

Data Structures & Algorithms Problems and Solutions

Complete Swift Implementation Guide

Generated on: September 11, 2025

Table of Contents

1. Array Problems	Page 3
• Two Sum	
• Maximum Subarray	
• Merge Sorted Arrays	
2. Linked List Problems	Page 8
• Reverse Linked List	
• Merge Two Sorted Lists	
• Detect Cycle	
3. Tree Problems	Page 13
• Binary Tree Traversal	
• Maximum Depth	
• Validate BST	
4. Graph Problems	Page 18
• Breadth-First Search	
• Depth-First Search	
• Shortest Path	

Array Problems

Array: Two Sum

Problem Description:

Given an array of integers `nums` and an integer `target`, return indices of the two numbers such that they add up to `target`. You may assume that each input would have exactly one solution, and you may not use the same element twice.

Swift Solution:

```
func twoSum(_ nums: [Int], _ target: Int) -> [Int] {
    var numToIndex: [Int: Int] = [:]

    for (index, num) in nums.enumerated() {
        let complement = target - num

        if let complementIndex = numToIndex[complement] {
            return [complementIndex, index]
        }

        numToIndex[num] = index
    }

    return [] // No solution found
}

// Example usage:
let nums = [2, 7, 11, 15]
let target = 9
let result = twoSum(nums, target)
print(result) // Output: [0, 1]
```

Explanation:

We use a hash map to store each number and its index as we iterate through the array. For each number, we calculate its complement (`target - current number`) and check if it exists in our hash map. If found, we return the indices.

Complexity Analysis:

Time Complexity: $O(n)$

Space Complexity: $O(n)$

Array: Maximum Subarray (Kadane's Algorithm)

Problem Description:

Given an integer array `nums`, find the contiguous subarray (containing at least one number) which has the largest sum and return its sum.

Swift Solution:

```
func maxSubArray(_ nums: [Int]) -> Int {
    guard !nums.isEmpty else { return 0 }

    var maxSum = nums[0]
    var currentSum = nums[0]

    for i in 1..
```

Explanation:

Kadane's algorithm maintains the maximum sum ending at each position. At each step, we decide whether to extend the existing subarray or start a new one from the current element.

Complexity Analysis:

Time Complexity: $O(n)$
Space Complexity: $O(1)$

Array: Merge Sorted Arrays

Problem Description:

You are given two integer arrays `nums1` and `nums2`, sorted in non-decreasing order, and two integers `m` and `n`, representing the number of elements in `nums1` and `nums2` respectively. Merge `nums1` and `nums2` into a single array sorted in non-decreasing order.

Swift Solution:

```
func merge(_ nums1: inout [Int], _ m: Int, _ nums2: [Int], _ n: Int) {
    var i = m - 1 // Last element in nums1
    var j = n - 1 // Last element in nums2
    var k = m + n - 1 // Last position in nums1

    // Merge from the end to avoid overwriting
```

```

while i >= 0 && j >= 0 {
    if nums1[i] > nums2[j] {
        nums1[k] = nums1[i]
        i -= 1
    } else {
        nums1[k] = nums2[j]
        j -= 1
    }
    k -= 1
}

// Copy remaining elements from nums2
while j >= 0 {
    nums1[k] = nums2[j]
    j -= 1
    k -= 1
}

}

// Example usage:
var nums1 = [1, 2, 3, 0, 0, 0]
let nums2 = [2, 5, 6]
merge(&nums1, 3, nums2, 3)
print(nums1) // Output: [1, 2, 2, 3, 5, 6]

```

Explanation:

We merge from the end of both arrays to avoid overwriting elements in nums1. This allows us to merge in-place without extra space.

Complexity Analysis:

Time Complexity: $O(m + n)$

Space Complexity: $O(1)$

Linked List Problems

Linked List: Reverse Linked List

Problem Description:

Given the head of a singly linked list, reverse the list, and return the reversed list.

Swift Solution:

```
class ListNode {
    var val: Int
    var next: ListNode?

    init(_ val: Int) {
        self.val = val
        self.next = nil
    }
}

func reverseList(_ head: ListNode?) -> ListNode? {
    var prev: ListNode? = nil
    var current = head

    while current != nil {
        let nextTemp = current?.next
        current?.next = prev
        prev = current
        current = nextTemp
    }

    return prev
}

// Recursive approach:
func reverseListRecursive(_ head: ListNode?) -> ListNode? {
    guard let head = head, let next = head.next else {
        return head
    }

    let reversedList = reverseListRecursive(next)
    next.next = head
    head.next = nil

    return reversedList
}
```

Explanation:

The iterative approach uses three pointers to reverse the links. The recursive approach reverses the rest of the list first, then fixes the current connection.

Complexity Analysis:

Time Complexity: $O(n)$

Space Complexity: $O(1)$ iterative, $O(n)$ recursive

Linked List: Merge Two Sorted Lists

Problem Description:

You are given the heads of two sorted linked lists list1 and list2. Merge the two lists in a one sorted list. The list should be made by splicing together the nodes of the first two lists.

Swift Solution:

```
func mergeTwoLists(_ list1: ListNode?, _ list2: ListNode?) -> ListNode? {
    let dummy = ListNode(0)
    var current = dummy
    var l1 = list1
    var l2 = list2

    while l1 != nil && l2 != nil {
        if l1!.val <= l2!.val {
            current.next = l1
            l1 = l1!.next
        } else {
            current.next = l2
            l2 = l2!.next
        }
        current = current.next!
    }

    // Append remaining nodes
    current.next = l1 ?? l2

    return dummy.next
}

// Example usage:
// list1: 1 -> 2 -> 4
// list2: 1 -> 3 -> 4
// result: 1 -> 1 -> 2 -> 3 -> 4 -> 4
```

Explanation:

We use a dummy node to simplify the logic and iterate through both lists, always choosing the smaller value. Finally, we append any remaining nodes.

Complexity Analysis:

Time Complexity: $O(m + n)$
Space Complexity: $O(1)$

Linked List: Detect Cycle

Problem Description:

Given head, the head of a linked list, determine if the linked list has a cycle in it. There is a cycle in a linked list if there is some node in the list that can be reached again by continuously following the next pointer.

Swift Solution:

```
func hasCycle(_ head: ListNode?) -> Bool {
    var slow = head
    var fast = head

    while fast != nil && fast?.next != nil {
        slow = slow?.next
        fast = fast?.next?.next

        if slow === fast {
            return true
        }
    }

    return false
}

// Finding the start of the cycle
func detectCycle(_ head: ListNode?) -> ListNode? {
    var slow = head
    var fast = head

    // First, detect if there's a cycle
    while fast != nil && fast?.next != nil {
        slow = slow?.next
        fast = fast?.next?.next

        if slow === fast {
            break
        }
    }

    // No cycle found
    if fast == nil || fast?.next == nil {
        return nil
    }

    // Find the start of the cycle
    slow = head
    while slow != fast {
        slow = slow?.next
    }
}
```



```
        fast = fast?.next
    }

    return slow
}
```

Explanation:

Floyd's Cycle Detection Algorithm (Tortoise and Hare): Use two pointers moving at different speeds. If there's a cycle, the fast pointer will eventually meet the slow pointer.

Complexity Analysis:

Time Complexity: $O(n)$

Space Complexity: $O(1)$

Binary Tree Problems

Binary Tree: Binary Tree Inorder Traversal

Problem Description:

Given the root of a binary tree, return the inorder traversal of its nodes' values. (Left, Root, Right)

Swift Solution:

```
class TreeNode {
    var val: Int
    var left: TreeNode?
    var right: TreeNode?

    init(_ val: Int) {
        self.val = val
        self.left = nil
        self.right = nil
    }
}

// Inorder Traversal
func inorderTraversal(_ root: TreeNode?) -> [Int] {
    var result: [Int] = []

    func inorder(_ node: TreeNode?) {
        guard let node = node else { return }

        inorder(node.left)
        result.append(node.val)
        inorder(node.right)
    }

    inorder(root)
    return result
}

// Iterative approach using stack
func inorderTraversalIterative(_ root: TreeNode?) -> [Int] {
    var result: [Int] = []
    var stack: [TreeNode] = []
    var current = root

    while current != nil || !stack.isEmpty {
        while current != nil {
            stack.append(current!)
            current = current!.left
        }
        current = stack.pop()
        result.append(current!.val)
        current = current!.right
    }
}
```

```

        current = stack.removeLast()
        result.append(current!.val)
        current = current!.right
    }

    return result
}

```

Explanation:

Inorder traversal visits left subtree, then root, then right subtree. The recursive approach is natural, while the iterative approach uses a stack to simulate the recursion.

Complexity Analysis:

Time Complexity: $O(n)$

Space Complexity: $O(h)$ where h is height of tree

Binary Tree: Maximum Depth of Binary Tree

Problem Description:

Given the root of a binary tree, return its maximum depth. A binary tree's maximum depth is the number of nodes along the longest path from the root node down to the farthest leaf node.

Swift Solution:

```

func maxDepth(_ root: TreeNode?) -> Int {
    guard let root = root else { return 0 }

    let leftDepth = maxDepth(root.left)
    let rightDepth = maxDepth(root.right)

    return max(leftDepth, rightDepth) + 1
}

// Iterative approach using level-order traversal
func maxDepthIterative(_ root: TreeNode?) -> Int {
    guard let root = root else { return 0 }

    var queue: [TreeNode] = [root]
    var depth = 0

    while !queue.isEmpty {
        let levelSize = queue.count
        depth += 1

        for _ in 0..

```

```

        }
        if let right = node.right {
            queue.append(right)
        }
    }
}

return depth
}

```

Explanation:

The recursive approach calculates depth by finding the maximum of left and right subtree depths plus 1. The iterative approach uses level-order traversal to count levels.

Complexity Analysis:

Time Complexity: $O(n)$

Space Complexity: $O(h)$ recursive, $O(w)$ iterative where h is height and w is width

Binary Tree: Validate Binary Search Tree

Problem Description:

Given the root of a binary tree, determine if it is a valid binary search tree (BST). A valid BST is defined as follows: The left subtree of a node contains only nodes with keys less than the node's key. The right subtree of a node contains only nodes with keys greater than the node's key. Both the left and right subtrees must also be binary search trees.

Swift Solution:

```

func isValidBST(_ root: TreeNode?) -> Bool {
    return validate(root, nil, nil)
}

func validate(_ node: TreeNode?, _ minVal: Int?, _ maxVal: Int?) -> Bool {
    guard let node = node else { return true }

    // Check if current node violates BST property
    if let minVal = minVal, node.val <= minVal { return false }
    if let maxVal = maxVal, node.val >= maxVal { return false }

    // Recursively validate left and right subtrees
    return validate(node.left, minVal, node.val) &&
        validate(node.right, node.val, maxVal)
}

// Alternative approach using inorder traversal
func isValidBSTInorder(_ root: TreeNode?) -> Bool {
    var prev: Int? = nil

    func inorder(_ node: TreeNode?) -> Bool {

```

```
guard let node = node else { return true }

if !inorder(node.left) { return false }

if let prevVal = prev, node.val <= prevVal {
    return false
}
prev = node.val

return inorder(node.right)
}

return inorder(root)
}
```

Explanation:

We can validate by maintaining min/max bounds for each node, or by doing inorder traversal and checking if the result is sorted.

Complexity Analysis:

Time Complexity: $O(n)$

Space Complexity: $O(h)$ where h is the height of the tree

Stack and Queue Problems

Stack: Valid Parentheses

Problem Description:

Given a string *s* containing just the characters '(', ')', '{', '}', '[' and ']', determine if the input string is valid. An input string is valid if: Open brackets must be closed by the same type of brackets. Open brackets must be closed in the correct order.

Swift Solution:

```
func isValid(_ s: String) -> Bool {
    var stack: [Character] = []
    let pairs: [Character: Character] = [")": "(", "}": "{", "]": "["]

    for char in s {
        if pairs.keys.contains(char) {
            // Closing bracket
            if stack.isEmpty || stack.removeLast() != pairs[char] {
                return false
            }
        } else {
            // Opening bracket
            stack.append(char)
        }
    }

    return stack.isEmpty
}

// Example usage:
print(isValid("()"))           // true
print(isValid("()[]{}"))      // true
print(isValid("()"))          // false
print(isValid("([])"))        // false
```

Explanation:

Use a stack to keep track of opening brackets. When we encounter a closing bracket, check if it matches the most recent opening bracket.

Complexity Analysis:

Time Complexity: $O(n)$

Space Complexity: $O(n)$

Queue: Implement Queue using Stacks

Problem Description:

Implement a first in first out (FIFO) queue using only two stacks. The implemented queue should support all the functions of a normal queue (push, peek, pop, and empty).

Swift Solution:

```
class MyQueue {
    private var inStack: [Int] = []
    private var outStack: [Int] = []

    init() {}

    func push(_ x: Int) {
        inStack.append(x)
    }

    func pop() -> Int {
        peek()
        return outStack.removeLast()
    }

    func peek() -> Int {
        if outStack.isEmpty {
            while !inStack.isEmpty {
                outStack.append(inStack.removeLast())
            }
        }
        return outStack.last!
    }

    func empty() -> Bool {
        return inStack.isEmpty && outStack.isEmpty
    }
}

// Example usage:
let queue = MyQueue()
queue.push(1)
queue.push(2)
print(queue.peek()) // 1
print(queue.pop())  // 1
print(queue.empty()) // false
```

Explanation:

Use two stacks: one for input and one for output. Transfer elements from input to output stack only when output stack is empty.

Complexity Analysis:

Time Complexity: $O(1)$ amortized for all operations

Space Complexity: $O(n)$

Graph Problems

Graph: Breadth-First Search (BFS)

Problem Description:

Implement breadth-first search traversal for a graph. BFS explores all vertices at the present depth prior to moving on to vertices at the next depth level.

Swift Solution:

```
// Graph represented as adjacency list
func bfs(_ graph: [Int: [Int]], start: Int) -> [Int] {
    var visited: Set<Int> = []
    var queue: [Int] = [start]
    var result: [Int] = []

    visited.insert(start)

    while !queue.isEmpty {
        let node = queue.removeFirst()
        result.append(node)

        if let neighbors = graph[node] {
            for neighbor in neighbors {
                if !visited.contains(neighbor) {
                    visited.insert(neighbor)
                    queue.append(neighbor)
                }
            }
        }
    }

    return result
}

// Example usage:
let graph = [
    0: [1, 2],
    1: [2],
    2: [0, 3],
    3: [3]
]

let bfsResult = bfs(graph, start: 2)
print(bfsResult) // [2, 0, 3, 1]
```

Explanation:

BFS uses a queue to process nodes level by level. We mark nodes as visited to avoid cycles and process all neighbors before moving to the next level.

Complexity Analysis:

Time Complexity: $O(V + E)$

Space Complexity: $O(V)$

Graph: Depth-First Search (DFS)

Problem Description:

Implement depth-first search traversal for a graph. DFS explores as far as possible along each branch before backtracking.

Swift Solution:

```
func dfs(_ graph: [Int: [Int]], start: Int) -> [Int] {
    var visited: Set<Int> = []
    var result: [Int] = []

    func dfsHelper(_ node: Int) {
        visited.insert(node)
        result.append(node)

        if let neighbors = graph[node] {
            for neighbor in neighbors {
                if !visited.contains(neighbor) {
                    dfsHelper(neighbor)
                }
            }
        }
    }

    dfsHelper(start)
    return result
}

// Iterative DFS using stack
func dfsIterative(_ graph: [Int: [Int]], start: Int) -> [Int] {
    var visited: Set<Int> = []
    var stack: [Int] = [start]
    var result: [Int] = []

    while !stack.isEmpty {
        let node = stack.removeLast()

        if !visited.contains(node) {
            visited.insert(node)
            result.append(node)

            if let neighbors = graph[node] {
                for neighbor in neighbors.reversed() {
                    if !visited.contains(neighbor) {
                        stack.append(neighbor)
                    }
                }
            }
        }
    }

    return result
}
```

```

        stack.append(neighbor)
    }
}
}
}
return result
}

```

Explanation:

DFS can be implemented recursively or iteratively using a stack. We explore each path completely before backtracking to explore other paths.

Complexity Analysis:

Time Complexity: $O(V + E)$

Space Complexity: $O(V)$

Graph: Shortest Path (Unweighted)

Problem Description:

Find the shortest path between two nodes in an unweighted graph. Return the path as a list of nodes from start to end.

Swift Solution:

```

// Simplified shortest path using BFS for unweighted graphs
func shortestPath(_ graph: [Int: [Int]], start: Int, end: Int) -> [Int]? {
    var queue: [(Int, [Int])] = [(start, [start])]
    var visited: Set<Int> = [start]

    while !queue.isEmpty {
        let (node, path) = queue.removeFirst()

        if node == end {
            return path
        }

        if let neighbors = graph[node] {
            for neighbor in neighbors {
                if !visited.contains(neighbor) {
                    visited.insert(neighbor)
                    queue.append((neighbor, path + [neighbor]))
                }
            }
        }
    }

    return nil // No path found
}

```

```
}

// Example usage:
let graph = [
  0: [1, 2],
  1: [2, 3],
  2: [3],
  3: []
]
if let path = shortestPath(graph, start: 0, end: 3) {
  print("Shortest path: \(path)") // [0, 1, 3] or [0, 2, 3]
}
```

Explanation:

For unweighted graphs, BFS naturally finds the shortest path since it explores nodes level by level, guaranteeing the first path found is the shortest.

Complexity Analysis:

Time Complexity: $O(V + E)$

Space Complexity: $O(V)$