intervention.

3. Managing Downtime During Scaling
Solution: Proactive Monitoring and Auto-Scaling
• Use monitoring tools like AWS CloudWatch, Prometheus, or Datadog.
• Set up alerts for thresholds (eg., 80% memory utilization) to trigger proactive upgrades.
• Use autoscaling for vertical resources.
• Many cloud providers (AWS, Azure) also support resizing of instances during post usage.
Plan for Upgrades:
• Schedule upgrades used to longer instances (eg., T3.medium - C5.xlarge) on large grows.
• For databases, increase IOPS or SSD storage to avoid unexpected write operations.

4. Handling Downtime During Scaling
Solution: Blue-Green Deployments
• Maintain two environments: one current with upgraded resources.
• Perform thorough testing on the green environment.
• Gradually shift traffic from the old (blue) environment to the green one.
Solution: Maintenance Windows
• Schedule upgrades during off-peak hours.
• Notify users in advance with clear maintenance schedules.

5. Balancing Cost and Performance
Solution: Reserve Resources and Use Spot Instances
• Use Reserved Instances for predictable workloads to reduce costs.
• Leverage Spot Instances (e.g., non-critical, use spot instances to save money.
Optimize Resource Allocation
• Scale up only the components under strain. For example:
• Increase memory for the database to handle larger sessions.
• Use more CPU cores for the application server if user traffic grows.

6. Implementing Horizontal Scaling as a Fallback
While focusing on vertical scaling, it's crucial to design the system to pivot to horizontal scaling if required.
Solution: Load Balancers
• Add multiple instances behind a load balancer if vertical scaling reaches its limits.
• Route read traffic to adding database replicas to distribute the load.
Solution: Failover
• When the primary application server cannot handle traffic, deploy additional servers to handle requests without disrupting the existing architecture.

8. Database-Specific Failure Mitigation
Solution: Multi-AZ Deployments
• Use RDS Multi-AZ (high availability (ha)) configurations for RDS instances.
• Keeps a synchronized copy of the database in another AZ, enabling automatic failover during failures.
Solution: Backups and Rollbacks
• Take regular automated backups and snapshots.
• Implement rollbacks for data corruption scenarios.

9. Caching to Offload Workload
Solution: Use Distributed Caching
• Leverage tools like Redis Cluster for fault-tolerant, scalable caching.
• Use Redis Sentinel for Redis, the cluster ensures continual availability.
Pre-Warming Caches
• Populate caches with frequently accessed data during low-traffic periods to prevent database strain after restarts.

10. Educating and Preparing the Team
Solution: Disaster Recovery and Incident Response Plan
• Train the team to handle scaling issues and failures.
• Test disaster recovery scenarios periodically to ensure smooth recovery during outages.

Summary of Failure Mitigation
1. Use redundancy (failover instances, Multi-AZ deployments) to avoid single points of failure.
2. Monitor resources and scale proactively to avoid saturation.
3. Implement caching to reduce database load and optimize to reduce downtime and distribute load.
4. Introduce sharding and horizontal scaling as fallback strategies for long-term growth.

Question: Applying Failure Mitigation Strategies
An online exam platform is built to serve 100,000 daily active users (DAU). The system has been vertically scaled to a C5.xlarge instance for the application and a MySQL RDS instance with 32 GB RAM for the database. Recently, it encountered performance degradation and a temporary outage during a high-traffic event. Your task: Apply failure mitigation strategies to improve resilience and availability.

Step 1: Analyze Current Bottlenecks
• Application Server: CPU utilization (C5.xlarge) exceed not CPU during peak traffic (~7000 requests/second).
• Database: Latency: Query response times increased due to frequent writes and insufficient indexing.
• Downtime During Scaling: A manual instance resizing caused a 10-minute outage.
• Cache Misses: Redis cache was not pre-warmed, causing database load after restart.

Step 2: Apply Mitigation Strategies
1. Application Server: Handle CPU Spikes
• Monitor Traffic Patterns: Use AWS CloudWatch to track CPU utilization and set alerts at 80% usage.
• Auto-Scaling:
• Introduce an auto-scaling group to dynamically adjust instance size or count based on traffic.
• Add a secondary instance during peak traffic, even though you're primarily vertically scaling.
• Load Balancer: Use an Application Load Balancer (ALB).
• Offload background workloads to a worker queue (e.g., using AWS SQS + Lambda).
• Hybrid Scaling:
• Introduce a load balancer (AWS ALB) to prepare for a hybrid vertical + horizontal scaling approach.
2. Database: Reduce Latency
• Add Indexes:
• Analyze slow queries with EXPLAIN and add necessary indexes (eg., on user_id and user_id).
• Read-Replicas Setup:
• Configure read replicas for MySQL RDS to handle 80% of the traffic (read-heavy queries).
• Configure the application to route read traffic to the replica only writes go to the primary database.
• Connection Pooling:
• Use connection pooling (persistent) to limit simultaneous database connections and reduce contention.
3. Downtime During Vertical Scaling Strategies
• Blue-Green Deployment:
• Clone the existing instance and scale the clone to a higher tier (eg., M5.xlarge).
• Redirect traffic to the upgraded instance once it's tested.
• Multi-AZ Deployment:
• Enable Multi-AZ in RDS to ensure automatic failover to a secondary database during outages.
• Maintenance Windows:
• Schedule upgrades during off-peak hours.
4. Caching: Reduce Database Load
• Pre-Load Frequently Accessed Data: (eg., active sessions) during low-traffic hours.
• Cache Key Example: quiz:session:{session_id} for quiz data.
• Cache Invalidation:
• Use Redis's always-to policy to evict least recently used keys when memory fills.

Step 3: Disaster Recovery Plan
Database Backups:
• Enable automated snapshots of RDS with point-in-time recovery enabled.
Failover Testing:
• Configure a secondary standby application instance (eg., another C5.xlarge in a different availability zone).
• Use AWS Route 53 health checks to route traffic to the standby server if the primary fails.
Regular DR Testing:
• Simulate outages and failover scenarios every quarter to ensure preparedness.

Step 4: Monitor and Scale Dynamically
Resource Monitoring:
• CPU, memory, and disk usage with AWS CloudWatch.
• Set alarms for thresholds (eg., 80% CPU) to detect outages.
Traffic-Based Scaling:
• Use metrics like requests per second (RPS) and cache hit ratio to predict resource needs.
• Automatically resize the application server or database instance during predicted peaks (eg., exam season).

Summary of Mitigations
1. Application: Autoscaling, load balancers, and worker queues for non-critical tasks.
2. Database: Query optimization, read replicas, and Multi-AZ failover for reliability.
3. Cache: Pre-warm Redis, implement LRU eviction, and ensure TTLs to reduce database load.
4. Cost: Reserved Instances for predictable workloads, Spot Instances for flexible ones.
5. Disaster Recovery: Automated backups, failover instances, and regular testing.

Simulation Handling a Sudden Traffic Spike
Scenario
Your online quiz platform has been operated with the failure mitigation strategies. During a major event (eg., a national quiz competition), traffic suddenly spikes from the expected 10,000 daily active users per second (RPS) to 5,000 RPS over a short period. Let's simulate how the system handles this spike with the implemented improvements.

1. Traffic Spike Begins: 500 -> 5000 RPS
Application Scaling Triggers
1. Auto-Scaling Triggered
2. Approximately Minutes)

Outcomes
Application servers maintain stable performance, with latency below 50ms for user requests.

2. Database Response
Read Operations
Write Optimizations

Outcomes: Database handles ~7500 read queries per second via the replica and ~1500 write queries per second on the primary instance without significant delays.

3. Caching Layer Performance
Redis Cache Activity
Cache Hit Ratio:
Pre-warmed cache
Redis eviction policies

Outcomes: Caching not only reduces logic reducing the load on the database and ensuring consistent response times for users.

4. Monitoring and Alerts
Cloudwatch metrics
Alert for high CPU usage and increased IOPS are sent, notifying the engineering team.

Scaling Adjustment

Outcomes: Proactive monitoring prevents system crashes, and the platform scales dynamically to meet user demand.

5. Traffic Spike End: 5000 -> 500 RPS
Auto-scaling scale-in
Cache Eviction and clean up

Outcomes: Resources scale back to normal levels, saving costs while maintaining performance.

Lesson Learned from Simulation

1. Auto-scaling ensured application availability by dynamically adding resources as traffic increased.
2. Database Replication and optimized queries distributed the load effectively, preventing a primary database bottleneck.
3. Redis Cache significantly reduced latency and database load, handling the majority of repeated requests.
4. Monitoring and Alerts provided real-time visibility into system performance, enabling proactive scaling.
5. Cost Efficiency: By scaling back after spike, resources were optimized to save cost.