

Note: unrequired parts of multi-part problems are listed obfuscated as ... to recognize they are multi-parted.

# 1 Arithmetic

## 1.3 Division Algorithm

**Problem 5.** Use old-fashioned long division to implement the Division Algorithm and write  $a = bq + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < b$ , for each  $a$  and  $b$  listed below:

(a)  $a = 20$ ,  $b = 3$ .

(b)  $a = 54$ ,  $b = 7$ .

Starting with the first subproblem, we can start by finding the maximal  $q$  in the set of possible divisors  $S$ . By examination we can quickly deduce

$$20 = 3(6) + 2, 0 \leq 2 < 3.$$

We can do the same for the second subproblem:

$$54 = 7(7) + 5, 0 \leq 5 < 7.$$

**Problem 8.** Show that when the square of an odd integer is divided by 8, the remainder is 1. (Hint: remember  $2|n(n+1)$ .)

We will restate the problem as a proposition and prove it using the Division Algorithm.

**Proposition.** Given the square of an odd integer, it's remainder is always 1 when divided by 8.

*Proof.* We start with a simple expression of any odd integer,  $2n + 1$ . We can restate our proposition as  $\exists q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $(2n + 1)^2 = 8q + 1 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . We can rearrange the statement to determine whether  $q$  is an integer:

$$\begin{aligned} (2n + 1)^2 &= 8q + 1 \\ 4n^2 + 4n + 1 &= 8q + 1 \\ 4n(n + 1) &= 8q \\ \frac{1}{2}n(n + 1) &= q \end{aligned}$$

Because  $n(n+1)$  is even (or 0) we now know that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \implies \exists q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  given a remainder of 1. This is important as it ensures our divisor is an integer. Thus  $(2n + 1)^2/8$  will yield a remainder of 1  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* Is this too discursive? There is probably a more elegant solution.

**Problem 10.** Let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \neq 1$ . Show  $n$  can be uniquely written in the form  $n = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k m^k$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $a_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, (m-1)\}$  with  $a_N \neq 0$ . Hint: Use induction on  $n$  and begin by choosing the largest  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  so that  $m^N \leq n$ . Use the Division Algorithm to write  $n = a_N m^N + r$  and then apply the inductive hypothesis to  $r$ .

**Proposition.** Given two positive integers  $m, n$  where  $m \neq 1$ , there is a unique representation of  $n = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k m^k$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  while  $a_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$  and  $a_N \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \geq 2$ . We'll first show that the representation exists. Starting with the base case of  $n = 0$ , the representation  $0 = n = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k m^k = a_0 m^0 = a_0$  which is true as  $a_0 \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$  and  $N = 0$ . Next with the inductive step, we choose an  $N$  such that  $m^N \leq n \leq m^{N+1}$ . Using the Division Algorithm's expression of  $n = a_N m^N + r$ , we know that  $r$  is a recursive iteration of this expression and can thus be expressed as  $r = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k m^k$ . Combining our base case and  $r$ , we see that

$$n = a_N m^N + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k m^k \implies n = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k m^k.$$

Next, to prove this representation is unique, consider two expressions of  $n$  that are supposedly equal in the non-unique case:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^N a_k m^k &= \sum_{k=0}^M b_k m^k \\ \sum_{k=0}^N a_k m^k - \sum_{k=0}^M b_k m^k &= 0 \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\max(M,N)} (a_k - b_k) m^k &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The third line of the above derivation can only be true if  $(a_k - b_k) = 0 \implies a_k = b_k$ . Thus the representation is unique.  $\square$

## 1.4 Divisors

**Problem 12.** List all of the divisors of the following:

(a) 52,

(b) ...

The divisors of 52 are  $\pm\{1, 2, 4, 13, 26, 52\}$ .

**Problem 14.** Evaluate the following:

(a)  $(42, 56)$ ,

(b) ...

The expression  $(42, 56)$ , otherwise written as  $\gcd(42, 56)$ , is equivalent to  $\max(A \cap B)$  where  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 14, 21, 42\}$ , the divisors of 42, and  $B = \{1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 14, 28, 56\}$ , the divisors of 56. Thus the greatest common divisor of these two numbers is 14.

**Problem 17.** Let  $b, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $a = bq + r$  with  $a$  and  $b$  not both 0.

(a) Show a common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  is a divisor of  $r$  and that a common divisor of  $b$  and  $r$  is a divisor of  $a$ .

(b) Conclude that  $(a, b) = (r, b)$

**Proposition.** A common divisor of  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  divides  $r \wedge$  a common divisor of  $b, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  divides  $a \implies (a, b) = (r, b)$  given  $a = bq + r$ ,  $a, b \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $b, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = bq + r$  and that  $a, b$  have a common divisor  $y$ . The given equation can be rewritten as  $iy = jq + r$  where  $iy = a$  and  $jy = b$ . We can rearrange this equation to isolate  $r$ :

$$\begin{aligned} r &= iy - jq \\ r &= y(i - jq) \\ r &= yk \end{aligned}$$

knowing  $k = (i - jq)$ . We can then say that  $y|r$  using the multiple  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly let us suppose  $b, r$  have a common divisor  $z$ ; they can be rewritten as  $r = mz$  and  $b = nz$ . Using our given expression, we can say

$$\begin{aligned} a &= nzq + mz \\ a &= z(nq + m) \\ a &= zl \end{aligned}$$

knowing  $l = (nq + m)$ . Thus  $z|a$  using the multiple  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Given that  $a, b|r$  and  $r, b|a$  we know that the set of common divisors between  $a, b$  and  $r, b$  are the same and hence their maxes are the same. Thus  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(r, b)$ .  $\square$

**Problem 19.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , not both 0.

(a) If  $(a, b) = d$ , then  $(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}) = 1$ . Hint: Write  $ax + by = d$  so that  $\frac{a}{d}x + \frac{b}{d}y = 1$ .

(b) ...

We know via Theorem 1.10 from the text that the greatest common divisor of two integers is their minimal linear combination, i.e.  $\gcd(a, b) = d \iff d = \min(\{d|ax + by = d, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\})$ . We can then write

$$\begin{aligned} ax + by &= d \\ \frac{a}{d}x + \frac{b}{d}y &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Because 1 is the smallest outcome of any linear combination assuming  $a, b$  are not both zero, we can say that  $\frac{a}{d}$  and  $\frac{b}{d}$  have a greatest common divisor of 1 and are thus relatively prime. This result is intuitive as the greatest common divisor is 'divided' out of each number, leaving them relatively prime.