

Homework #1

(Due: March 21)

GROUP NUMBER: 18

Group Members		
Name	SBU ID	% Contribution
Venkata Ravi Teja Takkella	113219890	33.3
Manish Reddy Vadala	114190006	33.3
Ranjith Reddy Bommidi	114241300	33.3

COLLABORATING GROUPS

Group Number	Specifics (e.g., specific group member? specific task/subtask?)
NA	NA

EXTERNAL RESOURCES USED

	Specifics (e.g., cite papers, webpages, etc. and mention why each was used)
1.	NA
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

(1) Given the known recurrence that computes

$$\binom{n}{r} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r < 0 \text{ or } r > n \\ 1 & \text{if } n=r=0 \\ \binom{n-1}{r-1} + \binom{n-1}{r} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now a generalised algorithm is given as.

$$S(n,r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r < 0 \text{ or } r > n \\ 1 & \text{if } n=r=0 \\ a \cdot S(n-1, r-1) + b \cdot S(n-1, r) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now we need to design an algorithm that computes $S(n,r)$ for all $r \in [0,n]$ in $O(n \log n)$

Now for simplicity of the algorithm, Let us take $n=2^k$ & we can generalise this algorithm for $\forall n$ at the end.

Now let's try to generalize this algorithm.

For $n=1$

$$S(1,0) = \underbrace{a \cdot S(0,-1) + b \cdot S(0,0)}_{\substack{\text{since } r < 0 \\ 0}} = b$$

a b

$$S(1,1) = \underbrace{a S(0,0)}_{n=r=0} + \underbrace{b S(0,1)}_{r>n} = a.$$

$$\text{for } n=1 \quad S(1,0) \quad S(1,1)$$

$$b \qquad \qquad a.$$

For $n=2$

$$S(2,0) = \underbrace{a S(1,-1)}_{r < 0} + \underbrace{b S(1,0)}_{S(1,0)=b} = b^2$$

$$S(2,1) = a S(1,0) + b S(1,1) \quad \begin{cases} S(1,0) = b \\ S(1,1) = a \end{cases}$$

$$= ab + ba$$

$$= 2ab$$

$$S(2,2) = a S(1,1) + b S(1,2)$$

$$= a^2 \quad \underbrace{r > n = 0}_{r > n}$$

$$\text{So for } n=2 \quad S(2,0) \quad S(2,1) \quad S(2,2)$$

$$b^2 \quad 2ab \quad a^2.$$

where as for $n=3$

$$S(3,0) = a S(2,-1) + b S(2,0)$$

$$= b^3$$

$$S(3,1) = a S(2,0) + b S(2,1)$$

$$= ab^2 + 2ab^2 = 3ab^2$$

$$S(3,2) = a S(2,1) + b S(2,2)$$

$$= 2a^2b + a^2b = 3a^2b$$

$$S(3,3) = a S(2,2) + b S(2,3)$$

$$= a^3.$$

So For $n=3$

$$S(3,0) = b^3, \quad S(3,1) = 3ab^2 \quad S(3,2) = 3a^2b \quad S(3,3) = a^3.$$

If we look at this sequence.

We can take a polynomial which looks like

$ax+b$. & its powers.

For $n=1$

$$\Rightarrow ax+b \quad a = S(1,1) \quad b = S(1,0)$$

For $n=2$

$$\Rightarrow (ax+b)^2 = a^2x^2 + 2abx + b^2$$
$$a^2 = S(2,2) \quad 2ab = S(2,1) \quad b^2 = S(2,0)$$

For $n=3$

$$\Rightarrow (ax+b)^3 = a^3x^3 + 3a^2b^2x^2 + 3ab^2x + b^3$$
$$a^3 = S(3,3), \quad 3a^2b = S(3,2) \quad 3ab^2 = S(3,1)$$
$$b^3 = S(3,0)$$

So we can say that

$(ax+b)^n$ expansion will give the terms

which are $S(n,n), S(n,n-1) \dots S(n,0)$

That's what was asked to be found.

Now how to reach $(ax+b)^n$

To calculate for $n=2$

We basically do $(ax+b)(ax+b)$

This can be done $O(2\log 2)$ time using FFT.

FFT says that the multiplication of 2rd degree takes $O(n \log n)$ time complexity.

Similarly -

$$\begin{array}{ll} (ax+b) & n=1 \\ (ax+b)^2 & n=2 \\ \downarrow & \downarrow O(2\log 2) \\ (ax+b)^2 (ax+b)^2 = (ax+b)^4 & n=4 \\ \vdots & \downarrow O(4\log 4) \\ (ax+b)^n & \text{where } n=2^K. \\ = & O(2^K \log 2^K) \end{array}$$

Since we took $n=2^K$, we jump at every power of 2.

So the total time complexity to reach $(ax+b)^n$

$$T = O(2\log 2) + O(2^2 \log 2^2) + \dots + O(2^K \log 2^K)$$

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^K O(2^i \log 2^i) \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^K O(2^{i+i})$$

$$\text{So } T_{1/2} = \sum_{i=1}^k O(2^{i-1} \cdot i)$$

$$\text{So } T - T_{1/2} = T_{1/2} = \sum_{i=1}^k O(2^i \cdot i) - \sum_{i=1}^k O(2^{i-1} \cdot i)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } T_{1/2} &= [O(2^{1 \cdot 1}) - O(2^{0 \cdot 1})] + [O(2^{2 \cdot 2}) - O(2^{1 \cdot 2})] \\ &\quad + \dots - / \quad / \\ &\quad + [O(2^{k \cdot k}) - O(2^{0 \cdot 1})] \end{aligned}$$

$$T_{1/2} = O(2^k \cdot k)$$

$$T = 2O(2^k \cdot k) \xrightarrow{\substack{\text{upper bound} \\ \text{is still the same}}} O(2^k \cdot k)$$

$$\text{We know } n = 2^k \quad k = \log n$$

$$T = (n \log n).$$

so the time complexity $O(n \log n)$.

So we have proved that for $n = 2^k$

to find $(ax+b)^n$ which has the terms equivalent to

$$S(n, n), S(n, n-1), \dots, S(n, 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow S(n, r) \quad \forall r \in [0, n].$$

We can do this in $O(n \log n)$ time comp

If $n \neq 2^k$.

Let us take $2^k < n < 2^{k+1}$.

Since we have computed $2^k, 2^{k-1}, 2^{k-2}, \dots, 1$
in $O(n \log n)$ time.

We can use the previous calculated powers to
reach n between $2^k \rightarrow 2^{k+1}$ in at most
 k steps. In worst case complexity of

$$\underbrace{O(n \log n)}_{\text{To reach } 2^k} + \underbrace{\sum_{j \in \{\text{powers required to reach } n\}} O(2^{k-j} \log 2^{k-j})}_{\substack{\Downarrow \\ \text{Worst case} \Rightarrow O(n \log n)}}$$

$\Rightarrow O(n \log n)$.

so to reach any n , we take $O(n \log n)$
complexity.

(2) Special case to general case.

Given recursive function.

$$H(n, r) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } r > 0 \\ \beta & \text{if } r = N+1 \\ \gamma_r & \text{if } n=0 \& 1 \leq r \leq N, \\ aH(n-1, r-1) + bH(n-1, r) + cH(n-1, r+1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N \in \mathbb{R}$.

Also given that there exists an algorithm

for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ & $N' \in [1, N]$ $H(N', r)$ for all $r \in [1, N']$

can be calculated in $O(N \log N)$ time

provided $\alpha = \beta = 0$.

Let us call this algorithm as CBZ.

so let's try to first expand our $H(n, r)$

For $n=0$

$$H(0, 0) = \alpha \quad r=0.$$

$$H(0, 1) = \gamma_1, \quad H(0, 2) = \gamma_2 \quad \dots \quad H(0, N) = \gamma_N$$

$$H(0, N+1) = \beta \cdot r = N+1$$

Similarly let's try for $n=1$

$$H(1, 0) = \alpha \quad r=0$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(1, 1) &= aH(0, 0) + bH(0, 1) + cH(0, 2) \\ &= a\alpha + b\gamma_1 + c\gamma_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$H(4,2) = aH(0,1) + bH(0,2) + cH(0,3)$$

$$= a\gamma_1 + b\gamma_2 + c\gamma_3$$

Let us now try to calculate for $n=2$.

$$H(2,0) = \alpha \quad r=0$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(2,1) &= aH(1,0) + bH(1,1) + cH(1,2) \\ &= a\alpha + b(a\alpha + b\gamma_1 + c\gamma_2) \\ &\quad + c(a\gamma_1 + b\gamma_2 + c\gamma_3) \\ &= a\alpha + ab\alpha + (b^2 + ac)\gamma_1 + 2bc\gamma_2 \\ &\quad + c^2\gamma_3. \end{aligned}$$

Now what we'll do is, to find similar equations for case where $\alpha = \beta = 0$.

So there

If $m=0$

$$H(0,0) = 0 \quad r=0$$

$$H(0,1) = \gamma_1, \quad H(0,2) = \gamma_2, \quad \dots, \quad H(0,N) = \gamma_N$$

$$H(0, N+1) = 0 \quad r=N+1$$

$$H(1,0) = 0 \quad r=0$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(1,1) &= aH(0,0) + bH(0,1) + cH(0,2) \\ &= b\gamma_1 + c\gamma_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$H(1,2) = a\gamma_1 + b\gamma_2 + c\gamma_3.$$

Similarly for $n=2$

$$H(2,0) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(2,1) &= aH(1,0) + bH(1,1) + cH(1,2) \\ &= b(\alpha\gamma_1 + \alpha\gamma_2) + c(\alpha\gamma_1 + b\gamma_2 + c\gamma_3) \\ &= (b^2 + ac)\gamma_1 + 2bc\gamma_2 + c^2\gamma_3. \end{aligned}$$

:

so what we observe is basically the $\alpha\beta$ terms are multiplying over here.

One Algorithm:

Find the additional $\alpha\beta$ terms by adding them up to each cell of the $CBZ(n,r) + \text{RG}[0,N]$ vector to get $H(n,r)$.

Now to find $\alpha\beta$ terms. What we can do is replace all γ 's with 0's in our $H(n,r)$ algorithm. So we can just get terms of $\alpha\beta$.

The $\alpha\beta$ terms follows a sequence over here.

Let us try to show that in a matrix form.

of $\alpha\beta$ s.

We've taken all γ 's to be 0 initially.

$$n=3 \quad \alpha = - - - - - \quad \beta = - - - - - \quad \gamma = - - - - - \quad \delta = - - - - - \quad \epsilon = - - - - - \quad \zeta = - - - - -$$

$\eta = \eta'$ $x - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -$ B. Let us take *

So these are the terms which we need to add for our vector $CBZ(n; r)$ to get $H(n; s)$. $n^1 = 2^k$

Now to reach the $n = n^{\text{th}}$ line, we no need to calculate for each n before.

What we try to do over here is, let's replace $n=1$ line as $n=\infty$ & send it over to CBZ. But for CBZ we need $r_1=\infty$ & $r_2=N+1$ as 0 since $\alpha=\beta=0$.

So the view of vector being sent to CBZ looks like

So if we try to find for $n=2$ in $O(N \log N)$ way
 It gives out: \hookrightarrow which is $n=1$ in new form.

If gives out.

$$0 \quad 0 \quad a\alpha \quad 0 \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad - \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad 0 \subset \beta^0$$

$$1 \quad 0 \quad ab\alpha \quad a^2\alpha \quad - \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad c^e_\beta b\gamma^0. \quad - \quad (2)$$

so in the new generated vector

$$\Rightarrow 0 \ ab\alpha \ a^2\alpha \ \dots \ c^2\beta \ cb\beta \ 0.$$

We have missed few terms for $r=1$ & $r=N$

which are same as of $n=0$ in ②.

So what we do now is to add the $n=0$ line to our generated vector. And it turns out to be

$$0 \ a(l+b)\alpha \ a^2\alpha \ \dots \ c\beta \ c(l+b)\beta \ 0.$$

with $\alpha\beta$ missing

This is same as $n=2$ from ①. at start & end.

Now we take these set of terms as new α 's & send it to

CBZ to get the $n=4$ which is 2^{nd} in new CBZ.

Let's try to calculate that too.

$$0 \ 0 \ a(l+b)\alpha \ a^2\alpha \ \dots \ c^2\beta \ c(l+b)\beta \ 0$$

$$1 \ 0 \ a(b+b^2+ac)\alpha \ a^2(l+2b)\alpha \ \dots \ (l+2b)c\beta \ c(b+b^2+ac)\beta \ 0$$

$$2 \ 0 \ a(b^2+b^3+ac) \ a^2(2b+3b^2+\dots+2ac)\alpha \ \dots \ - \ - \ - \ - \ - \ 0$$

So if we observe $n=2$ here which is our 4th int.

The terms missing from ① are same as our α 's
which are our $n=0$.

So we add them.

And the time taken to get $n=2$ is $O(N \log N)$

given by CBZ for any n .

So if we add our α 's again to $r=2$, we get:

$$O(a(1+b+b^2+b^3) \quad a^2(1+2b+3b^2) \quad \dots \\ + ac + 3abc) \quad + 2ac) \alpha$$

which is our required line in ①

with α & β missing at our start & end.

So basically we go from

$$n=1 \rightarrow n=2 \rightarrow n=4 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n=n/2$$

We can also find $n=5$ directly from $n=2$ but the missing terms go till b^2 which are not present in $n=2$ to add. So this addition of missing terms is possible only for $n=1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n/2$.

So we go till $n/2$ & give that line as α 's

to CBZ to get n in $O(N \log n)$ again.

So the recurrence equation looks like:

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + \underbrace{O(N \log n)}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{to get } N \text{ term}}} + \underbrace{O(N)}_{\substack{\text{to add} \\ n=n/2 \text{ to the}}} + \underbrace{O(1)}_{\substack{\alpha \& \beta \text{ start} \\ \text{end} \\ \text{obtained vector.}}}$$

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + O(N \log n)$$

Basically the n in $T(C)$ & N in $O(\cdot)$ are not same.

This recurrence steps go till height of $\log N$

by adding $O(N \log n)$ $\log N$ times since $n=N$ here.

\Rightarrow So total time complexity = $O(N \log N \times \log N)$

$$= O(N \log^2 N).$$

This is to generate the vector only in α^1 's & β^1 's

We get the CBZ(n, r) in $O(n \log n)$ which

gives us all the γ 's.

So if we add these both vectors we get $H(n, r)$

= Total calculation

$$= O(N \log^2 N) + O(N \log N)$$

$$\approx O(N \log^2 N)$$

Hence this algorithm can prove that $H(N, r)$ for all $r \in [1, N]$

can be obtained in $O(N \log^2 N)$ time without

imposing any constraints on α, β .

But what if $N \neq 2^k$. Then in such case, we

take $\lceil \log_2 N \rceil$ for going to the half point by
similarly recursively down.

So after $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, we can find the n^{th} element.

Now add the missing terms from $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil^m$ row.

This can be proved in the similar way as above.

It also $O(N \log N)$ time too.

3 .

a) writing down the algorithm we derived
in slides 17-18

Algorithm:

Input: $n \times n$ matrices X & Y

Output: $Z \leftarrow XY$

(1) If X & Y are 1×1 matrices then

$$Z \leftarrow X \cdot Y$$

(2) else

{Recursion }

(3) Given $X = \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$ $Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} \\ Y_{21} & Y_{22} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

$$K_0 = X_{12}(Y_{11} + Y_{21})$$

$$K_1 = X_{21}(Y_{12} + Y_{22})$$

$$K_2 = (X_{21} - X_{12})(Y_{11} + Y_{22})$$

$$K_3 = (X_{11} - X_{12})Y_{11}$$

~ ~ ~ ~ ~

$$K_4 = (X_{11} - X_{21})(Y_{12} - Y_{21})$$

$$K_5 = (X_{22} - X_{12})(Y_{21} - Y_{12})$$

$$K_6 = (X_{22} - X_{21})Y_{22}$$

(4) { Compute Output Matrix's ---

$$Z_{11} = K_0 + K_3$$

$$Z_{21} = K_0 + K_2 + K_5 + K_6$$

$$Z_{12} = K_1 - K_2 + K_3 + K_4$$

$$Z_{22} = K_1 + K_6$$

The matrices multiplied are different from Strassen i.e., basically K_0, K_1, \dots, K_6 compared to the $P_{11}, P_{22}, \dots, P_{r_2}$

The total no. of sums & subtractions here are 10 for generating K 's &

8 in generating final matrix

where as the algorithm over here we brought the original matrix to the given 5 types, where for the first three types we need 3 multiplications & second two types need 4 multiplications which is 7 in total.

In Strassen, we didn't try to

where as ...
 bring in this to this format of 5 types of
 matrices, we generated new matrices P_{11}, P_{22}
 using the original matrix & finally brought
 the multiplication by applying some operati
 on them.

b) To Prove the variant, we need to show

$$Z_{11} = X_{11}Y_{11} + X_{12}Y_{21}$$

$$Z_{12} = X_{11}Y_{12} + X_{12}Y_{22}$$

$$Z_{21} = X_{21}Y_{11} + X_{22}Y_{21}$$

$$Z_{22} = X_{21}Y_{12} + X_{22}Y_{22}$$

$$Z_{11} = P_0 + P_3 - P_4 + P_6$$

$$= X_0Y_0 + X_3Y_3 - X_4Y_4 + X_6Y_6$$

$$\Rightarrow (X_{12} + X_{21})(Y_{12} + Y_{21}) + X_{21}(Y_{11} - Y_{21})$$

$$- (X_{11} + X_{12})Y_{12} + (X_{11} - X_{21})(Y_{11} + Y_{12})$$

$$\Rightarrow \cancel{X_{12}Y_{12}} + \cancel{X_{21}Y_{12}} + \cancel{X_{12}Y_{21}} + \cancel{X_{21}Y_{21}} + \cancel{X_{21}Y_{11}} - \cancel{X_{21}Y_{21}}$$

$$- \cancel{X_{11}Y_{12}} - \cancel{X_{12}Y_{12}} + \cancel{X_{11}Y_{11}} - \cancel{X_{21}Y_{11}}$$

$$+ \cancel{X_{11}Y_{12}} - \cancel{X_{21}Y_{12}}$$

$$\therefore Z_{11} \Rightarrow X_{11}Y_{11} + X_{12}Y_{21}$$

$$z_{12} = r_2 \cdot 1 \cdot 4$$

$$\Rightarrow x_2 y_2 + x_4 y_4$$

$$\Rightarrow x_{12}(y_{22} - y_{12}) + (x_{11} + x_{12}) y_{12}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_{12} y_{22} - x_{12} y_{12} + x_{11} y_{12} + x_{12} y_{12}$$

$$\therefore z_{12} \Rightarrow x_{12} y_{22} + x_{11} y_{12} //$$

$$z_{21} = P_1 + P_3$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 y_1 + x_3 y_3$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_{21} + x_{22}) y_{21} + x_{21} (y_{11} - y_{21})$$

$$\Rightarrow x_{21} y_{21} + x_{22} y_{21} + x_{21} y_{11} - x_{21} y_{21}$$

$$\therefore z_{21} \Rightarrow x_{22} y_{21} + x_{21} y_{11} //$$

$$z_{22} = P_0 - P_1 + P_2 + P_5$$

$$\Rightarrow x_0 y_0 - x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + x_5 y_5$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_{12} + x_{21})(y_{12} + y_{21}) - (x_{21} + x_{22}) y_{21}$$

$$+ x_{12}(y_{22} - y_{12}) + (x_{22} - x_{12})(y_{21} + y_{12})$$

$$\Rightarrow x_{12} y_{12} + x_{21} y_{21} + x_{21} y_{12} + x_{21} y_{21}$$

$$- x_{21} y_{21} - x_{21} y_{21} + x_{12} y_{22} - x_{21} y_{12}$$

$$+ x_{22} y_{21} - x_{12} y_{21} + x_{22} y_{22} - x_{12} y_{22}$$

$$\therefore z_{22} = x_{21} y_{12} + x_{22} y_{22} //$$

Hence we can show that it correctly multiplies two $n \times n$ matrices

where as if $n \neq 2^k$

we can extend $n \rightarrow \text{next } 2^k \text{ & keep } 0$
everywhere it is extended & it gives the matrix multiplication in

$\mathcal{T}(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$ time complexity.

(c) Now we have to derive the algorithm in Figure 1 using the approach shown in slides 17-18 of Lecture 3.

Basically we need to bring the original matrix into a sum form such that these matrices multiplied with our Y gives Z in 7 unique multiplications.

$$\text{Given } X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} \\ y_{21} & y_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since we already mentioned how we'll proceed for $n \neq 2^k$. let us go with $n = 2^k$.

Based on the approach in slides -

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{11} & x_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & x_{21} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{12} \\ y_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z_{11} \\ z_{21} \\ z_{12} \\ z_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{x}_{\mathcal{X}}$ $\underbrace{y}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ $\underbrace{z}_{\mathcal{Z}}$

Now let's convert \mathcal{X} to our 5-types discussed in class.

$$x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x_{21} & -x_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ x_{21} & -x_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_{11}-x_{21} & x_{12}+x_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{22}+x_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{11} & x_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & x_{21} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{\Delta_1 \text{ (Multiplication)}}_{\mathcal{X}_1}$ $\underbrace{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{X}_1}$

$$x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_{12} & x_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & -x_{12} & x_{12} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_{11}-x_{21} & x_{12}+x_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{22}+x_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{11}+x_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{21}+x_{12} & x_{22}-x_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{\Delta_2 \text{ (1 Multiplication)}}_{\mathcal{X}_1}$

$$x^{II} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x_{12}+x_{21} & x_{12}+x_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_{11}-x_{21} & 0 & -x_{12}-x_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & x_{22}+x_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{11}+x_{12} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & x_{12}+x_{21} \quad x_{12}+x_{21} \\ 0 & -x_2-x_{21} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{x^{119}} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & x_{22} \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

(Multiplication)

$$X^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} - x_{21} & 0 & (x_{11} - x_{21}) & 0 \\ 0 & - (x_{11} + x_{12}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{11} + x_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{22} + x_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{22} - x_{12} & 0 & x_{22} - x_{12} \\ 0 & - (x_{22} + x_{21}) & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Δ_4
 Δ_5

(2 Multiplications) (2 Multiplications)

$$\text{So } xy = z$$

$$12 \quad (\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 + \Delta_4 + \Delta_5) \cdot Y = Z.$$

This whole takes 7 unique multiplications.

So using this approach let's get the algorithm
the unique multiplications found are.

$$(1) (x_{12} + x_{21})(y_{12} + y_{21})$$

$$(4) \quad (x_{11} + x_{12}) y_{12}$$

$$(2) \quad x_{21} (y_{11} - y_{21})$$

$$(5) \quad x_{12}(y_{22} - y_{12})$$

$$(3) \quad (x_{21} + x_{22}) y_{21}$$

$$(6) \quad (x_1 - x_{21}) (y_1 + y_{12})$$

$$(7) \quad (x_{22} - x_{12})(y_{21} + y_{22})$$

So the algorithm can be written like:

Input : $n \times n$ matrices $X \& Y$.

Output : $Z \leftarrow XY$

(1) If $X \& Y$ are 1×1 matrices then $Z \leftarrow XY$.

(2) else

{ Recursion -- -- - }

$$(3) K_0 = (X_{12} + X_{21})(Y_{12} + Y_{21})$$

$$K_1 = X_{21}(Y_{11} - Y_{21})$$

$$K_2 = (X_{21} + X_{22})Y_{21}$$

$$K_3 = (X_{11} + X_{12})Y_{12}$$

$$K_4 = X_{12}(Y_{22} - Y_{12})$$

$$K_5 = (X_{11} - X_{21})(Y_{11} + Y_{12})$$

$$K_6 = (X_{22} - X_{12})(Y_{21} + Y_{22})$$

{ Generate Output Matrix -- -- - }

$$(4) Z_{11} = K_0 + K_1 - K_3 + K_5$$

$$Z_{12} = K_3 + K_4$$

$$Z_{21} = K_1 + K_2$$

$$Z_{22} = K_0 - K_2 + K_4 + K_6$$

Hence this is the algorithm of Figure 1.

by using the approach shown in slides.