

Experiment 2

March 19, 2018

Simulation Details

Considered $K = 3$, $T = 1001$, $N = 500$. Report statistics at $t = 1000$

The Bandit priors that were considered:

- Uniform: Draw the mean rewards for the arms from $[0.25, 0.75]$
- “HeavyTail”: We took the mean rewards to be randomly drawn from $\text{Beta}(\alpha = 0.6, \beta = 0.6)$. With this distribution it was likely to have arms that were at the extremes (close to 1 and close to 0) but also some of the arms with intermediate value means.
- Needle-in-haystack
 1. High - 2 arms with mean 0.50, 1 arm with mean 0.70 (+ 0.20)

Algorithms considered:

1. ThompsonSampling with priors of $\text{Beta}(1, 1)$ for every arm.
2. DynamicGreedy with priors of $\text{Beta}(1, 1)$ for every arm
3. Bayesian Dynamic ϵ -greedy with priors of $\text{Beta}(1, 1)$ for every arm and $\epsilon = 0.05$

Agent Algorithms considered:

1. HardMax
2. HardMaxWithRandom
3. SoftMax ($\alpha = 10$)

Memory Sizes

1. 100

Simulation Procedure

```
1: for Each prior  $p$  do
2:   Generate true distribution from  $p$  (except for needle-in-haystack, just use  $p$  itself)
3:   Generate  $T \times K$  realizations for the arms
4:   for Each agent algorithm  $agentalg$  do
5:     for Each principal algorithm pair  $principalalg1, principalalg2$  do
6:       for  $N$  simulations do
7:         Give the agents 5 observations from each principal
8:         Give principal 2 200 free observations (the agents also get these observations)
9:         Run simulation for  $T$  periods
10:      end for
11:    end for
12:  end for
13: end for
```

Results

All results are reported for memory size = 100.

The rows represent Principal 1 and the columns represent Principal 2. Thus, the cell (1, 2) represents principal 1 playing Thompson Sampling and principal 2 playing DynamicEpsilonGreedy. In this experiment remember that principal 2 gets 200 free observations.

Within each cell, the following data are presented:

1. The first row displays the sample mean market share for principal 1 as well as a 95% confidence band of the mean.
2. The second row displays the sample variance of the market share and in parentheses are 95 % confidence bands for the variance.
3. The third row displays the % of simulations that resulted in “extreme” market shares. Extreme market shares are defined as being simulations where one of the principals (either principal 1 or 2) ended up with 90% or more of the market.

Results for HardMax t = 1000 Needle In Haystack High

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.1 +/- 0.022 0.063 (0.056, 0.072) Extreme Shares: 87 %	0.13 +/- 0.026 0.086 (0.076, 0.097) Extreme Shares: 88 %	0.28 +/- 0.036 0.17 (0.15, 0.19) Extreme Shares: 84 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.093 +/- 0.022 0.064 (0.056, 0.072) Extreme Shares: 91 %	0.18 +/- 0.031 0.12 (0.11, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 89 %	0.26 +/- 0.035 0.16 (0.14, 0.18) Extreme Shares: 86 %
DynamicGreedy	0.11 +/- 0.024 0.072 (0.064, 0.081) Extreme Shares: 87 %	0.18 +/- 0.03 0.12 (0.1, 0.13) Extreme Shares: 88 %	0.23 +/- 0.033 0.14 (0.13, 0.16) Extreme Shares: 85 %

Results for HardMaxWithRandom t = 1000 Needle In Haystack High

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.17 +/- 0.023 0.067 (0.059, 0.076) Extreme Shares: 73 %	0.3 +/- 0.031 0.13 (0.11, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 66 %	0.34 +/- 0.034 0.15 (0.13, 0.17) Extreme Shares: 71 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.22 +/- 0.026 0.088 (0.078, 0.1) Extreme Shares: 67 %	0.26 +/- 0.029 0.11 (0.094, 0.12) Extreme Shares: 68 %	0.37 +/- 0.034 0.15 (0.13, 0.17) Extreme Shares: 66 %
DynamicGreedy	0.23 +/- 0.026 0.086 (0.076, 0.097) Extreme Shares: 65 %	0.29 +/- 0.03 0.12 (0.1, 0.13) Extreme Shares: 65 %	0.37 +/- 0.033 0.14 (0.12, 0.16) Extreme Shares: 63 %

Results for SoftMax t = 1000 Needle In Haystack High

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.43 +/- 0.0082 0.0088 (0.0078, 0.01) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.46 +/- 0.012 0.018 (0.016, 0.02) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.52 +/- 0.016 0.033 (0.029, 0.037) Extreme Shares: 0 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.42 +/- 0.011 0.016 (0.014, 0.018) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.46 +/- 0.013 0.023 (0.021, 0.027) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.5 +/- 0.017 0.036 (0.032, 0.041) Extreme Shares: 0 %
DynamicGreedy	0.39 +/- 0.013 0.022 (0.02, 0.025) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.44 +/- 0.015 0.03 (0.026, 0.034) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.49 +/- 0.017 0.039 (0.034, 0.044) Extreme Shares: 0 %

Results for HardMax t = 1000 Heavy Tail

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.042 +/- 0.014 0.026 (0.023, 0.03) Extreme Shares: 93 %	0.081 +/- 0.021 0.06 (0.053, 0.068) Extreme Shares: 93 %	0.12 +/- 0.027 0.091 (0.081, 0.1) Extreme Shares: 93 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.11 +/- 0.022 0.062 (0.055, 0.071) Extreme Shares: 84 %	0.15 +/- 0.026 0.087 (0.077, 0.099) Extreme Shares: 81 %	0.16 +/- 0.028 0.099 (0.088, 0.11) Extreme Shares: 83 %
DynamicGreedy	0.14 +/- 0.024 0.077 (0.068, 0.088) Extreme Shares: 82 %	0.2 +/- 0.031 0.13 (0.11, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 83 %	0.18 +/- 0.027 0.097 (0.086, 0.11) Extreme Shares: 76 %

Results for HardMaxWithRandom t = 1000 Heavy Tail

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.12 +/- 0.018 0.042 (0.037, 0.048) Extreme Shares: 80 %	0.17 +/- 0.024 0.073 (0.065, 0.083) Extreme Shares: 75 %	0.21 +/- 0.028 0.1 (0.092, 0.12) Extreme Shares: 76 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.17 +/- 0.021 0.055 (0.049, 0.063) Extreme Shares: 67 %	0.27 +/- 0.026 0.09 (0.08, 0.1) Extreme Shares: 54 %	0.25 +/- 0.027 0.095 (0.084, 0.11) Extreme Shares: 62 %
DynamicGreedy	0.21 +/- 0.024 0.077 (0.068, 0.087) Extreme Shares: 63 %	0.31 +/- 0.029 0.11 (0.096, 0.12) Extreme Shares: 55 %	0.3 +/- 0.028 0.1 (0.091, 0.12) Extreme Shares: 54 %

Results for SoftMax t = 1000 Heavy Tail

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.44 +/- 0.0059 0.0045 (0.004, 0.0052) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.48 +/- 0.0083 0.009 (0.008, 0.01) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.48 +/- 0.011 0.015 (0.013, 0.017) Extreme Shares: 0 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.43 +/- 0.0084 0.0092 (0.0081, 0.01) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.46 +/- 0.0087 0.0098 (0.0087, 0.011) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.47 +/- 0.012 0.018 (0.016, 0.021) Extreme Shares: 0 %
DynamicGreedy	0.44 +/- 0.0099 0.013 (0.011, 0.014) Extreme Shares: 0.2 %	0.47 +/- 0.011 0.016 (0.014, 0.018) Extreme Shares: 0.2 %	0.49 +/- 0.011 0.015 (0.014, 0.017) Extreme Shares: 0 %

Results for HardMax t = 1000 Uniform

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.092 +/- 0.02 0.053 (0.047, 0.06) Extreme Shares: 86 %	0.14 +/- 0.026 0.089 (0.079, 0.1) Extreme Shares: 84 %	0.19 +/- 0.03 0.11 (0.1, 0.13) Extreme Shares: 81 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.16 +/- 0.026 0.089 (0.079, 0.1) Extreme Shares: 80 %	0.18 +/- 0.028 0.1 (0.089, 0.11) Extreme Shares: 76 %	0.19 +/- 0.03 0.12 (0.1, 0.13) Extreme Shares: 82 %
DynamicGreedy	0.18 +/- 0.029 0.11 (0.095, 0.12) Extreme Shares: 81 %	0.19 +/- 0.029 0.11 (0.099, 0.13) Extreme Shares: 79 %	0.23 +/- 0.031 0.12 (0.11, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 75 %

Results for HardMaxWithRandom t = 1000 Uniform

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.22 +/- 0.024 0.076 (0.067, 0.086) Extreme Shares: 60 %	0.27 +/- 0.028 0.1 (0.091, 0.12) Extreme Shares: 61 %	0.31 +/- 0.031 0.12 (0.11, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 61 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.27 +/- 0.026 0.088 (0.078, 0.1) Extreme Shares: 54 %	0.3 +/- 0.028 0.1 (0.091, 0.12) Extreme Shares: 54 %	0.35 +/- 0.03 0.12 (0.11, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 53 %
DynamicGreedy	0.25 +/- 0.026 0.086 (0.076, 0.098) Extreme Shares: 59 %	0.32 +/- 0.029 0.11 (0.099, 0.13) Extreme Shares: 55 %	0.36 +/- 0.03 0.12 (0.11, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 52 %

Results for SoftMax t = 1000 Uniform

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.45 +/- 0.0084 0.0091 (0.0081, 0.01) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.47 +/- 0.0099 0.013 (0.011, 0.014) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.51 +/- 0.013 0.021 (0.018, 0.024) Extreme Shares: 0 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.44 +/- 0.01 0.013 (0.012, 0.015) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.46 +/- 0.011 0.016 (0.014, 0.018) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.49 +/- 0.014 0.024 (0.021, 0.027) Extreme Shares: 0.2 %
DynamicGreedy	0.43 +/- 0.011 0.017 (0.015, 0.019) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.46 +/- 0.012 0.019 (0.017, 0.021) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.49 +/- 0.013 0.022 (0.02, 0.025) Extreme Shares: 0.2 %

Comments: The story I seem to get from this is (roughly) that the worse the algorithm that the incumbent plays is, the better the entrant will do. Thus, the incumbent should want to play a better algorithm. However, in order for the entrant to do as well as possible, the entrant should in fact play a worse algorithm! If you think of it from the incumbent point of view, the incumbent is in the market first and so wants to learn as much as possible before the entrant comes in (thus does better with a better algorithm since it should have learned more by the time the entrant enters). From the entrant point of view, since the incumbent has already learned something in order to have any chance to compete you do better by purely exploiting than by engaging in potentially suboptimal exploration. Two follow-up questions: Will this result hold if we run the simulations for more periods? What will happen to this result if we increase memory size? Another question is whether or not the effect is driven by the better information the incumbent has or the effect of reputation.

This motivates the following experiment which has an identical setup as before (principal 2 gets to be in the game for 200 periods before principal 1), however after the 200 rounds we are going to erase the memory of the agents. Thus, when principal 1 enters the market the incumbent (principal 2) does not have an advantage in terms of reputation, but only in terms of the information s/he possesses. The results don't change much qualitatively from the case we first considered.

Results for HardMax t = 1000 Needle In Haystack High

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.29 +/- 0.038 0.19 (0.17, 0.22) Extreme Shares: 92 %	0.36 +/- 0.041 0.22 (0.2, 0.25) Extreme Shares: 95 %	0.41 +/- 0.042 0.23 (0.2, 0.26) Extreme Shares: 94 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.31 +/- 0.039 0.2 (0.18, 0.23) Extreme Shares: 93 %	0.33 +/- 0.04 0.2 (0.18, 0.23) Extreme Shares: 91 %	0.45 +/- 0.042 0.23 (0.2, 0.26) Extreme Shares: 92 %
DynamicGreedy	0.25 +/- 0.036 0.17 (0.15, 0.19) Extreme Shares: 92 %	0.35 +/- 0.04 0.21 (0.18, 0.23) Extreme Shares: 91 %	0.39 +/- 0.041 0.22 (0.19, 0.24) Extreme Shares: 89 %

Results for HardMaxWithRandom t = 1000 Needle In Haystack High

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.28 +/- 0.032 0.13 (0.12, 0.15) Extreme Shares: 73 %	0.34 +/- 0.034 0.15 (0.13, 0.17) Extreme Shares: 71 %	0.46 +/- 0.036 0.17 (0.15, 0.19) Extreme Shares: 70 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.28 +/- 0.03 0.12 (0.1, 0.13) Extreme Shares: 66 %	0.32 +/- 0.033 0.14 (0.12, 0.16) Extreme Shares: 72 %	0.43 +/- 0.035 0.16 (0.14, 0.18) Extreme Shares: 66 %
DynamicGreedy	0.29 +/- 0.03 0.12 (0.1, 0.13) Extreme Shares: 63 %	0.36 +/- 0.033 0.14 (0.13, 0.16) Extreme Shares: 67 %	0.44 +/- 0.035 0.16 (0.14, 0.18) Extreme Shares: 65 %

Results for SoftMax t = 1000 Needle In Haystack High

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.44 +/- 0.0085 0.0093 (0.0082, 0.011) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.47 +/- 0.012 0.019 (0.017, 0.021) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.53 +/- 0.016 0.032 (0.029, 0.037) Extreme Shares: 0 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.42 +/- 0.011 0.017 (0.015, 0.019) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.44 +/- 0.014 0.027 (0.024, 0.03) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.51 +/- 0.017 0.039 (0.034, 0.044) Extreme Shares: 0 %
DynamicGreedy	0.4 +/- 0.013 0.022 (0.02, 0.025) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.44 +/- 0.015 0.03 (0.026, 0.034) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.48 +/- 0.017 0.04 (0.035, 0.045) Extreme Shares: 0 %

Results for HardMax t = 1000 Heavy Tail

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.14 +/- 0.029 0.11 (0.097, 0.12) Extreme Shares: 95 %	0.21 +/- 0.035 0.16 (0.14, 0.18) Extreme Shares: 97 %	0.22 +/- 0.036 0.16 (0.15, 0.19) Extreme Shares: 96 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.24 +/- 0.035 0.16 (0.14, 0.18) Extreme Shares: 89 %	0.32 +/- 0.039 0.2 (0.18, 0.22) Extreme Shares: 92 %	0.32 +/- 0.039 0.19 (0.17, 0.22) Extreme Shares: 89 %
DynamicGreedy	0.26 +/- 0.036 0.17 (0.15, 0.19) Extreme Shares: 89 %	0.34 +/- 0.04 0.2 (0.18, 0.23) Extreme Shares: 90 %	0.32 +/- 0.039 0.19 (0.17, 0.22) Extreme Shares: 89 %

Results for HardMaxWithRandom t = 1000 Heavy Tail

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.18 +/- 0.025 0.081 (0.072, 0.092) Extreme Shares: 75 %	0.27 +/- 0.031 0.12 (0.11, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 72 %	0.28 +/- 0.032 0.13 (0.12, 0.15) Extreme Shares: 77 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.23 +/- 0.027 0.095 (0.085, 0.11) Extreme Shares: 69 %	0.34 +/- 0.031 0.13 (0.11, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 60 %	0.33 +/- 0.033 0.14 (0.12, 0.16) Extreme Shares: 69 %
DynamicGreedy	0.3 +/- 0.031 0.12 (0.11, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 65 %	0.41 +/- 0.034 0.15 (0.13, 0.17) Extreme Shares: 61 %	0.36 +/- 0.031 0.13 (0.11, 0.15) Extreme Shares: 58 %

Results for SoftMax t = 1000 Heavy Tail

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.45 +/- 0.0058 0.0044 (0.0039, 0.005) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.48 +/- 0.0081 0.0085 (0.0075, 0.0096) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.49 +/- 0.012 0.018 (0.016, 0.02) Extreme Shares: 0.2 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.43 +/- 0.0083 0.0088 (0.0078, 0.01) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.47 +/- 0.0093 0.011 (0.0099, 0.013) Extreme Shares: 0.4 %	0.47 +/- 0.012 0.018 (0.016, 0.021) Extreme Shares: 0.2 %
DynamicGreedy	0.45 +/- 0.009 0.011 (0.0093, 0.012) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.48 +/- 0.011 0.015 (0.014, 0.018) Extreme Shares: 0.2 %	0.47 +/- 0.012 0.019 (0.016, 0.021) Extreme Shares: 0.6 %

Results for HardMax t = 1000 Uniform

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.35 +/- 0.04 0.2 (0.18, 0.23) Extreme Shares: 88 %	0.36 +/- 0.04 0.21 (0.19, 0.24) Extreme Shares: 91 %	0.38 +/- 0.041 0.22 (0.19, 0.25) Extreme Shares: 92 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.36 +/- 0.04 0.21 (0.19, 0.24) Extreme Shares: 90 %	0.4 +/- 0.041 0.22 (0.2, 0.25) Extreme Shares: 91 %	0.41 +/- 0.041 0.22 (0.2, 0.25) Extreme Shares: 90 %
DynamicGreedy	0.34 +/- 0.039 0.2 (0.18, 0.23) Extreme Shares: 88 %	0.37 +/- 0.041 0.21 (0.19, 0.24) Extreme Shares: 90 %	0.43 +/- 0.041 0.22 (0.2, 0.25) Extreme Shares: 89 %

Results for HardMaxWithRandom t = 1000 Uniform

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.36 +/- 0.032 0.13 (0.12, 0.15) Extreme Shares: 59 %	0.36 +/- 0.033 0.14 (0.13, 0.16) Extreme Shares: 66 %	0.4 +/- 0.034 0.15 (0.13, 0.17) Extreme Shares: 63 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.37 +/- 0.032 0.13 (0.12, 0.15) Extreme Shares: 56 %	0.39 +/- 0.033 0.14 (0.12, 0.16) Extreme Shares: 59 %	0.44 +/- 0.033 0.14 (0.12, 0.16) Extreme Shares: 53 %
DynamicGreedy	0.42 +/- 0.033 0.14 (0.12, 0.16) Extreme Shares: 54 %	0.38 +/- 0.032 0.13 (0.12, 0.15) Extreme Shares: 56 %	0.43 +/- 0.033 0.14 (0.13, 0.16) Extreme Shares: 56 %

Results for SoftMax t = 1000 Uniform

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.45 +/- 0.0076 0.0074 (0.0066, 0.0085) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.47 +/- 0.0095 0.012 (0.01, 0.013) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.49 +/- 0.012 0.019 (0.017, 0.021) Extreme Shares: 0 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.45 +/- 0.01 0.014 (0.012, 0.016) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.47 +/- 0.011 0.017 (0.015, 0.019) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.51 +/- 0.013 0.021 (0.019, 0.024) Extreme Shares: 0 %
DynamicGreedy	0.45 +/- 0.011 0.016 (0.014, 0.018) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.47 +/- 0.012 0.019 (0.017, 0.021) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.48 +/- 0.014 0.027 (0.024, 0.031) Extreme Shares: 0.2 %

Another check of the robustness of the results: The memory size is increased to 250 so that when principal 1 enters the market the entire history of the incumbent is contained in the information set of the incumbent.

Results for HardMax t = 1000 Needle In Haystack High

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.07 +/- 0.022 0.052 (0.046, 0.06) Extreme Shares: 96 %	0.13 +/- 0.032 0.1 (0.09, 0.12) Extreme Shares: 96 %	0.15 +/- 0.034 0.12 (0.11, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 96 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.09 +/- 0.026 0.071 (0.062, 0.082) Extreme Shares: 96 %	0.12 +/- 0.03 0.095 (0.084, 0.11) Extreme Shares: 97 %	0.22 +/- 0.038 0.15 (0.13, 0.18) Extreme Shares: 92 %
DynamicGreedy	0.086 +/- 0.026 0.069 (0.061, 0.08) Extreme Shares: 97 %	0.12 +/- 0.03 0.092 (0.081, 0.11) Extreme Shares: 96 %	0.12 +/- 0.03 0.092 (0.081, 0.11) Extreme Shares: 94 %

Results for HardMaxWithRandom t = 1000 Needle In Haystack High

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.11 +/- 0.021 0.047 (0.041, 0.054) Extreme Shares: 85 %	0.24 +/- 0.035 0.13 (0.11, 0.15) Extreme Shares: 86 %	0.32 +/- 0.038 0.15 (0.13, 0.17) Extreme Shares: 78 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.19 +/- 0.03 0.095 (0.083, 0.11) Extreme Shares: 82 %	0.23 +/- 0.033 0.12 (0.1, 0.13) Extreme Shares: 81 %	0.36 +/- 0.04 0.16 (0.14, 0.19) Extreme Shares: 76 %
DynamicGreedy	0.18 +/- 0.028 0.083 (0.072, 0.095) Extreme Shares: 80 %	0.21 +/- 0.033 0.11 (0.096, 0.13) Extreme Shares: 84 %	0.32 +/- 0.038 0.15 (0.13, 0.17) Extreme Shares: 76 %

Results for SoftMax t = 1000 Needle In Haystack High

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.42 +/- 0.011 0.012 (0.01, 0.014) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.47 +/- 0.015 0.023 (0.02, 0.027) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.51 +/- 0.018 0.034 (0.03, 0.039) Extreme Shares: 0 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.42 +/- 0.014 0.02 (0.018, 0.023) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.46 +/- 0.016 0.027 (0.024, 0.031) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.5 +/- 0.019 0.038 (0.033, 0.044) Extreme Shares: 0 %
DynamicGreedy	0.4 +/- 0.014 0.021 (0.019, 0.024) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.42 +/- 0.019 0.036 (0.032, 0.042) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.48 +/- 0.02 0.043 (0.038, 0.05) Extreme Shares: 0 %

Results for HardMax t = 1000 Heavy Tail

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.027 +/- 0.014 0.02 (0.017, 0.023) Extreme Shares: 98 %	0.064 +/- 0.022 0.051 (0.045, 0.059) Extreme Shares: 96 %	0.076 +/- 0.025 0.065 (0.057, 0.075) Extreme Shares: 98 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.069 +/- 0.022 0.048 (0.042, 0.056) Extreme Shares: 93 %	0.13 +/- 0.03 0.091 (0.08, 0.11) Extreme Shares: 91 %	0.094 +/- 0.025 0.062 (0.055, 0.072) Extreme Shares: 90 %
DynamicGreedy	0.14 +/- 0.032 0.1 (0.091, 0.12) Extreme Shares: 92 %	0.18 +/- 0.035 0.12 (0.11, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 88 %	0.14 +/- 0.029 0.09 (0.078, 0.1) Extreme Shares: 85 %

Results for HardMaxWithRandom t = 1000 Heavy Tail

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.078 +/- 0.018 0.033 (0.029, 0.038) Extreme Shares: 92 %	0.12 +/- 0.024 0.059 (0.052, 0.068) Extreme Shares: 87 %	0.16 +/- 0.028 0.082 (0.071, 0.094) Extreme Shares: 86 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.15 +/- 0.026 0.072 (0.063, 0.083) Extreme Shares: 84 %	0.21 +/- 0.029 0.09 (0.079, 0.1) Extreme Shares: 72 %	0.18 +/- 0.028 0.079 (0.069, 0.091) Extreme Shares: 75 %
DynamicGreedy	0.23 +/- 0.034 0.12 (0.1, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 80 %	0.29 +/- 0.035 0.12 (0.11, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 68 %	0.23 +/- 0.03 0.091 (0.08, 0.11) Extreme Shares: 66 %

Results for SoftMax t = 1000 Heavy Tail

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.43 +/- 0.0077 0.0062 (0.0054, 0.0072) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.48 +/- 0.0092 0.0087 (0.0076, 0.01) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.46 +/- 0.013 0.017 (0.015, 0.02) Extreme Shares: 0.25 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.42 +/- 0.0099 0.01 (0.0088, 0.012) Extreme Shares: 0.25 %	0.46 +/- 0.011 0.013 (0.011, 0.015) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.46 +/- 0.013 0.018 (0.016, 0.021) Extreme Shares: 0.25 %
DynamicGreedy	0.44 +/- 0.012 0.014 (0.012, 0.016) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.47 +/- 0.012 0.015 (0.013, 0.017) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.48 +/- 0.013 0.018 (0.016, 0.021) Extreme Shares: 0 %

Results for HardMax t = 1000 Uniform

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.061 +/- 0.021 0.044 (0.038, 0.051) Extreme Shares: 95 %	0.09 +/- 0.025 0.065 (0.057, 0.075) Extreme Shares: 93 %	0.11 +/- 0.028 0.081 (0.071, 0.094) Extreme Shares: 94 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.11 +/- 0.027 0.075 (0.065, 0.086) Extreme Shares: 90 %	0.14 +/- 0.031 0.098 (0.086, 0.11) Extreme Shares: 89 %	0.16 +/- 0.033 0.11 (0.096, 0.13) Extreme Shares: 91 %
DynamicGreedy	0.13 +/- 0.03 0.095 (0.083, 0.11) Extreme Shares: 92 %	0.17 +/- 0.034 0.12 (0.1, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 92 %	0.14 +/- 0.031 0.098 (0.085, 0.11) Extreme Shares: 90 %

Results for HardMaxWithRandom t = 1000 Uniform

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.18 +/- 0.028 0.084 (0.073, 0.097) Extreme Shares: 80 %	0.21 +/- 0.031 0.1 (0.089, 0.12) Extreme Shares: 80 %	0.28 +/- 0.036 0.13 (0.12, 0.15) Extreme Shares: 76 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.22 +/- 0.031 0.097 (0.085, 0.11) Extreme Shares: 76 %	0.24 +/- 0.032 0.11 (0.095, 0.13) Extreme Shares: 75 %	0.27 +/- 0.034 0.12 (0.1, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 70 %
DynamicGreedy	0.24 +/- 0.033 0.11 (0.096, 0.13) Extreme Shares: 76 %	0.24 +/- 0.031 0.1 (0.088, 0.12) Extreme Shares: 71 %	0.29 +/- 0.034 0.12 (0.11, 0.14) Extreme Shares: 66 %

Results for SoftMax t = 1000 Uniform

	ThompsonSampling	DynamicEpsilonGreedy	DynamicGreedy
ThompsonSampling	0.44 +/- 0.01 0.011 (0.0098, 0.013) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.46 +/- 0.012 0.014 (0.012, 0.016) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.49 +/- 0.016 0.026 (0.023, 0.03) Extreme Shares: 0 %
DynamicEpsilonGreedy	0.45 +/- 0.011 0.013 (0.011, 0.015) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.46 +/- 0.013 0.017 (0.015, 0.019) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.47 +/- 0.015 0.024 (0.021, 0.028) Extreme Shares: 0 %
DynamicGreedy	0.46 +/- 0.013 0.016 (0.014, 0.019) Extreme Shares: 0 %	0.47 +/- 0.013 0.018 (0.016, 0.021) Extreme Shares: 0.25 %	0.48 +/- 0.015 0.024 (0.021, 0.028) Extreme Shares: 0 %