Note: unrequired parts of multi-part problems are listed obfuscated as ... to recognize they are multi-parted.

1 Division Algorithm

Problem 5. Use old-fashioned long division to implement the Division Algorithm and write a = bq + r, $0 \le r < b$, for each a and b listed below:

(a)
$$a = 20, b = 3$$
.

(b)
$$a = 54$$
, $b = 7$.

Starting with the first subproblem, we can start by finding the maximal q in the set of possible divisors S. By examination we can quickly deduce

$$20 = 3(6) + 2, 0 \le 2 < 3.$$

We can do the same for the second subproblem:

$$\boxed{54 = 7(7) + 5, 0 \le 5 < 7.}$$

Problem 8. Show that when the square of an odd integer is divided by 8, the remainder is 1. (Hint: remember 2|n(n+1).)

We will restate the problem as a proposition and prove it using the Division Algorithm.

Proposition. Given the square of an odd integer, it's remainder is always 1 when divided by 8.

Proof. We start with a simple expression of any odd integer, 2n + 1. We can restate our proposition as $\exists q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $(2n + 1)^2 = 8q + 1 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We can rearrange the statement to determine whether q is an integer:

$$(2n+1)^2 = 8q+1$$

$$4n^2 + 4n + 1 = 8q + 1$$

$$4n(n+1) = 8q$$

$$\frac{1}{2}n(n+1) = q$$

Because n(n+1) is even (or 0) we now know that $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \implies \exists q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ given a remainder of 1. This is important as it ensures our divisor is an integer. Thus $(2n+1)^2/8$ will yield a remainder of $1 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Remark. Is this too discursive? There is probably a more elegant solution.

Problem 10. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \neq 1$. Show n can be uniquely written in the form $n = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k m^k$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $a_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, (m-1)\}$ with $a_N \neq 0$. Hint: Use induction on n and begin by choosing the largest $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ so that $m^N \leq n$. Use the Division Algorithm to write $n = a_N m^N + r$ and then apply the inductive hypothesis to r.

Proposition. Given two positive integers m, n where $m \neq 1$, there is a unique representation of $n = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k m^k$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ while $a_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ and $a_N \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq 2$. We'll first show that the representation exists. Starting with the base case of n=0, the representation $0=n=\sum_{k=0}^N=a_km^k=a_0m^0=a_0$ which is true as $a_0\in\{0,\ldots,m-1\}$ and N=0. Next with the inductive step, we choose an N such that $m^N\leq n\leq m^{N+1}$. Using the Division Algorithm's expression of $n=a_Nm^N+r$, we know that r is a recursive iteration of this expression and can thus be expressed as $r=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}a_Nm^N$. Combining our base case and r, we see that

$$n = a_N m^N + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_N m^N \implies n = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_N m^N.$$

Next, to prove this representation is unique, consider two expressions of n that are supposedly equal in the non-unique case:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k m^k = \sum_{k=0}^{M} b_k m^k$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k m^k - \sum_{k=0}^{M} b_k m^k = 0$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\max(M,N)} (a_k - b_k) m^k = 0.$$

The third line of the above derivation can only be true if $(a_k - b_k) = 0 \implies a_k = b_k$. Thus the representation is unique.

2 Divisors

Problem 12. List all of the divisors of the following:

- (a) 52,
- (b) ...

The divisors of 52 are $\pm \{1, 2, 4, 13, 26, 52\}$.

Problem 14. Evaluate the following:

(a) (42,56),

(b) ...

The expression (42,56), otherwise written as gcd(42,56), is equivalent to $max(A \cap B)$ where $A = \{1,2,3,6,7,14,21,42\}$, the divisors of 42, and $B = \{1,2,4,7,8,14,28,56\}$, the divisors of 56. Thus the greatest common divisor of these two numbers is 14.

Problem 17. Let $b, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let a = bq + r with a and b not both 0.

- (a) Show a common divisor of a and b is a divisor of r and that a common divisor of b and r is a divisor of a.
- (b) Conclude that (a,b) = (r,b)

Proposition. A common divisor of $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ divides $r \wedge a$ common divisor of $b, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ divides $a \implies (a, b) = (r, b)$ given a = br + r, $a, b \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose $b, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a = bq + r and that a, b have a common divisor y. The given equation can be rewritten as iy = jyq + r where iy = a and jy = b. We can rearrange this equation to isolate r:

$$r = iy - jyq$$

$$r = y(i - jq)$$

$$r = yk$$

knowing k = (i - jq). We can then say that y|r using the multiple $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly let us suppose b, r have a common divisor z; they can be rewritten as r = mz and b = nz. Using our given expression, we can say

$$a = nzq + mz$$
$$a = z(nq + m)$$
$$a = zl$$

knowing l = (nq + m). Thus z|a using the multiple $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Given that a, b|r and r, b|a we know that the set of common divisors between a, b and r, b are the same and hence their maxes are the same. Thus $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(r, b)$.

Problem 19. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, not both 0.

(a) If
$$(a,b) = d$$
, then $(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}) = 1$. Hint: Write $ax + by = d$ so that $\frac{a}{d}x + \frac{b}{d}y = 1$.

(b) ...

We know via Theorem 1.10 from the text that the greatest common divisor of two integers is their minimal linear combination, i.e. $gcd(a, b) = d \iff d = min(\{d|ax + by = d, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\})$. We can then write

$$ax + by = d$$
$$\frac{a}{d}x + \frac{b}{d}y = 1.$$

Because 1 is the smallest outcome of any linear combination assuming a, b are not both zero, we can say that $\frac{a}{d}$ and $\frac{b}{d}$ have a greatest common divisor of 1 and are thus relatively prime. This result is intuitive as the greatest common divisor is 'divided' out of each number, leaving them relatively prime.