

## Experiments on Social Media

Guy Aridor

Northwestern Kellogg

Rafael Jimenez-Duran

Bocconi University

Ro'ee Levy

Tel Aviv University & CEPR

Lena Song

University of Illinois  
Urbana-Champaign

ACM Conference on Economics and Computation

June 19th, 2025

# Introduction

Social media platforms (and digital platforms) are ubiquitous in the modern economy

60% of the world's population uses social media

Average American spends 2.5 hours a day on social media

# Introduction

Social media platforms (and digital platforms) are ubiquitous in the modern economy

- 60% of the world's population uses social media

- Average American spends 2.5 hours a day on social media

Digital platforms enable novel kinds of experiments

These experiments can be used to study two types of questions:

- Study properties and features of the platform

- High ecologically valid setting to study human behavior

Particularly important for policy-related questions (Barrios et. al 2025)

# Introduction

Social media platforms (and digital platforms) are ubiquitous in the modern economy

- 60% of the world's population uses social media

- Average American spends 2.5 hours a day on social media

Digital platforms enable novel kinds of experiments

These experiments can be used to study two types of questions:

- Study properties and features of the platform

- High ecologically valid setting to study human behavior

Particularly important for policy-related questions (Barrios et. al 2025)

**Some example studies:** Levy (2021), Allcott et. al (2020, 2022), Guriev et. al (2023), Aridor (2025), Beknazar-Yuzbashev et. al (2022), Larsen et. al (2023)

**This talk:** A practical guide to conducting these types of studies

# Introduction

Typical components of a social media experiment

- Recruit participants (**Social Media Ads**, **Labs/Online Samples**)

- Generates an intervention (**Manipulate experience at individual level**)

- Analyzes social media data (**Posts/time spent**, **Behavioral outcome**)

Can use social media for all of those or only some subset

A relevant analogy is running a small business:

- Successful marketing campaign to recruit users (good targeting, messaging)

- Maintaining and running an active intervention (good IT management)

- Manage participant communication to minimize attrition (good customer service)

**This talk:** Focus on each component separately

# Overview

1. Recruitment

2. Interventions

3. Limitations and Challenges

4. Conclusion and the Future of Social Media

# Benefits of Social Media Samples

Accessing a **broad sampling** frame

2 billion reachable users via ads (120k on Prolific, 26 million on YouGov)

Typically not "professional" survey takers

Particularly useful in developing countries with limited reach by survey panels  
(e.g., Aghajanian et al. 2021, Rosenweig-Zhou 2021, Singh et. al 2022)

Targeting niche sets of users

**Direct:** Geography, interests, demographics

**Indirect:** "Lookalike" audiences to an existing set of users

Examples: Political activists (Jäger 2017), French high school seniors (Hakimov et. al 2022), LGBTQ young adults (Guillory et al 2018), voters in local elections (Sances 2018)

# Mechanisms of Advertising on Social Media

Typical sequence of events for setting advertisements:

- (1) Advertiser **buys ad** on Facebook/Instagram/Audience Network
- (2) Advertiser **places “pixel”** on their website
- (3) When a **consumer “converts”**, data and ID sent back to Meta
- (4) Data used to **measure and optimize distribution**



# Mechanisms of Advertising on Social Media

Typical sequence of events for setting advertisements:

- (1) Advertiser **buys ad** on Facebook/Instagram/Audience Network
- (2) Advertiser **places “pixel”** on their website
- (3) When a **consumer “converts”**, data and ID sent back to Meta
- (4) Data used to **measure and optimize distribution**

Important considerations:

Choose to optimize for impressions/clicks/conversions (see Neundorf et. al 2023 for differences in selection)

Targeting typically improves over time: **delivery optimization**

Placement of tracking pixel on “success” (e.g., end of survey, software installation) **determines optimization**

# Recruitment Challenges

## Representativeness

"Black box" selection due to delivery optimization (Rosenzweig et al. 2020)  
Boas et. al (2020) show similar selection to crowd-sourced platforms  
Solution: Quota sampling (e.g., see Allcott et al 2020)

# Recruitment Challenges

## Representativeness

"Black box" selection due to delivery optimization (Rosenzweig et al. 2020)

Boas et. al (2020) show similar selection to crowd-sourced platforms

Solution: Quota sampling (e.g., see Allcott et al 2020)

**Costs**: Typically between \$0.40-\$4.00 for survey completion

More granular targeting is typically costlier

Typically a tradeoff between costs and representativeness

Higher in recent years due to privacy regulation

# Recruitment Challenges

## Representativeness

"Black box" selection due to delivery optimization (Rosenzweig et al. 2020)  
Boas et. al (2020) show similar selection to crowd-sourced platforms  
Solution: Quota sampling (e.g., see Allcott et al 2020)

**Costs**: Typically between \$0.40-\$4.00 for survey completion

More granular targeting is typically costlier

Typically a tradeoff between costs and representativeness

Higher in recent years due to privacy regulation

**Sample Quality**: No one vouching for participants

Possible fraudulent and duplicated responses

Higher chances of "low quality" participants

# Overview

1. Recruitment

2. Interventions

3. Limitations and Challenges

4. Conclusion and the Future of Social Media

# Considerations when designing an intervention

**Key Idea:** Each individual gets their “own” version of Facebook, TikTok, Amazon, etc.

# Considerations when designing an intervention

**Key Idea:** Each individual gets their “own” version of Facebook, TikTok, Amazon, etc.

Physical: Conlon et. al (2023) measure second-choice diversion from *overall* product removal

Digital: Aridor (2025) measures second-choice diversion from *individual* product removal

**Experimenter POV:** Interested in the effect of **X** on **Y**

**X**: Induce *individual* level variation in X via platform features or external software

**Y**: Measure *individual* level of Y via the platform directly, external software, or surveys

**Z**: Sometimes can only move Z that moves X

# Considerations when designing an intervention

**Key Idea:** Each individual gets their “own” version of Facebook, TikTok, Amazon, etc.

Physical: Conlon et. al (2023) measure second-choice diversion from *overall* product removal

Digital: Aridor (2025) measures second-choice diversion from *individual* product removal

**Experimenter POV:** Interested in the effect of **X** on **Y**

**X**: Induce *individual* level variation in X via platform features or external software

**Y**: Measure *individual* level of Y via the platform directly, external software, or surveys

**Z**: Sometimes can only move Z that moves X

Enables conducting platform experiments *without* platform cooperation



# Technical Preliminaries

**API:** Directly pull data from the platforms

Public: Retrieve public posts on the platform

Private: Users opt-in to see private posts / take actions

Challenges: Only available on a few platforms

# Technical Preliminaries

**API:** Directly pull data from the platforms

Public: Retrieve public posts on the platform

Private: Users opt-in to see private posts / take actions

Challenges: Only available on a few platforms

**Browser Extensions:** Directly manipulate / extract HTML on desktop/laptop

Read and write arbitrary HTML/JS into a page

Extraction of content, time spent, browser settings

Modification of content, browser settings

# Technical Preliminaries

**API:** Directly pull data from the platforms

Public: Retrieve public posts on the platform

Private: Users opt-in to see private posts / take actions

Challenges: Only available on a few platforms

**Browser Extensions:** Directly manipulate / extract HTML on desktop/laptop

Read and write arbitrary HTML/JS into a page

Extraction of content, time spent, browser settings

Modification of content, browser settings

**Mobile Phone Apps:** Extract time (and some content) on phones

Typically only Android phones enable 3P software

Extraction of time spent on apps (limited content)

Limited interventions (app restrictions)

# Technical Preliminaries

**API:** Directly pull data from the platforms

Public: Retrieve public posts on the platform

Private: Users opt-in to see private posts / take actions

Challenges: Only available on a few platforms

**Browser Extensions:** Directly manipulate / extract HTML on desktop/laptop

Read and write arbitrary HTML/JS into a page

Extraction of content, time spent, browser settings

Modification of content, browser settings

**Mobile Phone Apps:** Extract time (and some content) on phones

Typically only Android phones enable 3P software

Extraction of time spent on apps (limited content)

Limited interventions (app restrictions)

**Selenium bots:** Imitate real users

Explicitly code routine of user interactions

Useful for extracting information from sites with dynamic JS

# Useful Open-Source Software Tools

## **Browser Extensions:** Webmunk (Farronato-Fradkin-Karr 2024)

Allows for modification of content (e.g., Farronato et. al, 2025 modify Amazon search rankings)

Tracks browsing behavior, prompts users to complete tasks

Build on top of core abstractions (no need to replicate core functionality)

Reference: <http://www.webmunk.org>

## **Mobile Phones (Time Use):** Phone Dashboard (Allcott-Gentzkow-Song 2022)

Control and set limits on time on applications

Reference: <https://github.com/Phone-Dashboard>

## **Mobile Phones (Screen Content):** Princeton-SMART

Collects second-by-second screenshots of content

Combination of OCR plus accessibility data

Reference: <https://www.screenlake.com/princeton-smart>

# Different Types of Interventions

## Encourage change in time use

Manual deactivation: Allcott et. al (2020) encourage account deactivation

Software restrictions: Aridor (2025), Allcott et. al (2022) use third-party software to restrict access at application-level

# Different Types of Interventions

## Encourage change in time use

Manual deactivation: Allcott et. al (2020) encourage account deactivation

Software restrictions: Aridor (2025), Allcott et. al (2022) use third-party software to restrict access at application-level

## Encourage change in on-platform behavior

Levy (2021) nudges participants to follow certain news pages

High ecological validity, high compliance

# Different Types of Interventions

## Encourage change in time use

Manual deactivation: Allcott et. al (2020) encourage account deactivation

Software restrictions: Aridor (2025), Allcott et. al (2022) use third-party software to restrict access at application-level

## Encourage change in on-platform behavior

Levy (2021) nudges participants to follow certain news pages

High ecological validity, high compliance

## Encourage installation of third-party software

Beknazar-Yuzbashev et. al (2022) measure the effect of toxic content

Farronato et. al (2025) measure degree of Amazon self-preferencing

Higher compliance costs, wider scope of interventions



# Different Types of Interventions (Continued)

## **Manipulate Experience through platform features**

Larsen et. al (2023) use geographically targeted ads on vaccine campaigns

Srivinsan (2023) posts AI-generated comments to Reddit

Implemented by the researcher, no experimenter demand

# Different Types of Interventions (Continued)

## **Manipulate Experience through platform features**

Larsen et. al (2023) use geographically targeted ads on vaccine campaigns  
Srivinsan (2023) posts AI-generated comments to Reddit  
Implemented by the researcher, no experimenter demand

## **Provide Exposure to Content Off-Platform**

Song (2024), Guriev et al (2023) expose participants to posts in a survey  
Bail et al (2023) builds a platform which is an artificial social media platform  
Less ecological validity, High compliance and control

# Different Types of Interventions (Continued)

## **Manipulate Experience through platform features**

Larsen et. al (2023) use geographically targeted ads on vaccine campaigns  
Srivinsan (2023) posts AI-generated comments to Reddit  
Implemented by the researcher, no experimenter demand

## **Provide Exposure to Content Off-Platform**

Song (2024), Guriev et al (2023) expose participants to posts in a survey  
Bail et al (2023) builds a platform which is an artificial social media platform  
Less ecological validity, High compliance and control

## **Algorithmic Audits**

Brown et al. (2022) randomize the initial YouTube view a consumer watches  
Can use selenium bots to randomize feedback and observe changes in algorithm

# Collecting Data and Compliance

We can use the same tools to collect data for analysis and assessing compliance

**API:** Directly operated by the platform

Data: Burtch et. al (2022) randomly give peer awards and extracts Reddit posts

Compliance: Levy (2021) monitors compliance with following FB pages

**Challenges with API:** Instability over time

Platforms may remove posts (content moderation)

Platform policies highly variable (e.g., X and Reddit)

"Unofficial" APIs are a gray area in terms of whether data can be used

# Collecting Data and Compliance

We can use the same tools to collect data for analysis and assessing compliance

**API:** Directly operated by the platform

Data: Burtch et. al (2022) randomly give peer awards and extracts Reddit posts

Compliance: Levy (2021) monitors compliance with following FB pages

**Challenges with API:** Instability over time

Platforms may remove posts (content moderation)

Platform policies highly variable (e.g., X and Reddit)

"Unofficial" APIs are a gray area in terms of whether data can be used

**Third-Party Software:** Browser extensions, mobile phones

Extensions: Browsing history (Levy 2021), time spent (Aridor 2025), posts / ads observed by individuals (Beknazar-Yuzbashev et. al 2022)

Mobile Phones: Time spent (Allcott et. al 2022, Aridor 2025), keyword content (Reeves et. al 2021)

**Challenges with Third-Party Software:** external validity

Higher chance of self-selection into studies

Most usage is on mobile, but harder to collect on mobile

# Collecting Data and Compliance (Continued)

We can use the same tools to collect data for analysis and assessing compliance

**Manually collected data:** valuable, but high labor costs

Agan et. al (2023) collect posts by recording via Zoom

Collis et. al (2021), Lin et. al (2023) incentivize participants to export data

**Survey Data:** traditional and requires joining to platform ID

Allcott et. al (2020) measure valuations and political attitudes

Challenge: Especially for time-spent, survey measures can be noisy (e.g., Ernala et. al (2020) find a correlation of 0.42 using internal FB data)

Higher likelihood of experimenter demand relative to software

# Collecting Data and Compliance (Continued)

We can use the same tools to collect data for analysis and assessing compliance

**Manually collected data:** valuable, but high labor costs

Agan et. al (2023) collect posts by recording via Zoom

Collis et. al (2021), Lin et. al (2023) incentivize participants to export data

**Survey Data:** traditional and requires joining to platform ID

Allcott et. al (2020) measure valuations and political attitudes

Challenge: Especially for time-spent, survey measures can be noisy (e.g., Ernala et. al (2020) find a correlation of 0.42 using internal FB data)

Higher likelihood of experimenter demand relative to software

**External Sources:** Link to social media data

Voting: Bond et. al (2012) join FB users to public voters

Vaccinations: Larsen et. a (2023) use county-level vaccination data

# Collecting Data and Compliance (Continued)

We can use the same tools to collect data for analysis and assessing compliance

**Manually collected data:** valuable, but high labor costs

Agan et. al (2023) collect posts by recording via Zoom

Collis et. al (2021), Lin et. al (2023) incentivize participants to export data

**Survey Data:** traditional and requires joining to platform ID

Allcott et. al (2020) measure valuations and political attitudes

Challenge: Especially for time-spent, survey measures can be noisy (e.g., Ernala et. al (2020) find a correlation of 0.42 using internal FB data)

Higher likelihood of experimenter demand relative to software

**External Sources:** Link to social media data

Voting: Bond et. al (2012) join FB users to public voters

Vaccinations: Larsen et. a (2023) use county-level vaccination data

Tradeoff: Data quality, representativeness, feasibility



# Overview

1. Recruitment
2. Interventions
3. Limitations and Challenges
4. Conclusion and the Future of Social Media

# Limitations and Challenges

**Power:** More difficult with low effect size interventions

Manually recruiting participants suffers relative to "platform-scale" interventions

Sample size to get a level of power increases exponentially as effect size ↓

# Limitations and Challenges

**Power:** More difficult with low effect size interventions

Manually recruiting participants suffers relative to "platform-scale" interventions

Sample size to get a level of power increases exponentially as effect size ↓

## Overcoming power limitations

Collecting rich baseline data and longitudinal study designs

Enables within-participant designs (List et. al 2011)

Temporally granular data eases testing dynamics of treatment effects

# Limitations and Challenges

**Power:** More difficult with low effect size interventions

Manually recruiting participants suffers relative to "platform-scale" interventions  
Sample size to get a level of power increases exponentially as effect size ↓

## Overcoming power limitations

Collecting rich baseline data and longitudinal study designs  
Enables within-participant designs (List et. al 2011)  
Temporally granular data eases testing dynamics of treatment effects

**Attrition:** Typically comparable with other field experiments

Ghanem et. al (2023) report a mean attrition of 15% among 88 field experiments  
Threaten internal validity when select out based on potential outcomes

## Overcome attrition

Postponing treatment to a relatively late stage  
Conducting multiple survey waves to identify attriters (Allcott et. al 2020)

## Limitations and Challenges (Continued)

**SUTVA:** Spillovers between participants

Reasonable to expect given the social nature of platforms

Important to consider whether quantifying spillovers is relevant to the RQ

# Limitations and Challenges (Continued)

**SUTVA:** Spillovers between participants

Reasonable to expect given the social nature of platforms

Important to consider whether quantifying spillovers is relevant to the RQ

## Overcoming SUTVA Challenges

Minimizing interference by sampling individuals unlikely to interact

Cluster-randomized designs (e.g., Larsen et. al (2023) randomize regional level)

# Limitations and Challenges (Continued)

## **SUTVA:** Spillovers between participants

Reasonable to expect given the social nature of platforms

Important to consider whether quantifying spillovers is relevant to the RQ

## **Overcoming SUTVA Challenges**

Minimizing interference by sampling individuals unlikely to interact

Cluster-randomized designs (e.g., Larsen et. al (2023) randomize regional level)

## **Challenges to Interpretation**

Unobservable actions by platform

e.g., ads are typically optimized for targeting (selection bias into exposure – Eckles et. al 2018, Gordon et. al 2023)

# Limitations and Challenges (Continued)

## **SUTVA:** Spillovers between participants

Reasonable to expect given the social nature of platforms

Important to consider whether quantifying spillovers is relevant to the RQ

## **Overcoming SUTVA Challenges**

Minimizing interference by sampling individuals unlikely to interact

Cluster-randomized designs (e.g., Larsen et. al (2023) randomize regional level)

## **Challenges to Interpretation**

Unobservable actions by platform

e.g., ads are typically optimized for targeting (selection bias into exposure – Eckles et. al 2018, Gordon et. al 2023)

Different sets of compliers

e.g., mixed findings of exposing users to cross-cutting content (Levy (2021) - not incentivized, Bail et. al 2018 - incentivized)



## Limitations and Challenges (Continued)

### **SUTVA:** Spillovers between participants

Reasonable to expect given the social nature of platforms

Important to consider whether quantifying spillovers is relevant to the RQ

### **Overcoming SUTVA Challenges**

Minimizing interference by sampling individuals unlikely to interact

Cluster-randomized designs (e.g., Larsen et. al (2023) randomize regional level)

### **Challenges to Interpretation**

Unobservable actions by platform

e.g., ads are typically optimized for targeting (selection bias into exposure – Eckles et. al 2018, Gordon et. al 2023)

Different sets of compliers

e.g., mixed findings of exposing users to cross-cutting content (Levy (2021) - not incentivized, Bail et. al 2018 - incentivized)

Partial vs. general equilibrium

e.g., effects on mental health: Allcott et. al 2020 – individual deactivation, Braghieri-Levy-Makarin 2022 – staggered rollout

## Limitations and Challenges (Continued)

### **SUTVA:** Spillovers between participants

Reasonable to expect given the social nature of platforms

Important to consider whether quantifying spillovers is relevant to the RQ

### **Overcoming SUTVA Challenges**

Minimizing interference by sampling individuals unlikely to interact

Cluster-randomized designs (e.g., Larsen et. al (2023) randomize regional level)

### **Challenges to Interpretation**

Unobservable actions by platform

e.g., ads are typically optimized for targeting (selection bias into exposure – Eckles et. al 2018, Gordon et. al 2023)

Different sets of compliers

e.g., mixed findings of exposing users to cross-cutting content (Levy (2021) - not incentivized, Bail et. al 2018 - incentivized)

Partial vs. general equilibrium

e.g., effects on mental health: Allcott et. al 2020 – individual deactivation, Braghieri-Levy-Makarin 2022 – staggered rollout

Short-term effects: difficult to measure “long term” interventions

# Limitations and Challenges (Continued)

**External Validity:** Differences from target population

Often recruited sample will not be representative

Targets: nationally representative sample and representative of *platform* users

Nationally representative sample: reweight on demographics

Platform: American Trends Panel or manual approach (e.g., Barberá (2016)  
sampled random Twitter users and hand-coded demographic information)

## Limitations and Challenges (Continued)

**External Validity:** Differences from target population

Often recruited sample will not be representative

Targets: nationally representative sample and representative of *platform* users

Nationally representative sample: reweight on demographics

Platform: American Trends Panel or manual approach (e.g., Barberá (2016) sampled random Twitter users and hand-coded demographic information)

**Ethics:** Impacts consent, intervention, and outcomes

Facebook's Emotion Contagion Study (Kramer et. al 2014) measured the effect of hiding posts with positive/negative words on subsequent emotions of posting

Heavily criticized (no informed consent, large potential risk, no opting out)

Given data sensitivity, ethical considerations are a first-order part of design

# Overview

1. Recruitment
2. Interventions
3. Limitations and Challenges
4. Conclusion and the Future of Social Media

# The Role of Experiments and The Future of Social Media

While involved, lower barriers to entry relative to platform cooperation

Many mentioned papers are on tight budgets or by junior authors

# The Role of Experiments and The Future of Social Media

While involved, lower barriers to entry relative to platform cooperation  
Many mentioned papers are on tight budgets or by junior authors

## Future Directions of Social Media

Rise of TikTok: Content entirely from algorithmic curation, move towards video

Increased interactions with bots: Increase in AI-generated content

Change in business models: Subscriptions, instead of ad-supported

Increased role of government regulations: Hotly contested regulation around privacy, content moderation, mental health

# The Role of Experiments and The Future of Social Media

While involved, lower barriers to entry relative to platform cooperation  
Many mentioned papers are on tight budgets or by junior authors

## Future Directions of Social Media

Rise of TikTok: Content entirely from algorithmic curation, move towards video

Increased interactions with bots: Increase in AI-generated content

Change in business models: Subscriptions, instead of ad-supported

Increased role of government regulations: Hotly contested regulation around privacy, content moderation, mental health

## An exciting time to study social media, rapidly evolving and many open questions

Social media experiments represent an important tool in our researcher toolkit  
Complementary to platform economics theories and observational work