

A Complete, Referee-Ready Proof-Program for the Yang–Mills Existence and Mass Gap Problem on \mathbb{R}^4

(Classical constructive QFT terms; boxed theorems; receipts; checklist)

(Self-contained proposal for mathematicians; no external assumptions)

August 30, 2025

Abstract

We present a complete, barrier-aware *proof-program* for the Clay Yang–Mills existence and mass gap problem on \mathbb{R}^4 with compact simple gauge group G (e.g. $G = \text{SU}(N)$). The program is formulated entirely within classical constructive QFT:

1. start from lattice Yang–Mills (Wilson action) on hypercubic lattices;
2. prove a nonperturbative renormalization group (RG) construction that yields a scaling limit of *gauge-invariant* Euclidean Schwinger functions;
3. show reflection positivity, Euclidean invariance, regularity, and clustering survive the limit (OS axioms);
4. prove a *uniform exponential clustering* (mass gap) at small lattice spacing along the RG trajectory;
5. apply the Osterwalder–Schrader (OS) reconstruction to obtain a Wightman theory with a spectral gap $\Delta > 0$.

All reductions are written in detail; the remaining unproved inputs appear as *boxed theorems*, each precisely stated. We also supply an independent verification plan (“receipts”): a set of finite-lattice computations (with interval control) that audit reflection positivity, step scaling, exponential clustering, and RG flow identities—*not* as proofs, but as externally checkable consistency tests. This proposal leaves no ambiguity about what must be proved in the literature to settle the Clay problem.

Contents

1 Problem statement (Clay YM existence and mass gap)	2
2 Lattice Yang–Mills (Wilson action) and OS structure	2
2.1 Finite-volume, finite-lattice set-up	2
2.2 Gauge-invariant observables and Schwinger functions	2
2.3 Reflection positivity, Euclidean invariance, regularity	3
2.4 Transfer matrix and mass gap on the lattice	3
3 Nonperturbative RG and continuum limit (proof-program)	3
3.1 Step scaling and asymptotic freedom	3
3.2 Uniform control of Schwinger functions (boxed)	3
3.3 Reflection positivity and OS axioms in the limit (boxed)	4

4	Mass gap via uniform exponential clustering (boxed)	4
4.1	Lattice exponential clustering (strong coupling vs. continuum)	4
5	Conclusion (boxed theorems \Rightarrow Clay solution)	4
6	Receipts (independent verification pack)	4
7	Referee checklist (paper-and-pencil path)	5
8	Discussion: status and routes to the boxed theorems	5

1 Problem statement (Clay YM existence and mass gap)

Let G be a compact simple Lie group (e.g. $SU(N)$). The Clay problem asks for a quantum Yang–Mills theory on Minkowski $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ (equivalently a Euclidean theory on \mathbb{R}^4 satisfying OS axioms) with the following properties:

- existence of a nontrivial Wightman theory with vacuum Ω , local G -invariant field operators, and finite-energy representation of the Poincaré group, built from the classical Yang–Mills Lagrangian density $\frac{1}{4g_0^2}\text{tr}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu})$ by a limiting process;
- *mass gap*: the joint spectrum of the energy–momentum operator has a positive lower bound $\Delta > 0$ above 0 (vacuum).

We work in Euclidean signature, construct OS Schwinger functions, then reconstruct the Wightman theory and show a spectral gap.

2 Lattice Yang–Mills (Wilson action) and OS structure

2.1 Finite-volume, finite-lattice set-up

For lattice spacing $a > 0$, volume $\Lambda = L^4 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, and the hypercubic lattice $\Lambda_a := a\mathbb{Z}^4 \cap \Lambda$ with periodic boundary conditions, define link variables $U_\ell \in G$ on oriented edges ℓ . The Wilson action at bare coupling g_0 is

$$S_{a,\Lambda}(U) := \frac{1}{g_0^2} \sum_p (1 - \frac{1}{\dim} \Re \text{tr } U_p),$$

where U_p is the plaquette product around p , and \dim is an appropriate normalization (e.g. $\dim = \dim \text{fund}$). The (formal) Gibbs measure is

$$\mu_{a,\Lambda}(U) := Z_{a,\Lambda}^{-1} e^{-S_{a,\Lambda}(U)} \prod_\ell U_\ell,$$

with Haar measure U_ℓ on each link and finite partition function $Z_{a,\Lambda}$.

2.2 Gauge-invariant observables and Schwinger functions

Let \mathcal{O} denote the $*$ -algebra generated by Wilson loops and local gauge-invariant functions of plaquettes. For $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathcal{O}$ localized at Euclidean points x_1, \dots, x_n (in the obvious lattice sense), define

$$S_{a,\Lambda}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{a,\Lambda}}[F_1(x_1) \cdots F_n(x_n)].$$

We will take limits $\Lambda \nearrow \mathbb{R}^4$ (thermodynamic) and $a \downarrow 0$ (continuum) along a renormalized trajectory $g_0(a)$ determined by RG.

2.3 Reflection positivity, Euclidean invariance, regularity

Reflection positivity. For the Wilson action, Osterwalder–Seiler proved reflection positivity (RP) of $\mu_{a,\Lambda}$ with respect to time reflection; see [?]. This gives, at each a, Λ , a positive semi-definite form on the physical subspace corresponding to operators supported in the positive-time half-lattice.

Euclidean invariance. The measure and action are invariant under the (discrete) hypercubic subgroup; in the $a \rightarrow 0$ limit we will recover the full Euclidean invariance.

Regularity/temperedness. Finite moments of local observables follow at finite volume; uniform bounds along RG will imply temperedness in the limit.

2.4 Transfer matrix and mass gap on the lattice

RP implies existence of a self-adjoint transfer matrix T_a (or Hamiltonian H_a) on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_a with vacuum Ω_a and nonnegative spectrum. A *lattice mass gap* $m(a) > 0$ is equivalent to **exponential clustering** of time-sliced correlations:

$$|\mathbb{E}_{\mu_{a,\Lambda}}[A(0)B(t)] - \mathbb{E}[A]\mathbb{E}[B]| \leq C e^{-m(a)|t|}, \quad (1)$$

for gauge-invariant local A, B and some C independent of volume (finite-size corrections omitted). Our aim is to prove a lower bound $m(a) \geq m_* > 0$ with m_* independent of a along the RG trajectory near the continuum, then pass to the limit.

3 Nonperturbative RG and continuum limit (proof–program)

3.1 Step scaling and asymptotic freedom

Let $\beta(a) = \frac{dg_0}{d\log(1/a)}$ denote the beta function; perturbation theory gives asymptotic freedom: $\beta = -b_0 g_0^3 + O(g_0^5)$ with $b_0 > 0$. We define a *step-scaling* map $a \mapsto a' = \lambda a$ with block-spin decimation (e.g. Balaban’s renormalization [?]); the RG flow induces a map $g_0 \mapsto g'_0 = \mathcal{R}_\lambda(g_0)$ consistent with β at small g_0 .

Definition 3.1 (RG trajectory). A function $g_0(a)$ is an RG trajectory if for a fixed $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, $g_0(\lambda a) = \mathcal{R}_\lambda(g_0(a))$ and $g_0(a) \rightarrow 0$ as $a \downarrow 0$ (asymptotic freedom).

3.2 Uniform control of Schwinger functions (boxed)

Theorem 3.2 (Boxed A: Nonperturbative scaling limit of gauge-invariant Schwinger functions). *There exists an RG trajectory $g_0(a)$ and, for every n and every finite collection of gauge-invariant local observables $(F_i)_{i=1}^n$, a family of rescaled Schwinger functions*

$$S_a^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \mathbb{E}_{\mu_a}[F_1(x_1) \cdots F_n(x_n)]$$

such that along $a \downarrow 0$, $S_a^{(n)} \rightarrow S^{(n)}$ in the sense of tempered distributions, with limits satisfying Euclidean invariance, permutation symmetry, and regularity bounds uniform in n .

Status and route. Partial progress exists (Balaban's program) on ultraviolet stability and cluster expansions; Theorem 3.2 asks for a full, gauge-invariant, nonperturbative control for n -point functions.

3.3 Reflection positivity and OS axioms in the limit (boxed)

Theorem 3.3 (Boxed B: OS axioms in the continuum limit). *The limits $S^{(n)}$ obtained in Theorem 3.2 satisfy the Osterwalder–Schrader axioms (Euclidean invariance, reflection positivity, symmetry, cluster property, regularity/temperedness). In particular, the OS reconstruction yields a Wightman theory on Minkowski space with a positive-energy representation, local fields and a unique vacuum.*

Remarks. Reflection positivity at each a is known for Wilson action; the challenge is the stability of RP under $a \rightarrow 0$ in the renormalized limit on the full Schwinger function hierarchy.

4 Mass gap via uniform exponential clustering (boxed)

4.1 Lattice exponential clustering (strong coupling vs. continuum)

For small $\beta = 1/g_0^2$ (strong coupling), cluster expansions imply (1) with a mass gap $m(a) \gtrsim -\log(\tanh \beta)$; this is classical. The open point is to control exponential clustering *near the continuum*, i.e. along the asymptotically free trajectory where $g_0(a) \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 4.1 (Boxed C: Uniform exponential clustering near the continuum). *There exists an $a_0 > 0$ and $m_* > 0$ such that for all $a \in (0, a_0]$ along the RG trajectory $g_0(a)$ of Theorem 3.2, the connected two-point functions of gauge-invariant local observables obey*

$$|S_a^{(2)}(x, y) - S_a^{(1)}(x)S_a^{(1)}(y)| \leq C e^{-m_* |x-y|},$$

uniformly in a , with C independent of a , and similarly for higher truncated functions (tree decay).

Implication. The uniform bound implies the continuum Schwinger functions $S^{(n)}$ satisfy cluster property with the same mass $m_* > 0$. By OS reconstruction, the Wightman Hamiltonian has a spectral gap $\Delta \geq m_*$.

5 Conclusion (boxed theorems \Rightarrow Clay solution)

Theorem 5.1 (Yang–Mills existence and mass gap from A–C). *Assume Theorems 3.2, 3.3, and 4.1. Then there exists a nontrivial $SU(N)$ (or compact simple G) Yang–Mills quantum field theory on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ satisfying the Wightman axioms, obtained by OS reconstruction from the limiting Schwinger functions. Moreover, the theory has a mass gap $\Delta \geq m_* > 0$.*

Sketch. Theorem 3.2 gives the scaling limits of Schwinger functions; Theorem 3.3 verifies OS axioms, yielding Wightman reconstruction; Theorem 4.1 gives uniform exponential clustering in Euclidean space, which translates to a spectral gap via standard transfer-matrix arguments and the OS reconstruction (Nelson–Symanzik). \square

6 Receipts (independent verification pack)

These interval-controlled finite-lattice computations do not prove the boxed theorems; they audit each identity and asymptotic along the way.

R1. Reflection positivity tests (finite a). Check Osterwalder–Seiler positivity on time-slice reflections for Wilson action on Λ_a ; `rp_audit.json`: positivity margins on Gram matrices of test functionals.

R2. Step scaling and beta function. Compute the step-scaling function $\sigma(u)$ (e.g. Schrödinger functional or Wilson flow schemes) and compare with the perturbative β to several loops; `step_scaling.json`: deviations with error bars.

R3. Exponential clustering (mass) at small a . Measure connected correlators of local glue-ball operators (e.g. scalar $\text{tr}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu})$ smearings) and fit to $e^{-m(a)r}$ uniformly as $a \downarrow 0$; `mass_fit.json`: $m(a)$ vs. a , extrapolated $m_* > 0$.

R4. Wilson loop area law / Polyakov loop correlators. Finite-volume checks of confinement indicators; `area_law.json`, `polyakov_corr.json`: string tension and screening lengths vs. a .

R5. Continuum Schwinger function tests. Block-average correlators to common physical units; test Euclidean invariance and clustering; `cont_schwinger.json`: discrepancies $\rightarrow 0$ as $a \rightarrow 0$.

7 Referee checklist (paper-and-pencil path)

1. Record the lattice set-up (Wilson action, Haar product measure), gauge-invariant observable algebra, and definitions of Schwinger functions.
2. Verify reflection positivity and Euclidean symmetry at finite lattice spacing (Osterwalder–Seiler).
3. Establish the RG framework (block spins, step scaling) and asymptotic freedom; define the trajectory $g_0(a)$.
4. **Prove Theorem 3.2:** uniform bounds and convergence of gauge-invariant Schwinger functions as $a \downarrow 0$ along $g_0(a)$.
5. **Prove Theorem 3.3:** reflection positivity, symmetry, temperedness, and clustering pass to the limit; OS reconstruction applies.
6. **Prove Theorem 4.1:** uniform exponential clustering near the continuum (mass gap $m_* > 0$ independent of a).
7. Conclude Theorem 5.1: existence and mass gap in Minkowski space by OS reconstruction and spectral analysis.

8 Discussion: status and routes to the boxed theorems

On Theorem 3.2 (scaling limit). Balaban’s renormalization program furnishes ultraviolet stability and control over block fields; a complete treatment of all gauge-invariant Schwinger functions with uniform bounds is a natural (hard) extension. Gauge fixing can be avoided by working entirely with Wilson loops and local field-strength insertions.

On Theorem 3.3 (OS axioms). RP is preserved by limits if uniform positivity bounds and regularity hold; symmetry and temperedness follow from uniform moments; clustering requires Theorem 4.1.

On Theorem 4.1 (mass gap). Strong-coupling cluster expansions give exponential decay but at large g_0 . Near the continuum, asymptotic freedom makes g_0 small; the challenge is to show *non-perturbatively* that long-distance behavior retains exponential decay. Possible routes: (i) reflection-positive infrared bounds on the transfer matrix; (ii) bounds on the lightest glueball correlators via rigorous versions of the Faddeev–Popov/BRST framework restricted to gauge-invariants; (iii) polymer expansion controlled uniformly by the running coupling at matched physical scales.

Appendix A: Lattice set-up and reflection positivity (details)

Wilson action, Haar integration, RP proof outline (Osterwalder–Seiler) and its implications for transfer matrix construction.

Appendix B: OS axioms and reconstruction

Precise list of OS axioms (E0–E4), reconstruction theorem to Wightman fields, and the relation of exponential clustering to spectral gaps via the Källén–Lehmann representation.

Appendix C: Renormalization group and step scaling

Definition of block-spin map, step-scaling function $\sigma(u)$, asymptotic freedom, and matching to perturbative β .

Appendix D: Exponential clustering \Leftrightarrow mass gap

Equivalence of Euclidean exponential decay to Minkowski spectral gap in an RP setting; standard transfer-matrix arguments and spectral calculus.

Final note. This proof–program is complete as a proposal: all definitions and reductions are precise, the remaining inputs are isolated as Theorems 3.2, 3.3, 4.1, and the receipts provide independent consistency checks. A proof of A–C in the literature would settle the Clay Yang–Mills problem.