

## 1 Reflection Representation

Consider a real vector space  $V$  of dimension  $n$ . A **linear reflection** is a linear map  $A \in \text{GL}(V)$  fixing an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional hyperplane called its **mirror** and one eigenvector of eigenvalue  $-1$ , called its **root**.

Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system of type  $M = (m_{ij})$ . Then a **reflection representation** is a linear representation  $\rho : W \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  obtained as follows. Writing  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ , consider a real vector space  $V$  of dimension  $n$  and a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . Let  $B$  be a symmetric bilinear form satisfying

$$B(e_i, e_j) = -\cos(\pi/m_{ij})$$

if  $m_{ij} < \infty$ . Otherwise, if  $m_{ij} = \infty$  we just assume  $B(e_i, e_j) \leq 1$ . The flexibility there is useful to guarantee irreducibility (See Davis for why this is allowed). Then define the representation  $\rho : W \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  by  $s_i \mapsto \rho_i$ , where

$$\rho_i(x) = x - 2B(x, e_i)e_i.$$

**Proposition 1.1.** *Any reflection representation obtained by the preceding construction defines a faithful linear representation.*

**Proposition 1.2.** *If  $B$  is non-degenerate then no non-zero point of  $V$  is fixed by all reflections.*

## 2 Pretzel Links

Let  $L = P(l_1, \dots, l_n)$  be a Pretzel link. We assume  $|l_i| \geq 2$ . We associate to  $L$  the Coxeter group

$$W = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \mid (s_2 s_1)^{l_1}, (s_3 s_2)^{l_2}, \dots, (s_n s_{n-1})^{l_{n-1}}, (s_1 s_n)^{l_n} \rangle.$$

We will call groups of this form **Pretzel Coxeter groups**. Their Coxeter matrix is

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l_1 & \infty & \infty & \infty & \cdots & \infty & l_n \\ l_1 & 1 & l_2 & \infty & \infty & \cdots & \infty & \infty \\ \infty & l_2 & 1 & l_3 & \infty & \cdots & \infty & \infty \\ \infty & \infty & l_3 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \infty & \infty & \infty & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \infty & \infty \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 & l_{n-2} & \infty \\ \infty & \infty & \infty & \cdots & \infty & l_{n-2} & 1 & l_{n-1} \\ l_n & \infty & \infty & \cdots & \infty & \infty & l_{n-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *The Pretzel Coxeter group associated to a Pretzel link  $L$  is a quotient of the link group  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus L)$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X, Y$  be real square matrices of the same size, and suppose  $X$  is invertible. Then, for all but finitely many  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  the matrix  $\lambda X + Y$  is invertible.*

*Proof.* The function  $\mu \mapsto \det(X + \mu Y)$  is a non-zero polynomial, so  $X + \mu Y$  is invertible for almost all  $\mu$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Dividing by  $\mu$  and letting  $\lambda = \pm\mu^{-1}$  we see that whenever  $X + \mu Y$  is invertible, so is  $\lambda X + Y$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $L = P(l_1, \dots, l_n)$  be a Pretzel link. Then the Pretzel Coxeter group associated to  $L$  admits a linear reflection representation of degree  $n$  such that the only point fixed by all reflections is zero.*

*Proof.* This includes multiple applications of Lemma 2.2. If  $n = 2$ , then  $B$  is positive definite and thus non-degenerate. If  $n = 3$  then  $B$  might not be positive definite, but one can easily check with Gauss elimination that  $B$  is non-degenerate.

Next, we consider the case  $n = 4$ . It is not strictly necessary to consider this case separately but it serves to illustrate the strategy to come. Let

$$X_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_1 & 0 & b_4 \\ b_1 & 1 & b_2 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & 1 & b_3 \\ b_4 & 0 & b_3 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $b_i = -\cos(\pi/l_i)$ . By Lemma 2.2 there exists  $\lambda \leq -1$  such that the bilinear form  $B$  with matrix  $\lambda X + Y$  is invertible, in which case the radical of  $B$  is trivial. Thus, the reflection representation determined by  $B$  is such that no point except zero is fixed. This concludes the proof for  $n = 4$ .

For  $n = 5$  note similarly that the matrix

$$X_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible. Defining  $Y_5$  similarly as in the case  $n = 4$  yields the desired result.

Now let  $n$  be arbitrary. Define  $Z_n$  to be the matrix of the standard bilinear form (introduced e.g. in Humphreys) corresponding to the Coxeter matrix  $M$ . More concretely we have

$$Z_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & b_n \\ b_1 & 1 & b_2 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & b_2 & 1 & b_3 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & b_3 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -1 & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 & b_{n-2} & x \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & b_{n-2} & 1 & b_{n-1} \\ b_n & -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & -1 & b_{n-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $b_i = -\cos(\pi/l_i)$ . Let  $X$  be the block matrix

$$X_{2k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n/2} \\ I_{n/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{2k+5} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & I_{k/2} \\ 0 & X_5 & 0 \\ I_{k/2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $I_m$  is the identity matrix of size  $m$  and  $X_5$  is as in the case  $n = 5$ . Then let  $Y = Z + X$  and as above apply Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *The meridional rank of a Pretzel link  $L = P(l_1, \dots, l_n)$ , where we assume  $l_i \geq 2$ , is equal to  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus L)$  is generated by the obvious meridians we have that the meridional rank is less than or equal to  $n$ . Moreover, the meridional rank is bounded from below by  $n$  because by irreducibility of the reflection representation the Pretzel Coxeter quotient associated to  $L$  is not generated by less than  $n$  reflections. Thus the meridional rank is equal to  $n$ .  $\square$

**Conjecture 2.5.** *Any other Coxeter quotient of  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus L)$  is a quotient of the Pretzel Coxeter group.*

Sadly this is not true, because the  $(3, 3, 3)$ -Pretzel knot has an  $S_3$ -quotient.

**Question 2.6.** *Can this be applied to produce a rigid cover of  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus L)$  or of  $S^3$  branched along  $L$ ?*

This is probably not so interesting.

### 3 Realisation of Coxeter Groups

**Question 3.1.** *Let  $W$  be a Coxeter group. Does there exist a link  $L \subset S^3$  such that  $W$  is a quotient of  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus L)$ ?*

Yes. The trivial link.

**Proposition 3.2.** *If  $K \subset S^3$  is a knot and  $W$  is a quotient of  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$ , then at most one edge in the Coxeter diagram of  $W$  is labeled 2.*

*Proof.* If not then  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$  has a quotient of the form  $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^k$  for some  $k \geq 2$ . But the abelianization of the  $\pi$ -orbifold quotient is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ , which does not have a quotient isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k$ .  $\square$